RWANDA



Malaria Indicator Survey (MIS)

2017

Republic of Rwanda



Rwanda Malaria Indicator Survey

Final Report

2017

Malaria and Other Parasitic Diseases Division of the Rwanda Biomedical Center Ministry of Health Kigali, Rwanda

> The DHS Program Rockville, Maryland USA

> > July 2018







The 2017 Rwanda Malaria Indicator Survey (2017 RMIS) was implemented by the Malaria and Other Parasitic Diseases Division (MOPDD) of the Rwanda Biomedical Center, Ministry of Health. Financial support for the survey was provided by the U.S. President's Malaria Initiative (PMI) and the Global Fund. ICF provided technical assistance through The DHS Program, a USAID-funded project offering support and technical assistance in the implementation of population and health surveys in countries worldwide.

The primary objectives of the 2017 RMIS are to measure the level of ownership and use of mosquito nets; identify treatment practices, including the use of specific antimalarial medications to treat malaria among children age 6-59 months; measure the prevalence of malaria among all household populations, including children age 6-59 months and pregnant women; and assess knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to malaria among women age 15-49.

Additional information about the 2017 RMIS may be obtained from the Malaria and Other Parasitic Diseases Division (MOPDD) of the Rwanda Biomedical Center, Head Office; K. G. 203 Street. P.O. Box 83, Kigali, Rwanda. Telephone: 250-78-830-6814; E-mail: monique.murindahabi@rbc.gov.rw; Internet: www.rbc.gov.rw.

Information about The DHS Program may be obtained from ICF, 530 Gaither Road, Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20850, USA; Telephone: +1-301-407-6500; Fax: +1-301-407-6501; E-mail: info@DHSprogram.com; Internet: www.DHSprogram.com.

Cover photos: ©2018 Imigongo artwork from a shop on Ave de Independance, Gisenyi, Rwanda. Artist unknown.

Recommended citation:

Malaria and Other Parasitic Diseases Division of the Rwanda Biomedical Center Ministry of Health [Rwanda] and ICF. 2017. *Rwanda Malaria Indicator Survey (RMIS) 2017*. Kigali, Rwanda, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: MOPDD and ICF.

CONTENTS

TAB	BLES ANI) FIGURES	v
		DGEMENTS	
		ND UNDERSTANDING TABLES FROM THE 2017 RWANDA MIS (RMIS)	
		L DHS PROGRAM RESOURCES	
MA	P OF RW	ANDA	xxii
1	INTR	ODUCTION AND SURVEY METHODOLOGY	1
	1.1	Survey Objectives	
	1.2	Sample Design	
	1.3	Questionnaires	
	1.4	Malaria Testing	2
	1.5	Training of Field Staff	
	1.6	Fieldwork	4
	1.7	Laboratory Testing	4
	1.8	Data Processing	
	1.9	Ethical Consideration	
	1.10	Response Rates	5
2	CHAI	RACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND WOMEN	7
	2.1	Drinking Water Sources and Treatment	8
	2.2	Household Sanitation Facilities	9
	2.3	Housing Characteristics	9
	2.4	Household Wealth	10
	2.5	Household Population and Composition	11
	2.6	Background Characteristics of Women Respondents	12
	2.7	Educational attainment of women	12
	2.8	Literacy of Women	
	2.9	Household Health Insurance	13
3	MAL	ARIA PREVENTION	23
	3.1	Ownership of Insecticide-Treated Nets	24
	3.2	Household Access and Use of ITNs	
	3.3	Use of ITNs by Children and Pregnant Women	
	3.4	Characteristics of Nets	
	3.5	Net Hanging	29
4	MAL	ARIA IN CHILDREN	
	4.1	Care Seeking for Fever in Children	
	4.2		
	4.3	Use of Recommended Antimalarials	40
5		ARIA PREVALENCE	
	5.1	Prevalence of Malaria in Children Age 6-59 Months	
	5.2	Prevalence of Malaria in Children Age 5-14.	
	5.3	Prevalence of Malaria in Women Age 15-49	
	5.4	Prevalence of Malaria in All Individuals Age 15+	
	5.5	Prevalence of Malaria of All Household Members	50

6 MALA	ARIA KNOWLEDGE AND MESSAGING	61
6.1	Knowledge of Malaria Causes, Symptoms, and Prevention	
6.2	Knowledge of Place of Treatment for Malaria	
6.3	Exposure to Malaria Messages	
REFERENCE	5	65
APPENDIX A	SAMPLE DESIGN	67
A.1	Introduction	
A.2	Sample Frame	
A.3	Sample Design and Implementation	
A.4	Sample Probabilities and Sampling Weights	
APPENDIX B	ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS	75
APPENDIX C	DATA QUALITY TABLES	81
APPENDIX D	PERSONS INVOLVED IN THE 2017 RWANDA MALARIA INDICA	FOR SURVEY85
APPENDIX E	QUESTIONNAIRES	

TABLES AND FIGURES

1	INTRODU	CTION AND SURVEY METHODOLOGY	1
	Table 1.1	Results of the household and individual interviews	5
2	CHARACT	TERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND WOMEN	7
	Table 2.1	Household drinking water	14
	Table 2.2	Household sanitation facilities	15
	Table 2.3	Household characteristics	16
	Table 2.4	Household possessions	17
	Table 2.5	Wealth quintiles	18
	Table 2.6	Household population by age, sex, and residence	18
	Table 2.7	Household composition	19
	Table 2.7.1	Mean number of household members	19
	Table 2.8	Background characteristics of respondents	20
	Table 2.9	Educational attainment: Women	21
	Table 2.10	Literacy: Women	22
	Table 2.11	Household health insurance	22
	Figure 2.1	Household drinking water by residence	8
	Figure 2.2	Trends in source of drinking water by residence	8
	Figure 2.3	Household toilet facilities by residence	9
	Figure 2.4	Household wealth by residence	10
	Figure 2.5	Population pyramid	11
	Figure 2.6	Education of survey respondents	
3		PREVENTION	
	Table 3.1	Household possession of mosquito nets	
	Table 3.2	Source of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs)	
	Table 3.3	Access to an insecticide-treated net (ITN)	
	Table 3.4	Access to an ITN by background characteristics	31
	Table 3.5	Use of mosquito nets by persons in the household	
	Table 3.6	Use of existing ITNs	
	Table 3.7	Use of mosquito nets by children	
	Table 3.8	Use of mosquito nets by pregnant women	34
	Table 3.9	Physical characteristics of the mosquito nets	34
	Table 3.10	Nets not hung and the reasons for not hanging	
	Figure 3.1	Household ownership of ITNs	24
	Figure 3.2	Trends in ITN ownership	25
	Figure 3.3	ITN ownership by wealth	25
	Figure 3.4	ITN ownership by subnational unit	25
	Figure 3.5	Source of nets	25
	Figure 3.6	Access to and use of ITNs	
	Figure 3.7	Trends in ITN access and use	27
	Figure 3.8	Access to ITNs by subnational unit	27
	Figure 3.9	ITN use by household population, pregnant women, and children under age 5	
	Figure 3.10	Trends in use of ITNs by children and pregnant women	

	Figure 3.11	ITN use by pregnant women and children under age 5 by residence and province	
4	MALARIA	IN CHILDREN	
•	Table 4.1	Prevalence, diagnosis, and prompt treatment of children with fever	
	Table 4.2	Source of advice or treatment for children with fever	
	Table 4.3	Types of antimalarial drugs used	
	Figure 4.1	Trend in care seeking for fever in children	
	Figure 4.2	Fever in children under age 5 by province	
	Figure 4.3	Care seeking for fever in children by household wealth	
	Figure 4.4	Trend in diagnostic testing of children with fever	
	Figure 4.5	Diagnostic testing of fever in children by province	
	Figure 4.6	Trends in ACT use	
5	MALARIA	PREVALENCE	45
	Table 5.1	Coverage of testing in children age 6-59 months	
	Table 5.2	Prevalence of malaria in children age 6-59 months	
	Table 5.3	Coverage of testing for malaria in children age 5-14	53
	Table 5.4	Prevalence of malaria in children age 5-14	
	Table 5.5	Coverage of testing for malaria in women age 15-49	
	Table 5.6	Prevalence of malaria in women age 15-49	
	Table 5.7	Coverage of testing for malaria in all adults age 15+	
	Table 5.8	Prevalence of malaria in all adults age 15+	
	Table 5.9	Coverage of testing in all household members	
	Table 5.10	Prevalence of malaria in all household members	59
	Figure 5.1	Trends in malaria prevalence in children age 6-59 months	46
	Figure 5.2	Prevalence of malaria in children by mother's education	
	Figure 5.3	Prevalence of malaria in children by household wealth	47
	Figure 5.4	Prevalence of malaria in children age 6-59 months by province	
	Figure 5.5	Prevalence of malaria in children by mother's education	
	Figure 5.6	Prevalence of malaria in children age 6-59 months by province	
	Figure 5.7	Trends in malaria prevalence in women age 15-49.	
	Figure 5.8	Malaria prevalence in women age 15-49 by province	
	Figure 5.9	Malaria prevalence in individuals older than age 15 by province	
	Figure 5.10	Malaria prevalence in all household members by province	
6	MALARIA	KNOWLEDGE AND MESSAGING	61
	Table 6.1	General knowledge of malaria	
	Table 6.2	Knowledge of place of treatment for malaria	
	Table 6.3	Media exposure to malaria messages	64
	Figure 6.1	Trends in Malaria Knowledge	62
APPE	NDIX A SA	MPLE DESIGN	
	Table A.1	Distribution of residential households by province, district, and residence	
	Table A.2	Distribution of enumeration areas by province and residence	
	Table A.3	Sample allocation of enumeration areas and households	
	Table A.4	Sample implementation: Women	72

APPENDIX B	ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS	75
Table B	.1 List of indicators for sampling errors, Rwanda MIS 2017	76
Table B	.2 Sampling errors: Total sample, Rwanda MIS 2017	77
Table B	.3 Sampling errors: Urban sample, Rwanda MIS 2017	77
Table B	.4 Sampling errors: Rural sample, Rwanda MIS 2017	
Table B	.5 Sampling errors: Kigali city sample, Rwanda MIS 2017	
Table B	.6 Sampling errors: South sample, Rwanda MIS 2017	79
Table B	.7 Sampling errors: West sample, Rwanda MIS 2017	
Table B	.8 Sampling errors: North sample, Rwanda MIS 2017	
Table B	.9 Sampling errors: East sample, Rwanda MIS 2017	
APPENDIX C	DATA QUALITY TABLES	
Table C		
Table C		
Table C	.3 Completeness of reporting	
Table C		
Table C	.5 Household composition	

FOREWORD

inistry of Health in Rwanda in collaboration with Rwanda Biomedical Center (RBC) conducted the 2017 Rwanda Malaria Indicator Survey (2017 RMIS). The 2017 RMIS was a national survey designed to obtain population based estimates for malaria indicators to compliment routine administrative data that are used to inform policy makers, strategic planning, and evaluation of the Rwanda Malaria Program.

The survey provided information on the prevalence of malaria among the general population, ownership and use of mosquito bed nets, malaria treatment, and assessed malaria knowledge and communication messages in Rwanda.

The Ministry of Health is grateful to the Rwanda Biomedical Center (RBC) under the division of the Malaria and Other Parasitic Disease Division (MOPDD) for their efforts used to conduct this survey. We acknowledge the partnership with the Rwanda National Laboratory (RNL) for their contribution during the training of field personnel and the microscopic reading of malaria slides to determine malaria parasite infections. We also thank the Rwanda National Ethical Committee for ethical clearance that allowed the performance of malaria testing. We are also grateful to the Global Fund, USAID, WHO and the Government of Rwanda for co-funding this survey.

We thank and acknowledge the technical support provided by ICF through The DHS Program during the preparation and finalization of the survey instruments, training of field staff and other survey personnel, data processing, and collaboration on the final report. We also give the appreciation of all who participated in this survey who are not listed. We appreciate also the cooperation of our fellow respondents for making the 2017 RMIS a success.

The Ministry of Health and Rwanda Biomedical Center call for the advocacy from policy makers, program managers, and all others to play a big role in using this valuable data. We hope that the 2017 RMIS datasets will be used efficiently. We encourage data users to conduct further analytical work to deepen understanding on the topics presented in this survey.

Dr Patrick Ndimubanzi Minister of State in Charge of Public Health and Prima

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

he Rwanda Biomedical Center (RBC) wishes to acknowledge the efforts of all organizations and persons who contributed to the success of the 2017 Rwanda Malaria Indicator Survey (2017 RMIS).

First, we would like to express our appreciation to the technical and financial assistance from the President's Malaria Initiative (PMI), the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (GF), and the World Health Organization (WHO).

Sincere appreciation goes to the Ministry of Health (MOH) for its close support and to ICF for its technical assistance throughout the survey. We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Steering Committee (SC) and Survey Management Team (SMT) members, who contributed to the successful preparation and implementation of the survey.

We wish to express great appreciation for the work carried out by the technical staff team, namely coordinators, supervisors, surveyors, lab technicians and data processors who worked with enthusiasm to make the survey a success.

We recognize the valuable support provided by RBC leaders, especially administration, finance and procurement services; their support allowed this survey to run smoothly, safely, and in good conditions.

We would also like to extend our special thanks to the Ministry of Local Government and to the local authorities as well as community health workers for their assistance and contribution to the smooth implementation of the survey. Special thanks goes to the team leaders, field editors, drivers, and data entry staff for their valuable time that made this survey possible.

Finally, we are grateful to the survey respondents who generously gave their time to provide the information that forms the basis of this report.

Sincerely,



Jeanine U. Condo, MD, PhD

Associate Professor of Public Health

Director General of Rwanda Biomedical Center

READING AND UNDERSTANDING TABLES FROM THE 2017 RWANDA MIS (RMIS)

he 2017 RMIS final report is based on tables of data located for quick reference through links in the text (electronic version) and at the end of each chapter. In addition, the report features figures that clearly highlight trends, subnational patterns, and background characteristics. Large colorful maps display data by the provinces in Rwanda. The text has been simplified to highlight key points in bullets and to clearly identify indicator definitions in boxes.

Although the text and figures featured in each chapter highlight some of the most important findings, not every finding can be discussed or displayed graphically. For this reason, 2017 RMIS data users should be comfortable reading and interpreting data tables.

The following pages provide an introduction to the organization of the 2017 RMIS tables, the presentation of background characteristics, and a brief summary of sampling procedures used and understanding denominators. In addition, this section provides some exercises for users as they practice their new skills in interpreting 2017 RMIS tables.



Example 1: Exposure to Messages about Malaria by Media Source

Background 3	Radio	2 Television	Poster/ Billboard	Community health worker	Community event	Anywhere else	Number of women
Age							
15-19	50.2	7.7	7.9	36.8	27.2	16.7	966
20-24	42.0	6.4	7.6	30.0	24.3	14.4	849
25-29	41.0	5.0	7.9	29.0	21.6	14.0	823
30-34	43.1	5.2	6.4	33.1	24.2	15.2	776
35-39	44.6	5.2	5.2	33.5	26.3	14.8	673
40-44	42.0	5.7	6.3	36.3	27.3	13.4	545
45-49	44.7	4.3	6.1	37.9	27.4	12.9	390
Residence							
Urban	49.8	16.2	11.6	24.1	20.6	15.3	1,143
Rural	42.5	2.8	5.6	36.1	26.6	14.6	3,879
Province							
Kigali city	50.0	18.5	10.1	23.7	22.6	14.3	809
South	36.4	2.8	4.7	27.4	17.2	15.1	1,019
West	40.7	3.5	5.5	34.0	22.6	13.4	1,111
North	47.5	3.7	7.7	36.7	33.1	13.0	952
East	47.5	3.5	7.5	42.4	30.4	17.4	1,131
Education							
No education	29.0	0.9	2.2	25.9	17.4	12.8	625
Primary	43.0	3.5	5.6	35.0	26.2	14.5	3,096
Secondary or higher	54.2	13.6	12.5	Z 33.0	26.6	16.2	1,301
Vealth guintile				- 3			
Lowest	25.6	1.1	3.0	26.6	20.6	12.3	942
Second	33.6	0.4	3.1	35.9	23.7	13.7	937
Middle	46.0	0.9	5.0	38.2	27.6	15.2	971
Fourth	53.7	2.2	7.2	40.0	28.7	15.1	975
Highest	57.7	20.7	14.5	27.5	25.4	16.7	1,197
otal	44.1	5.8	7.0	(33.4)	25.2	14.7	5,022

A Question Asked of All Survey Respondents

Step 1: Read the title and subtitle of Table 6.3. These tell you the topic and the specific population group being described. In this example, the table describes women's exposure to messages about malaria in the 6 months before the survey by media source. All eligible female respondents age 15-49 were asked these questions.

Step 2: Scan the column headings—highlighted in green. The headings describe the categories of information. In this table, the first six columns of data show different sources of messages about malaria that women were exposed to in the 6 months before the survey. The last column lists the number of women interviewed in the survey.

Step 3: Scan the row headings—the first column highlighted in blue. These headings show the different ways in which the data are divided into categories based on population characteristics. In this example, the table presents women's exposure to messages about malaria by age, urban-rural residence, province, educational level, and wealth quintile. Most of the tables in the 2017 RMIS report are divided into these same categories.

Step 4: Look at the row at the bottom of the table highlighted in pink. These percentages represent the totals of all women age 15-49 and their exposure to messages about malaria by different media sources. In this example, 44.1%* of women heard a message about malaria on the radio and 33.4% heard a message about malaria from a community health worker in the 6 months before the survey.

Step 5: To determine the percentage of women with secondary or higher education who saw a message about malaria on a poster or billboard in the six months before the survey, draw two imaginary lines, as shown

in purple on the table. This shows that 12.5% of women with secondary or higher education saw a message about malaria on a poster or billboard in the 6 months before the survey.

By looking at patterns by background characteristics, you can see how exposure to messages about malaria varies across Rwanda. Knowing how exposure to messages about malaria varies among groups can help program planners and policy makers determine how to most effectively reach their target populations.

*For this document, data are presented exactly as they appear in the table including decimal places. However, the text in the remainder of this report rounds data to the nearest whole percentage point.

Practice: Use the table in Example 1 to answer the following questions:

a) What percentage of women in Rwanda were exposed to a message about malaria via a community event in the 6 months before the survey?

b) What age group was most likely to have heard a message about malaria from a community health worker in the 6 months before the survey?

c) Compare women in urban areas to women in rural areas—which group is more likely to have seen a message about malaria on television in the six months before the survey?

d) What are the lowest and highest percentages (range) of women who were heard a message about malaria on the radio in the 6 months before the survey by province?

e) Is there a clear pattern in exposure to messages about malaria via television by education level?

f) Is there a clear pattern in exposure to messages about malaria at a community event by wealth quintile?

higher education. f) There is no clear pattern in exposure to messages about malaria at a community event by wealth quintile.

of women in Kigali city. e) Exposure to messages about malaria on television increases as a woman's level of education increases; 0.9% of women with no education saw a message about malaria on television in the six months before the survey, compared to 13.6% of women secondary or

compared to 2.8% of women in rural areas.

months before the survey.

a) 25.2% b) Women age 45-49: 37.9% of women in this age group heard a message about malaria from a community health worker in the six

:srowers:

Example 2: Type of Antimalarial Drugs Used Minimum Number of Cases Necessary for Reliable Results

-			Percentage of children who took:				
Background characteristic	Any ACT	Quinine pills	Quinine injection	Artesunate rectal	Artesunate injection	Other anti- malarial	 children with fever who took antimalarial drug
Age in months	*	*	*	*		*	
< 6 6-11	*	*	*	*		*	4
12-23	(95.0)	(0.7)	(0, 0)	(0,0)	(2.2)		9 37
24-35	(95.0) (98.4)	(2.7) (0.0)	(0.0) (0.0)	(0.0) (1.6)	(2.2)	(0.0) (0.0)	27
36-47	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	54
48-59	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(3.9)	(0.0)	(0.0)	43
Sex							
Male	98.4	1.1	1.2	2.4	0.0	0.0	91
Female	99.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	83
Residence							
Urban	*	*	*	*	*	*	11
Rural	98.6	0.6	0.7	1.3	0.5	0.0	164
Province							
Kigali city	*	*	*	*	*	*	10
South	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	40
West	*	*	*	*		*	28
North East	97.5	1.1	1.2	0.5	0.9	0.0	5 92
	97.5	1.1	1.2	0.5	0.9	0.0	92
Nother's education	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	39
Primary	98.1	0.9	0.9	1.8	0.7	0.0	119
Secondary or higher	*	*	*	*	*	*	17
Vealth guintile	\sim 3						
Lowest	(97.4)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	39
Second	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	44
Middle	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	44
Fourth	(97.4)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(2.6)	(0.0)	32
Highest	*	*	*	*	*	*	15
Fotal	98.7	0.6	0.6	1.2	0.5	0.0	175 2

ACT = Artemisinin-based combination therapy

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

Step 1: Read the title and subtitle of Table 4.3. In this example, the table is about children under age 5 with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey who took any antimalarial medication.

Step 2: Find the denominator of the table—that is the number of children under age 5 with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey who took any antimalarial medication. The table shows that 174 children under age 5 with fever in the 2weeks preceding the survey took any antimalarial medication.

Step 3: Now examine the table for signs that the data should be interpreted with caution. Only 174 children under age 5 with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey took any antimalarial medication. After these children are further divided into the background characteristic categories, there may be too few cases for the percentages to be reliable.

• What percentage of children under age 5 with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey who took any antimalarial medication in the lowest wealth quintile took any artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT)? 97.4%. This percentage is in parentheses because there are between 25 and 49 children

(unweighted) in this category. Readers should use this number with caution—it may not be reliable. (For more information on weighted and unweighted numbers, see Example 3.)

• What percentage of children under age 5 with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey who took any antimalarial medication whose mothers have secondary or higher education took any ACT? There is no number in this cell—only an asterisk. This is because fewer than 25 children under age 5 with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey who took any antimalarial medication whose mothers have secondary or higher education were identified in the 2017 RMIS. Results for this group are not reported. The subgroup is very small, and therefore the data are not reliable.

Note: When parentheses or asterisks are used in a table, the explanation will be noted under the table. If there are no parentheses or asterisks in a table, you can proceed with confidence that enough cases were included in all categories and that the data are reliable.

Example 3: Understanding Sampling Weights in 2017 RMIS Tables

A sample is a group of people who have been selected for a survey. In the 2017 RMIS, the sample is designed to represent the national population of women age 15-49. In addition to national data, most countries want to collect and report data on smaller geographical or administrative areas. However, doing so requires a minimum sample size per area. For the 2017 RMIS, the survey sample is representative at the national and provincial levels, and for urban and rural areas.

Table 2.8 Background characteristics of respondentsPercent distribution of women age 15-49 by selected backgroundcharacteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017							
Number of women							
Background characteristic	Weighted percent	Weighted number	Unweighted number				
Province Kigali city South West North East	16.1 20.3 22.1 19.0 22.5	809 1,019 1,111 952 1,131	983 1,095 1,040 928 976				
Total 15-49	100.0	5,022	5,022				

To generate statistics that are representative of Rwanda as a whole and the five provinces, the number of women surveyed in each province should contribute to the size of the total (national) sample in proportion to size of the province. However, if some provinces have small populations, then a sample allocated in proportion to each province's population may not include sufficient women from each province for analysis. To solve this problem, provinces with small populations are oversampled. For example, let's say that you have sufficient funds to interview 5,022 women and want to produce results that are representative of Rwanda as a whole and its provinces (as in Table 2.8). However, the total population of Rwanda is not evenly distributed among the provinces: some provinces, such as East, are more heavily populated while others, such as Kigali city are less populated. Thus, Kigali city must be oversampled.

A sampling statistician determines how many women should be interviewed in each province in order to obtain reliable statistics. The **blue column (1)** in Table 2.8 shows the actual number of women interviewed in each province. Within the provinces, the number of women interviewed ranges from 928 in North to 1,095 in South province. This number of interviews is sufficient to obtain reliable results in each province.

With this distribution of interviews, some provinces are overrepresented and some provinces are underrepresented. For example, the population in East province is about 23% of the population in Rwanda, while Kigali city's population contributes only about 16% of the population. As the blue column illustrates, the number of women interviewed in East province accounts for only about 19% of the total sample of women interviewed (976/5,022) and the number of women interviewed in Kigali city accounts for almost the same percentage of the total sample of women interviewed (20%, or 983/5,022). This unweighted distribution of women does not accurately represent the total population.

In order to obtain statistics that are representative of Rwanda, the distribution of the women in the sample needs to be weighted (or mathematically adjusted) so that it resembles the true distribution in Rwanda. Women from a smaller province, like Kigali city, should contribute a smaller amount to the national total. Women from a larger province, like East, should contribute more. Therefore, DHS statisticians mathematically calculate a "weight" which is used to adjust the number of women from each province so that each province's contribution to the total is proportional to the actual population of the province. The numbers in the **purple column (2)** represent the "weighted" values. The weighted values can be smaller or larger than the unweighted values at the provincial level. The total national sample size of 5,022 women has not changed after weighting, although the distribution of the women in the provinces has been changed to represent their contribution to the total population size.

How do statisticians weight each category? They take into account the probability that a woman was selected in the sample. If you were to compare the **green column (3)** to the actual population distribution of Rwanda, you would see that women in each province are contributing to the total sample with the same weight that they

contribute to the population of the Rwanda. The weighted number of women in the survey now accurately represents the proportion of women who live in East province and the proportion of women who live in Kigali city.

With sampling and weighting, it is possible to interview enough women to provide reliable statistics at national and provincial levels. In general, only the weighted numbers are shown in each of the 2017 RMIS tables, so don't be surprised if these numbers seem low: they may actually represent a larger number of women who were interviewed.

ADDITIONAL DHS PROGRAM RESOURCES

The DHS Program Website – Download free DHS reports, standard documentation, key indicator data, and training tools, and view announcements.	DHSprogram.com	
STATcompiler – Build custom tables, graphs, and maps with data from 90 countries and thousands of indicators.	Statcompiler.com	
DHS Program Mobile App – Access key DHS indicators for 90 countries on your mobile device (Apple, Android, or Windows).	Search DHS Program in your iTunes or Google Play store	
DHS Program User Forum – Post questions about DHS data, and search our archive of FAQs.	userforum.DHSprogram.com	
Tutorial Videos – Watch interviews with experts and learn DHS basics, such as sampling and weighting, downloading datasets, and How to Read DHS Tables.	www.youtube.com/DHSProgram	
Datasets – Download DHS datasets for analysis.	DHSprogram.com/Data	
Spatial Data Repository – Download geographically linked health and demographic data for mapping in a geographic information system (GIS).	spatialdata.DHSprogram.com	

Social Media – Follow The DHS Program and join the conversation. Stay up to date through:

f	Facebook www.facebook.com/DHSprogram	Twitter www.twitter.com/ DHSprogram	
P	Pinterest www.pinterest.com/ DHSprogram	LinkedIn www.linkedin.com/ company/dhs-program	
You Tube	YouTube www.youtube.com/DHSprogram	Blog Blog.DHSprogram.com	

RWANDA





he 2017 Rwanda Malaria Indicator Survey (RMIS) was implemented by the Ministry of Health's Rwanda Biomedical Center (RBC)/Malaria and Other Parasitic Diseases Division (MOPDD) through funding from the U.S. President's Malaria Initiative (PMI) and the Global Fund (GF). Data collection took place from October 23 to December 23, 2017. ICF provided technical assistance through The DHS Program, a USAID-funded project that offers support and technical assistance in the implementation of population and health surveys in countries worldwide.

1.1 SURVEY OBJECTIVES

The 2017 RMIS, a comprehensive, nationally representative household survey, was designed in line with the Roll Back Malaria Monitoring and Evaluation Working Group (RBM-MERG) guidelines. The primary objective of the 2017 RMIS project is to provide up-to-date estimates of basic demographic and health indicators related to malaria. Specifically, the 2017 RMIS collected information on household ownership of mosquito nets, care seeking behavior by adults, and treatment of fever in children. All members of sampled households were also tested for malaria infection. Knowledge of malaria was assessed among interviewed women. The information collected through the 2017 RMIS is intended to assist policy makers and program managers in evaluating and designing programs and strategies for improving the health of the country's population.

1.2 SAMPLE DESIGN

The 2017 RMIS followed a two-stage sample design that would allow estimates of key indicators to be determined for the nation as a whole, for urban and rural areas, and for the five provinces.

In the first stage, sample points, or clusters, were selected from the sampling frame, which consisted of enumeration areas (EAs) delineated during the 2012 Population and Housing Census. A total of 170 clusters with probability proportional to size were selected from these EAs.

In the second stage, sampling involved systematic selection of households. A household listing operation was undertaken in all selected EAs during the main data collection. Households to be included in the survey were then randomly selected from these lists. Thirty households were selected from each EA, for a total sample size of 5,100 households. Because of the approximately equal sample size for each region, the sample is not self-weighting at the national level. Results shown in this report have been weighted to account for the complex sample design. See Appendix A for additional details on the sampling procedures.

All women age 15-49 who were either permanent residents of the selected households or visitors who stayed in the household the night before the survey were eligible to be interviewed. Testing for malaria took place with consent from adults age 15 or older and from parents or guardians of children age 6 months to 14 years.

1.3 QUESTIONNAIRES

Data was primarily collected using three questionnaires: the Household Questionnaire, the Woman's Questionnaire, and the Biomarker Questionnaire. Core questionnaires available from the RBM-MERG were adapted to reflect the population and health issues relevant to Rwanda. The modifications were decided upon at a series of meetings with various stakeholders from MOPDD and other international donors. Questionnaires in

English and in Kinyarwanda were used for interviewing during the survey. Additionally, a two-page Fieldworker Questionnaire was filled out by all people who implemented the 2017 RMIS in the field.

The Household Questionnaire was used to list all the usual members of and visitors to selected households. Basic information was collected on the characteristics of each person listed in the household, including his or her age, sex, and relationship to the head of the household. The data on the age and sex of household members, obtained from the Household Questionnaire, were used to identify women eligible for an individual interview. All de facto members of the household age 6 months and older are eligible for malaria testing. Additionally, the Household Questionnaire captured information on characteristics of the household's dwelling unit, such as the source of water, type of toilet facilities, materials used in flooring, ownership of various durable goods, and ownership and use of mosquito nets.

The Woman's Questionnaire was used to collect information from all women age 15-49. These women were asked questions on the following:

- Background characteristics (age, residential history, education, literacy, and religion)
- Reproductive history for the last 5 years
- Prevalence and treatment of fever among children under age 5
- Knowledge about malaria (symptoms, causes, and how to prevent)
- Sources of messages about malaria

The Biomarker Questionnaire was used to record the results of the malaria testing for all members of the household as well as to gather consent for testing.

Consent statements were developed for administering the Household, Woman's, and Biomarker Questionnaires. They were formulated for malaria testing, and for treatment of children and adults with positive malaria rapid diagnostic test (RDT) results. Verbal informed consent was sought from eligible respondents before they were administered the Household Questionnaire and the Individual Questionnaire and before biomarker data was collected. In the case of non-emancipated minors, age 15-17, consent was obtained first from the parent/guardian or other responsible adult, followed by assent from the respondent. For children age 6 months to 14 years who were eligible for malaria testing, consent was obtained from a parent/guardian or other responsible adult prior to testing. The survey staff recorded their unique identification number and signed to indicate that the consent procedure was properly administered, and whether or not the respondent provided their consent.

The Fieldworker Questionnaire was self-administered by all fieldworkers who enter the field to implement the survey. The questionnaire collected information on a fieldworker's basic background characteristics (residential status, age, sex, marital status, education, and language spoken), past experience with large surveys such as a DHS or MIS, and current employment status with the survey-implementing agency.

1.4 MALARIA TESTING

Blood samples for malaria testing were collected by finger- or heel-prick from all household members age 6 months and older. Each field team included one laboratory technician who carried out the malaria testing and prepared the blood smears. The team provided malaria medications for respondents who tested positive for malaria, in accordance with the approved treatment protocols. The field laboratory technicians requested written, informed consent for each test before the blood samples were collected, according to the protocols approved by the Rwanda National Ethical Committee in Rwanda and the institutional review board at ICF (formerly ICF International).

Malaria testing using a rapid diagnostic test (mRDT). A single-use, sterile lancet was used to make a finger- or heel-prick. A drop of blood was tested immediately using the Rwanda-approved SD BIOLINE Malaria Ag P.f.PAN (HRP-II)[™] rapid diagnostic test (RDT). This qualitative test detects the histidine-rich protein II antigen of malaria, *Plasmodium falciparum* (Pf), in human whole blood (Standard Diagnostics, Inc.). The parasite, transmitted by a mosquito, is the major cause of malaria in Rwanda. The diagnostic test includes a disposable sample applicator that comes in a standard package. A tiny volume of blood is captured on the applicator and placed in the well of the testing device. All field laboratory technicians were trained to perform the RDT in the field, in accord with manufacturers' instructions. RDT results were available in 20 minutes and recorded as either positive or negative, with faint test lines being considered positive. Malaria RDT results were provided to the respondent or to a child's parent or guardian in oral and written form and were recorded on the Biomarker Questionnaire.

Respondents who tested positive for malaria were offered a full course of medicine, according to standard procedures for uncomplicated malaria treatment in Rwanda. To ascertain the correct dose, a lab technician on each field team was trained to use treatment guidance charts and to ask about any medications the child might already be taking. The interviewers were also trained to ask for signs and symptoms of severe malaria. They provided the age-appropriate dose of artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT), along with instructions on how to administer the medicine to a child. The field work team observed administration of the first dose of ACT.

Malaria testing using blood smears. In addition to the RDT, thick and thin blood smears were prepared in the field. Each blood smear slide was given a bar code label, with a duplicate affixed to the Biomarker Questionnaire. An additional copy of the bar code label was affixed to a blood sample transmittal form to track the journey of each blood sample from the field to the laboratory. The slides were stained with Giemsa stain in the field, dried in a dust-free environment, and stored in slide boxes. The thick and thin blood smear slides were collected regularly from the field, along with the completed Biomarker Questionnaires, and transported to the laboratory for logging and microscopic reading. In the laboratory, the slides were examined to determine the presence or absence of *Plasmodium* infection and, if present, the parasite density. All stained slides were read by two independent microscopists unaware of RDT results. Slides with discordant microscopy results were again analyzed by a third microscopist (tie breaker) for final validation.

The microscopic results were quality checked by internal quality control processes. Internal quality control consisted of having an independent microscopist read 5% of all slides in the study.

1.5 TRAINING OF FIELD STAFF

A 2-week training course for field staff took place from October 9-21, 2017, at the Golden Tulip Hotel in Bugesera District. Overall, 60 people attended the training, including 15 field supervisors, 30 interviewers, and 15 biomarker technicians. Staff from MOPDD and ICF led the training and served as the supervisory team for fieldwork practice.

During the training two parallel sessions were organized: one for the interviewers and team supervisors and one for the biomarker technicians. The training of the interviewers and team supervisors focused on how to fill out the Household, Woman's, and Biomarker questionnaires, conduct mock interviews, and improve interviewing techniques. Participants learned how to assign households to interviewers and how to organize finished questionnaires in completed clusters to prepare for transfer to the central data processing center. Throughout the training, quizzes were administered to assess how well the participants absorbed the training materials on completing the paper questionnaires.

Training of the biomarker technicians focused on how to prepare blood samples for malaria testing. The training involved presentations, discussion, and actual test procedures. The technicians were trained to identify household members eligible for testing, administer informed consent, conduct the malaria rapid tests, make thick and thin blood smears, and administer the correct treatment protocols. They were also trained to store the blood slides, record test results on the Biomarker Questionnaire, and provide the results to the parents/guardians of the children tested. Finally, technicians learned how to record children's malaria testing results on the brochures and how to fill in the referral slip for any child found to be severely ill.

To help put the importance of the 2017 RMIS into context for the trainees, the training also included presentations given by MOPDD staff on the epidemiology of malaria and Rwanda-specific policies and programs on malaria. All participants took part in 2-day field practice exercises in Kigali.

1.6 FIELDWORK

Fifteen teams were organized for field data collection. Each team consisted of one field supervisor, two health professionals to interview and administer treatment, one laboratory technician to conduct biomarker testing, and one driver. The field staff also included national coordinators who collected slides from the field teams and delivered them to the Malaria Laboratory of the National Referral Laboratory.

Field data collection for the 2017 RMIS started on October 23, 2017. For maximum effect, survey coordinators visited all 15 teams at least twice per week. Fieldwork concluded on December 23, 2017.

1.7 LABORATORY TESTING

Standard protocols were used to read blood slides for the presence of malaria parasites, perform malaria parasite density calculations, and malaria parasite species identification. All blood smear slides were stained with Giemsa and read by laboratory technicians. Blood smears were considered negative if no parasites were found after counting 200 fields. For quality control, all slides were read by a second laboratory technician, and a third reviewer in case of discordant results. In addition, 5% of the slides were read a second time by an independent, external microscopist to ascertain the quality of microscopy reading.

1.8 DATA PROCESSING

Data entry began on November 1, 2017, 2 weeks after the survey launched in the field. Data were entered by a team of eight data processing personnel recruited and trained for this task. They were assisted during these operations by two staff members who aided in questionnaire reception, data verification, and coding. Completed questionnaires were periodically brought in from the field to the MOPDD headquarters, where assigned agents checked them and coded the open-ended questions. Next, the questionnaires were sent to the data entry facility and the blood samples (blood smear slides) were sent to the lab to be read for the malaria parasites. Data were entered using CSPro, a program developed jointly by the United States Census Bureau, the ORC Macro MEASURE DHS+ program, and Serpro S.A. Processing the data concurrent with data collection allowed for regular monitoring of teams' performance and data quality. Field check tables were regularly generated during data processing to check various data quality parameters. As a result, feedback was given on a regular basis, encouraging teams to continue quality work and to correct areas in need of improvement. Feedback was individually tailored to each team. Data entry, which included 100% double entry to minimize keying error, was completed on December 31, 2017. Data editing, was completed on January 26, 2018. Data cleaning and finalization was completed on February 9, 2018.

1.9 ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

The protocol for the 2017 RMIS was approved by both the Rwanda National Ethics Committee (RNEC) and the ICF institutional review board. All data and other information collected were kept confidential. The data files are stripped of all personal identifiers. The risks and benefits of participation in the survey were explained to respondents and informed consent for interview or blood collection was sought. Respondents gave consent to be part of the survey.

1.10 RESPONSE RATES

Table 1.1 shows that of the 5,096 households selected for the sample, 5,061 were occupied at the time of fieldwork. Among the occupied households, 5,041 were successfully interviewed, yielding a total household response rate of 99.6%. In the interviewed households, 5,088 women were identified as eligible for individual interview, and 5,022 were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 98.7%.

Table 1.1	Results of the household and individual interviews

Number of households, number of interviews, and response rates, according to residence (unweighted), Rwanda MIS 2017

	Resid	dence	
Result	Urban	Rural	Total
Household interviews			
Households selected	1,200	3,896	5,096
Households occupied	1,188	3,873	5,061
Households interviewed	1,177	3,864	5,041
Household response rate ¹	99.1	99.8	99.6
Interviews with women age 15-49			
Number of eligible women	1,339	3,749	5,088
Number of eligible women interviewed	1,321	3,701	5,022
Eligible women response rate ²	98.7	98.7	98.7

² Respondents interviewed/legible respondents

Key Findings

- Drinking water: Almost all urban households (95%) have access to an improved source of drinking water compared with 74% of rural households.
- Sanitation: 65% of households use an improved toilet facility; 17% have a toilet facility that would be classified as improved if not shared with other households, 16% use an unimproved toilet facility, and 2% practice open defecation.
- **Household Wealth:** 67% of the households in Kigali city are in the highest wealth quintile, while 36% of the households in the South province are in the lowest wealth quintile.
- Electricity: 80% of households in rural areas do not have electricity.
- Ownership of agricultural land: Only 36% of households own some agricultural land (13% in urban areas and 42% in rural areas).
- Literacy: Overall, younger women are more likely to be literate than older women. Ninety-four percent of women age 15-19 are literate compared with 67% of women age 45-49.
- Health insurance: Generally, 8 in 10 households (79%) have at least one household member covered by health insurance.

nformation on the socioeconomic characteristics of the household population in the 2017 RMIS provides a context to interpret demographic and health indicators and can indicate the representativeness of the survey. In addition, this information sheds light on the living conditions of the population of Rwanda.

This chapter presents information on source of drinking water, sanitation, wealth, ownership of durable goods, and composition of the household population. In addition, characteristics of the survey respondents such as age, education, and literacy, are summarized. Socioeconomic characteristics are useful for understanding the factors that affect use of health services and other health behaviors related to malaria control.

2.1 DRINKING WATER SOURCES AND TREATMENT

Improved sources of drinking water

Includes piped water, public taps, standpipes, tube wells, boreholes, protected dug wells, springs, and rainwater. Households using bottled water for drinking are classified as using an improved source only if their water for cooking and handwashing is from an improved source.

Sample: Households

Improved sources of water protect against outside contamination, so water is more likely to be safe to drink. In Rwanda, 79% of households have access to an improved source of drinking water (**Table 2.1**). Ninetyfive percent of urban households and 74% of rural households have access to improved water sources.

Urban and rural households rely on different sources of drinking water. Forty percent of urban households have piped water in their dwelling or yard, which accounts for the largest percentage of improved water sources for urban households (**Figure 2.1**). In contrast, rural households rely mainly on a protected spring or well (41%). Eighty-three percent of urban and 50% of rural households travel less than 30 minutes to fetch drinking water (**Table 2.1**).

Trends: The proportion of households obtaining water from improved sources decreased slightly between the 2010 RDHS and the 2014-15 RDHS (74% and 73% respectively) and then increased to 79% in the 2017 RMIS. The increase occurred in both urban and rural households, increasing from 90% in 2010 to 95% in 2017 in urban areas, and from 71% in 2010 to 74% in 2017 in rural areas (**Figure 2.2**).

Figure 2.1 Household drinking water by residence



Figure 2.2 Trends in source of drinking water by residence

Percent obtaining drinking water from improved sources

91	95 ─────────────────────
73	79 Total Rura
69	74
	73

2010 RDHS 2014-15 RDHS 2017 RMIS

2.2 HOUSEHOLD SANITATION FACILITIES

Improved toilet facilities

Include any non-shared toilet of the following types: flush/pour flush toilets to piped sewer systems, septic tanks, and pit latrines; ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrines; pit latrines with slabs; and composting toilets

Sample: Households

Nationally, 65% of households use an improved toilet facility. Other households (35%) use unimproved sanitation, including 17% of those with a toilet facility that would be classified as improved if it were not shared with other households. Sixteen percent use an unimproved toilet facility, and 2% practice open defecation (Figure 2.3). Households in rural areas (71%) are more likely than urban households (46%) to use improved facilities. However, many urban facilities would be classified as improved if they were not shared with other households. The most commonly used improved toilet facility is the pit latrine with a slab (59%). Less than 1% of households use an improved facility that flushes to a septic tank; this type of toilet is mostly observed among urban households (2%) and not among rural households (less than 1%) (Table 2.2).



Percent distribution of households

by type of toilet facilities



Note: Totals may not add to 100 due to rounding.

2.3 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The 2017 RMIS collected data on household features such as access to electricity, flooring material, number of sleeping rooms, and types of fuel used for cooking. The responses to these questions, along with information on ownership of household durable goods, contribute to the creation of the household wealth index and provide information relevant for other health indicators.

Exposure to cooking smoke, especially smoke produced from solid fuels like charcoal and firewood, is potentially harmful to health. Both urban and rural households rely on solid cooking fuels, but they differ in which solid fuels are used. The percentage of households using charcoal for cooking is about 12 times higher in urban households than in rural households (63% versus 5%, respectively), while rural households are more likely to use firewood than urban households (73% versus 25%, respectively) (**Table 2.3**).

Overall, one in three households (33%) in Rwanda has access to electricity. Eighty-four percent of urban households and 20% of rural households have access to electricity.

The most common flooring material in Rwanda is earth or sand (70%). Cement is the second most common material (26%). The most common flooring material in urban households is cement (68%) while the most common in rural households is earth or sand (82%).

The number of rooms a household uses for sleeping is an indicator of socioeconomic level and crowding in the household, which can facilitate the spread of disease. In Rwanda, 24% of households use a single room for sleeping, 38% use two rooms, and another 38% use three or more rooms for sleeping (**Table 2.3**).

Household Durable Goods

Data from the survey revealed information on ownership of household effects, means of transportation, agricultural land, and farm animals. Urban households were more likely than rural households to own a radio (62% versus 37%), television (39% versus 4%), mobile telephone (88% versus 56%), computer (13% versus less than 1%), and car or truck (5% versus less than 1%). In contrast, rural households were more likely than urban households to own agricultural land (42% versus 13%), farm animals (61% versus 19%), and a bicycle (13% vs 8%) (**Table 2.4**).

2.4 HOUSEHOLD WEALTH

Wealth index

Households are given scores based on the number and kinds of consumer goods they own, ranging from a television to a bicycle or car, and housing characteristics, such as source of drinking water, toilet facilities, and flooring materials. These scores are derived using principal component analysis. National wealth quintiles are compiled by assigning the household score to each usual (de jure) household member, ranking each person in the household population by their score, and then dividing the distribution into five equal categories, each with 20% of the population.

Sample: Households

There are variations in the distribution of wealth at residential and regional levels. Rural areas have the most people in the categories of least wealth (24% each) compared with urban areas at 3% and 5%. At the same time, 74% of the urban population ranks among the wealthiest in the country, compared with only 7% of the rural population (**Figure 2.4**). At the provincial level, South province has the highest percentage of population in the lowest wealth category (36%), while Kigali city has a far smaller percentage of the population in this category (4%). Kigali city has the most people in the wealthiest category (67%) compared with South province, which has the least, only 11% (**Table 2.5**).

Figure 2.4 Household wealth by residence

Percent distribution of de jure population



Note: Totals may not add to 100 due to rounding.

2.5 HOUSEHOLD POPULATION AND COMPOSITION

Household

A person or group of related or unrelated persons who live together in the same dwelling unit(s), who acknowledge one adult male or female as the head of the household, who share the same housekeeping arrangements, and who are considered a single unit.

De facto population

All persons who stayed in the selected households the night before the interview (whether usual residents or visitors)

De jure population

All persons who are usual residents of the selected households, whether or not they stayed in the household the night before the interview

How data are calculated

All tables are based on the de facto population, unless specified otherwise.

In the 2017 RMIS, 20,012 people stayed overnight in 5,041 households. The sex ratio was 86 males per 100 females. There were 85 males per 100 females in urban areas and 86 males per 100 females in rural areas. Eighty-one percent of the population lives in rural areas (**Table 2.6**).

Age and sex are important demographic variables and are the primary basis of demographic classification. **Table 2.6** shows the distribution of the de facto household population in the 2017 RMIS by 5-year age groups, according to sex and residence.

The population pyramid in **Figure 2.5** shows the population distribution by sex and by 5-year age groups. The broad base of the pyramid shows that the Rwandan population is young, which is typical of developing countries with a high fertility rate and low life expectancy. Forty-four percent of the population is under age 15, more than half of the population (53%) is between 15 and 64, and only 3% of the population is 65 and older (**Table 2.6**).

Figure 2.5 Population pyramid

Percent distribution of the household population



On average, households in Rwanda have four persons (**Table 2.7.1**). The average number of household members is four even when stratified by province and wealth quintile (**Table 2.7.2**). Men are predominantly the head of the household in Rwanda (62%). The proportion of households headed by men is higher in rural areas, however, than in urban areas (64% versus 56%). In contrast, the proportion of households headed by women is higher in urban areas than in rural areas (44% versus 36%).

2.6 BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF WOMEN RESPONDENTS

More than half of the respondents age 15-49 (53% of women) are younger than 30, which reflects the youthful population. The majority of respondents are Christians (95%), and only 2% are Muslims.

Seventy-seven percent of respondents live in rural areas. The percentage of respondents by province varies minimally, with 16% living in Kigali city, 19% in North province, 20% in South province, 22% in West province, and 23% in East province.

2.7 EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF WOMEN

Studies have consistently shown that educational attainment has a strong effect on health behavior and attitudes. Generally, the higher the level of education a woman has attained, the more knowledgeable she is about both the use of health facilities and health management for herself and for her children.

Eighty-eight percent of women in Rwanda have at least some primary education. Twenty-six percent of women have some secondary or higher education, and 12% have no education (**Figure 2.6**). Overall, women have completed a median of 4.8 years of education (**Table 2.9**).

Patterns by background characteristics

- Fifteen percent of women in rural areas have no education compared with 6% in urban areas.
- At the provincial level, South province recorded the highest percentage of women with no education (18%), while Kigali city had the lowest percentage with only 5% of women having no education.
- The percentage of respondents with no education decreases with increasing wealth quintile, from 25% in the lowest quintile to 2% in the highest quintile.

12

6

2.8 LITERACY OF WOMEN

Literacy

Respondents who have attended higher than secondary school are assumed to be literate. All other respondents were given a sentence to read, and they were considered to be literate if they could read all or part of the sentence. *Sample:* Women age 15-49

The results show that only 3% of women have more than a secondary education. Among the remaining women (those with secondary education or a lower level, including those with no education), 70% can read the whole sentence, 10% can read part of the sentence, and 17% cannot read at all. The results show that, overall, 83% of women age 15-49 are literate. (**Table 2.10**).



15

Figure 2.6 Education of survey

respondents
Patterns by background characteristics

- Literacy is much higher amongst the youngest women age 15-19 (94%) and decreases steadily with age to 67% among the oldest women (45-49).
- Literacy varies by place of residence as 92% of women in urban areas are literate compared with 80% of rural women.
- Regional differences in literacy are notable; literacy is highest among women in the Kigali city (93%) and lowest among women in the South province (with each at about 77%)
- By wealth, literacy ranges from 67% among women in the lowest wealth quintile to 95% among women in the highest quintile.

2.9 HOUSEHOLD HEALTH INSURANCE

As part of the 2017 RMIS, household members were asked whether each person in the household had any health insurance. **Table 2.11** shows that, in total, 79% of households in Rwanda have at least one household member covered.

Trends: The proportion of households with at least one household member covered by health insurance has generally remained unchanged since 2010. The percentage increased from 78% of households in the 2010 RDHS to 79% of households in the 2014-15 and 2017 surveys.

Patterns by background characteristics

- Eighty-four percent of households in urban areas, compared with 77% in rural areas, have at least one household member covered by health insurance.
- By province, households with at least one household member covered by health insurance ranged from 83% of households in North province to 74% of households in South province.
- The percentage of households having at least one household member covered by health insurance increases with wealth, ranging from 65% of households to 92% of households in the highest wealth quintile.

LIST OF TABLES

For detailed information on household population and housing characteristics, see the following tables:

- Table 2.1 Household drinking water
- Table 2.2 Household sanitation facilities
- Table 2.3 Household characteristics
- Table 2.4 Household possessions
- **Table 2.5** Wealth quintiles
- Table 2.6 Household population by age, sex, and residence
- Table 2.7.1 Household composition
- Table 2.7.2 Mean number of household members
- Table 2.8 Background characteristics of respondents
- Table 2.9 Educational attainment: Women

Literacy: Women **Table 2.10**

Table 2.11 Household health insurance

Table 2.1 Household drinking water

Percent distribution of households and de jure population by source of drinking water, and by time to obtain drinking water; according to residence, Rwanda MIS 2017

		Households		Population			
Characteristic	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	
Source of drinking water							
Improved source	94.6	74.4	78.5	94.6	74.7	78.5	
Piped into dwelling/yard plot	39.9	1.5	9.3	40.2	1.8	9.1	
Piped to neighbor	8.4	0.7	2.3	7.9	0.7	2.0	
Public tap/standpipe	28.3	28.7	28.6	26.6	29.1	28.6	
Tube well or borehole	0.9	1.3	1.2	0.9	1.5	1.4	
Protected dug well	0.4	2.6	2.2	0.4	2.5	2.1	
Protected spring	12.4	38.0	32.8	13.7	37.3	32.8	
Rain water	0.0	1.4	1.1	0.0	1.7	1.4	
Bottled water, improved source for							
cooking/handwashing ¹	4.2	0.1	0.9	4.9	0.0	1.0	
Unimproved source	5.4	25.5	21.4	5.3	25.2	21.4	
Unprotected dug well	0.1	1.1	0.9	0.1	1.1	0.9	
Unprotected spring	2.9	16.6	13.9	3.1	16.7	14.1	
Tanker truck/cart with small tank	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Surface water	1.1	7.1	5.9	1.2	6.9	5.8	
Bottled water, unimproved source for							
cooking/handwashing ¹	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.1	
Other	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
Missing	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Time to obtain drinking water (round trip)							
Water on premises ²	52.8	4.2	14.1	53.2	4.7	13.9	
Less than 30 minutes	30.5	45.7	42.7	29.5	45.1	42.1	
30 minutes or longer	16.6	49.6	42.9	17.3	49.7	43.6	
Don't know/missing	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.4	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Number	1,024	4,017	5,041	3,805	16,190	19,995	

¹ Households using bottled water for drinking are classified as using an improved or unimproved source according to their water source for cooking and handwashing. ² Includes water piped to a neighbor

Table 2.2 Household sanitation facilities

Percent distribution of households and de jure population by type of toilet/latrine facilities, according to residence, Rwanda MIS 2017

		Households			Population			
Туре	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total		
mproved sanitation	45.5	70.5	65.4	53.6	74.0	70.1		
Flush/pour flush to piped sewer								
system	4.1	0.1	0.9	4.8	0.1	1.0		
Flush/pour flush to septic tank	1.9	0.3	0.6	2.6	0.3	0.7		
Flush/pour flush to pit latrine	0.9	0.0	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.2		
Ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrine	4.6	2.9	3.2	6.0	3.5	3.9		
Pit latrine with slab	33.7	65.4	59.0	38.9	68.4	62.8		
Composting toilet	0.2	1.7	1.4	0.2	1.7	1.4		
Unimproved sanitation	54.5	29.5	34.6	46.4	26.0	29.9		
Shared facility ¹	48.5	8.6	16.7	40.5	6.9	13.3		
Flush/pour flush to piped sewer								
system	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1		
Flush/pour flush to septic tank	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.1		
Flush/pour flush to pit latrine	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrine	2.8	0.5	1.0	2.6	0.5	0.9		
Pit latrine with slab	44.6	7.8	15.3	37.1	6.2	12.1		
Composting toilet	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2		
Unimproved facility	5.1	18.6	15.8	5.2	17.4	15.0		
Flush/pour flush not to sewer/septic								
tank/pit latrine	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2		
Pit latrine without slab/open pit	4.3	17.6	14.9	4.6	16.4	14.2		
Bucket	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Other	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3		
Missing	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3		
Open defecation (no								
facility/bush/field)	0.9	2.3	2.0	0.7	1.7	1.5		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Number of households/population	1,024	4,017	5,041	3,805	16,190	19,995		

¹ Facilities that would be considered improved if they were not shared by two or more households.

Table 2.3 Household characteristics

Percent distribution of households and de jure population by housing characteristics, percentage using solid fuel for cooking, and percentage using clean fuel for cooking, according to residence, Rwanda MIS 2017

		Households		Population			
Housing characteristic	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	
lectricity							
Yes	84.4	20.1	33.2	84.8	22.2	34.1	
No	15.5	79.8	66.8	15.2	77.8	65.9	
Missing	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
otal	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
looring material							
Earth/sand	24.0	81.7	69.9	25.0	80.8	70.1	
Dung	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	
Palm/bamboo	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Parquet or polished wood	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	
Ceramic tiles	5.2	0.2	1.2	6.6	0.2	1.4	
Cement	68.1	14.9	25.7	65.5	15.8	25.2	
Carpet	1.0	0.1	0.3	1.1	0.0	0.2	
Bricks	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.5	
Other	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Missing	0.4	1.2	1.1	0.4	1.4	1.2	
otal	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
ain wall material							
No walls	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Cane/palm/trunks	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	
Dirt	2.9	9.7	8.3	3.1	9.5	8.3	
Bamboo with mud	2.9	9.1	7.8	3.0	9.0	7.9	
Stone with mud	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.5	
Uncovered adobe	16.4	36.6	32.5	14.7	35.3	31.3	
Plywood	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	
Cardboard	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Reused wood	2.3	14.7	12.1	2.4	14.8	12.5	
Cement	4.5	1.4	2.0	4.2	1.6	2.1	
Stone with lime/cement	1.4	0.2	0.5	1.5	0.2	0.4	
Bricks	9.4	1.1	2.8	10.0	1.2	2.9	
Cement blocks	1.6	0.2	0.5	1.5	0.1	0.4	
Covered adobe	55.9	23.5	30.1	57.0	24.5	30.7	
Wood planks/shingles	1.7	1.1	1.2	1.8	1.3	1.4	
Other	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Missing	0.7	1.3	1.2	0.6	1.5	1.3	
otal	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
lain roof material							
No roof	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	
Thatch/palm leaf	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Sod	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Rustic mat	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Palm/bamboo	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Wood planks	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	
Vetal	87.5	59.8	65.4	87.6	60.1	65.4	
Nood	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Calamine/cement fiber	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	
Ceramic tiles	9.9	37.3	31.7	9.5	37.0	31.8	
Cement	1.1	0.6	0.7	1.2	0.6	0.7	
Roofing shingles Vissing	0.5 0.6	0.4 1.3	0.4 1.1	0.7 0.5	0.3 1.3	0.4 1.2	
otal	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
ooms used for sleeping							
One	32.5	21.7	23.9	19.1	13.6	14.6	
Two	29.3	40.7	38.4	30.4	40.1	38.3	
Three or more	37.9	37.4	37.5	50.4	46.1	46.9	
Missing	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	
otal	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

(Continued...)

Table 2.3—Continued

_		Households		Population			
Housing characteristic	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	
Cooking fuel							
Electricity	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	
LPG/natural gas/biogas	7.2	0.2	1.6	6.3	0.2	1.3	
Kerosene	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Coal/lignite	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.1	
Charcoal	62.8	5.3	17.0	60.7	4.7	15.3	
Wood	25.0	72.9	63.2	28.8	74.7	66.0	
Straw/shrubs/grass	2.8	20.9	17.3	2.8	20.0	16.7	
Agricultural crop	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	
Animal dung	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
No food cooked in household	1.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.1	
Missing	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	
Fotal	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Percentage using solid fuel for cooking ¹	91.1	99.4	97.7	92.9	99.6	98.3	
Percentage using clean fuel for cooking ²	7.5	0.2	1.7	6.6	0.2	1.4	
Number of households/population	1,024	4,017	5,041	3,805	16,190	19,995	

LPG = Liquefied petroleum gas

² Includes kerosene, coal/lignite, charcoal, wood, straw/shrubs/grass, agricultural crops, and animal dung
 ² Includes electricity and LPG/natural gas/biogas

Table 2.4 Household possessions

Percentage of households possessing various household effects, means of transportation, ownership of agricultural land and livestock/farm animals by residence, Rwanda MIS 2017

	Resid	dence		
Possession	Urban	Rural	Total	
Household effects				
Watch	38.2	11.8	17.2	
Radio	62.0	36.9	42.0	
Television	39.0	3.5	10.7	
Mobile phone	88.3	56.1	62.6	
Non-mobile telephone	0.8	0.4	0.5	
Computer	13.0	0.4	3.0	
Refrigerator	7.7	0.2	1.7	
Means of transport				
Bicycle	7.5	13.3	12.1	
Animal drawn cart	0.1	0.0	0.0	
Motorcycle/scooter	2.9	1.1	1.5	
Car/truck	5.4	0.2	1.3	
Boat with a motor	0.1	0.0	0.0	
Ownership of agricultural land	13.1	41.9	36.1	
Ownership of farm animals ¹	18.5	60.5	51.9	
Number	1,024	4,017	5,041	

¹ Cows, bulls, other cattle, horses, donkeys, goats, sheep, chickens or other poultry

Table 2.5 Wealth quintiles

Percent distribution of the de jure population by wealth quintiles, and the Gini Coefficient, according to residence and region, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Wealth quintile			Number of	Gini	
Residence/region	Lowest	Second	Middle	Fourth	Highest	Total	persons	coefficient
Residence								
Urban	4.6	2.7	5.1	13.4	74.2	100.0	3,805	0.19
Rural	23.6	24.1	23.5	21.6	7.2	100.0	16,190	0.27
Province								
Kigali city	4.3	5.0	7.1	16.5	67.0	100.0	2,662	0.27
South	36.3	21.8	16.2	14.9	10.7	100.0	4,240	0.28
West	23.1	23.2	19.7	19.2	14.9	100.0	4,485	0.31
North	19.3	23.7	24.9	19.5	12.6	100.0	3,725	0.39
East	12.1	20.8	26.9	27.4	12.7	100.0	4,883	0.23
Total	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	100.0	19,995	0.30

Table 2.6 Household population by age, sex, and residence

Percent distribution of the de facto household population by age groups, according to sex and residence, Rwanda MIS 2017

		Urban			Rural		_		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
<5	17.5	13.3	15.2	16.9	13.5	15.1	17.0	13.5	15.1
5-9	13.6	10.8	12.1	15.8	13.5	14.5	15.4	13.0	14.1
10-14	13.0	12.1	12.5	16.0	14.1	15.0	15.4	13.7	14.5
15-19	10.6	11.2	10.9	10.3	8.5	9.3	10.4	9.0	9.6
20-24	9.6	12.1	10.9	5.9	7.0	6.5	6.6	7.9	7.3
25-29	8.4	10.2	9.4	5.4	7.0	6.3	6.0	7.6	6.9
30-34	6.3	8.1	7.3	5.7	6.9	6.4	5.8	7.2	6.5
35-39	7.3	6.5	6.9	5.6	6.1	5.9	5.9	6.2	6.1
40-44	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.8	5.3	4.6	3.8	5.1	4.5
45-49	3.0	2.7	2.8	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6
50-54	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	3.5	3.0	2.4	3.4	3.0
55-59	1.8	1.9	1.9	3.0	3.7	3.4	2.8	3.4	3.1
60-64	1.2	1.4	1.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.2
65-69	1.0	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2
70-74	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.7	1.3	1.0	0.6	1.1	0.9
75-79	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.5	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.8	0.6
80 +	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.9	0.6	1.0	0.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Dependency age groups									
0-14	44.0	36.3	39.8	48.7	41.1	44.6	47.8	40.2	43.7
15-64	54.2	61.4	58.1	48.4	54.3	51.5	49.5	55.7	52.8
65+	1.8	2.3	2.1	3.0	4.6	3.9	2.7	4.2	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Child and adult populations									
0-17	51.0	43.3	46.8	55.8	47.0	51.1	54.9	46.3	50.3
18+	49.0	56.7	53.2	44.2	53.0	48.9	45.1	53.7	49.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Adolescents 10-19	23.6	23.4	23.4	26.3	22.6	24.3	25.8	22.7	24.1
Number of persons	1,762	2,073	3,835	7,500	8,677	16,177	9,262	10,750	20,012

Table 2.7.1 Household composition

Percent distribution of households by sex of head of household and by household size, and mean size of households, according to residence, Rwanda MIS 2017

	Resid	dence	
Characteristic	Urban	Rural	Total
Household headship			
Male	55.6	64.1	62.4
Female	44.4	35.9	37.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Number of usual members			
1	15.8	9.1	10.4
2	16.3	14.3	14.7
3	18.3	18.9	18.8
4	17.1	19.9	19.3
5	13.2	16.6	15.9
6	10.0	10.3	10.2
7	4.5	6.2	5.8
8	2.5	2.6	2.6
9+	2.4	2.1	2.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Mean size of households	3.7	4.0	4.0
Number of households	1,024	4,017	5,041

Note: Table is based on de jure household members, i.e., usual residents.

Table 2.7.2 Mean number of household members

Mean number of household members according to province, wealth index, and residence, Rwanda MIS 2017

	Resid	lence	
Characteristic	Urban	Rural	Total
Province			
Kigali city	3.6	3.7	3.7
South	3.7	3.9	3.9
West	3.9	4.1	4.1
North	3.5	4.1	4.0
East	4.1	4.1	4.1
Wealth guintile			
Lowest	3.6	3.5	3.5
Second	3.6	3.9	3.9
Middle	4.1	4.3	4.2
Fourth	3.8	4.5	4.4
Highest	3.7	4.6	3.9
Total	3.7	4.0	4.0

Table 2.8 Background characteristics of respondents

Percent distribution of women age 15-49 by selected background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

		Number of womer	n
Background characteristic	Weighted percent	Weighted number	Unweighted number
Age			
15-19	19.2	966	967
20-24	16.9	849	874
25-29	16.4	823	839
30-34	15.5	776	768
35-39	13.4	673	660
40-44	10.9	545	535
45-49	7.8	390	379
Religion			
Catholic	40.1	2,015	1,992
Protestant	42.7	2,143	2,143
Adventist	12.1	610	587
Muslim	2.3	116	155
Traditional	0.0	1	1
No religion	0.4	20	21
Residence			
Urban	22.8	1,143	1,321
Rural	77.2	3,879	3,701
Province			
Kigali city	16.1	809	983
South	20.3	1,019	1,095
West	22.1	1,111	1,040
North	19.0	952	928
East	22.5	1,131	976
Education			
No education	12.4	625	609
Primary	61.7	3,096	3,047
Secondary	22.9	1,149	1,192
More than secondary	3.0	151	174
Wealth quintile			
Lowest	18.8	942	909
Second	18.7	937	912
Middle	19.3	971	920
Fourth	19.4	975	946
Highest	23.8	1,197	1,335
Total 15-49	100.0	5,022	5,022

Note: Education categories refer to the highest level of education attended, whether or not that level was completed.

Table 2.9 Educational attainment: Women

Percent distribution of women age 15-49 by highest level of schooling attended or completed, and median years completed, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

Background characteristic	No education	Some primary	Completed primary ¹	Some secondary	Completed secondary ²	More than secondary		Median years completed	Number of women
Age									
15-24	2.5	37.3	19.7	33.1	5.8	1.6	100.0	5.5	1,815
15-19	1.4	39.8	18.0	38.9	1.7	0.2	100.0	5.5	966
20-24	3.7	34.5	21.6	26.6	10.4	3.2	100.0	5.5	849
25-29	10.1	43.4	16.4	11.4	12.9	5.8	100.0	4.8	823
30-34	16.3	50.9	16.9	6.0	5.3	4.5	100.0	3.7	776
35-39	18.1	47.9	22.2	3.9	5.5	2.4	100.0	4.1	673
40-44	22.5	50.0	15.1	7.5	2.2	2.6	100.0	4.5	545
45-49	32.2	43.8	11.6	7.5	2.6	2.2	100.0	3.3	390
Residence									
Urban	5.5	27.0	16.4	25.3	15.2	10.6	100.0	6.7	1,143
Rural	14.5	48.6	18.4	14.2	3.5	0.8	100.0	4.4	3,879
Province									
Kigali city	4.7	29.6	20.6	21.5	12.7	10.8	100.0	5.9	809
South	17.7	45.4	14.6	15.8	4.5	2.0	100.0	4.4	1,019
West	12.5	47.0	17.5	15.2	6.3	1.4	100.0	4.5	1,111
North	13.0	42.1	21.5	16.8	4.9	1.6	100.0	4.9	952
East	12.8	50.4	16.4	15.4	4.0	1.0	100.0	4.4	1,131
Wealth guintile									
Lowest	24.9	53.8	13.2	7.4	0.7	0.0	100.0	3.2	942
Second	17.8	54.8	17.4	8.7	1.1	0.2	100.0	3.7	937
Middle	11.7	49.7	19.4	16.7	2.3	0.3	100.0	4.5	971
Fourth	8.3	42.4	22.4	19.6	6.3	1.1	100.0	5.2	975
Highest	2.4	23.4	17.3	27.9	17.6	11.4	100.0	7.5	1,197
Total	12.4	43.7	17.9	16.7	6.2	3.0	100.0	4.8	5,022

¹ Completed 6 grade at the primary level ² Completed 6 grade at the secondary level

Table 2.10 Literacy: Women

Percent distribution of women age 15-49 by level of schooling attended and level of literacy, and percentage literate, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

		No schooling, primary or secondary school								
Background characteristic	Higher than secondary schooling	Can read a whole sentence	Can read part of a sentence	Cannot read at all	No card with required language	Blind/visually impaired	Missing	Total	Percentage literate ¹	Number of women
Age										
15-24	1.6	82.7	8.3	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	100.0	92.7	1,815
15-19	0.2	85.2	8.1	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	100.0	93.6	966
20-24	3.2	79.9	8.5	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	91.6	849
25-29	5.8	68.1	10.7	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	100.0	84.6	823
30-34	4.5	59.5	12.5	22.9	0.4	0.0	0.3	100.0	76.5	776
35-39	2.4	63.7	10.6	22.7	0.2	0.0	0.4	100.0	76.7	673
40-44	2.6	62.3	11.9	22.8	0.2	0.0	0.3	100.0	76.7	545
45-49	2.2	54.6	9.6	33.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	100.0	66.5	390
Residence										
Urban	10.6	74.6	6.3	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	100.0	91.5	1,143
Rural	0.8	68.4	11.3	19.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	100.0	80.4	3,879
Province										
Kigali city	10.8	76.2	6.3	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	100.0	93.3	809
South	2.0	63.8	10.8	22.5	0.4	0.1	0.4	100.0	76.7	1,019
West	1.4	68.8	10.1	19.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	100.0	80.3	1,111
North	1.6	73.7	8.8	15.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	100.0	84.2	952
East	1.0	68.3	13.4	17.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	100.0	82.8	1,131
Wealth guintile	•									
Lowest	0.0	54.2	12.5	33.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	100.0	66.7	942
Second	0.2	61.0	14.1	24.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	100.0	75.4	937
Middle	0.3	71.7	12.6	15.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	100.0	84.5	971
Fourth	1.1	79.6	8.4	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	89.1	975
Highest	11.4	79.4	4.6	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	100.0	95.4	1,197
Total	3.0	69.8	10.1	16.7	0.1	0.0	0.2	100.0	83.0	5,022

¹ Refers to women who attended schooling higher than the secondary level and women who can read a whole sentence or part of a sentence

Table 2.11 Household health insurance

Percentage of households in which at least one member is covered by health insurance according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

Background characteristic	Percentage of households with at least one member covered by health insurance	Number of households
Residence		
Urban	83.7	1,024
Rural	77.3	4,017
Province		
Kigali city	81.9	727
South	73.7	1,094
West	79.4	1,098
North	83.0	935
East	77.0	1,187
Wealth quintile		
Lowest	65.2	1,129
Second	74.2	1,034
Middle	80.1	943
Fourth	84.1	916
Highest	91.7	1,019
Total	78.6	5,041

Key Findings

Ownership of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs):

- 84% of households in Rwanda own at least one ITN.
- In North province about 9 in 10 households (92%) own at least one ITN.

Sources of ITNs:

 The majority of ITNs (92%) were obtained from mass distribution campaigns. Another 4% were received at immunization visits, and 2% came from routine antenatal care visits.

Access to an ITN:

 Nearly 7 in 10 people (72%) have access to an ITN. This means 72% of Rwanda's population could sleep under an ITN if every ITN in a household were used by two people.

Use of ITNs:

- Sixty-four percent of the household population, 68% of children under 5, and 69% of pregnant women slept under an ITN the night before the survey.
- ITN use among the household population, children under age 5, and pregnant women increases with wealth.

his chapter describes the population coverage rates of some of the key malaria control interventions in Rwanda, including the ownership and use of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs). Malaria control efforts focus on scaling-up this intervention.

Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) remain a key tool in the fight against malaria. Rwanda's national ITN objective (as explained in the Rwanda Malaria Control Extended National Strategic Plan 2013-2020) is to maintain universal coverage and achieve over 90% ownership and use through:

- Continuous distribution channels: Antenatal care (ANC) and expanded program for immunization clinics (EPIs);
- Universal coverage mass campaigns

3.1 OWNERSHIP OF INSECTICIDE-TREATED NETS

Ownership of insecticide-treated nets

Households that have at least one insecticide-treated net (ITN). An ITN is defined as a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the 2017 RMIS the definition of an ITN is synonymous with the definition of an long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN) in surveys post-2010.

Sample: Households

Full household ITN coverage

Percentage of households with at least one ITN for every two people. *Sample:* Households

An ITN is defined as a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the 2010 RDHS, 2013 RMIS, and 2014-2015 RDHS, the definition of an ITN included nets that had been soaked with insecticides within the past 12 months. In the most recent questionnaire changes, The DHS Program dropped questions on retreatment of nets. This was done because bed nets that require annual retreatment and the products used for retreatment are no longer distributed, and the distinction between ITNs and long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) is no longer meaningful. For the 2017 RMIS, the current ITN was previously known as an LLIN in the 2010 RDHS, 2013 RMIS, and 2014-15 RDHS surveys.

It is well understood that proper use of ITNs protects household members and the entire local community from malaria. The distribution and use of ITNs is one of the central interventions for preventing malaria infection in Rwanda. The Rwanda Malaria Control Extended National Strategic Plan 2013-2020 prioritizes increasing ITN ownership to 84% by the year 2020 (RBC 2017).

In addition to reaching all households across the country with ITN distribution, the national strategy aims to provide enough ITNs to cover all household residents. During universal mass campaign distributions, Rwanda distributes ITNs to households based on the number of household sleeping spaces. This is to adequately ensure that all sleeping spaces are covered and protected by a net.

The 2017 RMIS revealed that 84% of households in Rwanda own at least one ITN. Only 55% of households have one net for every two people who stayed in the household the night prior to the survey. Thus to meet strategic goals, the scope of distribution needs to expand to reach the 16% of households who do not own any ITNs. In addition, the quantity of ITNs distributed needs to increase to provide sufficient ITNs for the 29% of households that own at least one ITN but have an insufficient supply for the number of household residents (**Figure 3.1; Table 3.1**).

Figure 3.1 Household ownership of ITNs

Percent distribution of households



Trends: The percentage of households that own at least one ITN increased from 82% in the 2010 RDHS to 84% in the 2017 RMIS (**Figure 3.2**).

Patterns by background characteristics

- The percentage of households with at least one ITN increases from 78% in the lowest wealth quintile to 88% in the middle and fourth wealth quintile. It then slightly decreases to 86% in the highest wealth quintile (Figure 3.3).
- The percentage of households with at least one ITN is highest in North province (92%) and lowest in East province (75%) (Figure 3.4).



Source of Nets

The majority of ITNs (92%) were obtained from mass distribution campaigns. Another 4% were from immunization visits, 2% from routine antenatal care (ANC) visits, and 2% from other sources. (Figure 3.5 and Table 3.2).

Figure 3.2 Trends in ITN ownership

Percentage of households owning at least one insecticide-treated net (ITN)



Note: The definition of an ITN in the 2010 RDHS, 2013 RMIS, and 2014-15 RDHS included nets that had been soaked with insecticides within the past 12 months.

Figure 3.4 ITN ownership by province

Percentage of households with at least one ITN



Figure 3.5 Source of nets

Percent distribution of ITNs in interviewed households



3.2 HOUSEHOLD ACCESS AND USE OF ITNS

Access to an ITN

Percentage of the population that could sleep under an ITN if each ITN in the household were used by up to two people. *Sample:* De facto household population

Use of ITNs

Percentage of population that slept under an ITN the night before the survey. *Sample:* De facto household population

ITNs act as both a physical and a chemical barrier against mosquitoes. By reducing the vector population, ITNs may help to reduce malaria risk at the community level as well as protect the individuals who use them.

Access to an ITN is measured by the proportion of the population that could sleep under an ITN if each ITN in the household were used by up to two people. Comparing ITN access and ITN use indicators can help programs identify if there is a behavioral gap in which available ITNs are not being used. If the difference between these indicators is substantial, the program may need to focus on behavior change and how to identify the main drivers or barriers to ITN use to design an appropriate intervention. This analysis helps ITN programs determine whether they need to achieve higher ITN coverage, promote ITN use, or both.

The majority of Rwandans (72%) have access to an ITN. (Table 3.4). Sixtyfour percent of the population reported using an ITN the night before the survey (Table 3.5). Comparing these two population-level indicators, it is evident that the proportion of the population using ITNs is similar to the proportion with access to an ITN (72% and 64%, respectively); there is very little gap between ITN access and ITN use at the population level (Figure 3.6). ITN use is very high among those with access. Across all provinces there is a gap between ITN access and ITN use. This ranges from a 6 percentage point gap in South province to an 11 percentage point gap between ITN access and ITN use in East province (Figure 3.6).

Figure 3.6 Access to and use of ITNs

Percentage of the household population with access to an ITN and who slept under an ITN the night before the survey



Trends: The percentage of household population that has access to an ITN increased from 64% in the 2010 RDHS to 72% in the 2017 RMIS. Additionally, the percentage of the household population that slept under an ITN the night before the survey increased from 58% in the 2010 RDHS to 64% in the 2017 RMIS. In previous surveys there was a narrow gap between access and use, while results from the 2017 survey show a wider gap (**Figure 3.7**).

In households with at least one ITN, 74% of the household population slept under an ITN the previous night (**Table 3.5**). Overall, 71% of all existing ITNs were used the night before the survey (**Table 3.6**).

Patterns by background characteristics

- People in urban areas have greater access to ITNs in the household than those in rural areas (78% versus 71%) (Table 3.4).
- Percentage of the household population that slept under an ITN the previous night ranges from 56% in the lowest wealth quintile to 73% in the highest wealth quintile (Table 3.5).
- ITN access ranges from 62% in the East province to 83% in the North province (Table 3.4, Figure 3.8).

3.3 USE OF ITNS BY CHILDREN AND PREGNANT WOMEN

The entire population of Rwanda is at risk for malaria. Natural immunity to the disease is acquired over time for those living in high malaria transmission areas (Doolan et al. 2009). Children under 5 are prone to severe malaria infection due to lack of acquired immunity. For about 6 months following birth, antibodies acquired from the mother during pregnancy protect the child, but this maternal immunity gradually disappears when the child starts to develop his or her own immunity to malaria. Age is an important factor in determining levels of

Figure 3.7 Trends in ITN access and use

Percentage of the household population that have access to an ITN and percentage of the population that slept under an ITN the night before the survey



Note: The definition of an ITN in the 2010 RDHS, 2013 RMIS, and 2014-15 RDHS included nets that had been soaked with insecticides within the past 12 months.

Figure 3.8 Access to ITNs by province

Percent of the household population that could sleep under an ITN if each ITN in the household were used by up to 2 people



acquired immunity to malaria, as acquired immunity does not prevent infection but rather protects against severe disease and death. The pace at which immunity develops depends on the exposure to malarial infection, and in high malaria-endemic areas, children are thought to attain a high level of immunity by their fifth birthday. Such children may experience episodes of malaria illness but usually do not suffer from the severe, life-threatening conditions.

Malaria transmission in Rwanda is stable, and adults usually acquire some degree of immunity; however, pregnancy suppresses immunity and women in their first pregnancies are at increased risk for severe malaria.

Malaria in pregnancy is frequently associated with the development of anemia, which interferes with the maternal-fetus exchange and can lead to low-birth-weight infants, placental parasitemia, fetal death, abortion, stillbirth, and prematurity (Shulman and Dorman 2003).

As stated in the Rwanda Malaria Control Extended National Strategic Plan 2013-2020, all children under 5 and all pregnant women should sleep under an ITN or LLIN every night to prevent malaria complications (RBC 2017). ITNs are distributed free to primipara during their antenatal visit, to children coming for measles vaccination at routine EPI services, and to the entire population during mass campaigns.

Table 3.7 and **Table 3.8** show the percentage of children under 5 and the percentage of pregnant women who slept under an ITN the night before the survey. Overall, 68% of children in Rwanda under age 5 and 69% of pregnant women slept under an ITN the previous night (**Figure 3.9**).

In households with at least one ITN, 78% of children under age 5 and 82% of pregnant women slept under an ITN the night before the survey (**Table 3.7** and **Table 3.8**).

Trends: ITN use among children under age 5 decreased from 70% in 2010 to 68% in 2017. Similarly, the percentage of pregnant women who slept under an ITN the night before the survey decreased from 72% in 2010 to 69% in 2017 (**Figure 3.10**).

Patterns by background characteristics

- ITN use among children under age 5 was highest in children age 12-23 months (73%) and lowest in children age 36-47 months (62%) (Table 3.7).
- ITN use among children under age 5 increases with wealth, ranging from 59% among children in the lowest wealth quintile to 80% among children in the highest wealth quintile (**Table 3.7**).
- A higher percentage of pregnant women slept under an ITN in urban areas (77%) compared with pregnant women in rural areas (66%) (Table 3.8).

Figure 3.9 ITN use by household population, pregnant women, and children under age 5

Percentage who slept under an ITN the night before the survey



Figure 3.10 Trends in use of ITNs by children and pregnant women

Percentage of children and pregnant women using an ITN the night before the survey



Note: The definition of an ITN in the 2010 RDHS, 2013 RMIS, and 2014-15 RDHS included nets that had been soaked with insecticides within the past 12 months.

 ITN use among pregnant women ranges from 86% in North province to 47% in East province. Additionally, ITN use among children under age 5 ranges from 76% in Kigali city and North province to 59% in the East province (Figure 3.11).

3.4 CHARACTERISTICS OF NETS

Preferences for various social marketing goods significantly affect the consistent use of products. In consideration of this influence, the 2017 RMIS observed actual color of respondents' mosquito nets. Additionally, the survey also observed the shape of mosquito nets. In

Figure 3.11 ITN use by pregnant women and children under age 5 by residence and province



recent years, nets obtained through the public sector (mass distribution campaigns and/or routine distribution) have been white and blue. In assessing the color of the nets, 87% of the observed nets were white, 11% blue, and 1% green. In assessing the shape of the net, 94% of the observed nets were conical and 5% were rectangular (**Table 3.9**).

While sleeping under a mosquito net is important in preventing malaria, if the mosquito net is not well maintained and has large holes, the net is prone to the entry of mosquitos. Interviewers were instructed to observe if the mosquito net had at least one hole equal to or larger in size than that of a thumb. In total, 23% of the nets had a hole of that size (**Table 3.9**).

3.5 NET HANGING

Great effort is put into distributing nets across Rwanda through mass campaigns and continuous distribution mechanisms. However, even if all households at risk own an ITN, for the nets to be protective they must be properly hung in the household. Seventy-two percent of nets in Rwanda were hung in the household on the day of the survey. Among those not hung, 23% said that the net was too old, 22% said that they have too many nets, and 24% gave other reasons for non-use.

LIST OF TABLES

For detailed information on malaria, see the following tables:

- Table 3.1 Household possession of mosquito nets
- **Table 3.2** Source of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs)
- Table 3.3 Access to an insecticide-treated net (ITN)
- Table 3.4 Access to an ITN by background characteristics
- Table 3.5 Use of mosquito nets by persons in the household
- Table 3.6 Use of existing ITNs
- Table 3.7 Use of mosquito nets by children
- Table 3.8 Use of mosquito nets by pregnant women

- Table 3.9
 Physical characteristics of the mosquito nets
- Table 3.10 Nets not hung and the reasons for not hanging

Table 3.1 Household possession of mosquito nets

Percentage of households with at least one mosquito net (treated or untreated) and insecticide-treated net (ITN); average number of nets and ITNs per household; and percentage of households with at least one net and ITN per two persons who stayed in the household last night, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

	households	tage of with at least quito net		number of nousehold		Percentage of households with at least one net for every two persons who stayed in the household last night		Number of households with at least
Background characteristic	Any mosquito net	Insecticide- treated mosquito net (ITN) ¹	Any mosquito net	Insecticide- treated mosquito net (ITN) ¹	Number of households	Any mosquito net	Insecticide- treated mosquito net (ITN) ¹	one person who stayed in
Residence								
Urban	86.4	84.3	2.1	2.0	1,024	66.8	64.3	1,024
Rural	86.4	84.0	1.9	1.8	4,017	55.6	52.8	4,015
Province								
Kigali city	86.4	84.2	2.1	2.0	727	66.2	63.5	727
South	83.9	81.7	1.7	1.6	1,094	51.9	49.7	1,094
West	90.5	89.5	2.1	2.0	1,098	60.7	58.9	1,098
North	92.6	91.6	2.2	2.2	935	70.0	67.3	932
East	79.8	75.3	1.7	1.5	1,187	46.3	41.7	1,187
Wealth quintile								
Lowest	80.1	77.8	1.4	1.4	1,129	48.1	45.9	1,127
Second	85.5	82.3	1.7	1.6	1,034	55.9	52.4	1,034
Middle	89.3	87.6	2.0	1.9	943	55.8	53.3	943
Fourth	90.0	88.0	2.2	2.1	916	60.4	57.6	916
Highest	88.3	86.1	2.4	2.3	1,019	70.5	67.4	1,019
Total	86.4	84.1	1.9	1.8	5,041	57.9	55.1	5,038

¹ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the 2010 DHS, 2013 MIS, and 2014-15 DHS, this was known as a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN).

Table 3.2 Source of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs)

Percent distribution of ITNs by source of net, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

Background characteristic	Mass distri- bution cam- paign	ANC visit	Immuni- zation visit	Govern- ment health facility	Private health facility	Phar- macy	Shop/ market	Com- munity health worker	Reli- gious institu- tion	School	Other	Don't know/ missing	Total	Number of ITNs
Residence														
Urban	92.3	1.3	1.8	0.6	0.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	100.0	2,088
Rural	91.9	2.1	4.3	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.4	100.0	7,120
Province														
Kigali city	92.2	1.0	1.7	0.9	0.0	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.5	100.0	1,429
South	88.2	2.9	5.1	0.9	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	100.0	1,752
West	93.9	1.5	3.2	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.2	100.0	2,207
North	93.5	1.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	100.0	2,010
East	91.5	2.1	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	1.0	0.3	100.0	1,811
Wealth guintile														
Lowest	91.0	2.4	5.0	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3	100.0	1,541
Second	91.3	2.5	4.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.2	100.0	1,679
Middle	92.6	2.3	3.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8	100.0	1,800
Fourth	92.2	1.5	4.2	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.2	100.0	1,895
Highest	92.4	1.2	2.1	0.6	0.0	1.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.6	0.4	100.0	2,293
Total	92.0	1.9	3.7	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.4	100.0	9,209

ANC = Antenatal care

¹ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the 2010 DHS, 2013 MIS, and 2014-15 DHS, this was known as a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN).

Table 3.3 Access to an insecticide-treated net (ITN)

Percent distribution of the de facto household population by number of ITNs the household owns, according to number of persons who stayed in the household the night before the survey, Rwanda MIS 2017

	N	Number of persons who stayed in the household the night before the survey									
Number of ITNs ¹	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	Total		
Number of ITNs ¹											
0	30.9	21.5	16.3	12.0	12.0	10.5	11.6	10.9	13.4		
1	45.8	37.4	29.7	19.7	17.7	9.4	11.6	8.9	19.1		
2	17.0	31.9	38.0	41.3	35.9	28.7	25.3	20.5	32.6		
3	4.8	7.0	12.4	19.7	25.4	36.4	33.8	27.2	23.2		
4	1.1	1.9	2.5	5.1	5.9	10.2	12.9	14.5	7.1		
5	0.3	0.3	0.7	1.2	1.6	2.6	3.4	11.4	2.7		
6	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.9	0.7	1.8	1.4	4.9	1.3		
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.0	1.7	0.4		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Number	519	1,493	2,856	3,925	3,949	3,052	2,008	2,209	20,012		
Percentage of the de facto population											
with access to an ITN ¹	69.1	78.5	73.8	78.1	70.2	73.7	64.4	61.9	71.9		

¹ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the 2010 DHS, 2013 MIS, and 2014-15 DHS, this was known as a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN). ² Percentage of the de facto household population who could sleep under an ITN if each ITN in the household were used by up to two people

Table 3.4 Access to an ITN by background characteristics

Percentage of the de facto population with access to an ITN in the household, by background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

Background characteristic	Percentage of the de facto population with access to an ITN ¹
Residence Urban Rural	77.6 70.5
Province Kigali city South West North East	77.2 65.7 77.0 82.7 61.5
Wealth quintile Lowest Second Middle Fourth Highest	61.9 68.7 74.2 74.2 80.5
Total	71.9

¹ Percentage of the de facto household population who could sleep under an ITN if each ITN in the household were used by up to two people

Table 3.5 Use of mosquito nets by persons in the household

Percentage of the de facto household population who slept the night before the survey under a mosquito net (treated or untreated) and under an insecticide-treated net (ITN); and among the de facto household population in households with at least one ITN, percentage who slept under an ITN the night before the survey, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

	ŀ	lousehold populatior	ı	Household population in households with at least one ITN ¹		
Background characteristic	Percentage who slept under any mosquito net last night	Percentage who slept under an ITN ¹ last night	Number of persons	Percentage who slept under an ITN ¹ last night	Number of persons	
Age <5 5-14	70.0 58.9	68.0 57.0	3,060 5,666	77.8 64.8	2,674 4,983	
15-34 35-49 50+	63.8 74.3 72.4	62.0 72.3 70.2	6,093 2,838 2,355	73.1 82.5 82.1	5,169 2,487 2,012	
Sex Male Female	63.4 67.9	61.7 65.8	9,262 10,750	71.9 75.4	7,943 9,382	
Residence Urban Rural	72.7 64.2	70.4 62.4	3,835 16,177	79.7 72.4	3,388 13,936	
Province Kigali city South West North East	72.9 61.6 71.2 75.1 53.8	70.3 59.8 69.9 73.5 51.3	2,685 4,260 4,470 3,710 4,887	79.8 72.4 76.7 78.1 64.6	2,366 3,514 4,078 3,489 3,878	
Wealth quintile Lowest Second Middle Fourth Highest	57.1 59.8 67.6 69.3 75.3	55.7 58.0 65.9 67.0 72.9	4,006 3,988 3,988 4,001 4,029	69.8 68.7 73.3 75.4 81.1	3,198 3,367 3,584 3,555 3,621	
Total	65.9	63.9	20,012	73.8	17,325	

¹ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the 2010 DHS, 2013 MIS, and 2014-15 DHS; this was known as a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN).

Table 3.6 Use of existing ITNs

Percentage of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) that were used by anyone the night before the survey, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

Background characteristic	Percentage of existing ITNs ¹ used last night	Number of ITNs ¹
Residence Urban Rural	73.4 70.4	2,088 7,120
Province Kigali city South West North East	73.9 75.7 68.9 70.9 67.0	1,429 1,752 2,207 2,010 1,811
Wealth quintile Lowest Second Middle Fourth Highest	70.0 69.3 71.6 70.4 73.1	1,541 1,679 1,800 1,895 2,293
Total	71.0	9,209

¹ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the 2010 DHS, 2013 MIS, and 2014-15 DHS, this was known as a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN).

Table 3.7 Use of mosquito nets by children

Percentage of children under age 5 who, the night before the survey, slept under a mosquito net (treated or untreated) and under an insecticide-treated net (ITN); and among children under age 5 in households with at least one ITN, percentage who slept under an ITN the night before the survey, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

	Children u	under age 5 in all ho	useholds	Children under age 5 in households with at least one ITN ¹			
Background characteristic	Percentage who slept under any mosquito net last night	Percentage who slept under an ITN ¹ last night	Number of children	Percentage who slept under an ITN ¹ last night	Number of children		
Age in months							
<12 12-23 24-35 36-47 48-59	72.4 75.0 72.2 65.0 64.7	70.9 73.1 70.1 62.3 63.1	621 663 572 615 589	82.0 80.9 79.9 72.9 72.9	537 600 502 526 509		
48-59 Sex	64.7	63.1	589	72.9	209		
Male Female	69.8 70.1	68.4 67.5	1,596 1,464	78.6 77.0	1,389 1,285		
Residence Urban Rural	78.1 68.0	75.5 66.2	584 2,476	84.9 76.1	519 2,155		
Province Kigali city South West North East	78.2 65.4 71.5 78.5 61.2	75.6 63.0 70.2 76.4 59.3	425 591 731 554 759	83.0 75.4 77.5 81.2 74.0	387 494 662 521 609		
Wealth quintile Lowest Second Middle Fourth Highest	60.6 62.2 70.0 75.5 83.0	59.2 60.8 68.5 72.9 80.2	668 616 588 610 579	72.3 71.6 75.7 82.0 87.4	546 523 532 542 531		
Total	70.0	68.0	3,060	77.8	2,674		

Note: Table is based on children who stayed in the household the night before the interview.

¹ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the 2010 DHS, 2013 MIS, and 2014-15 DHS, this was known as a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN).

Table 3.8 Use of mosquito nets by pregnant women

Percentage of pregnant women age 15-49 who, the night before the survey, slept under a mosquito net (treated or untreated) and under an insecticide-treated net (ITN); and among pregnant women age 15-49 in households with at least one ITN, percentage who slept under an ITN the night before the survey, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

	Among	pregnant women a in all households		Among pregnant women age 15-49 in households with at least one ITN ¹		
Background characteristic	Percentage who slept under any mosquito net last night	Percentage who slept under an ITN ¹ last night	Number of pregnant women	Percentage who slept under an ITN ¹ last night	Number of pregnant women	
Residence Urban Rural	83.5 68.3	77.4 66.1	72 271	90.7 79.8	61 224	
Province Kigali city South West North East	78.4 67.8 73.2 87.3 51.9	71.6 64.1 73.2 86.0 47.2	53 74 82 67 66	82.3 77.0 85.8 93.3 (68.2)	46 62 70 62 46	
Education No education Primary Secondary or higher	(69.9) 71.3 73.3	(69.9) 67.5 70.9	39 209 93	(83.4) 81.9 83.2	33 172 79	
Wealth quintile Lowest Second Middle Fourth Highest	64.5 68.3 67.8 76.2 80.5	63.6 64.5 63.8 74.5 76.4	75 76 62 44 87	82.0 74.3 (79.6) (92.2) 86.0	58 66 49 35 77	
Total	71.5	68.5	342	82.1	285	

Note: Table is based on women who stayed in the household the night before the interview.

¹ An insecticide-treated net (ITN) is a factory-treated net that does not require any further treatment. In the 2010 DHS, 2013 MIS, and 2014-15 DHS, this was known as a long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN).

Table 3.9 Physical characteristics of the mosquito nets

Percent distribution of the mosquito nets by color and shape of mosquito net, and percentage of mosquito nets that have at least one hole equal to or larger in size than a thumb, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

		C	Color of the n	et		Shape	of the net	Percentage	Number of nets
Background characteristic	White	Green	Blue	Red	Other	Conical	Rectangle	of mosquito nets with hole	
Residence									
Urban	92.6	0.3	6.7	0.0	0.1	97.1	2.9	16.4	2,166
Rural	85.6	1.3	12.8	0.0	0.0	93.5	6.1	25.1	7,519
Province									
Kigali city	97.6	0.2	1.8	0.0	0.1	97.2	2.8	16.5	1,494
South	65.9	1.6	32.3	0.0	0.0	93.3	6.0	25.9	1,835
West	95.0	0.4	3.9	0.0	0.1	95.0	4.8	18.2	2,275
North	94.2	1.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	93.2	6.5	13.9	2,080
East	82.5	1.3	15.9	0.1	0.0	93.6	6.2	40.8	2,000
Wealth quintile									
Lowest	83.1	1.4	15.3	0.1	0.1	94.1	5.4	27.2	1,612
Second	85.5	1.9	12.2	0.0	0.1	91.9	7.4	28.3	1,783
Middle	87.2	1.2	11.4	0.0	0.0	94.4	5.5	27.4	1,891
Fourth	85.6	1.0	12.8	0.0	0.0	93.8	6.2	21.0	1,999
Highest	92.3	0.2	7.1	0.0	0.1	96.8	3.0	15.1	2,400
Total	87.1	1.1	11.4	0.0	0.0	94.3	5.4	23.2	9,685

Table 3.10 Nets not hung and the reasons for not hanging

Percent of the mosquito nets that were hanged in the household and among the mosquito nets that were not hanged, percent distribution by the reason why there were not hanged, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Reason for not hanging the net									
Background characteristic	Net hanged	Number of nets	Have many nets	Use for other purposes	The net is being washed	Hang only in the evening	The net is too old	Other	Missing	Number of nets not hanged		
Residence												
Urban	78.6	2,166	40.4	1.3	11.6	18.5	8.9	17.9	1.5	457		
Rural	70.6	7,519	17.7	5.9	6.3	17.1	25.9	25.3	1.9	2,156		
Province												
Kigali city	78.4	1,494	34.1	1.4	15.7	21.5	8.5	16.9	2.0	320		
South	75.8	1,835	15.3	5.9	10.1	17.2	25.2	23.2	3.1	430		
West	71.0	2,275	27.2	2.9	3.9	19.6	14.9	31.0	0.6	649		
North	74.0	2,080	30.4	5.0	5.2	17.5	14.5	24.1	3.4	517		
East	64.7	2,000	8.2	8.3	6.1	13.5	41.8	21.0	1.1	697		
Wealth quintile												
Lowest	69.5	1,612	15.0	6.2	2.7	14.6	24.6	32.9	4.0	481		
Second	69.6	1,783	12.4	5.1	5.7	17.4	32.8	25.2	1.4	531		
Middle	70.9	1,891	17.8	6.7	6.0	16.9	27.4	24.8	0.5	536		
Fourth	71.5	1,999	25.6	5.8	8.5	18.5	19.0	20.9	1.7	555		
Highest	78.4	2,400	37.3	1.4	12.9	19.2	10.5	16.8	1.9	512		
Total	72.4	9,685	21.6	5.1	7.2	17.4	22.9	24.0	1.8	2,614		

Key Findings

- *Fever prevalence:* Three in ten children under age 5 had fever in the 2 weeks before the survey (30%).
- Care-seeking for fever: Advice or treatment was sought for 56% of children with fever in the 2 weeks before the survey.
- Source of advice or treatment: Among children with recent fever for whom care was sought, 48% received advice or treatment from the public sector, 8% from the private sector, and only 1% elsewhere.
- **Testing:** 38% of children with recent fever received a finger or heel prick for testing.
- **Type of antimalarial drug used:** Among children under 5 with a recent fever who received an antimalarial, 99% received artemisinin combination therapy.

his chapter presents data useful for assessing how well fever management strategies are implemented. Specific topics include care seeking for febrile children, diagnostic testing of children with fever, and therapeutic use of antimalarial drugs.

4.1 CARE SEEKING FOR FEVER IN CHILDREN

Care seeking for children under 5 with fever

Percentage of children under 5 with a fever in the 2 weeks before the survey for whom advice or treatment was sought from a health provider, a health facility, or a pharmacy.

Sample: Children under 5 with a fever in the 2 weeks before the survey

One of the key objectives of the Rwanda Malaria Control Extended National Strategic Plan 2013-2020 is to ensure that all suspected cases of malaria have access to confirmatory diagnosis and receive effective treatment (RBC 2017).

Fever is a key symptom of malaria and other acute infections in children. Fevers caused by malaria require prompt and effective treatment to prevent morbidity and mortality. Thirty percent of children under age 5 had fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey. Advice or treatment was sought for 56% of the children with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey, and timely care seeking (the same or next day following fever onset) occurred for 36% of the febrile children (**Table 4.1**).

Among children with recent fever for whom care was sought, most received advice or treatment from the public health sector (48%); among these children seeking care from the public sector, 27% sought care from a government health center and 18% sought care from a community health worker. Only 8% sought advice from a private sector source (**Table 4.2**).

Trends: The percentage of children with recent fever for whom advice or treatment was sought has increased from 50% in the 2010 RDHS to 56% in the 2017 RMIS (**Figure 4.1**).

Patterns by background characteristics

- The percentage of children under age 5 with fever decreases with increasing wealth quintile, dropping from 35% of children in the lowest wealth quintile to 25% of children in the highest wealth quintile (Table 4.1).
- The percentage of children under age 5 with fever ranges from 22% in North province to 38% in East province (**Figure 4.2**).

Figure 4.1 Trend in care seeking for fever in children





The percentage of children with fever for whom advice or treatment was sought ranges from 44% of children in the lowest wealth quintile to 67% of children in the highest wealth quintile (Figure 4.3).

Figure 4.2 Fever in children under age 5 by province

Percentage of children under age 5 with a fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey



Figure 4.3 Care seeking for fever in children by household wealth





4.2 DIAGNOSTIC TESTING OF CHILDREN WITH FEVER

Diagnosis of malaria in children under 5 with fever

Percentage of children under age 5 with a fever in the 2 weeks before the survey who had blood taken from a finger or heel for testing. This is a proxy measure of diagnostic testing for malaria.

Sample: Children under 5 with a fever in the 2 weeks before the survey

The Rwanda Malaria Control Extended National Strategic Plan 2013-2020 recommends prompt parasitological confirmation by microscopy or, alternatively, by rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) for all patients suspected of malaria before treatment is started (RBC 2017). Adherence to this policy cannot be directly measured through household surveys; however, the 2017 RMIS asked interviewed women with children under 5 who had a fever in the 2 weeks before the survey if the child had blood taken from a finger or heel for testing during the illness. This information is used as a proxy measure for adherence to the MOPDD policy of conducting diagnostic testing for all suspected malaria cases.

Figure 4.4 Trend in diagnostic testing of children with fever

Among children under 5 with recent fever who had blood taken from a finger or heel for testing



In the 2017 RMIS, 38% of children with a fever in the 2 weeks before the survey had blood taken from a finger or heel, presumably for malaria testing (**Table 4.1**).

Trends: The percentage of children who had blood taken from a finger or heel for testing increased from 21% in the 2010 RDHS to 38% in the 2017 RMIS. This shows improved adherence to the malaria treatment policy of testing before treatment (**Figure 4.4**).

Patterns by background characteristics

- Thirty-nine percent of children under age 5 with recent fever from urban areas had blood taken from a finger or heel for testing, compared with 38% in rural areas (Table 4.1).
- Forty-six percent of children under age 5 with recent fever in East province had blood taken from a finger or heel for testing, compared with only 29% in North province (Figure 4.5).

Figure 4.5 Diagnostic testing of fever in children by province



4.3 USE OF RECOMMENDED ANTIMALARIALS

Artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) for children under 5 with fever

Among children under 5 with a fever in the 2 weeks before the survey who took any antimalarial drugs, the percentage who took artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT).

Sample: Children under 5 with a fever in the 2 weeks before the survey

Artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) is the recommended first-line antimalarial drug for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Rwanda. This policy has been recommended since 2006.

Figure 4.6 Trends in ACT use

Among children under 5 with recent fever who took an antimalarial, percentage who received ACT

According to the results shown in **Table 4.3**, most children under age 5 with recent fever who received an antimalarial took an ACT (99%). One percent of children with fever who received an antimalarial received a quinine injection or quinine pills. The distribution of antimalarial drug use by children under age 5 with recent fever did not vary substantially by background characteristics (**Table 4.3**).



Trends: There has been a large increase in the

percentage of children under age 5 using ACTs among

those with recent fever who received any antimalarials, from 37% in the 2010 RDHS to 92% in the 2013 RMIS to 99% in the 2014-15 RDHS and 2017 RMIS (**Figure 4.6**).

LIST OF TABLES

For detailed information on malaria, see the following tables:

- Table 4.1 Prevalence, diagnosis, and prompt treatment of children with fever
- **Table 4.2** Source of advice or treatment for children with fever
- Table 4.3 Types of antimalarial drugs used

Table 4.1 Prevalence, diagnosis, and prompt treatment of children with fever

Percentage of children under age five with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey; and among children under age five with fever, the percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought, the percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought the same or next day following the onset of fever, and the percentage who had blood taken from a finger or heel, by background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

	Children une	der age 5	Children under age 5 with fever					
Background	Percentage with fever in the 2 weeks preceding	Number of	Percentage for whom advice or treatment was	Percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought the same	had blood taken	Number of		
characteristic	the survey	children	sought ¹	or next day	heel for testing	children		
Age in months								
<12	28.9	617	54.0	28.9	30.6	178		
12-23	35.7	649	55.0	32.4	38.5	232		
24-35	30.5	545	61.8	43.3	39.6	166		
36-47	29.1	567	53.3	37.8	42.0	165		
48-59	27.0	551	54.3	37.1	40.6	149		
Sex								
Male	32.3	1,538	53.1	34.1	37.1	497		
Female	28.3	1,392	58.8	37.3	39.4	393		
Residence								
Urban	27.8	577	59.7	37.2	39.3	160		
Rural	31.0	2,352	54.7	35.2	37.9	730		
Province								
Kigali city	24.8	433	53.6	31.7	32.8	107		
South	35.7	537	47.8	26.4	39.5	192		
West	28.8	715	58.4	43.0	33.8	206		
North	21.7	527	55.1	25.7	29.0	115		
East	37.6	717	60.1	42.1	46.4	270		
Nother's education								
No education	31.8	422	55.2	32.5	40.5	134		
Primary	31.4	1,945	53.2	34.1	36.6	610		
Secondary or higher	25.8	563	66.3	44.2	42.4	146		
Wealth quintile								
Lowest	34.7	637	44.0	23.1	34.6	221		
Second	32.3	572	51.2	29.2	35.3	185		
Middle	32.4	566	56.4	36.2	35.8	184		
Fourth	27.1	583	65.5	51.1	43.9	158		
Highest	25.0	570	67.3	44.9	43.9	143		
Total	30.4	2,929	55.6	35.5	38.1	890		

¹ Excludes advice or treatment from a traditional practitioner

Table 4.2 Source of advice or treatment for children with fever

Percentage of children under age 5 with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey for whom advice or treatment was sought from specific sources; and among children under age 5 with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey for whom advice or treatment was sought, percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought from specific sources, Rwanda MIS 2017

	Percentage for whom advice or treatment was sought from each source:			
Source	Among children with fever	Among children with fever for whom advice or treatment was sought		
Public sector	48.4	86.0		
Provincial/district hospital	0.9	1.5		
Health center	26.7	47.5		
Health post	5.2	9.2		
Outreach	0.2	0.4		
Community health worker	18.4	32.7		
Private sector	7.7	13.7		
Polyclinic	0.4	0.7		
Clinic	0.5	0.9		
Dispensary	1.9	3.4		
Pharmacy	4.9	8.7		
Other private sector	1.3	2.3		
Kiosk/shop	0.1	0.2		
Traditional healer	0.8	1.4		
Church	0.1	0.2		
Friend/relative	0.2	0.4		
Number of children	890	501		

CHW = Community health worker

Table 4.3 Types of antimalarial drugs used

Among children under age 5 with fever in the 2 weeks preceding the survey who took any antimalarial medication, percentage who took specific antimalarial drugs, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

	Percentage of children who took:						Number of
Background characteristic	Any ACT	Quinine pills	Quinine injection	Artesunate rectal	Artesunate injection	Other anti- malarial	children with fever who took anti-malarial drug
Age in months							
< 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	4
6-11	*	*	*	*	*	*	9
12-23	(95.0)	(2.7)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(2.2)	(0.0)	37
24-35	(98.4)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(1.6)	(0.0)	(0.0)	27
36-47	(100.0)	(0.0)	(2.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	54
48-59	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(3.9)	(0.0)	(0.0)	43
Sex							
Male	98.4	1.1	1.2	2.4	0.0	0.0	91
Female	99.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	83
Residence							
Urban	*	*	*	*	*	*	11
Rural	98.6	0.6	0.7	1.3	0.5	0.0	164
Province							
Kigali city	*	*	*	*	*	*	10
South	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	40
West	*	*	*	*	*	*	28
North	*	*	*	*	*	*	5
East	97.5	1.1	1.2	0.5	0.9	0.0	92
Nother's education							
No education	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	39
Primary	98.1	0.9	0.9	1.8	0.7	0.0	119
Secondary or higher	*	*	*	*	*	*	17
Vealth quintile							
Lowest	(97.4)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	39
Second	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	44
Middle	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	44
Fourth	(97.4)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(2.6)	(0.0)	32
Highest	*	*	*	*	*	*	15
Fotal	98.7	0.6	0.6	1.2	0.5	0.0	175

ACT = Artemisinin-based combination therapy Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

Key Findings

- Malaria in children 6-59 months: 7% of children age 6-59 months tested positive for malaria via microscopy. Malaria prevalence in this age group ranges from 13% in the lowest wealth quintile to 2% in the highest wealth quintile.
- Malaria in children age 5-14: 11% of children age 5-14 tested positive for malaria. Malaria prevalence in this group is higher among children from rural areas (13%) compared with children from urban areas (3%).
- Malaria in women age 15-49: 5% of women age 15-49 in Rwanda tested positive for malaria. Malaria prevalence in women age 15-49 is twice as high in women with no education (6%) compared with women with secondary or higher education (3%).
- Malaria in individuals age15+: 6% of individuals greater than age 15 tested positive for malaria. By province, malaria prevalence in individuals older than age 15 ranges from 12% in East province to 1% in North province.
- Malaria in the general population: 7% of individuals in Rwanda tested positive for malaria. By province, malaria prevalence in individuals ranges from 17% in East province to 1% in North province.

his chapter presents the prevalence of malaria among the population of Rwanda. During the survey all household members were eligible for testing. Results are presented for children age 6-59 months, children age 5-14, women age 15-49, all adults older than age 15, and for the general population (older than 6 months).

The chapter also gives trends in malaria prevalence over time. It is important to note the season in which data were collected when interpreting trends over time. Malaria transmission occurs year-round in Rwanda, but peaks from April to June and from October to December following distinct rainy seasons.

The 2017 RMIS was conducted in October through December of 2017 at the peak of malaria season. Normally a spike in malaria cases occurs during these months. The 2014-15 RDHS was conducted between November 2014 and April 2015. The 2010 RDHS was conducted between September 2010 and March 2011. Caution is urged when examining a change in malaria parasitemia over time.

Differences in malaria prevalence observed between the rapid diagnostic test (RDT) results and the microscopy results are expected. Microscopic detection of malaria parasites depends on the visualization of stained parasites under a microscope, whereas the diagnosis of malaria by RDT relies on the interaction between a parasite antigen present in the blood and an antibody in the RDT formulation. Therefore, direct comparisons of results from microscopy compared with those from rapid diagnostic testing should be avoided. The SD BIOLINE Malaria Ag P.f.PAN (HRP-2)TM, like many other commercially available RDTs, detects the *P. falciparum*-specific, histidine-rich protein-2 (HRP-2) rather than the parasite itself. Because HRP-2 remains in the blood for up to a month following parasite clearance with antimalarials (Moody 2002), in areas highly endemic for *P. falciparum*, its persistence often leads to higher malaria prevalence estimates detected when using RDTs compared with those measured using microscopy.

5.1 PREVALENCE OF MALARIA IN CHILDREN AGE 6-59 MONTHS

Malaria prevalence in children age 6-59 months

Percentage of children age 6-59 months classified as infected with malaria according to microscopy results. *Sample:* Children age 6-59 months

In Rwanda malaria transmission is high throughout the year, contributing to development of partial immunity within the first 2 years of life. However, many people, including children, may have malaria parasites in their blood without showing any signs of infection. Such asymptomatic infection not only contributes to further transmission of malaria but also increases the risk of anemia and other associated morbidity among the infected individuals.

Among eligible children age 6-59 months from interviewed households, 97% of children were tested for malaria using an RDT, and 99% were tested for malaria using microscopy after consent from their parent or responsible adult (**Table 5.1**).

In the 2017 RMIS, 7% of children age 6-59 months were positive for malaria parasites according to microscopy results (**Table 5.2**). Rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) were done in conjunction with microscopy to facilitate treatment of infected children during the survey fieldwork. Results from these RDTs are also presented in Table 5.2 for reference. Twelve percent of children age 6-59 months tested positive for malaria antigens using RDTs.

Trends: National malaria prevalence has increased 6 percentage points between the 2010 RDHS and the 2017 RMIS, from 1% in 2010 to 7% in 2017 (**Figure 5.1**).

Patterns by background characteristics

 Malaria prevalence in children age 6-59 months decreases from 8% in children age 6-8 months to 6% in children age 24-35 months. It then increases to 9% in children age 36-47 months to 10% in children age 48-59 months.

Figure 5.1 Trends in malaria prevalence in children age 6-59 months



Percentage of children age 6-59 months who tested positive for malaria by microscopy

- Malaria prevalence in children age 6-59 months is higher among children whose mothers have no formal education (13%) than among those whose mothers had a secondary or higher education (2%) (Figure 5.2).
- Malaria prevalence in children age 6-59 months is nearly 4 times higher in rural children (8%) than in urban children (2%).
- Malaria prevalence in children age 6-59 months is higher among children in the lowest wealth quintile (13%) compared with children in the highest wealth quintile (2%) (Figure 5.3).

Figure 5.2 Prevalence of malaria in children by mother's education

Percentage of children 6-59 months who tested positive for malaria by microscopy



 By province, malaria prevalence in children age 6-59 months ranges from 18% in East province to 1% in North province (Figure 5.4).

Figure 5.3 Prevalence of malaria in children by household wealth

Percentage of children 6-59 months who tested positive for malaria by microscopy





Percentage of children age 6-59 months who tested positive for malaria by microscopy



5.2 PREVALENCE OF MALARIA IN CHILDREN AGE 5-14

Malaria prevalence in children age 5-14

Percentage of children age 5-14 classified as infected with malaria according to microscopy results *Sample:* Children age 5-14

Among eligible children age 5-14 from interviewed households, 98% of children were tested for malaria using an RDT, and 99% were tested for malaria using microscopy after consent from their parent or a responsible adult (**Table 5.3**).

In the 2017 RMIS, 11% of children age 5-14 were positive for malaria parasites according to microscopy results (**Table 5.4**). Rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) were done in conjunction with microscopy to facilitate treatment of infected children during the survey fieldwork. Results from these RDTs are also presented in Table 5.4 for reference. Fifteen percent of children age 5-14 tested positive for malaria antigens using RDTs.

Patterns by background characteristics

• Generally, malaria prevalence remains stable across age groups in children age 5-14.

1

Secondary or

higher

- Malaria prevalence in children age 5-14 is higher among children whose mothers have no formal education (18%) than among those whose mothers had a secondary or higher education (1%) (Figure 5.5).
- Malaria prevalence in children age 5-14 is higher among children from rural areas (13%) compared with children from urban areas (3%).
- Malaria prevalence in children age 5-14 is higher among children in the lowest wealth quintile (16%) than among those in the highest wealth quintiles (2%).
- By province, malaria prevalence in children age 5-14 ranges from 24% in East province to 1% in North province (Figure 5.6).



11

Primary

18

No education





Percentage of children age 5-14 who tested positive for malaria by microscopy



5.3 PREVALENCE OF MALARIA IN WOMEN AGE 15-49

Malaria prevalence in women age 15-49 Percentage of women age 15-49 classified as infected with malaria according to microscopy results. Sample: Women age 15-49

Among eligible women age 15-49 from interviewed households, 97% were tested for malaria using an RDT, and 99% were tested for malaria using microscopy after consent (**Table 5.5**).
Five percent of women age 15-49 were positive for malaria parasites according to microscopy results (**Table 5.6**). Rapid diagnostic tests were done in conjunction with microscopy to facilitate treatment of infected individuals during the survey fieldwork. Results from these RDTs are also presented in Table 5.6 for reference. Six percent of women age 15-49 tested positive for malaria antigens using RDTs.

Trends: National malaria prevalence has increased 4 percentage points between the 2010 RDHS and the 2017 RMIS, from 1% in 2010 to 5% in 2017 (**Figure 5.7**).

Patterns by background characteristics

- Malaria prevalence in women age 15-49 is three times higher among women from rural areas (6%) compared with women from urban areas (2%).
- By province, malaria prevalence in women 15-49 ranges from 11% in East province to 1% in North province (Figure 5.8).
- Malaria prevalence in women age 15-49 is two times higher in women with no education (6%) than in women with secondary or higher education (3%).
- Malaria prevalence in women age 15-49 is higher in women in the lowest wealth quintile (8%) compared with women in the highest wealth quintile (2%).

Figure 5.7 Trends in malaria prevalence in women age 15-49



Figure 5.8 Malaria prevalence in women age 15-49 by province

Percentage of women age 15-49 who tested positive for malaria by microscopy



5.4 PREVALENCE OF MALARIA IN ALL INDIVIDUALS AGE 15+

Malaria prevalence in individuals age 15+ Percentage of individuals (age 15+) classified as infected with malaria according to microscopy results. Sample: Individuals age 15+

Among eligible individuals greater than age 15 from interviewed households, 96% were tested for malaria using an RDT, and 98% were tested using microscopy (**Table 5.7**).

Six percent of individuals greater than age 15 were positive for malaria parasites according to microscopy results (**Table 5.8**). Rapid diagnostic tests were done in conjunction with microscopy to facilitate treatment of infected individuals during the survey fieldwork. Results from these RDTs are presented in Table 5.8. Seven percent of individuals older than age 15 tested positive for malaria antigens using RDTs.

Patterns by background characteristics

- Malaria prevalence in individuals older than age 15 is 5 percentage points higher in rural areas (7%) than in urban areas (2%).
- By province, malaria prevalence in individuals older than age 15 ranges from 12% in East province to 1% in North province (Figure 5.9).
- Malaria prevalence generally decreases by wealth quintile, with 8% of individuals older than 15 in the lowest wealth quintile infected with malaria compared with only 2% of individuals in the highest wealth quintile.

Figure 5.9 Malaria prevalence in individuals older than age 15 by province

Percentage of individuals age 15+ who tested positive for malaria by microscopy



5.5 PREVALENCE OF MALARIA OF ALL HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS

Malaria prevalence of all household members

Percentage of individuals (age 6 months and older) classified as infected with malaria according to microscopy results. *Sample:* Individuals age 6 months and older

Among eligible individuals older than 6 months from interviewed households, 95% were tested for malaria using an RDT, while 97% were tested using microscopy (**Table 5.9**).

Seven percent of individuals older than 6 months were positive for malaria parasites according to microscopy results (**Table 5.10**). Rapid diagnostic tests were done in conjunction with microscopy to facilitate treatment of infected individuals during the survey fieldwork. Results from these RDTs are also presented in Table 5.10 for reference. Ten percent of individuals older than 6 months tested positive for malaria antigens using RDTs.

Patterns by background characteristics

- Malaria prevalence in individuals older than 6 months is 7 percentage points higher in rural areas (9%) than in urban areas (2%).
- By province, malaria prevalence in individuals older than 6 months ranges from 17% in East province to 1% in North province (Figure 5.10).

Figure 5.10 Malaria prevalence in all household members by province

Percentage of individuals greater than 6 months of age who tested positive for malaria by microscopy



 Malaria prevalence generally decreases by wealth quintile, with 11% of individuals older than 6 months in the lowest wealth quintile infected with malaria compared with only 2% of individuals in the highest wealth quintile.

LIST OF TABLES

For detailed information on malaria, see the following tables:

- Table 5.1 Coverage of testing in children age 6-59 months
- Table 5.2 Prevalence of malaria in children age 6-59 months
- Table 5.3 Coverage of testing for malaria in children age 5-14
- Table 5.4 Prevalence of malaria in children age 5-14
- Table 5.5 Coverage of testing for malaria in women age 15-49
- Table 5.6 Prevalence of malaria in women age 15-49
- Table 5.7 Coverage of testing for malaria in all adults age 15+
- Table 5.8 Prevalence of malaria in all adults age 15+
- Table 5.9 Coverage of testing in all household members
- Table 5.10 Prevalence of malaria in all household members

Table 5.1 Coverage of testing in children age 6-59 months

Percentage of eligible children age 6-59 months who were tested for malaria, by background characteristics (unweighted), Rwanda MIS 2017

	F	Percentage tested for	or:
Background characteristic	Malaria with RDT	Malaria by microscopy	Number of children
characteristic	WIT RDT	microscopy	children
Age in months			
6-8	92.4	95.8	144
9-11	98.0	98.7	153
12-17	98.5	99.7	340
18-23	97.5	98.8	325
24-35	97.1	99.1	581
36-47	97.5	99.5	608
48-59	98.0	99.7	588
Sex			
Male	97.4	98.9	1,423
Female	97.4	99.4	1,316
Mother's interview status			
Interviewed	97.5	99.2	2,465
Not interviewed	96.7	98.9	274
Residence			
Urban	96.9	98.5	606
Rural	97.6	99.3	2,133
Province			
Kigali city	96.4	98.6	442
South	97.3	98.8	591
West	98.1	99.7	622
North	95.9	98.8	489
East	98.8	99.7	595
Mother's education ¹			
No education	98.0	99.4	345
Primary	97.5	99.5	1.641
Secondary or higher	97.1	97.9	479
Wealth guintile			
Lowest	96.6	99.1	580
Second	97.4	99.1	548
Middle	97.8	99.4	501
Fourth	98.1	100.0	532
Highest	97.2	98.3	578
Total	97.4	99.2	2,739

RDT = rapid diagnostic test (SD BIOLINE Malaria Ag P.f.PAN (HRP-II)TM) ¹ Excludes children whose mothers were not interviewed

Table 5.2 Prevalence of malaria in children age 6-59 months

Percentage of children age 6-59 months classified in two tests as having malaria, by background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

		lence according RDT	Malaria prevale to micre	
Background characteristic	RDT positive	Number of children	Microscopy positive	Number of children
Age in months				
6-8	11.2	136	7.6	139
9-11	7.3	151	2.5	152
12-17	9.2	333	5.9	338
18-23	10.7	317	4.8	320
24-35	9.6	558	6.0	569
36-47	13.1	604	9.2	613
48-59	15.9	577	9.5	587
Sex				
Male	11.4	1,393	7.2	1,411
Female	12.2	1,283	7.2	1,307
Mother's interview status				
Interviewed	11.3	2,422	6.8	2,459
Not interviewed	16.5	254	11.2	258
Residence				
Urban	2.9	516	2.0	522
Rural	13.9	2,160	8.4	2,196
Province				
Kigali city	6.9	367	3.1	373
South	14.4	522	8.7	530
West	3.1	640	1.8	650
North	1.3	484	0.7	498
East	28.5	663	18.4	667
Mother's education ¹				
No education	16.9	352	12.9	357
Primary	11.8	1,628	6.7	1,657
Secondary or higher	4.9	442	2.1	445
Wealth quintile				
Lowest	18.0	572	13.3	586
Second	15.0	537	7.7	546
Middle	11.1	518	7.1	526
Fourth	10.6	543	5.6	551
Highest	3.4	506	1.5	509
Total	11.8	2,676	7.2	2,718

RDT = rapid diagnostic test (SD BIOLINE Malaria Ag P.f.PAN (HRP-II)[™]) ¹ Excludes children whose mothers were not interviewed

Table 5.3 Coverage of testing for malaria in children age 5-14

Percentage of eligible children age 5-14 years who were tested for malaria, by background characteristics (unweighted), Rwanda MIS 2017

	P	ercentage tested for	or:
Background characteristic	Malaria with RDT	Malaria by microscopy	Number of children
Age			
5-6	98.3	99.8	1,087
7-8	97.9	99.1	1,097
9-10	97.6	99.0	1,167
11-12	96.6	99.0	1,189
13-14	97.3	98.9	1,021
Sex			
Male	97.6	98.9	2,763
Female	97.5	99.4	2,798
Mother's interview status			
Interviewed	98.5	99.8	404
Not interviewed	97.5	99.1	5,157
Residence			
Urban	98.1	99.0	1,056
Rural	97.4	99.2	4,505
Province			
Kigali city	97.9	99.2	763
South	96.8	98.4	1,329
West	97.4	98.8	1,208
North	97.3	99.5	988
East	98.4	99.9	1,273
Mother's education ¹			
No education	98.0	100.0	51
Primary	98.3	99.7	294
Secondary or higher	100.0	100.0	59
Wealth quintile			
Lowest	96.3	98.5	1,176
Second	97.9	99.3	1,096
Middle	98.1	99.0	1,097
Fourth	97.7	99.8	1,171
Highest	97.8	99.2	1,021
Total	97.5	99.2	5,561

RDT = rapid diagnostic test (SD BIOLINE Malaria Ag P.f.PAN (HRP-II)[™]) ¹ Excludes children whose mothers were not interviewed

Table 5.4 Prevalence of malaria in children age 5-14

Percentage of children age 5-14 classified in two tests as having malaria, by background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

		lence according RDT	Malaria prevale to micr	ence according oscopy
Background characteristic	RDT positive	Number of children	Microscopy positive	Number of children
Age				
5-6	14.7	1,089	11.6	1,103
7-8	16.1	1,092	10.6	1,104
9-10	16.2	1,159	11.6	1,174
11-12	14.4	1,184	11.1	1,211
13-14	14.1	1,012	11.2	1,030
Sex				
Male	15.0	2,762	11.7	2,796
Female	15.2	2,773	10.8	2,827
Mother's interview status				
Interviewed	13.0	406	10.6	411
Not interviewed	15.3	5,130	11.3	5,211
Residence				
Urban	3.7	922	2.8	927
Rural	17.4	4,614	12.9	4,695
Province				
Kigali city	8.2	649	5.4	658
South	20.6	1,188	17.2	1,206
West	3.8	1,237	2.3	1,256
North	2.5	1,024	1.2	1,045
East	32.4	1,438	23.7	1,457
Mother's education ¹				
No education	21.4	50	17.9	51
Primary	14.0	296	11.3	300
Secondary or higher	1.4	60	1.4	60
Wealth quintile				
Lowest	20.2	1,161	16.4	1,186
Second	19.2	1,124	15.4	1,136
Middle	15.8	1,123	11.1	1,132
Fourth	13.7	1,193	9.4	1,220
Highest	4.8	934	2.3	947
Total	15.1	5,536	11.2	5,622

RDT = rapid diagnostic test (SD BIOLINE Malaria Ag P.f.PAN (HRP-II)[™]) ¹ Excludes children whose mothers were not interviewed

Table 5.5 Coverage of testing for malaria in women age 15-49

Percentage of eligible women 15-49 who were tested for malaria, by background characteristics (unweighted), Rwanda MIS 2017

	F	Percentage tested for	or:
Background	Malaria	Malaria by	Number of
characteristic	with RDT	microscopy	women
Age			
15-19	96.3	98.2	990
20-24	97.0	98.3	886
25-29	97.2	97.9	851
30-34	97.5	99.1	774
35-39	97.3	99.1	665
40-44	96.0	98.2	544
45-49	97.9	99.7	386
Currently pregnant			
Pregnant	98.5	99.4	340
Not pregnant or not sure	97.4	99.1	4,686
Residence			.,
Urban	96.5	97.4	1,341
Rural	96.5 97.1	97.4 98.9	3,755
Province Kigali city	95.9	97.0	1,003
South	96.1	97.7	1,123
West	97.8	99.6	1,052
North	97.3	99.6	931
East	97.8	98.9	987
Education			
No education	97.5	99.3	609
Primary	97.6	99.3	3,047
Secondary or higher	97.2	98.6	1,366
		2.510	.,000
Wealth quintile Lowest	97.1	99.2	922
Second	97.1	99.2 98.3	922 931
Middle	96.5 97.4	98.3 99.0	930
Fourth	97.4 97.3	98.9	930 957
Highest	96.7	97.6	1,356
0			,
Total	97.0	98.5	5,096

RDT = rapid diagnostic test (SD BIOLINE Malaria Ag P.f.PAN (HRP-II)™)

Table 5.6 Prevalence of malaria in women age 15-49

Percentage of women age 15-49 years classified in two tests as having malaria, by background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

		ence according RDT	Malaria prevale to micr	
Background characteristic	RDT positive	Number of women	Microscopy positive	Number of women
Age				
15-19	7.4	944	5.7	961
20-24	6.4	825	5.6	835
25-29	7.1	803	5.7	807
30-34	5.1	753	3.8	764
35-39	5.0	651	3.6	663
40-44	6.7	529	5.6	539
45-49	5.2	384	3.4	390
Currently pregnant				
Pregnant	7.3	338	5.0	340
Not pregnant or not sure	6.2	4,509	4.9	4,579
Residence				
Urban	2.4	1,112	1.5	1,117
Rural	7.4	3,775	5.9	3,843
Province				
Kigali city	5.2	784	2.9	788
South	7.8	980	7.1	996
West	2.4	1,090	2.1	1,108
North	1.1	929	0.6	949
East	13.7	1,105	10.9	1,119
Education				
No education	6.9	601	5.8	611
Primary	7.1	2,987	5.4	3,035
Secondary or higher	3.5	1,255	2.9	1,270
Wealth quintile				
Lowest	9.3	917	8.1	935
Second	7.5	910	5.6	927
Middle	7.1	943	5.4	958
Fourth	5.8	950	4.7	965
Highest	2.7	1,168	1.6	1,175
Total	6.3	4,887	4.9	4,959

Table 5.7 Coverage of testing for malaria in all adults age 15+

Percentage of all eligible adults age 15+ who were tested for malaria, by background characteristics (unweighted), Rwanda MIS 2017

	P	Percentage tested	for:
Background	Malaria	Malaria by	Number of
characteristic	with RDT	microscopy	adults ager15+
Age			
15-19	95.4	97.3	1,921
20-24	95.9	97.0	1,508
25-29	96.0	96.7	1,408
30-34	97.0	98.3	1,311
35-39	97.0	98.5	1,218
40-44	95.9	97.4	880
45-49	96.8	98.3	711
50-54	97.4	98.5	583
55-59	97.2	98.8	603
60+	96.8	98.3	1,142
Currently pregnant			
Pregnant	97.5	98.4	667
Not pregnant or not sure	96.6	98.0	10,441
Residence			
Urban	95.6	96.6	2,670
Rural	96.6	98.1	8,615
Province			
Kigali city	95.1	96.3	1,948
South	95.1	96.7	2,658
West	97.5	98.8	2,324
North	96.7	98.4	2,038
East	97.4	98.7	2,317
Wealth guintile			
Lowest	96.5	98.0	2,093
Second	95.7	97.8	2,161
Middle	96.4	97.6	2,160
Fourth	97.4	98.5	2,104
Highest	95.9	97.1	2,767
Total	96.4	97.8	11,285

RDT = rapid diagnostic test (SD BIOLINE Malaria Ag P.f.PAN (HRP-II)™)

Table 5.8 Prevalence of malaria in all adults age 15+

Percentage of all adults age 15+ who were classified in two tests as having malaria, by background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

		alence according		lence according roscopy
Background characteristic	RDT positive	Number of adults age 15+	Microscopy positive	Number of adults age 15-
Age				
15-19	9.9	1,862	7.6	1,895
20-24	6.8	1,399	6.3	1,415
25-29	6.4	1,326	5.2	1,335
30-34	5.4	1,272	4.3	1,288
35-39	5.4	1,183	4.5	1,201
40-44	7.4	869	6.1	880
45-49	5.7	702	4.3	711
50-54	6.2	574	5.3	579
55-59	5.4	606	4.0	616
60+	5.6	1,106	5.1	1,124
Currently pregnant				
Pregnant	7.1	662	4.5	668
Not pregnant or not sure	6.6	10,092	5.5	10,228
Residence				
Urban	2.5	2,224	1.5	2,235
Rural	7.8	8,675	6.5	8,809
Province				
Kigali city	5.2	1,526	2.7	1,535
South	9.1	2,331	7.5	2,367
West	2.4	2,413	1.9	2,444
North	1.4	2,036	1.4	2,067
East	13.6	2,593	11.9	2,630
Wealth quintile				
Lowest	9.9	2,072	8.1	2,099
Second	7.1	2,131	6.3	2,178
Middle	7.3	2,170	6.5	2,196
Fourth	6.7	2,116	5.4	2,140
Highest	3.1	2,410	1.8	2,430
Total	6.7	10,899	5.5	11,044

RDT = rapid diagnostic test (SD BIOLINE Malaria Ag P.f.PAN (HRP-II)™)

Table 5.9 Coverage of testing in all household members

Percentage of eligible household members who were tested for malaria, according to background characteristics (unweighted), Rwanda MIS 2017

	F	Percentage tested for	or:
Background characteristic	Malaria with RDT	Malaria by microscopy	Number of household members
Sex			
Male	94.6	95.9	9,132
Female	94.0	95.9 97.6	10,769
	35.5	57.0	10,703
Residence			
Urban	94.9	95.9	4,402
Rural	95.4	97.1	15,499
Province			
Kigali city	94.3	95.6	3,208
South	94.6	96.1	4,641
West	95.9	97.3	4,226
North	95.4	97.3	3,566
East	96.2	97.4	4,260
Wealth guintile			
Lowest	94.8	96.6	3,916
Second	95.1	96.8	3,866
Middle	95.6	96.8	3,814
Fourth	96.1	97.6	3,866
Highest	95.0	96.1	4,439
Total	95.3	96.8	19,901

Table 5.10 Prevalence of malaria in all household members

Percentage of all household members classified in two tests as having malaria, by background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

		lence according RDT	Malaria prevalence accor to microscopy		
Background characteristic	RDT positive	Number of household members	Microscopy positive	Number of household members	
Sex					
Male Female	10.7 9.1	8,787 10,324	8.2 6.7	8,890 10,493	
Residence					
Urban Rural	2.9 11.5	3,663 15,449	1.9 8.7	3,684 15,700	
Province					
Kigali city	6.2	2,542	3.4	2,565	
South	13.2	4,041	10.5	4,102	
West	2.9	4,291	2.0	4,351	
North	1.7	3,544	1.3	3,610	
East	21.5	4,695	16.5	4,755	
Wealth quintile					
Lowest	14.2	3,805	11.4	3,872	
Second	11.8	3,792	9.2	3,860	
Middle	10.3	3,812	7.9	3,855	
Fourth	9.4	3,853	6.6	3,910	
Highest	3.6	3,851	1.9	3,887	
Total	9.9	19,111	7.4	19,384	

Key Findings

Knowledge of malaria symptoms, causes, and prevention:

- 81% of women age 15-49 recognized fever as a symptom of malaria.
- 87% of women age 15-49 reported mosquito bites as a cause of malaria.
- More than half of women (54%) said use of mosquito nets prevents malaria.

Knowledge of treatment by provider:

 Women who reported that community health workers provide treatment ranged from a high of 60% of women in East province to a low of 22% of women in North province.

Exposure to malaria messages:

 Women age 15-49 heard or saw a message about malaria in the past 6 months most often from the radio (41%).

his chapter provides data on the basic knowledge of causes, symptoms, treatment, and prevention of malaria among women age 15-49. In addition, this chapter provides information about women's exposure to malaria messages in the media over the past 6 months.

6.1 KNOWLEDGE OF MALARIA CAUSES, SYMPTOMS, AND PREVENTION

Perceptions, beliefs, and attitudes about malaria are often overlooked in malaria control efforts. Understanding who knows the causes and symptoms of malaria, as well as how to use successful mosquito avoidance practices, are key steps in finding and targeting vulnerable populations and ensuring the sustainability of malaria control efforts. Failure to consider knowledge, attitudes, and practices about malaria contributes to the failure of programs to achieve sustainable control.

Eighty-one percent of women age 15-49 in Rwanda recognized fever as a symptom of malaria, and 87% reported mosquito bites as a cause of malaria. However, only 54% reported mosquito nets as a malaria prevention method (**Table 6.1**).

Trends: In general, women's knowledge of malaria, including its symptoms, causes, and prevention, decreased from 2013 to 2017. Most notably, the percentage of women who know mosquito nets are a form of prevention declined from 66% in the 2013 RMIS to 54% in the 2017 RMIS (**Figure 6.1**).

Patterns by background characteristics

 The percentage of women who report mosquito bites as a cause of malaria ranges from 91% in East province and Kigali city to 84% in South province.

Figure 6.1 Trends in Malaria Knowledge



- Urban women (85%) are more likely than rural women (80%) to recognize fever as a symptom of malaria.
- The percentage of women who reported sleeping under a mosquito net to protect against malaria ranged from 58% in East and West provinces to 44% in Kigali city.

6.2 KNOWLEDGE OF PLACE OF TREATMENT FOR MALARIA

Prompt testing for and treatment of malaria is a key pillar in the Rwanda Malaria Control Extended National Strategic Plan 2013-2020 (RBC 2017). Women who wish treatment for themselves or their children must know where to go for care. Among women who reported treatment resources, 88% listed a health center/post, 42% a community health worker, 13% a hospital, and 7% a private sector facility (**Table 6.2**).

Patterns by background characteristics

- Women who reported that malaria treatment can be received from a community health worker ranged from 60% of women in East province to 22% of women in North province.
- Seventeen percent of women in urban areas reported that malaria treatment can be received from a private sector facility compared with 4% of women in rural areas.

6.3 EXPOSURE TO MALARIA MESSAGES

Exposure to communication messages

Percentage of women age 15-49 who recall seeing or hearing a message about malaria through various sources in the past 6 months *Sample:* Women age 15-49 who have seen or heard messages or information about malaria in the last 6 months

The effectiveness of social and behavioral change communications is notoriously difficult to assess. Success is often measured by the percentage of the target population who recall hearing or seeing messages. Exposure is

the critical first step in increasing knowledge of malaria prevention methods, as well as attitudes and practices about malaria.

The most common way that women age 15-49 heard or saw a message about malaria in the past 6 months was from the radio (41%). This was followed by a community health worker (30%) and community event (19%), while poster/billboard and television only accounted for 7% and 5%, respectively (**Table 6.3**).

Patterns by background characteristics

- Exposure to malaria messages from a community event ranged from 25% in East province to 11% in South province (Table 6.3).
- Fourteen percent of women in urban areas were exposed to malaria messages from television compared with 3% of rural women.
- Twenty-six percent of women age 15-49 who heard a malaria message on the radio had no education compared with 53% of women with secondary or higher education.

LIST OF TABLES

For detailed information on malaria, see the following tables:

- Table 6.1 General knowledge of malaria
- Table 6.2 Knowledge of place of treatment for malaria
- Table 6.3 Media exposure to malaria messages

Table 6.1 General knowledge of malaria

Among women age 15-49, the percentages who can recognize fever as a sign of malaria, report mosquito bites as a cause of malaria, and report that sleeping under a mosquito net can protect against malaria, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

Background	Percentage who recognize fever as a symptom	Percentage who report mosquito bites as a cause	Percentage who report that sleeping under a mosquito net can protect against	Number of
characteristic	of malaria	of malaria	malaria	women
Residence				
Urban	84.8	91.3	53.0	1,143
Rural	80.0	86.1	53.6	3,879
Province				
Kigali city	86.7	91.3	44.3	809
South	76.6	83.8	56.6	1,019
West	78.5	84.7	57.6	1,111
North	80.0	86.5	47.8	952
East	84.5	90.6	57.9	1,131
Education				
No education	75.0	79.6	53.7	625
Primary	80.8	87.6	54.6	3,096
Secondary or higher	84.6	90.3	50.7	1,301
Wealth quintile				
Lowest	74.3	80.7	56.6	942
Second	79.2	85.7	54.2	937
Middle	79.7	86.7	55.7	971
Fourth	85.2	90.5	51.4	975
Highest	85.5	91.5	50.3	1,197
Total	81.1	87.3	53.5	5,022

Table 6.2 Knowledge of place of treatment for malaria

Percentage of women age 15-49 who reported that malaria treatment can be received from a specific provider, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

				Community				
Background		Health		health	Other public	Private	Other	Number of
characteristic	Hospital	center/post	Outreach	worker	sector	sector	sector	women
Residence								
Urban	15.6	89.6	2.4	41.1	0.0	16.8	0.1	1,143
Rural	12.0	87.4	2.1	42.6	0.1	3.5	0.4	3,879
Province								
Kigali city	12.5	90.2	1.7	40.1	0.0	15.5	0.0	809
South	9.8	84.4	2.7	52.3	0.1	5.9	0.4	1,019
West	12.5	88.6	2.8	34.1	0.1	4.7	0.4	1,111
North	19.9	86.3	2.4	22.2	0.0	2.2	0.5	952
East	10.3	90.1	1.2	59.4	0.2	6.0	0.4	1,131
Education								
No education	8.4	83.8	1.4	36.7	0.0	2.3	0.6	625
Primary	12.1	88.5	2.0	42.3	0.1	4.8	0.4	3,096
Secondary or higher	16.9	88.4	2.8	44.6	0.1	12.4	0.1	1,301
Wealth guintile								
Lowest	11.0	83.9	3.1	38.4	0.1	3.8	0.5	942
Second	10.4	85.4	2.3	39.8	0.2	3.8	0.6	937
Middle	13.7	89.0	2.0	43.6	0.0	3.1	0.1	971
Fourth	12.0	90.5	2.0	45.3	0.0	3.9	0.4	975
Highest	16.2	90.0	1.6	43.5	0.1	15.6	0.3	1,197
Total	12.9	87.9	2.2	42.2	0.1	6.5	0.4	5,022

Table 6.3 Media exposure to malaria messages

Percentage of women age 15-49 who have seen or heard a message about malaria in the past 6 months through specific sources of media, according to background characteristics, Rwanda MIS 2017

Background characteristic	Radio	Television	Poster/ Billboard	Community health worker	Community event	Anywhere else	Number of women
Age							
15-19	40.9	6.2	9.7	22.9	10.6	16.4	966
20-24	38.4	4.9	7.9	24.7	15.0	13.8	849
25-29	41.6	6.4	7.7	29.9	19.0	13.2	823
30-34	42.4	5.1	6.7	32.2	23.7	15.8	776
35-39	42.6	5.8	5.6	32.4	24.4	15.2	673
40-44	42.2	4.5	5.2	35.9	24.4	15.5	545
45-49	41.4	3.4	4.5	37.8	27.7	10.9	390
Residence							
Urban	47.0	13.6	8.8	21.2	14.4	14.5	1,143
Rural	39.5	3.0	6.7	32.1	20.9	14.7	3,879
Province							
Kigali city	48.4	16.0	10.1	24.6	19.2	13.2	809
South	32.6	2.5	4.3	21.6	11.0	16.7	1,019
West	36.9	2.6	4.7	30.3	18.0	13.2	1,111
North	47.6	5.1	8.6	32.3	23.9	14.4	952
East	42.8	3.4	8.9	37.5	24.7	15.5	1,131
Education							
No education	25.5	1.1	0.8	26.9	15.9	9.1	625
Primary	39.7	2.6	5.4	31.4	21.2	14.4	3,096
Secondary or higher	52.5	14.2	14.4	26.7	16.8	18.0	1,301
Wealth quintile							
Lowest	23.1	0.8	2.9	24.0	15.7	11.8	942
Second	32.3	0.4	3.3	30.7	18.5	15.1	937
Middle	42.1	1.9	6.8	35.0	22.2	16.2	971
Fourth	50.8	3.2	10.3	36.0	23.5	14.6	975
Highest	54.0	17.5	11.3	23.7	17.5	15.4	1,197
Total	41.2	5.4	7.2	29.6	19.4	14.7	5,022

REFERENCES

Doolan, D. L., C. Dobano, and J. K. Baird. 2009. "Acquired Immunity to Malaria." *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 22:13-36. https://doi: 10.1128/CMR.00025-08.

Moody, A. 2002. "Rapid Diagnostic Tests for Malaria Parasites." *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 15:66-78. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.15.1.66-78.2002.

Rwanda Biomedical Center (RBC). 2017. Rwanda Malaria Control Extended National Strategic Plan 2013-2020. RBC: Kigali, Rwanda.

Shulman, C. E., and E. K. Dorman. 2003. "Importance and Prevention of Malaria in Pregnancy." *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 97 (1): 30–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0035-9203(03)90012-5.



A.1 INTRODUCTION

The 2017 Rwanda Malaria Indicator Survey (RMIS) is a nationwide survey with a nationally representative sample of approximately 5,041 households. The survey provides information on key malaria control indictors, such as the proportion of households having at least one bed net and at least one insecticide-treated net (ITN). It looks at the proportion under age 5 who slept under a bed net the previous night, and under an ITN, and tests for the prevalence of malaria among all household members. Among pregnant women, the survey assesses the proportion of pregnant women who slept under a bed net the previous night.

In Rwanda, there are five provinces divided into 30 districts. In addition to reporting the survey estimates for the country as a whole and for urban and rural areas separately, the survey reports estimates for each of the five provinces. The 30 districts are distributed over the five provinces as follows:

Kigali city: Nyarugenge, Gasabo, Kicukiro

South: Nyanza, Gisagara, Nyaruguru, Huye, Nyamagabe, Ruhango, Muhanga, Kamonyi

West: Karongi, Rutsiro, Rubavu, Nyabihu, Ngororero, Rusizi, Nyamasheke

North: Rulindo, Gakenke, Musanze, Burera, Gicumbi

East: Rwamagana, Nyagatare, Gatsibo, Kayonza, Kirehe, Ngoma, Bugesera

A.2 SAMPLE FRAME

The sampling frame used for the 2017 RMIS is the Rwanda Population and Housing Census (RPHC), which was conducted in 2012. The sampling frame is a complete list of enumeration areas (EAs) covering the whole country, provided by the National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda (NISR). An EA is a natural village, or a part of a village, created for the RPHC 2012, and which served as a counting unit for the census. Each EA appears with identification information, administrative information, and a measure of size, which is the number of residential households within the EA. Each EA is also classified into one of the four types of residence: urban, semi-urban, peri-urban, and rural. The urban and the semi-urban are grouped together as "urban" areas, and the peri-urban and rural are grouped together as "rural" areas. Each EA also has accompanying cartographic identifiers, which delineate geographic location, boundaries, main access, and land marks both inside and outside the EA that help to identify each EA.

Table A.1 indicates the percentage distribution of households by province, district, and by type of residence. The province size varies from 12.0% (Kigali city) to 24.7% (East and South province). In Rwanda, 17.4% of the households are in urban areas. The percentage of urban areas is highest in Kigali city (76.3%) and is smallest in East province (7.5%).

		Numb	er of household	s in frame	. .	Percentage of
Province	District	Urban	Rural	Total	Percent urban	total households in the frame
Kigali city	Nyarugenge	53,512	17,369	70,881	75.5	2.9
	Gasabo	100,235	41,718	141,953	70.6	5.9
	Kicukiro	68,538	9,980	78,518	87.3	3.2
Kigali city Total		222,285	69,067	291,352	76.3	12.0
South	Nyanza	6,533	68,514	75,047	8.7	3.1
	Gisagara	1,242	75,995	77,237	1.6	3.2
	Nyaruguru	1,395	59,895	61,290	2.3	2.5
	Huve	11,350	67,002	78,352	14.5	3.2
	Nyamagabe	4,933	70,093	75.026	6.6	3.1
	Ruhango	6,517	70,034	76,551	8.5	3.2
	Muhanga	10,445	63,296	73,741	14.2	3.0
	Kamonyi	9,624	71,482	81,106	11.9	3.3
South Total		52,039	546,311	598,350	8.7	24.7
West	Karongi	5,904	67,847	73,751	8.0	3.0
	Rutsiro	1,457	69,813	71,270	2.0	2.9
	Rubavu	34,345	54,702	89,047	38.6	3.7
	Nyabihu	8,671	57,551	66,222	13.1	2.7
	Ngororero	3,021	76,209	79,230	3.8	3.3
	Rusizi	13,314	70,442	83,756	15.9	3.5
	Nyamasheke	1,389	80,914	82,303	1.7	3.4
West Total		68,101	477,478	545,579	12.5	22.5
North	Rulindo	2,087	65,364	67,451	3.1	2.8
	Gakenke	2,505	77,257	79,762	3.1	3.3
	Musanze	23,262	61,520	84,782	27.4	3.5
	Burera	1,504	72,197	73,701	2.0	3.0
	Gicumbi	5,629	80,796	86,425	6.5	3.6
North Total		34,987	357,134	392,121	8.9	16.2
East	Rwamagana	6,615	67,585	74,200	8.9	3.1
	Nyagatare	12,128	94,622	106,750	11.4	4.4
	Gatsibo	5,877	90,254	96,131	6.1	4.0
	Kayonza	7,433	70,735	78,168	9.5	3.2
	Kirehe	2,359	75,331	77,690	3.0	3.2
	Ngoma	3,360	76,585	79,945	4.2	3.3
	Bugesera	7,238	78,237	85,475	8.5	3.5
East Total		45,010	553,349	598,359	7.5	24.7
Rwanda		422,422	2,003,339	2,425,761	17.4	100.0

Table A.1 Distribution of residential households by province, district, and residence

Table A.2 indicates the distribution of EAs and their average size in number of households by province, district, and by type of residence. There is a total of 16,640 EAs, excluding 88 institutional EAs; among them 2,554 are in urban areas, and 14,086 are in rural areas. The average EA size is 146 households; the urban EAs are larger, with an average of 165 households per EA; the rural EAs are smaller, with an average size of 142 households per EA. The EA size is adequate for being a primary sampling unit (PSU) with a sample take of 30 households per EA.

		Nu	mber of enumer areas in frame			ber of residentia enumeration are	
Province	District	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total
Kigali city	Nyarugenge	396	122	518	135	142	137
	Gasabo	585	262	847	171	159	168
	Kicukiro	473	72	545	145	139	144
Kigali city Total		1,454	456	1,910	153	151	153
South	Nyanza	36	432	468	181	159	160
	Gisagara	9	533	542	138	143	143
	Nyaruguru	8	391	399	174	153	154
	Huye	64	486	550	177	138	142
	Nyamagabe	31	525	556	159	134	135
	Ruhango	40	511	551	163	137	139
	Muhanga	49	361	410	213	175	180
	Kamonyi	41	386	427	235	185	190
South Total		278	3,625	3,903	187	151	153
West	Karongi	35	511	546	169	133	135
	Rutsiro	9	482	491	162	145	145
	Rubavu	203	375	578	169	146	154
	Nyabihu	44	445	489	197	129	135
	Ngororero	16	484	500	189	157	158
	Rusizi	83	543	626	160	130	134
	Nyamasheke	8	602	610	174	134	135
West Total		398	3,442	3,840	171	139	142
North	Rulindo	11	492	503	190	133	134
	Gakenke	17	603	620	147	128	129
	Musanze	116	405	521	201	152	163
	Burera	10	582	592	150	124	124
	Gicumbi	34	611	645	166	132	134
North Total		188	2,693	2,881	186	133	136
East	Rwamagana	39	467	506	170	145	147
	Nyagatare	59	635	694	206	149	154
	Gatsibo	28	643	671	210	140	143
	Kayonza	35	426	461	212	166	170
	Kirehe	17	613	630	139	123	123
	Ngoma	20	510	530	168	150	151
	Bugesera	38	576	614	190	136	139
East Total		236	3,870	4,106	191	143	146
Rwanda		2554	14,086	16,640	165	142	146

Table A.2 Distribution of enumeration areas by province, district, and residence

Distribution of the enumeration areas in the sampling frame and average number of residential households per enumeration area, by province,

A.3 **SAMPLE DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION**

The sample for the 2017 RMIS is a stratified sample selected in two stages from the sampling frame. Stratification was achieved by separating each province into districts; each district forms a sampling stratum. In total, 30 sampling strata were created. Samples was selected independently in each sampling stratum, by a two-stage selection process. In the first stage, 170 clusters were selected with a stratified probabilityproportional-to-size sampling procedure, according to the sample allocation given in Table 5. Implicit stratification and proportional allocation would be achieved at urban/rural and lower administrative unit levels by sorting the sampling frame within the explicit stratum according to type of residence and administrative unit in different levels. This would be done before sample selection and by using a probability- proportional-to-size selection at the first stage of sampling.

After the first-stage selection and before the main survey, a household listing operation was carried out in all selected clusters. The household listing operation consisted of (1) visiting each of the 170 selected clusters to draw a location map and a detailed sketch map and (2) recording on the household listing forms all residential households found in the cluster with the address and the name of the head of the household. The resulting list of households served as the sampling frame in the second stage. Some of the selected clusters were large in size in the household listing operation. To minimize the task of household listing, the selected clusters with an estimated number of households greater than 300 were segmented. Only one segment was selected for the survey with probability proportional to the segment size. The methodology and the detailed household listing procedure are addressed in the household listing manual.

At the second stage, a fixed number of 30 households were selected from the newly established household listing for each selected cluster. Household selection was performed in the central office prior to the survey. The survey interviewers conducted interviews only in the preselected households. No replacements and no changes of the preselected households were allowed in the implementing stages in order to prevent bias.

Table A3 below shows the sample allocation of clusters and households by district and by province. Because of the budget and implementing constraints, the sample allocation is an equal size allocation at district level with small variations across provinces: 5 clusters and 150 households per district except for the districts in Kigali city and in North province where, because of their small number of districts, where 10 clusters and 300 households are allocated to each district in Kigali city, and 6 clusters and 180 households are allocated to each district in Kigali city and 180 households are allocated to each district in Kigali city.

Province	District	Number of clusters	Number of households	Number of households per province
Kigali city	Gasabo	10	300	900
• •	Kicukiro	10	300	
	Nyarugenge	10	300	
South	Gisagara	5	150	1,200
	Huye	5	150	
	Kamonyi	5	150	
	Muhanga	5	150	
	Nyamagabe	5	150	
	Nyanza	5	150	
	Nyaruguru	5	150	
	Ruhango	5	150	
West	Karongi	5	150	1,050
	Ngororero	5	150	
	Nyabihu	5	150	
	Nyamasheke	5	150	
	Rubavu	5	150	
	Rusizi	5	150	
	Rutsiro	5	150	
North	Burera	6	180	900
	Gakenke	6	180	
	Gicumbi	6	180	
	Musanze	6	180	
	Rulindo	6	180	
East	Bugesera	5	150	1,050
	Gatsibo	5	150	
	Kayonza	5	150	
	Kirehe	5	150	
	Ngoma	5	150	
	Nyagatare	5	150	
	Rwamagana	5	150	
Rwanda		170	5,100	5,100

Table A.3 Sample allocation of enumeration areas and households

Sample allocation of enumeration areas and selected households by region, according to residence, Rwanda 2017

Table A.4 shows the distribution of households and eligible women age 15-49 by results of the household and individual interviews, and household, eligible women's and overall women's response rates, by type of residence and by province.

Table A.4 Sample implementation: Women

Percent distribution of households and eligible women age 15-49 by results of the household and individual interviews, and household, eligible women and overall women's response rates, according to residence and province (unweighted), Rwanda MIS 2017

	Resi	dence			Province			
Result	Urban	Rural	Kigali city	South	West	North	East	Total
Selected households								
Completed (C)	98.1	99.2	97.6	99.2	98.8	99.4	99.5	98.9
Household present but no competent								
respondent at home (HP)	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.3
Refused (R)	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Dwelling not found (DNF)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Household absent (HA)	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.4
Dwelling vacant/address not a dwelling (DV)	0.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2
Other (O)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Number of sampled households	1,200	3,896	900	1,199	1,047	900	1,050	5,096
Household response rate (HRR) ¹	99.1	99.8	99.0	99.7	99.6	99.8	99.8	99.6
Eligible women								
Completed (EWC)	98.7	98.7	98.3	97.6	99.0	99.8	99.0	98.7
Not at home (EWNH)	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.4
Postponed (EWP)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Refused (EWR)	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Partly completed (EWPC)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
Incapacitated (EWI)	0.1	0.6	0.2	1.1	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.5
Other (EWO)	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Number of women	1,339	3,749	1,000	1,122	1,050	930	986	5,088
Eligible women response rate (EWRR) ²	98.7	98.7	98.3	97.6	99.0	99.8	99.0	98.7
Overall women response rate (ORR) ³	97.7	98.5	97.3	97.3	98.7	99.6	98.8	98.3

¹ Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:

100 * C

C + HP + P + R + DNF

² The eligible wome'sn response rate (EWRR) is equivalent to the percentage of interviews completed (EWC)

³ The overall women's response rate (OWRR) is calculated as:

OWRR = HRR * EWRR/100

A.4 SAMPLE PROBABILITIES AND SAMPLING WEIGHTS

Because of the nonproportional allocation of the sample to the different reporting domains, sampling weights will be required for any analysis using RMIS 2017 data to ensure the actual representability of the sample. Because the 2017 RMIS sample is a two-stage stratified cluster sample, sampling weights will be calculated based on sampling probabilities, which will be calculated separately for each sampling stage and for each cluster. We use the following notations:

- P_{1hi} : sampling probability of the i^{th} EA in stratum h
- P_{2hi} : sampling probability within the *i*th cluster for households
- P_{hi} : overall sampling probability of any households of the i^{th} cluster in stratum h

Let a_h be the number of clusters selected in stratum *h* for the RMIS 2017, M_{hi} the number of households according to the sampling frame in the *i*th cluster, and $\sum M_{hi}$ the total number of structures in the stratum *h*. The probability of selecting the ith cluster in stratum h for the RMIS 2017 is calculated as follows:

$$P_{1hi} = \frac{a_h M_{hi}}{\sum M_{hi}}$$

Let L_{hi} and g_{hi} (g_{hi} =30 for all h and i for RMIS 2017) be the number of households listed and selected in the *i*th cluster in stratum *h*. The probability for selecting a household in the *i*th cluster is calculated as follows:

$$P_{2hi} = \frac{g_{hi}}{L_{hi}}$$

The overall selection probability of each household in cluster i of stratum h is therefore the production of the selection probabilities:

$$P_{hi} = P_{1hi} \times P_{2hi} = \frac{a_h g_{hi} M_{hi}}{L_{hi} \sum M_{hi}}$$

The sampling weight for each household in cluster i of stratum h is the inverse of its overall selection probability:

$$W_{hi} = 1 / P_{hi}$$

A spreadsheet containing all sampling parameters and selection probabilities will be constructed to facilitate the calculation of sampling weights. Household sampling weights and the women's individual sampling weights are obtained by adjusting the above-calculated weight to compensate for household nonresponse and women's individual nonresponse, respectively. These weights will be further normalized at the national level to produce unweighted cases equal to weighted cases for both households and individual women at the national level. The normalized weights are valid for estimation of proportions and means at any aggregation levels, but not valid for estimation of totals.

The estimates from a sample survey are affected by two types of errors: nonsampling errors and sampling errors. Nonsampling errors are the results of mistakes made in implementing data collection and data processing, such as failure to locate and interview the correct household, misunderstanding of the questions on the part of either the interviewer or the respondent, and data entry errors. Although numerous efforts were made during the implementation of the Rwanda MIS 2017 (2017 RMIS) to minimize this type of error, nonsampling errors are impossible to avoid and difficult to evaluate statistically.

Sampling errors, on the other hand, can be evaluated statistically. The sample of respondents selected in the 2017 RMIS is only one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and expected size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability among all possible samples. Although the degree of variability is not known exactly, it can be estimated from the survey results.

A sampling error is usually measured in terms of the *standard error* for a particular statistic (mean, percentage, etc.), which is the square root of the variance. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which the true value for the population can reasonably be assumed to fall. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

If the sample of respondents had been selected as a simple random sample, it would have been possible to use straightforward formulas for calculating sampling errors. However, the 2017 RMIS sample is the result of a multi-stage stratified design, and, consequently, it was necessary to use more complex formulas. The computer software used to calculate sampling errors for the 2017 RMIS is a SAS program. This program used the Taylor linearization method of variance estimation for survey estimates that are means, proportions, or ratios.

The Taylor linearization method treats any percentage or average as a ratio estimate, r = y/x, where y represents the total sample value for variable y, and x represents the total number of cases in the group or subgroup under consideration. The variance of r is computed using the formula given below, with the standard error being the square root of the variance:

$$SE^{2}(r) = var(r) = \frac{1-f}{x^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{H} \left[\frac{m_{h}}{m_{h}-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} z_{hi}^{2} - \frac{z_{h}^{2}}{m_{h}} \right) \right]$$

in which

$$z_{hi} = y_{hi} - rx_{hi}$$
, and $z_h = y_h - rx_h$

where h represents the stratum, which varies from 1 to H, m_h is the total number of clusters selected in the h^{th} stratum, y_{hi} is the sum of the weighted values of variable y in the i^{th} cluster in the h^{th} stratum, x_{hi} is the sum of the weighted number of cases in the i^{th} cluster in the h^{th} stratum, and f is the overall sampling fraction, which is so small that it is ignored. In addition to the standard error, the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate is calculated; it is defined as the ratio between the standard error using the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a value greater than 1.0 indicates the increase in the sampling error due to the use of a more complex and less statistically efficient design. The relative standard error and confidence limits for the estimates are also calculated.

Sampling errors for the RMIS 2017 are calculated for selected variables considered to be of primary interest. The results are presented in this appendix for the country as a whole, for urban and rural areas separately, and for each of the five provinces. For each variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion, or rate) and the base population are given in Table B.1. Tables B.2 to B.9 present the value of the statistic (R), its standard error (SE), the number of unweighted (N) and weighted (WN) cases, the design effect (DEFT), the relative standard error (SE/R), and the 95% confidence limits (R±2SE), for each variable. The DEFT is considered undefined when the standard error considering simple random sample is zero (when the estimate is close to 0 or 1). In the case of the total fertility rate, the number of unweighted cases is not relevant, as there is no known unweighted value for woman-years of exposure to child-bearing.

The confidence interval, for example, as calculated for children under age 5 who "*Had a fever in last 2 weeks*" can be interpreted as follows: the overall proportion from the national sample is 0.304, and its standard error is 0.012. Therefore, to obtain the 95% confidence limits, one adds and subtracts twice the standard error to the sample estimate, that is, $0.304\pm2\times0.012$. There is a high probability (95%) that the *true* average proportion of children under 5 who had fever in the last2 weeks is between 0.279 and 0.328.

For the total sample, the value of the DEFT, averaged over all variables, is 1.607. This means that, due to multi-staging and clustering of the sample, the average standard error is increased by a factor of 1.607 over that in an equivalent simple random sample.

VARIABLE	Type of Estimate	Page Deputation
		Base Population
HOUS	EHOLDS	
Proportion of households having at least one bed net of any type	Proportion	All households interviewed
Average number of any bed net per household	Mean	All households interviewed
Proportion of households having at least one ITN	Proportion	All households interviewed
Average number of ITNs per household	Mean	All households interviewed
CHILDREN	UNDER FIVE	
Slept under any bed net last night	Proportion	All children under age 5
Slept under an ITN last night	Proportion	All children under age 5
Had a fever in last 2 weeks	Proportion	All children under age 5
Sought medical treatment for fever	Proportion	Children under age 5 had fever
Received medical treatment for fever	Proportion	Children under age 5 had fever
Received ACT treatment for fever	Proportion	Children under age 5 had fever
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (6-59 months)	Proportion	All children under age 5 who were tested
Prevalence of malaria (microscopy test) (6-59 months)	Proportion	All children under age 5 who were tested
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 5-14)	Proportion	All children 5-14 who were tested
Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 5-14)	Proportion	All children 5-14 who were tested
AD	OULTS	
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 15+)	Proportion	All adults 15+ years who were tested
Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 15+)	Proportion	All adults 15+ years who were tested
WOMEN AND P	REGNANT WOMEN	
Slept under any bed net last night	Proportion	Pregnant women 15-49
Slept under an ITN last night	Proportion	Pregnant women 15-49

Table B.2 Sampling errors: Total sample, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Numbe	er of cases			Confide	nce limits
Variable	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weight ed (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOU	JSEHOLDS						
Proportion of households having at least one bed net of any type Average number of any bed nets per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN Average number of ITNs per household	0.864 1.921 0.841 1.827	0.007 0.030 0.008 0.030	5041 5041 5041 5041	5041 5041 5041 5041	1.457 1.672 1.507 1.684	0.008 0.016 0.009 0.016	0.850 1.861 0.825 1.767	0.878 1.982 0.856 1.887
Proportion of households having at least one ITN per two people	0.551	0.012	5040	5038	1.646	0.021	0.528	0.574
	CI	HILDREN						
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night Had a fever in last 2 weeks Sough for medical treatment for fever Received ACT treatment for fever Prevalence of malaria (RDT) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 5-14) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 5-14)	0.700 0.680 0.304 0.563 0.994 0.118 0.072 0.151 0.112	0.015 0.014 0.012 0.020 0.006 0.013 0.009 0.013 0.009	3055 3055 2883 870 157 2668 2716 5424 5514	3060 3060 2929 890 174 2676 2718 5536 5622	1.524 1.487 1.377 1.136 1.038 1.870 1.729 2.043 1.758	0.021 0.021 0.041 0.035 0.006 0.111 0.131 0.085 0.083	0.670 0.651 0.279 0.524 0.982 0.092 0.053 0.125 0.094	0.729 0.709 0.328 0.602 1.006 0.144 0.091 0.177 0.131
		ADULTS						
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 15+) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 15+)	0.067 0.055 PREGN	0.006 0.005 IANT WOMEN	10874 11032	10899 11044	2.070 2.126	0.087 0.097	0.055 0.044	0.079 0.066
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night	0.715 0.685	0.027 0.029	340 340	342 342	1.128 1.141	0.038 0.042	0.660 0.627	0.770 0.742

Table B.3 Sampling errors: Urban sample, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
Variable	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOU	JSEHOLDS						
Proportion of households having at least one bed net of any type Average number of any bed nets per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN Average number of ITNs per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN per two people	0.864 2.116 0.843 2.040 0.643	0.008 0.045 0.009 0.043 0.013	1177 1177 1177 1177 1177	1024 1024 1024 1024 1024	0.832 1.046 0.872 1.004 0.955	0.010 0.021 0.011 0.021 0.021	0.847 2.026 0.825 1.954 0.616	0.881 2.206 0.862 2.126 0.669
	С	HILDREN						
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night Had a fever in last 2 weeks Sough for medical treatment for fever Received ACT treatment for fever Prevalence of malaria (RDT) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 5-14) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 5-14)	0.781 0.755 0.278 0.597 na 0.029 0.020 0.037 0.028	0.020 0.021 0.027 0.028 na 0.008 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.007	676 676 660 184 12 587 597 1036 1045	584 584 577 160 11 516 522 922 927	1.079 1.121 1.500 0.755 na 1.066 1.588 1.346 1.111	0.026 0.028 0.098 0.047 na 0.266 0.490 0.271 0.244	0.741 0.712 0.224 0.540 na 0.014 0.000 0.017 0.014	0.820 0.798 0.332 0.653 na 0.045 0.045 0.039 0.056 0.041
	/	ADULTS						
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 15+) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 15+)	0.025 0.015	0.007 0.004	2553 2578	2224 2235	2.199 1.529	0.297 0.260	0.010 0.007	0.040 0.023
	PREG	NANT WOME	EN					
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night	0.835 0.774	0.029 0.041	78 78	72 72	0.710 0.895	0.035 0.053	0.777 0.692	0.893 0.857

Table B.4 Sampling errors: Rural sample, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
Variable	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOU	JSEHOLDS						
Proportion of households having at least one bed net of any type Average number of any bed nets per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN Average number of ITNs per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN per two people	0.864 1.872 0.840 1.772 0.528	0.008 0.035 0.009 0.034 0.014	3864 3864 3864 3864 3863	4017 4017 4017 4017 4015	1.471 1.752 1.506 1.755 1.692	0.009 0.019 0.011 0.019 0.026	0.847 1.802 0.822 1.704 0.500	0.880 1.941 0.858 1.840 0.555
	С	HILDREN						
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night Had a fever in last 2 weeks Sough for medical treatment for fever Received ACT treatment for fever Prevalence of malaria (RDT) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 5-14) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 5-14)	0.680 0.662 0.310 0.555 0.994 0.139 0.084 0.174 0.129	0.017 0.017 0.013 0.021 0.006 0.015 0.011 0.014 0.010	2379 2379 2223 686 145 2081 2119 4388 4469	2476 2476 2352 730 163 2160 2196 4614 4695	1.580 1.539 1.245 1.092 1.015 1.820 1.676 1.930 1.627	0.025 0.026 0.041 0.039 0.006 0.111 0.132 0.082 0.080	0.646 0.628 0.284 0.513 0.981 0.108 0.062 0.145 0.108	0.715 0.697 0.336 0.598 1.007 0.170 0.107 0.202 0.149
		ADULTS						
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 15+) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 15+)	0.078	0.007 0.006	8321 8454	8675 8809	1.890 1.991	0.084 0.094	0.065 0.053	0.091 0.078
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night	0.683 0.661	0.032 0.032	<u>-</u> N 262 262	271 271	1.104 1.101	0.046 0.049	0.620 0.596	0.746 0.725

Table B.5 Sampling errors: Kigali city sample, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
Variable	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOI	JSEHOLDS						
Proportion of households having at least one bed net of any type Average number of any bed nets per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN Average number of ITNs per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN per two people	0.864 2.054 0.842 1.965 0.635	0.015 0.072 0.016 0.064 0.023	878 878 878 878 878	727 727 727 727 727 727	1.318 1.516 1.302 1.345 1.401	0.018 0.035 0.019 0.033 0.036	0.834 1.909 0.810 1.837 0.590	0.895 2.199 0.874 2.093 0.681
	С	HILDREN						
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night Had a fever in last 2 weeks Sough for medical treatment for fever Received ACT treatment for fever Prevalence of malaria (RDT) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 5-14) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 5-14)	0.782 0.756 0.248 0.545 na 0.069 0.031 0.082 0.054	0.032 0.034 0.038 0.042 na 0.016 0.014 0.040 0.020	497 497 136 12 426 436 747 757	425 425 433 107 10 367 373 649 658	1.505 1.563 1.927 0.932 na 1.277 1.620 3.183 2.158	0.041 0.045 0.153 0.077 na 0.234 0.460 0.485 0.365	0.718 0.688 0.172 0.461 na 0.037 0.002 0.002 0.002	0.845 0.825 0.325 0.628 1.000 0.101 0.059 0.162 0.093
	1	ADULTS						
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 15+) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 15+)	0.052 0.027	0.013 0.007	1852 1875	1526 1535	2.294 1.781	0.256 0.266	0.025 0.013	0.079 0.041
	PREG	NANT WOME	EN					
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night	0.784 0.716	0.083 0.089	62 62	53 53	1.609 1.579	0.106 0.125	0.618 0.537	0.951 0.895

Table B.6 Sampling errors: South sample, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
Variable	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOU	JSEHOLDS						
Proportion of households having at least one bed net of any type Average number of any bed nets per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN Average number of ITNs per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN per two people	0.839 1.677 0.817 1.601 0.497	0.013 0.064 0.015 0.064 0.025	1189 1189 1189 1189 1189 1189	1094 1094 1094 1094 1094	1.232 1.880 1.295 1.922 1.734	0.016 0.038 0.018 0.040 0.051	0.813 1.549 0.787 1.472 0.447	0.865 1.805 0.846 1.729 0.548
	С	HILDREN						
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night Had a fever in last 2 weeks Sough for medical treatment for fever Received ACT treatment for fever Prevalence of malaria (RDT) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 5-14) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 5-14)	0.654 0.630 0.357 0.483 na 0.144 0.087 0.206 0.172	0.029 0.030 0.025 0.038 na 0.027 0.021 0.031 0.026	654 654 583 201 40 575 584 1287 1308	591 591 537 192 40 522 530 1188 1206	1.348 1.370 1.205 1.122 na 1.655 1.625 2.213 2.079	0.044 0.047 0.070 0.079 na 0.186 0.238 0.151 0.150	0.596 0.571 0.307 0.407 na 0.090 0.046 0.144 0.121	0.712 0.690 0.408 0.559 na 0.197 0.129 0.268 0.224
		ADULTS						
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 15+) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 15+)	0.091 0.075	0.013 0.010	2529 2569	2331 2367	2.030 1.758	0.146 0.136	0.065 0.055	0.118 0.096
	PREG	NANT WOME	EN					
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night	0.678	0.052	<u>81</u> 81	74 74	1.006 1.108	0.077	0.573	0.782

Table B.7 Sampling errors: West sample, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
Variable	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HO	JSEHOLDS						
Proportion of households having at least one bed net of any type Average number of any bed nets per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN Average number of ITNs per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN per two people	0.905 2.073 0.895 2.011 0.589	0.013 0.073 0.012 0.072 0.029	1034 1034 1034 1034 1034	1098 1098 1098 1098 1098	1.374 1.839 1.303 1.837 1.865	0.014 0.035 0.014 0.036 0.049	0.880 1.928 0.870 1.867 0.532	0.930 2.218 0.919 2.154 0.646
	С	HILDREN						
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night Had a fever in last 2 weeks Sough for medical treatment for fever Received ACT treatment for fever Prevalence of malaria (RDT) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 5-14) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 5-14)	0.715 0.702 0.288 0.604 na 0.031 0.018 0.038 0.023	0.031 0.029 0.023 0.040 na 0.011 0.007 0.010 0.006	694 694 667 194 24 610 620 1176 1194	731 731 715 206 28 640 650 1237 1256	1.575 1.479 1.289 1.059 na 1.414 1.292 1.508 1.224	0.043 0.042 0.080 0.066 na 0.356 0.383 0.253 0.259	0.654 0.644 0.242 0.525 na 0.009 0.004 0.019 0.011	0.777 0.761 0.334 0.684 na 0.054 0.032 0.057 0.035
		ADULTS						
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 15+) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 15+)	0.024 0.019	0.006 0.005	2265 2297	2413 2444	1.773 1.654	0.265 0.259	0.011 0.009	0.037 0.029
	PREG	NANT WOM	ΞN					
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night	0.732 0.732	0.051 0.051	76 76	82 82	1.013 1.013	0.070 0.070	0.629 0.629	0.834 0.834

Table B.8 Sampling errors: North sample, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
Variable	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOU	JSEHOLDS						
Proportion of households having at least one bed net of any type Average number of any bed nets per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN Average number of ITNs per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN per two people	0.926 2.226 0.916 2.150 0.673	0.013 0.053 0.014 0.046 0.016	895 895 895 895 895 894	935 935 935 935 932	1.544 1.285 1.520 1.137 0.993	0.015 0.024 0.015 0.021 0.023	0.899 2.120 0.888 2.058 0.642	0.953 2.331 0.945 2.243 0.704
	С	HILDREN						
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night Had a fever in last 2 weeks Sough for medical treatment for fever Received ACT treatment for fever Prevalence of malaria (RDT) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 5-14) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) age 5-14)	0.785 0.764 0.217 0.551 na 0.013 0.007 0.025 0.012	0.029 0.026 0.017 0.068 na 0.005 0.004 0.016 0.008	540 540 510 107 5 469 483 961 983	554 554 527 115 5 484 498 1024 1045	1.441 1.286 0.907 1.415 na 0.917 1.064 2.528 2.023	0.037 0.034 0.080 0.123 na 0.435 0.582 0.641 0.656	0.728 0.711 0.183 0.415 na 0.002 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.842 0.817 0.252 0.686 na 0.023 0.015 0.056 0.029
		ADULTS						
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 15+) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 15+)	0.014 0.014	0.004 0.004 NANT WOME	1971 2005	2036 2067	1.378 1.525	0.300 0.292	0.006 0.006	0.023 0.022
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night	0.873 0.860	0.045 0.045	61 61	67 67	1.076 1.039	0.051 0.052	0.784 0.770	0.962 0.950

Table B.9 Sampling errors: East sample, Rwanda MIS 2017

			Number	of cases			Confide	nce limits
Variable	Value (R)	Standard error (SE)	Un- weighted (N)	Weighted (WN)	Design effect (DEFT)	Relative error (SE/R)	Lower (R-2SE)	Upper (R+2SE)
	HOU	JSEHOLDS						
Proportion of households having at least one bed net of any type Average number of any bed nets per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN Average number of ITNs per household Proportion of households having at least one ITN per two people	0.798 1.685 0.753 1.525 0.417	0.020 0.059 0.024 0.066 0.025	1045 1045 1045 1045 1045	1187 1187 1187 1187 1187 1187	1.586 1.488 1.766 1.733 1.643	0.025 0.035 0.031 0.043 0.060	0.759 1.566 0.706 1.393 0.367	0.838 1.804 0.800 1.658 0.467
	С	HILDREN						
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night Had a fever in last 2 weeks Sough for medical treatment for fever Received ACT treatment for fever Prevalence of malaria (RDT) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (age 5-14s) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 5-14)	0.612 0.593 0.376 0.601 0.989 0.285 0.184 0.324 0.237	0.036 0.037 0.026 0.036 0.012 0.042 0.030 0.030 0.030	670 670 624 232 76 588 593 1253 1272	759 759 717 270 91 663 667 1438 1457	1.652 1.664 1.261 1.050 0.990 2.005 1.715 1.758 1.432	0.060 0.063 0.070 0.061 0.012 0.149 0.165 0.091 0.091	0.539 0.519 0.324 0.528 0.965 0.201 0.123 0.265 0.194	0.685 0.668 0.429 0.674 1.012 0.370 0.245 0.384 0.281
		ADULTS						
Prevalence of malaria (RDT) (15+ years) Prevalence of malaria (microscopy) (age 15+)	0.136 0.119	0.016 0.016	2257 2286	2593 2630	1.920 2.016	0.118 0.134	0.104 0.087	0.168 0.151
	PREG	NANT WOME	EN					
Slept under any bed net last night Slept under an ITN last night	0.519 0.472	0.071 0.071	60 60	66 66	1.091 1.103	0.136 0.151	0.378 0.329	0.660 0.614

DATA QUALITY TABLES

Table C.1 Household age distribution

Single-year age distribution of the de facto household population by sex (weighted), Rwanda MIS 2017

	Fer	Female		Male		Fer	male	Male	
Age	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Age	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
)	285	2.6	324	3.5	36	122	1.1	121	1.3
1	308	2.9	344	3.7	37	143	1.3	106	1.1
2	284	2.6	289	3.1	38	117	1.1	85	0.9
3	296	2.8	310	3.3	39	107	1.0	87	0.9
1	276	2.6	309	3.3	40	147	1.4	67	0.7
5	263	2.4	271	2.9	41	88	0.8	53	0.6
6	280	2.6	274	3.0	42	104	1.0	93	1.0
7	292	2.7	280	3.0	43	111	1.0	65	0.7
8	268	2.5	263	2.8	44	98	0.9	75	0.8
9	291	2.7	335	3.6	45	100	0.9	89	1.0
10	305	2.8	282	3.0	46	76	0.7	50	0.5
11	333	3.1	295	3.2	47	83	0.8	63	0.7
12	293	2.7	317	3.4	48	62	0.6	63	0.7
3	273	2.5	278	3.0	49	69	0.6	67	0.7
4	272	2.5	253	2.7	50	66	0.6	54	0.6
5	268	2.5	224	2.4	51	83	0.8	43	0.5
6	168	1.6	191	2.1	52	74	0.7	39	0.4
7	226	2.1	246	2.7	53	65	0.6	40	0.4
8	149	1.4	182	2.0	54	79	0.7	48	0.5
9	157	1.5	117	1.3	55	70	0.6	60	0.6
20	181	1.7	147	1.6	56	81	0.8	41	0.4
21	195	1.8	128	1.4	57	68	0.6	66	0.7
22	139	1.3	116	1.3	58	81	0.8	42	0.5
23	192	1.8	134	1.5	59	62	0.6	52	0.6
24	147	1.4	88	0.9	60	58	0.5	55	0.6
25	185	1.7	125	1.4	61	59	0.5	47	0.5
26	161	1.5	111	1.2	62	41	0.4	38	0.4
27	159	1.5	107	1.2	63	40	0.4	28	0.3
28	164	1.5	108	1.2	64	40	0.4	32	0.3
29	152	1.4	102	1.1	65	34	0.3	37	0.4
30	175	1.6	105	1.1	66	26	0.2	12	0.1
81	145	1.3	121	1.3	67	28	0.3	19	0.2
32	159	1.5	137	1.5	68	29	0.3	15	0.2
33	165	1.5	82	0.9	69	18	0.2	16	0.2
34	127	1.2	94	1.0	70+	316	2.9	155	1.7
35	176	1.6	150	1.6	Total	10,750	100.0	9,262	100.0

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who stayed in the household the night before the interview.

Table C.2 Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women

De facto household population of women age 10-54, number and percent distribution of interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted), by 5-year age groups, Rwanda MIS 2017

Age group	Household	Interviewed w	Interviewed women age 15-49				
	population of women age 10-54	Number	Percentage	eligible women interviewed			
10-14	1,475	-	-	-			
15-19	969	952	19.2	98.3			
20-24	854	845	17.0	98.9			
25-29	821	809	16.3	98.6			
30-34	770	766	15.5	99.4			
35-39	665	661	13.3	99.3			
40-44	548	539	10.9	98.4			
45-49	390	384	7.7	98.3			
50-54	367	-	-	-			
15-49	5,017	4,955	100.0	98.8			

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who stayed in the household the night before the interview. Weights for both household population of women and interviewed women are household weights. Age is based on the Household Questionnaire. na = Not applicable

Table C.3 Completeness of reporting

Percentage of observations missing information for selected demographic and health questions (weighted), Rwanda MIS 2017 $\,$

Subject	Percentage with information missing	Number of cases
Month only (births in the 15 years preceding		
the survey)	0.54	3,448
Month and year (births in the 15 years		
preceding the survey)	0.85	3,448
Age at death (deceased children born in the 15		
years preceding the survey)	0.00	3,448
Respondent's education (all women age 15-49)	0.24	5,022
Malaria (children age 6 months to 14 years)	0.80	8,423
Malaria (adults 15+ years)	2.14	11,285

¹ Both year and age missing

Table C.4 Births by calendar years

Number of births, percentage with complete birth date, sex ratio at birth, and calendar year ratio by calendar year, according to living, dead, and total children (weighted), Rwanda MIS 2017

	Number of births			Percentage with year and month of birth given			Sex ratio at birth ¹			Calendar year ratio ²		
Calendar year	Living	Dead	Total	Living	Dead	Total	Living	Dead	Total	Living	Dead	Total
2017	547	6	553	99.6	100.0	99.6	114.0	81.3	113.6	-	-	-
2016	662	18	680	99.8	100.0	99.8	113.7	642.3	117.8	-	-	-
2015	543	19	562	99.5	92.1	99.2	107.3	139.0	108.2	88.2	123.9	89.1
2014	568	13	581	99.1	81.2	98.8	102.7	94.0	102.5	104.6	83.7	104.0
2013	544	12	556	98.4	77.8	98.0	117.4	265.9	119.3	101.3	98.4	101.3
2012	505	11	516	99.3	100.0	99.3	105.0	229.7	106.6	185.8	186.4	185.8
2013-2017	2,865	67	2,932	99.3	90.3	99.1	110.9	187.3	112.2	-	-	-
2012	505	11	516	99.3	100.0	99.3	105.0	229.7	106.6	-	-	-
All	3,370	78	3,448	99.3	91.7	99.1	110.0	192.6	111.4	-	-	-

NA = Not applicable

¹ (Bm/Bf)x100, where Bm and Bf are the numbers of male and female births, respectively

² [2Bx/(Bx-1+Bx+1)]x100, where Bx is the number of births in calendar year x

Table C.5 Household composition

Percent distribution of households by sex of head of household and by household size, and mean size of household, according to province, Rwanda MIS 2017

	Province								
Characteristic	Kigali city	South	West	North	East	Total			
Household headship									
Male	53.7	60.3	63.3	66.2	65.7	62.3			
Female	46.3	39.7	36.7	33.8	34.3	37.7			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Number of usual members									
1	15.0	9.0	10.1	10.6	9.2	10.5			
2 3	17.8	14.9	14.2	14.7	13.3	14.8			
	20.0	21.8	17.9	16.8	17.5	18.8			
4	16.0	20.2	18.6	20.6	20.0	19.3			
5	12.6	16.2	15.4	16.9	17.5	15.9			
6	8.9	10.0	11.3	10.1	10.4	10.2			
7	5.0	4.9	6.1	5.7	7.1	5.8			
8	2.5	1.4	4.3	2.2	2.5	2.6			
9+	2.1	1.7	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.1			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Mean size of households	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0			
Number of households	740	1,123	1,108	939	1,201	5,111			

Note: Table is based on de jure household members, that is., usual residents.
Steering Committee

KAENDI Munguti GASANA Michel PIERCFIELD Emily UWALIRAYE Parfait TUYISHIME Albert UMULISA Noella MWIKARAGO Ivan Emil MBITUYUMUREMYI Aimable MURINDAHABI Monique UWIMANA Aline UYIZEYE Didier MUNYANEZA Tharcisse

Survey Management Team

MURINDAHABI Monique UWIMANA Aline UYIZEYE Didier MUNYANEZA Tharcisse MUKANSIGAYE Jeanne

Coordinators

MBITUYUMUREMYI Aimable UWIMANA Aline UYIZEYE Didier TUYISHIME Albert MURINDAHABI Monique MUSANABAGANWA Clarisse MUNYANEZA Tharcisse MUHIRUKAZI Yvette NYABUGANDE Aimable HABANABAKIZE Epaphrodite KABERA Michee KABERA Michee KAYIJUKA Protais TWAHIRWA Maurice MUNYESHAKA Xaverina MUCACA Jean Bosco

Interns

INGABIRE Sandra ILIZA Nadia

Data Collectors

MUKAGATERA Josée DUSABEYEZU Domina **GAHUNGA** Nadia GAKWAYA Richard GASHAGAZA Liliane **GATARAHIYA** Aloys HABUMUREMYI Serge **IDUHOZE** Yvonne **KABANDAHO** Egide KAMIKAZI Christella **KWIZERA** Etienne MANZI Camille MUJEJIMANA Jacqueline MUKAGAKWAYA Francine MUKAMWIZA Illuminee MUKANDAYISABA Elyse Clemence MUKANDEKEZI Dorothee **MUKANGIRA** Francine MUKARWEGO Alice MUKASEKURU Francoise MUNGANYIKI Claudine MUNYAMPUNDU Theogene MUNYANEZA Thacien

MUPENZI Angelique MUSABEMUNGU Issa MUSABYEYEZU Marie MUTESI Ronnah MUZIRANENGE Alice NDUWAYO Clemence NIYITEGEKA Sylvestre **RUTUNGIRWA Amon** NYIRAMUHOZA Immaculee NYIRIHIRWE Eugene RUGAZA NDAHI Oussein **TUYISENGE** Amede **TUYISHIME Sylvie** TWAMBAZIMANA Marie Louise UMULISA Christine UMUTONIWASE Jacqueline UWABYAYE Odette **UWAMAHORO** Vestine UWAMAHORO Jacqueline **UWAMAHORO** Jean Damascene UWAMAHORO Delphine **UWINGABIRE** Liliane

Data Entry Clerks

Didier UYIZEYE Omar MURENGEZI Beata BENIMANA David NSORO Junior Nepo KAMATARI Jacqueline UWASE Euphrosine NYIRAZITONI Magnifique UMUTONIWASE Corine UMWALI M. Vestine KWERERE Jacques RUBAYITA Data Processing Programmer Supervisor Data Entry Clerk Coding and Verification Coding and Verification

Laboratory Technicians

NYUMBAYIRE J.M.V BINTU Clementine NYANGEZI Eric HATANGIMANA Ignace NTIRUSHWA Etienne UMUTONI Cecile TUYISENGE Josiane UWINGENEYE Francine KWIZERA Marie Louise MUHIRWA Clement KOMEZUSENGE Jean Damascene

Laboratory Data Entry

MANZI Jean Pierre MPAMO Clemence

Laboratory Field Technicians

HAHIRWUSENGA Pierre Celestin HAVUGIMANA Jean Damascene KAMAYIRESE Eric Noel KAYIBANDA Leon Charles MAPENZI Carine MBARUSHIMANA Innocent MUHIRE Pierre MUKANKUBANA Mariane NYIOMUKESHA Angelique GAHONGAYIRE Fatuma NTUYENABO Calliope NYAMBARIZA Angelo NYIRAMIRYANGO Claudine NYIRABANANIYE Christine UWINEZA Chantal

Report Authors

KABERA Michee UWIMANA Aline UYIZEYE Didier HABANABAKIZE Epaphrodite NYABUGANDE Aimable MUYIRUKAZI Yvette MURINDAHABI R Monique MBITUYUMUREMYI Aimable

ICF

Dr. Rathavuth HONG Mr. Bernard BARRERE Dr. Ruilin REN Dr. Dean GARRETT Mr. Guillermo ROJAS Ms. Claudia MARCHENA Ms. Cameron TAYLOR Ms. Nancy JOHNSON Mr. Christopher GRAMER Ms. Natalie SHATTUCK Mr. Tom FISH Ms. Sarah BALIAN Mr. Fabrice NKODO Mr. Nsobya Sam LUBWAWA



MALARIA INDICATOR SURVEY HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE

RWANDA MALARIA & OTHER PARASITIC DISEASES DIVISION

		IDENTIFICA	TION				
PLACE NAME							
NAME OF HOUSEHOLD	HEAD			<u></u>			
CLUSTER NUMBER							
HOUSEHOLD NUMBER							
		INTERVIEWER	R VISITS				
	1	2	3	FINAL VISIT			
DATE INTERVIEWER'S NAME				DAY MONTH YEAR INT. NO.			
RESULT*				RESULT*			
NEXT VISIT: DATE TIME				TOTAL NUMBER OF VISITS			
*RESULT CODES: 1 COMPLETED				TOTAL PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD			
AT HOME 3 ENTIRE HOUS 4 POSTPONED 5 REFUSED 6 DWELLING V	AT TIME OF VISIT SEHOLD ABSENT FOR ACANT OR ADDRESS N	E OR NO COMPETENT F EXTENDED PERIOD OF IOT A DWELLING		TOTAL ELIGIBLE WOMEN			
LANGUAGE OF QUESTIONNAIRE**	1 LANGUA		NATIVE LANGUAGE OF RESPONDENT**	TRANSLATOR USED (YES = 1, NO = 2)			
LANGUAGE OF QUESTIONNAIRE**	LANGUAGE OF QUESTIONNAIRE** ENGLISH 02 KINYARWANDA						
SUPERV	ISOR			OFFICE EDITOR KEYED BY			

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK

INTRODUCTION AND CONSENT

Hello. My name is	I am working with Ministry of Health.	We are conducting
a survey about malaria all over Rwanda. The information we collect	t will help the government to plan health	services. Your
household was selected for the survey. I would like to ask you som	e questions about your household. The o	questions usually
take about 15 to 20 minutes. All of the answers you give will be con	fidential and will not be shared with anyo	one other than
members of our survey team. You don't have to be in the survey, b	ut we hope you will agree to answer the	questions since
your views are important. If I ask you any question you don't want to	o answer, just let me know and I will go o	on to the next
question or you can stop the interview at any time. In case you nee	d more information about the survey, you	u may contact the
person listed on this card.		

Do you have any questions? May I begin the interview now?

SIGNA		DATE		
	RESPONDENT AGREES TO BE INTERVIEWED 1	RESPONDENT DOES NOT AGREE TO BE INTERVIEWED 2	→ END	
100	RECORD THE TIME.	HOURS		

			HOUSE	HOLD SCH	IEDULE								
							IF AGE 15 OR OLDER						
LINE NO.	USUAL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS	RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SEX	RESID	DENCE	AGE	MARITAL STATUS		ELIGIBILITY		INSUR	ANCE	WEALTH LEVEL
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7A	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Please give me the names of the persons who usually live in your household and guests of the household who stayed here last night, starting with the head of the household.	What is the relationship of (NAME) to the head of the household?	ls (NAME) male or female?	Does (NAME) usually live here?	Did (NAME) stay here last night?	How old is (NAME)?	What is (NAME's) current marital status?	CIRCLE LINE NUMBER OF ALL WOMEN AGE 15-49	CIRCLE LINE NUMBER OF ALL CHILDREN AGE 0-14	CIRCLE LINE NUMBER OF ALL MEMBERS AGE 15+	Is (NAME) covered by any health insuranc e?	What is (NAME) main type of health insuran ce?	What is (NAME) wealth level?
	AFTER LISTING THE NAMES AND RECORDING THE RELATIONSHIP AND SEX FOR EACH PERSON, ASK QUESTIONS 2A-2C TO BE SURE THAT THE LISTING IS COMPLETE. THEN ASK APPROPRIATE QUESTIONS IN COLUMNS 5-9 FOR EACH PERSON.	SEE CODES BELOW.				IF 95 OR MORE, RECORD '95'.	1 = MARRIED OR LIVING TOGETHER 2 = DIVORCED SEPARATED 3 = WIDOWED 4 = NEVER- MARRIED AND NEVER LIVED TOGETHER				CODE FOR 1= MUTUEL COMMUNIT INSURANC 2= RAMA 3= MMI 4=PRIVATE COMMERC 5=OTHER 8= DON'T K	LE / TY HEALTH E / IAL	RESPONSE IS '1, 2, 3 OR 4. IF DONT KNOW RECORD '8'
01			M F 1 2	Y N 1 2	Y N 1 2	IN YEARS		01	01	01	Y N DK $1 \ 2 \ 3$ NEXT LINE		
02			12	12	12			02	02	02	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
03			12	12	12			03	03	03	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
04			12	12	12			04	04	04	¹² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
05			12	12	12			05	05	05	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
06			12	12	12			06	06	06	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
07			12	12	12			07	07	07	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
08			12	12	12			08	08	08	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
09			12	12	12			09	09	09	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
10			12	1 2	12			10	10	10	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
	ust to make sure that I have a co							CODES FO	DR Q. 3: RELA	TIONSHIP TO	HEAD OF H	OUSEHOLD	
ar ha 2B) Ai	ny other people such as small ch ave not listed? re there any other people who ma our family, such as domestic serv	ildren or infants tha	t we YES		 ADD TO TABLE ADD TO 			01 = HEAD 02 = WIFE		07 = PAI 0 08 = BR	RENT-IN-LAV OTHER OR S HER RELATI	V SISTER	
2C) Ai ar	ho usually live here? re there any guests or temporary nyone else who stayed here last sen listed?	visitors staying he	re, or		 ADD TO TABLE ADD TO TABLE 			04 = SON-I	IN-LAW OR ITER-IN-LAW IDCHILD	10 = AD STE 11 = NO	OPTED/FOS PCHILD T RELATED N'T KNOW		
]			55 - DO			

					1EDULE								
							IF AGE 15 OR OLDER						
LINE NO.	USUAL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS	RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SEX	RESID	DENCE	AGE	MARITAL STATUS		ELIGIBILITY		INSUR	ANCE	WEALTH LEVEL
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7A	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Please give me the names of the persons who usually live in your household and guests of the household who stayed here last night, starting with the head of the household.	What is the relationship of (NAME) to the head of the household?	ls (NAME) male or female?	Does (NAME) usually live here?	Did (NAME) stay here last night?	How old is (NAME)?	What is (NAME's) current marital status?	CIRCLE LINE NUMBER OF ALL WOMEN AGE 15-49	CIRCLE LINE NUMBER OF ALL CHILDREN AGE 0-14	CIRCLE LINE NUMBER OF ALL MEMBERS AGE 15+	Is (NAME) covered by any health insuranc e?	What is (NAME) main type of health insuran ce?	What is (NAME) wealth level?
	AFTER LISTING THE NAMES AND RECORDING THE RELATIONSHIP AND SEX FOR EACH PERSON, ASK QUESTIONS 2A-2C TO BE SURE THAT THE LISTING IS COMPLETE. THEN ASK APPROPRIATE QUESTIONS IN COLUMNS 5-9 FOR EACH PERSON.	SEE CODES BELOW.				IF 95 OR MORE, RECORD '95'.	1 = MARRIED OR LIVING TOGETHER 2 = DIVORCED SEPARATED 3 = WIDOWED 4 = NEVER- MARRIED AND NEVER LIVED TOGETHER				CODE FOR 1= MUTUELI COMMUNIT INSURANCE 2= RAMA 3= MMI 4=PRIVATE/ COMMERCI. 5=OTHER 8= DON'T KN	LE / Y HEALTH E	RESPONSE IS '1, 2, 3 OR 4. IF DON'T KNOW RECORD '8'
11			M F 1 2	Y N 1 2	Y N 1 2	IN YEARS		11	11	11	Y N DK $1 \ 2 \ 3$ NEXT LINE		
12			12	12	1 2			12	12	12	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
13			12	12	12			13	13	13	1 2 T 8 NEXT LINE		
14			12	12	12			14	14	14	1 2 T 8 NEXT LINE		
15			12	12	12			15	15	15	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
16			12	12	12			16	16	16	1 2		
17			12	12	12			17	17	17	¹ ² ↓ ⁸ NEXT LINE		
18			12	12	12			18	18	18	1 2		
19			12	12	12			19	19	19	1 2 ↓ 8 NEXT LINE		
20			12	12	12			20	20	20	1 2 ⊤ 8 NEXT LINE		
TICK H	IERE IF CONTINUATION SHEE	TUSED	CODES								CODE FOR		

CODES FOR Q. 3: RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD

01 = HEAD 02 = WIFE OR HUSBAND 03 = SON OR DAUGHTER

04 = SON OR DAUGHTE 04 = SON-IN-LAW OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW 05 = GRANDCHILD 06 = PARENT

07 = PARENT-07 = PARENT-IN-LAW 08 = BROTHEI08 = BROTHER OR SISTER 09 = OTHER R09 = OTHER RELATIVE

10 = ADOPTEI 10 = ADOPTED/FOSTER/ STEPCHILD STEPCHILD 11 = NOT REL 11 = NOT RELATED 98 = DON'T KP98 = DON'T KNOW

CODE FOR G. 12 1= MUTUELLE / COMMUNITY HEALTH INSURANCE 2= RAMA 3= MMI 4=PRIVATE/ COMMERCIAL 5=OTHER 5=OTHER 8= DON'T KNOW

		HARACTERISTICS	
NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
101	What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household?	PIPED WATERPIPED INTO DWELLING11PIPED TO YARD/PLOT12PIPED TO NEIGHBOR13PUBLIC TAP/STANDPIPE14]→ 105
		TUBE WELL OR BOREHOLE21DUG WELL7PROTECTED WELL31UNPROTECTED WELL32WATER FROM SPRING41UNPROTECTED SPRING42	→ 103
		RAINWATER51TANKER TRUCK61CART WITH SMALL TANK71SURFACE WATER (RIVER/DAM/ LAKE/POND/STREAM/CANAL/ IRRIGATION CHANNEL)81BOTTLED WATER91	
		OTHER96 (SPECIFY) 96	→ 103
102	What is the main source of water used by your household for other purposes such as cooking and handwashing?	PIPED WATERPIPED INTO DWELLING11PIPED TO YARD/PLOT12PIPED TO NEIGHBOR13PUBLIC TAP/STANDPIPE14	105
		TUBE WELL OR BOREHOLE21DUG WELL9PROTECTED WELL31UNPROTECTED WELL32WATER FROM SPRING41UNPROTECTED SPRING42	
		RAINWATER51TANKER TRUCK61CART WITH SMALL TANK71SURFACE WATER (RIVER/DAM/ LAKE/POND/STREAM/CANAL/ IRRIGATION CHANNEL)81	
		OTHER9696	
103	Where is that water source located?	IN OWN DWELLING]→ 105
104	How long does it take to go there, get water, and come back?	MINUTES	

QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
What kind of toilet facility do members of your household usually use? IF NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE, ASK PERMISSION TO OBSERVE THE FACILITY.	FLUSH OR POUR FLUSH TOILET FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM 11 FLUSH TO SEPTIC TANK 12 FLUSH TO SEPTIC TANK 12 FLUSH TO PIT LATRINE 13 FLUSH TO SOMEWHERE ELSE 14 FLUSH, DON'T KNOW WHERE 15 PIT LATRINE 21 PIT LATRINE 22 PIT LATRINE WITH SLAB 22 PIT LATRINE WITHOUT SLAB/OPEN PIT 23 COMPOSTING TOILET 31 BUCKET TOILET 41 HANGING TOILET/HANGING LATRINE 51 NO FACILITY/BUSH/FIELD 61 OTHER 96	→ 108
Do you share this toilet facility with other households?	YES 1 NO 2	→ 108
Including your own household, how many households use this toilet facility?	NO. OF HOUSEHOLDS IF LESS THAN 10010 OR MORE HOUSEHOLDS95DON'T KNOW98	
What type of fuel does your household mainly use for cooking?	ELECTRICITY 01 LPG 02 NATURAL GAS 03 BIOGAS 04 KEROSENE 05 COAL, LIGNITE 06 CHARCOAL 07 WOOD 08 STRAW/SHRUBS/GRASS 09 AGRICULTURAL CROP 10 ANIMAL DUNG 11 NO FOOD COOKED IN HOUSEHOLE 95 OTHER 96	
How many rooms in this household are used for sleeping?	ROOMS	
Does this household own any livestock, herds, other farm animals, or poultry?	YES 1 NO 2	→ 112
 How many of the following animals does this household own? IF NONE, RECORD '00'. IF 95 OR MORE, RECORD '95'. IF UNKNOWN, RECORD '98'. a) Cows (traditional)? b) Milk cows (modern)? c) Bulls? d) Goats? e) Sheep? f) Chickens or other poultry? g) Pigs? h) Rabhits? i) Horson donkner or mulop? 	a) COWS	
	What kind of toilet facility do members of your household usually use? IF NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE, ASK PERMISSION TO OBSERVE THE FACILITY. Do you share this toilet facility with other households? Including your own household, how many households use this toilet facility? What type of fuel does your household mainly use for cooking? How many rooms in this household are used for sleeping? Does this household own any livestock, herds, other farm animals, or poultry? How many of the following animals does this household own? IF NONE, RECORD '00'. IF 95 OR MORE, RECORD '95'. IF UNKNOWN, RECORD '95'. I Cows (traditional)? b) Milk cows (modern)? c) Bulls? d) Goats? e) Sheep? f) Chickens or other poultry?	What kind of tollet facility do members of your household usually use? FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM 11 IF NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE, ASK PERMISSION TO OBSERVE THE FACILITY. FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM 12 IF NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE, ASK PERMISSION TO OBSERVE THE FACILITY. FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM 13 IF NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE, ASK PERMISSION TO OBSERVE THE FACILITY. FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM 13 IF NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE, ASK PERMISSION TO OBSERVE THE FACILITY. FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM 13 IF NOT FILL THE FACILITY. FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM 14 FLUSH TO POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE, ASK PERMISSION TO OBSERVE THE FACILITY. FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM 12 PIT LATRINE FURTHERE LISE 11 FLUSH TO SEWER SYSTEM 11 FLUSH TO POSSIBIL TO DETERMINE, ASK FLUSH TO PIPED SEWER SYSTEM 11 11 O possiting TOILET Statistical difficience 11 11 11 11 Including your own household, how many households IF CES THAN 10 I I I I Including your own household mainly use for cooking? I I I I I

HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
112	Does any member of your household own any agricultural land?	YES 1 NO 2	→ 114
113	How many hectares of agricultural land do members of this household own?	HECTARES	
	IF 95 OR MORE, CIRCLE '950'.	95 OR MORE HECTARES	
114	Does your household have:	YES NO	
	a) Electricity?	a) ELECTRICITY 1 2	
	b) A radio?c) A television?	b) RADIO 1 2 c) TELEVISION 1 2	
	d) A non-mobile telephone?	d) NON-MOBILE TELEPHONE 1 2	
	e) A computer?f) A refrigerator?	e) COMPUTER 1 2 f) REFRIGERATOR 1 2	
115	Does any member of this household own:	YES NO	
	a) A watch?	a) WATCH 1 2 b) MOBILE PHONE 1 2	
	b) A mobile phone?c) A bicycle?	b) MOBILE PHONE 1 2 c) BICYCLE 1 2	
	d) A motorcycle or motor scooter?e) An animal-drawn cart?	d) MOTORCYCLE/SCOOTER 1 2 f) ANIMAL-DRAWN CART 1 2	
	f) A car or truck?	g) CAR/TRUCK 1 2	
	g) A boat with a motor?	h) BOAT WITH MOTOR 1 2 h) BOAT WITHOUT MOTOR 1 2	
	h) A boat without a motor?	h) BOAT WITHOUT MOTOR 1 2	
116	Does any member of this household have a bank account?	YES 1 NO 2	
117	At any time in the past 12 months, has anyone come into your dwelling to spray the interior walls against	YES	┠→ 119
	mosquitoes?	DON'T KNOW	
118	Who sprayed the dwelling?	GOVERNMENT WORKER/PROGRAM A	
		PRIVATE COMPANY B NONGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION (NGO) C	
		OTHER X	
		(SPECIFY)	
		DON'T KNOW Z	
119	Does your household have any mosquito nets?	YES	→ 131
120	How many mosquito nets does your household have?		
	IF 7 OR MORE NETS, RECORD '7'.		

MOSQUITO NETS

r			<u>E15</u>	
		NET #1	NET #2	NET #3
121	ASK THE RESPONDENT TO SHOW YOU ALL THE NETS IN THE HOUSEHOLD. IF MORE THAN 3 NETS, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S).	OBSERVED 1 NOT OBSERVED 2	OBSERVED 1 NOT OBSERVED 2	OBSERVED 1 NOT OBSERVED 2
121A	CHECK THE YEAR OF MANUFACTURING	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR
122	How many months ago did your household get the mosquito net? IF LESS THAN ONE MONTH AGO, RECORD '00'.	MONTHS AGO MORE THAN 36 MONTHS AGO 95 NOT SURE 98	MONTHS AGO MORE THAN 36 MONTHS AGO 95 NOT SURE 98	MONTHS AGO MORE THAN 36 MONTHS AGO 95 NOT SURE 98
123	OBSERVE OR ASK BRAND/TYPE OF MOSQUITO NET. IF BRAND IS UNKNOWN AND YOU CANNOT OBSERVE THE NET, SHOW PICTURES OF TYPICAL NET TYPES/BRANDS TO RESPONDENT.	LONG-LASTING INSECTICIDE- TREATED NET (LLIN) TANA 11 DCT 12 OLYSET 13 OTHER/DON'T KNOW BRAND 16 (SKIP TO 126) OTHER TYPE 96 DON'T KNOW TYPE 98	LONG-LASTING INSECTICIDE- TREATED NET (LLIN) TANA 11 DCT 12 OLYSET 13 OTHER/DON'T KNOW BRAND 16 (SKIP TO 126) OTHER TYPE 96 DON'T KNOW TYPE 98	LONG-LASTING INSECTICIDE- TREATED NET (LLIN) TANA 11 DCT 12 OLYSET 13 OTHER/DON'T KNOW BRAND 16 (SKIP TO 126) OTHER TYPE 96 DON'T KNOW TYPE 98
124	Since you got the net, was it ever soaked or dipped in a liquid to kill or repel mosquitoes?	YES 1 NO	YES 1 NO	YES
125	How many months ago was the net last soaked or dipped?	MONTHS AGO	MONTHS AGO	MONTHS AGO
	IF LESS THAN ONE MONTH AGO, RECORD '00'.	MORE THAN 24 MONTHS AGO 95 NOT SURE 98	MORE THAN 24 MONTHS AGO 95 NOT SURE 98	MORE THAN 24 MONTHS AGO 95 NOT SURE 98
126	Did you get the net through a mass distribution campaign, during an antenatal care visit, or during an immunization visit?	YES, THROUGH A MASS DIST. CAMPAIGN 1 YES, ANC 2- YES, IMMUNIZATION VISIT 3- (SKIP TO 127A) NO 4	YES, [NAME OF MASS DIST. CAMPAIGN] 1 YES, ANC 2- YES, IMMUNIZATION VISIT 3- (SKIP TO 127A) NO 4	YES, [NAME OF MASS DIST. CAMPAIGN] 1 YES, ANC 2- YES, IMMUNIZATION VISIT 3- (SKIP TO 127A) NO 4
127	Where did you get the net?	GOVERNMENT HEALTH FACILITY 01 PRIVATE HEALTH FACILITY 02 PHARMACY 03 03 SHOP/MARKET 04 04 CHW 05 RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION 06 SCHOOL 07 OTHER 96 DON'T KNOW 98	GOVERNMENT HEALTH FACILITY 01 PRIVATE HEALTH FACILITY 02 PHARMACY 03 03 SHOP/MARKET 04 04 CHW 05 RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION 06 SCHOOL 07 OTHER 96 DON'T KNOW 98	GOVERNMENT HEALTH FACILITY 01 PRIVATE HEALTH FACILITY 02 PHARMACY 03 03 SHOP/MARKET 04 04 CHW 05 RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION 06 SCHOOL 07 OTHER 96 DON'T KNOW 98

NET #1 NET #2 NET #3 127A OBSERVE IF THE NET HAVE YES 1 YES 1 YES 1 2 NO NO 2 NO 2 AT LEAST ONE HOLE . EQUAL TO OR LARGER THAN THE THUMB 127B **OBSERVE THE SHAPE** CONICAL CONICAL CONICAL 1 1 1 OF THE MOSQUITO NET. RECTANGULAR 2 RECTANGULAR RECTANGULAR 2 2 127C OBSERVE THE COLOUR OF WHITE WHITE 1 WHITE 1 1 GREEN GREEN GREEN THE NET 2 2 2 BLUE BLUE BLUE 3 3 3 RED RED 4 4 RED 4 OTHER 6 OTHER 6 OTHER 6 (SPECIFY) (SPECIFY) (SPECIFY) 127D **OBSERVED IF THE NET IS** YES YES YES 1-1-1-. HANGED (SKIP TO 128)-(SKIP TO 128)-(SKIP TO 128)-NO 2 NO 2 NO 2 HAVE MANY NETS 127E HAVE MANY NETS HAVE MANY NETS Why don't you hange this net? 1 1 1 USE FOR OTHER USE FOR OTHER USE FOR OTHER PURPOSES 2 PURPOSES ... 2 PURPOSES ... 2 THE NET IS BEING THE NET IS BEING THE NET IS BEING WASHED 3 WASHED 3 WASHED 3 HANG ONLY IN THE HANG ONLY IN THE HANG ONLY IN THE EVENING 4 EVENING 4 EVENING 4 THE NET IS TOO OLD ... THE NET IS TOO OLD ... THE NET IS TOO OLD ... 5 5 5 OTHER 6 OTHER OTHER 6 6 (SPECIFY) (SPECIFY) (SPECIFY) 128 Did anyone sleep under this 1-YES YES 17 YES 1 mosquito net last night? (SKIP TO 129) -(SKIP TO 129) -(SKIP TO 129) 2 NO NO 2 NO 2 NOT SURE 8 NOT SURE 8 NOT SURE 8 128A Why no one sleep under this TOO HOT 1 TOO HOT 1 TOO HOT 1 TOO COLD TOO COLD TOO COLD 2 net last night? 2 2 NET USED FOR OTHER NET USED FOR OTHER NET USED FOR OTHER PURPOSES 3 PURPOSES 3 PURPOSES 3 NET NOT HANGED NET NOT HANGED 4 NET NOT HANGED 4 4 BUGS IN NET BUGS IN NET BUGS IN NET 5 5 5 OTHER 6 OTHER 6 OTHER 6 (SPECIFY) (SPECIFY) (SPECIFY) 129 Who slept under this mosquito (SKIP TO 130) net last night? NAME NAME NAME RECORD THE PERSON'S NAME AND LINE NUMBER LINE LINE LINE FROM HOUSEHOLD NO. NO NO SCHEDULE. NAME NAME NAME LINE I INF LINF NO. NO. NO. NAME NAME NAME LINE LINE LINE NO. NO. NO. NAME NAME NAME LINE LINE LINE NO. NO. NO.

MOSQUITO NETS

		NET #1	NET #2	NET #3	
129A	Which material of the net do you prefer?	POLYESTHER 1 POLYETHYLENE 2	POLYESTHER 1 POLYETHYLENE 2	POLYESTHER 1 POLYETHYLENE 2	
129B	How many times did you wash this mosquito net since you have it	TIMES WASHED 95+ TIMES 95 DON'T KNOW 98	TIMES WASHED 95+ TIMES 95 DON'T KNOW 98	TIMES WASHED 95+ TIMES 95 DON'T KNOW 98	
130		GO BACK TO 121 FOR NEXT NET; OR, IF NO MORE NETS, GO TO 131.	GO BACK TO 121 FOR NEXT NET; OR, IF NO MORE NETS, GO TO 131.	GO TO 121 IN FIRST COLUMN OF A NEW QUESTIONNAIRE; OR, IF NO MORE NETS, GO TO 131.	

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
131	OBSERVE MAIN MATERIAL OF THE FLOOR OF THE DWELLING. RECORD OBSERVATION.	NATURAL FLOOR 11 EARTH/SAND 11 DUNG 12 RUDIMENTARY FLOOR 21 PALM/BAMBOO 22 FINISHED FLOOR 31 VINYL OR ASPHALT STRIPS 32 CERAMIC TILES 33 CEMENT 34 CARPET 35	
132	OBSERVE MAIN MATERIAL OF THE ROOF OF THE DWELLING. RECORD OBSERVATION.	STILLR 30 (SPECIFY) NATURAL ROOFING NO ROOF 11 THATCH/PALM LEAF 12 SOD 13 RUDIMENTARY ROOFING RUSTIC MAT 21 PALM/BAMBOO 22 WOOD PLANKS 23 CARDBOARD 24 FINISHED ROOFING 31 WOOD 32 CALAMINE/CEMENT FIBER 33 CERAMIC TILES 34 CEMENT 35 ROOFING SHINGLES 36 OTHER 96	
133	OBSERVE MAIN MATERIAL OF THE EXTERIOR WALLS OF THE DWELLING. RECORD OBSERVATION.	NATURAL WALLS11CANE/PALM/TRUNKS12DIRT13RUDIMENTARY WALLS11BAMBOO WITH MUD21STONE WITH MUD22UNCOVERED ADOBE23PLYWOOD24CARDBOARD25REUSED WOOD26FINISHED WALLSCEMENT31STONE WITH LIME/CEMENT32BRICKS33CEMENT BLOCKS34COVERED ADOBE35WOOD PLANKS/SHINGLES36OTHER96	
134	RECORD THE TIME.	HOURS	

INTERVIEWER'S OBSERVATIONS

TO BE FILLED IN AFTER COMPLETING INTERVIEW

COMMENTS ABOUT INTERVIEW:

COMMENTS ON SPECIFIC QUESTIONS:

ANY OTHER COMMENTS:

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS

MALARIA INDICATOR SURVEY WOMAN'S QUESTIONNAIRE

RWANDA MALARIA & OTHER PARASITIC DISEASES DIVISION

		IDENTIFIC/	ATION		
PLACE NAME					
NAME OF HOUSEHOLD	HEAD				
CLUSTER NUMBER					
HOUSEHOLD NUMBER					
NAME AND LINE NUMB	ER OF WOMAN				
		INTERVIEWE	R VISITS		
	1	2	3	FINAL V	/ISIT
DATE				DAY MONTH	
INTERVIEWER'S NAME RESULT*				YEAR INT. NO.	
NEXT VISIT: DATE TIME				TOTAL NUMBER OF VISITS	
	OT AT HOME 5 P	REFUSED PARTLY COMPLETED NCAPACITATED	7 OTHER	SPECIFY	
LANGUAGE OF QUESTIONNAIRE**	D 1 LANGUA		NATIVE LANGUAGE OF RESPONDENT**	TRANSLAT (YES =	OR USED 1, NO = 2)
LANGUAGE OF QUESTIONNAIRE**	NGLISH	01	AGE CODES: ENGLISH 2 KINYARWANDA		
SUPERV	VISOR			OFFICE EDITOR	KEYED BY

(1) This section should be adapted for country-specific survey design.

Note: Brackets [] indicate items that should be adapted on a country-specific basis.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSENT

Hello. My name is	I am working with the Ministry of Health. We are conducting a survey
about malaria all over Rwanda. The information we collect will help the	he government to plan health services. Your household was selected for
the survey. The questions usually take about 10 to 20 minutes. All of	the answers you give will be confidential and will not be shared with
anyone other than members of our survey team. You don't have to b	e in the survey, but we hope you will agree to answer the questions
since your views are important. If I ask you any question you don't wa	ant to answer, just let me know and I will go on to the next question or
you can stop the interview at any time.	

SECTION 1. RESPONDENT'S BACKGROUND

In case you need more information about the survey, you may contact the person listed on the card that has already been given to your household.

Do you have any questions? May I begin the interview now?

 SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWER
 DATE

 RESPONDENT AGREES
 RESPONDENT DOES NOT AGREE

 TO BE INTERVIEWED ...
 1

 TO BE INTERVIEWED ...
 2

ļ

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
101	RECORD THE TIME.	HOURS	
102	In what month and year were you born?	MONTH 98 DON'T KNOW MONTH 98 YEAR 99 DON'T KNOW YEAR 9998	
103	How old were you at your last birthday? COMPARE AND CORRECT 102 AND/OR 103 IF INCONSISTENT.	AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS	
104	Have you ever attended school?	YES	→ 108
105	What is the highest level of school you attended: primary, secondary, or higher?	PRIMARY1POST-PRIMARY/VOCATIONAL2SECONDARY3TERTIARY4PRE-PRIMARY5	
106	What is the highest grade/form/year you completed at that level? IF COMPLETED LESS THAN ONE YEAR AT THAT LEVEL, RECORD '00'.	GRADE/FORM/YEAR	
107	CHECK 105: PRE-PRIMARY PRIMARY POST-PRIMARY/ VOCATIONAL SECONDARY	HIGHER	
108	Now I would like you to read this sentence to me. SHOW CARD TO RESPONDENT. IF RESPONDENT CANNOT READ WHOLE SENTENCE, PROBE: Can you read any part of the sentence to me?	CANNOT READ AT ALL 1 ABLE TO READ ONLY PART OF 2 THE SENTENCE 2 ABLE TO READ WHOLE SENTENCE 3 NO CARD WITH REQUIRED 4 LANGUAGE (SPECIFY LANGUAGE) BLIND/VISUALLY IMPAIRED 5	

SECTION 1. RESPONDENT'S BACKGROUND

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	DENT'S BACKGROUND CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
109	What is your religion?	CATHOLIC 1 PROTESTANT 2 ADVENTIST 3 MUSLIM 4 TRADITIONAL 5 OTHER 6 SPECIFY 7	- Orkit
109A	Have you ever heard an illness called malaria?	YES 1 NO 2	→ 201
109B	Can you tell me the main sign or symptom of malaria? MULTIPLE RESPONSES POSSIBLE PROBE ONCE	FEVER A FEELING COLD B HEADACHE C NAUSEA AND VOMITING D	
	(ANYTHING ELSE?)	DIARRHEA E DIZZINESS F LOSS OF APPETITE G BODY ACHE OR JOINT PAIN H PALE EYES I SALTY TASTING PALMS J BODY WEAKNESS K REFUSING TO EAT OR DRINK L OTHER X SPECIFY	
109C	In your opinion, what causes malaria? MULTIPLE RESPONSES POSSIBLE PROBE ONCE (ANYTHING ELSE?)	MOSQUITO BITES A EATING IMMATURE SUGACANE B EATING DIRTY FOOD C DRINKING DIRTY WATER D GETTING SOAKED WITH RAIN E COLD OR CHANGING WEATHER F WITCHCRAFT G OTHER X SPECIFY Z	
109D	How can someone protect themselves against malaria? MULTIPLE RESPONSES POSSIBLE PROBE ONCE (ANYTHING ELSE?)	SLEEP UNDER A MOSQUITO NET A SLEEP UNDER A INSECTICIDE TREATED MOSQUITO NET B USE MOSQUITO REPELLANT C AVOID MOSQUITO BITES D TAKE PREVENTIVE MEDICATION E SPRAY HOUSE WITH INSECTICIDE F USE MOSQUITO COILS G CUT THE GRASS AROUND THE HOUSE THE HOUSE H FILL IN PUDDLES (STAGNANT J WATER) I KEEP HOUSE SURROUNDINGS J BURN LEAVES K DON'T DRINK DIRTY WATER L DON'T EAT BAD FOOD M PUT MOSQUITO SCREENS ON N THE WINDOWS N DON'T GET SOAKED WITH RAIN O OTHER SPECIFY	
		DON'T KNOW	

SECTION 1. RESPONDENT'S BACKGROUND

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
109E	Where can someone receive treatment for malaria? MULTIPLE RESPONSES POSSIBLE PROBE ONCE (ANYTHING ELSE?)	PUBLIC/AGREE SECTOR A REF. HOSPITAL A DIST. HOSPITAL B HEALTH CENTER C HEALTH CENTER C OUTREACH E COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER F OTHER PUBLIC FACILITY G (SPECIFY) PRIVATE MED. SECTOR POLYCLINIC H CLINIC I DISPENSARY J PHARMACY K OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL FACILITY FACILITY L (SPECIFY) OTHER SOURCE M KIOSK M TRADITIONAL PRACTITIONER N CHURCH O FRIEND/RELATIVE P OTHER X	
111	In the past six months, have you seen or heard any messages about malaria?	DON'T KNOW Z YES 1 NO 2	→201
112	 Have you seen or heard these messages: a) On the radio? b) On the television? c) On a poster or billboard? d) From a community health worker? e) At a community event? f) Anywhere else? 	YESNORADIO12TELEVISION12POSTER/BILLBOARD12COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER12COMMUNITY EVENT12ANYWHERE ELSE12	

SECTION 2	2. REPRODU	ICTION

201	Now I would like to ask about all the births you have had		
	during your life. Have you ever given birth?	YES 1 NO 2	→ 206
202	Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are now living with you?	YES 1 NO 2	→ 204
203	a) How many sons live with you?b) And how many daughters live with you?IF NONE, RECORD '00'.	a) SONS AT HOME	
204	Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are alive but do not live with you?	YES 1 NO 2	→ 206
205	 a) How many sons are alive but do not live with you? b) And how many daughters are alive but do not live with you? IF NONE, RECORD '00'. 	a) SONS ELSEWHERE	
206	Have you ever given birth to a boy or girl who was born alive but later died? IF NO, PROBE: Any baby who cried, who made any movement, sound, or effort to breathe, or who showed any other signs of life even if for a very short time?	YES 1 NO 2	→ 208
207	a) How many boys have died?b) And how many girls have died?IF NONE, RECORD '00'.	a) BOYS DEAD b) GIRLS DEAD	
208	SUM ANSWERS TO 203, 205, AND 207, AND ENTER TOTAL. IF NONE, RECORD '00'.	TOTAL BIRTHS	
209		TAL births during your life. Is that correct? NO PROBE AND RRECT 201-208 - S NECESSARY.	
210	CHECK 208: ONE OR MORE BIRTHS		→ 225
211	Now I'd like to ask you about your more recent births. How many births have you had in 2012-2017? RECORD NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS IN 2012-2015	TOTAL IN 2012-2015	

you h RECO	ad. ORD IN 213 T 'S. IF THERE	HE NAMES O	nes of all your births F ALL THE BIRTHS HAN 5 BIRTHS, USE	BORN IN 2012	2-2017. RECO	RD TWINS A	ND TRIPLETS ON	SEPARATE
213	214	215	216	217	218 IF ALIVE:	219 IF ALIVE:	220 IF ALIVE:	221
What name was given to your (most recent/ previous) baby?	Is (NAME) a boy or a girl?	Were any of these births twins?	On what day, month, and year was (NAME) born?	Is (NAME) still alive?	How old was (NAME) at (NAME)'s last birthday?	Is (NAME) living with you?	RECORD HOUSEHOLD LINE NUMBER OF CHILD. RECORD '00' IF CHILD NOT LISTED IN HOUSEHOLD.	Were there any other live births between (NAME) and (NAME OF PREVIOUS BIRTH), including any children
RECORD NAME. BIRTH HISTORY NUMBER.					RECORD AGE IN COMP- LETED YEARS.			who died after birth?
01			DAY		AGE IN		HOUSEHOLD	
	BOY 1	SING 1		YES 1	YEARS	YES 1		
	GIRL 2	MULT 2		NO 2 ↓		NO 2		
			YEAR	(NEXT BIRTH)			(NEXT BIRTH)	
02	BOY 1	SING 1	DAY	YES 1 NO 2	AGE IN YEARS	YES 1	HOUSEHOLD LINE NUMBER	YES 1 (ADD BIRTH)
	GIRL 2	MULT 2	YEAR	↓ (SKIP TO 221)		NO 2		NO 2 (NEXT J BIRTH)
03	BOY 1	SING 1	DAY	YES 1	AGE IN YEARS	YES 1	HOUSEHOLD LINE NUMBER	YES 1 (ADD
	GIRL 2	MULT 2	MONTH	NO 2 ↓		NO 2		BIRTH)
			YEAR	(SKIP TO 221)				NO 2 (NEXT BIRTH)
04	BOY 1	SING 1	DAY	YES 1 NO 2	AGE IN YEARS	YES 1	HOUSEHOLD LINE NUMBER	YES 1 (ADD J BIRTH)
	GIRL 2	MULT 2	MONTH YEAR	(SKIP TO 221)		NO 2		NO 2 (NEXT J BIRTH)
05	BOY 1	SING 1	DAY	YES 1 NO 2	AGE IN YEARS	YES 1	HOUSEHOLD LINE NUMBER	YES 1 (ADD BIRTH)
	GIRL 2	MULT 2	MONTH YEAR	(SKIP TO 221)		NO 2		NO 2 (NEXT BIRTH)

SECTION 2. REPRODUCTION

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
222	Have you had any live births since the birth of (NAME OF MOST RECENT BIRTH)?"	YES	
223	COMPARE 211 WITH NUMBER OF BIRTHS IN BIRTH HIS NUMBERS ARE SAME	STORY NUMBERS ARE DIFFERENT (PROBE AND RECONCILE)	
224	CHECK 216: ENTER THE NUMBER OF BIRTHS IN 2012-2017	NUMBER OF BIRTHS 0	
225	Are you pregnant now?	YES 1 NO 2 UNSURE 8]→ 227
226	How many months pregnant are you? RECORD NUMBER OF COMPLETED MONTHS.	MONTHS	
227 (1)	CHECK 224: ONE OR MORE BIRTHS IN 2012-2017 (GO TO 301)	NO BIRTHS IN 2012-2017 Q. 224 IS BLANK	→ 429 → 429

SECTION 2. FOOTNOTES

(1) Year of fieldwork is assumed to be 2017. For fieldwork beginning in 2018, all references to calendar years should be increased by one; for example, 2012 should be changed to 2013, 2013 should be changed to 2014, and similarly for all years throughout the questionnaire.

	SECTION 3. PREGNANCY AND	INTERMITTENT PREVENTIVE TREATMENT
--	--------------------------	-----------------------------------

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
301	RECORD THE NAME AND SURVIVAL STATUS OF THE MOST RECENT BIRTH FROM 213 AND 217,	MOST RECENT BIRTH	
302	Now I would like to ask you some questions about your last pregnancy that resulted in a live birth. When you got pregnant with (NAME), did you see anyone for antenatal care for this pregnancy?	YES 1 NO 2	→ 307
303	Whom did you see? Anyone else? PROBE TO IDENTIFY EACH TYPE OF PERSON AND RECORD ALL MENTIONED.	HEALTH PERSONNEL DOCTOR A DOCTOR B B NURSE/MIDWIFE C OTHER PERSON C TRADITIONAL BIRTH ATTENDANT D COMMUNITY/VILLAGE HEALTH WORKER E OTHER X (SPECIFY) X	
307	CHECK 216 AND 217: ONE OR MORE LIVING CHILDREN BORN IN 2012-2017 (GO TO 401)	NO LIVING CHILDREN BORN IN 2012-2017	→ 429

SECTION 3. FOOTNOTES

(1) Coding categories to be developed locally; however, the broad categories must be maintained. Additions to the codes under the private medical sector heading may include religious affiliated sources and NGO sources.

(2) Year of fieldwork is assumed to be 2017. For fieldwork beginning in 2018, all references to calendar years should be increased by one; for example, 2011 should be changed to 2012, 2012 should be changed to 2013, 2013 should be changed to 2014, and similarly for all years throughout the questionnaire.

SECTION 4. FEVER IN CHILDREN

401	CHECK 213: RECORD THE BIRTH HISTOF BIRTH IN 2012-2017. ASK THE QUESTION IF THERE ARE MORE THAN 2 BIRTHS, US	IS ABOUT ALL OF THESE BIRTHS. BEGIN SE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S).	WITH THE MOST RECENT BIRTH.
	Now I would like to ask some questions about separately.)	at the health of your children born since Jah	uary 2010. (We will talk about each
402	BIRTH HISTORY NUMBER FROM 213 IN BIRTH HISTORY.	MOST RECENT BIRTH BIRTH HISTORY NUMBER	NEXT MOST RECENT BIRTH BIRTH HISTORY NUMBER
403	FROM 213 AND 217:	NAME	NAME
		LIVING DEAD (SKIP TO 428)	LIVING DEAD
404	Has (NAME) been ill with a fever at any time in the last 2 weeks?	YES 1 NO 2 (SKIP TO 428) DON'T KNOW 8	YES
405	At any time during the illness, did (NAME) have blood taken from (NAME)'s finger or heel for testing?	YES	YES
406	Did you seek advice or treatment for the illness from any source?	YES 1 NO 2 (SKIP TO 411) ←	YES 1 NO 2 (SKIP TO 411) ←
407	Where did you seek advice or treatment?	PUBLIC SECTOR	PUBLIC SECTOR
(2)	Anywhere else? PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE. IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE(S). (NAME OF PLACE)	REF. HOSPITAL A PROV./DIST. HOSPITAL B HEALTH CENTER C HEALTH POST D OUTREACH E COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER F OTHER PUBLIC FACILITY G (SPECIFY) PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR POLYCLINIC I DISPENSARY J PHARMACY K OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL FACILITY	REF. HOSPITAL A PROV./DIST. HOSPITAL B HEALTH CENTER C HEALTH POST D OUTREACH E COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER F OTHER PUBLIC FACILITY G (SPECIFY) PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR POLYCLINIC I DISPENSARY J PHARMACY K OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL FACILITY
(2)	PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE. IF UNABLE TO DETERMINE IF PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE(S).	PROV./DIST. HOSPITAL B HEALTH CENTER C HEALTH POST D OUTREACH E COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER F OTHER PUBLIC FACILITYG (SPECIFY) PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR POLYCLINIC I DISPENSARY J PHARMACY K OTHER PRIVATE	PROV./DIST. HOSPITAL B HEALTH CENTER C HEALTH POST D OUTREACH E COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER F OTHER PUBLIC FACILITY

SECTION 4. FEVER IN CHILDREN

		MOST RECENT BIRTH	NEXT MOST RECENT BIRTH	
NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	NAME	NAME	
408	CHECK 407:	TWO OR ONLY MORE ONE CODES CODE CIRCLED CIRCLED (SKIP TO 410)	TWO OR ONLY MORE ONE CODES CODE CIRCLED CIRCLED (SKIP TO 410)	
409	Where did you first seek advice or treatment? USE LETTER CODE FROM 407	FIRST PLACE	FIRST PLACE	
410	How many days after the illness began did you first seek advice or treatment for (NAME)? IF THE SAME DAY RECORD '00'.	DAYS	DAYS	
411	At any time during the illness, did (NAME) take any drugs for the illness?	YES	YES	
412 (3)	What drugs did (NAME) take? Any other drugs? RECORD ALL MENTIONED.	ANTIMALARIAL DRUGS ARTEMISININ COMBINATION THERAPY (ACT) A QUININE PILLS B INJECTION/IV C ARTESUNATE RECTAL D INJECTION/IV E OTHER ANTIMALARIAL F (SPECIFY) ANTIBIOTIC DRUGS PILL/SYRUP G INJECTION/IV H OTHER DRUGS ASPIRIN I ACETAMINOPHEN J IBUPROFEN K OTHER X (SPECIFY)	ANTIMALARIAL DRUGS ARTEMISININ COMBINATION THERAPY (ACT) A QUININE PILLS B INJECTION/IV C ARTESUNATE RECTAL D INJECTION/IV E OTHER ANTIMALARIAL F (SPECIFY) ANTIBIOTIC DRUGS PILL/SYRUP G INJECTION/IV H OTHER DRUGS ASPIRIN I ACETAMINOPHEN J IBUPROFEN K OTHER X (SPECIFY)	
413	CHECK 412: ANY CODE A-F CIRCLED?	YES NO (SKIP TO 428)	YES NO (SKIP TO 428)	

SECTION 4. FEVER IN CHILDREN

		MOST RECENT BIRTH	NEXT MOST RECENT BIRTH	
NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	NAME	NAME	
414	CHECK 412: ARTEMISININ COMBINATION THERAPY ('A') GIVEN	CODE 'A' CIRCLED CIRCLED CIRCLED (SKIP TO 416)	CODE 'A' CODE 'A' CIRCLED NOT CIRCLED (SKIP TO 416)	
415	How long after the fever started did (NAME) first take an artemisinin combination therapy?	SAME DAY0NEXT DAY1TWO DAYS AFTER7FEVER2THREE OR MORE DAYS3AFTER FEVER3DON'T KNOW8	SAME DAY0NEXT DAY1TWO DAYS AFTERFEVER2THREE OR MORE DAYSAFTER FEVER3DON'T KNOW8	

		MOST RECENT BIRTH	NEXT MOST RECENT BIRTH	
NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	NAME	NAME	
416	CHECK 412: QUININE ('B' OR 'C') GIVEN	CODE CODE 'B' OR 'C' 'B' OR 'F' CIRCLED NOT CIRCLED (SKIP TO 418)	CODE CODE 'B' OR 'C' 'B' OR 'F' CIRCLED NOT CIRCLED (SKIP TO 418)	
417	How long after the fever started did (NAME) first take Quinine?	SAME DAY0NEXT DAY1TWO DAYS AFTERFEVER2THREE OR MORE DAYSAFTER FEVER3DON'T KNOW8	SAME DAY0NEXT DAY1TWO DAYS AFTER2FEVER2THREE OR MORE DAYS3AFTER FEVER3DON'T KNOW8	
418	CHECK 412: ARTESUNATE ('D' OR 'E') GIVEN	CODE CODE 'D' OR 'E' CIRCLED NOT CIRCLED (SKIP TO 420)	CODE CODE 'D' OR 'E' CIRCLED NOT CIRCLED (SKIP TO 420)	
419	How long after the fever started did (NAME) first take artesunate?	SAME DAY0NEXT DAY1TWO DAYS AFTER2FEVER2THREE OR MORE DAYS3AFTER FEVER3DON'T KNOW8	SAME DAY0NEXT DAY1TWO DAYS AFTERFEVER2THREE OR MORE DAYSAFTER FEVER3DON'T KNOW8	
420	CHECK 412: OTHER ANTIMALARIAL ('F') GIVEN	CODE 'F' CIRCLED NOT CIRCLED (SKIP TO 428)	CODE 'F' CIRCLED NOT CIRCLED (SKIP TO 428)	
421	How long after the fever started did (NAME) first take (OTHER ANTIMALARIAL)?	SAME DAY0NEXT DAY1TWO DAYS AFTERFEVER2THREE OR MORE DAYSAFTER FEVER3DON'T KNOW8	SAME DAY0NEXT DAY1TWO DAYS AFTERFEVER2THREE OR MORE DAYSAFTER FEVER3DON'T KNOW8	
428		GO BACK TO 403 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 429.	GO TO 403 IN FIRST COLUMN OF NEW QUESTIONNAIRE; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 429.	
429	RECORD THE TIME.	HOURS		

SECTION 4. FOOTNOTES

- (1) Year of fieldwork is assumed to be 2017. For fieldwork beginning in 2018, all references to calendar years should be increased by one; for example, 2011 should be changed to 2012, 2012 should be changed to 2013, 2013 should be changed to 2014, and similarly for all years throughout the questionnaire.
- (2) Coding categories to be developed locally; however, the broad categories must be maintained. Additions to the codes under the private medical sector heading may include religious affiliated sources and NGO sources.
- (3) Coding categories to be developed locally and revised based on the pretest. All antimalarials commonly used in the country should be included in the response categories. Common brand names of drugs, such as Bayer, Tylenol or Paracetamol, should be added to the response categories for aspirin, acetaminophen, or ibuprofen as appropriate.

INTERVIEWER'S OBSERVATIONS

TO BE FILLED IN AFTER COMPLETING INTERVIEW

COMMENTS ABOUT INTERVIEW:

COMMENTS ON SPECIFIC QUESTIONS:

ANY OTHER COMMENTS:

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS

MALARIA INDICATOR SURVEY MODEL BIOMARKER QUESTIONNAIRE

[NAME OF COUNTRY] [NAME OF ORGANIZATION]

IDENTIFICATION (1)					
PLACE NAME					
		FIELDWORKE	R VISITS		
	1	2	3	FINAL VISIT	
DATE FIELDWORKER'S NAME				DAY MONTH YEAR	
NEXT VISIT: DATE TIME				TOTAL NUMBER OF VISITS	
NOTES:			TOTAL ELIGIBLE CHILDREN TOTAL ELIGIBLE ADULTS		
LANGUAGE OF QUESTIONNAIRE** 0 1 LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW** NATIVE LANGUAGE OF RESPONDENT** TRANSLATOR (YES = 1, NO = 2) LANGUAGE OF QUESTIONNAIRE** ENGLISH 03 LANGUAGE 3 05 LANGUAGE 5 01 ENGLISH 03 LANGUAGE 4 06 LANGUAGE 6					
SUPERV	NUMBER			OFFICE EDITOR KEYED BY	

Note: Brackets [] indicate items that should be adapted on a country-specific basis.

101	CHECK COLUMN 9 IN HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE. RECORD THE LINE NUMBER AND NAME FOR ALL ELIGIBLE CHILDREN 0-14 YEARS IN QUESTION 102; IF MORE THAN SIX CHILDREN, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S).			
		CHILD 1	CHILD 2	CHILD 3
102	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: LINE NUMBER FROM COLUMN 9.	LINE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER
103	IF MOTHER INTERVIEWED: COPY CHILD'S DATE OF BIRTH (DAY, MONTH, AND YEAR) FROM BIRTH HISTORY. IF MOTHER NOT INTERVIEWED OR 5 YEARS AND OLDER ASK: What is (NAME)'s date of birth?	DAY	DAY	DAY
104 (2)	CHECK 103 AND CALCULATE IF THE CHILD IS LESS THAN 15 YEARS OLD	YES1 NO2 (SKIP TO 130) ←	YES	YES 1 NO
105	CHECK 103: CHILD AGE 0-5 MONTHS, I.E., WAS CHILD BORN IN MONTH OF INTERVIEW OR 5 PREVIOUS MONTHS?	0-5 MONTHS 1 (SKIP TO 130) ← OLDER 2	0-5 MONTHS 1 (SKIP TO 130) ← OLDER 2	0-5 MONTHS 1 (SKIP TO 130) ← OLDER 2
106	LINE NUMBER OF PARENT/OTHER ADULT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CHILD FROM COLUMN 1 OF HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE.	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)
109	ASK CONSENT FOR MALARIA TEST FROM PARENT/OTHER ADULT.	As part of this survey, we are asking children all over the country to take a test to see if they have malaria. Malaria is a serious illness caused by a parasite transmitted by a mosquito bite. This survey will assist the government to develop programs to prevent malaria. We ask that all children born in 2003 or later take part in malaria testing in this survey and give a few drops of blood from a finger or heel. One blood drop will be tested for malaria immediately, and the result will be told to you right away. A few blood drops will be collected on slide(s) and taken to a laboratory for testing. You will not be told the results of the laboratory testing. All results will be kept strictly confidential and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. Do you have any questions? You can say yes or no. It is up to you to decide. Will you allow (NAME OF CHILD) to participate in the malaria test?		
110	CIRCLE THE CODE, SIGN YOUR NAME, AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER.	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)
111	PREPARE EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES ONLY FOR THE TEST(S) FOR WHICH CONSENT HAS BEEN OBTAINED AND PROCEED WITH THE TEST(S).			
112	PLACE BAR CODE LABEL FOR MALARIA LAB TEST.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE. NOT PRESENT 99994	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.
114	CIRCLE THE CODE FOR THE MALARIA RDT.	REFUSED	REFUSED	REFUSED 99995 OTHER
------------	---	---	---	--
115	RECORD THE RESULT OF THE MALARIA RDT HERE AND IN THE MALARIA PAMPHLET.	POSITIVE 1 NEGATIVE 2 OTHER 6	POSITIVE 1 NEGATIVE 2 OTHER 6	POSITIVE 1 NEGATIVE 2 OTHER 6
118 (4)	Does (NAME) suffer from any of the following illnesses or symptoms: a) Extreme weakness? b) Heart problems? c) Loss of consciousness? d) Rapid or difficult breathing? e) Seizures? f) Abnormal bleeding? g) Jaundice or yellow skin? h) Dark urine?	YES NO a) EXTREME WEAKNESS 1 2 b) HEART PROBLEMS 1 2 c) LOSS OF CONSCIOUS. 1 2 d) RAPID BREATHING 1 2 e) SEIZURES 1 2 f) BLEEDING 1 2 g) JAUNDICE 1 2 h) DARK URINE 1 2	YES NO a) EXTREME WEAKNESS 1 2 b) HEART PROBLEMS 1 2 c) LOSS OF CONSCIOUS. 1 2 d) RAPID BREATHING 1 2 e) SEIZURES 1 2 f) BLEEDING 1 2 g) JAUNDICE 1 2 h) DARK URINE 1 2	YES NO a) EXTREME WEAKNESS 1 2 b) HEART PROBLEMS 1 2 c) LOSS OF CONSCIOUS. 1 2 d) RAPID BREATHING 1 2 e) SEIZURES 1 2 f) BLEEDING 1 2 g) JAUNDICE 1 2
118A	CHECK 118: ANY 'YES' CIRCLED?	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES
119	CHECK 115:	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 6' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 130)	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 6' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 130)	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 6' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 130)
121 (5)	In the past two weeks has (NAME) taken or is taking Coartem given by a doctor or health center to treat the malaria? VERIFY BY ASKING TO SEE TREATMENT	YES1 (SKIP TO 123) ← NO2 (SKIP TO 124) ←	YES	YES

122	SEVERE ILLNESS REFERRAL RECORD THE RESULT OF THE MALARIA RDT ON THE REFERRAL FORM.	(NAME OF CHILD) has symptoms of severe illness. The malaria treatment I have will not help your child, and I cannot give you the medication. Your child is very ill and must be taked to a health facility right away. (SKIP TO 130)		
123 (5)	ALREADY TAKING COARTEM REFERRAL STATEMENT	You have told me that (NAME OF CHILD) had already received Coartem for malaria. Therefore, I cannot give you additional Coartem. However, the test shows that he/she has malaria. If your child has a fever for two days after the last dose of Coartem, you should take the child to the nearest health facility for further examination. (SKIP TO 130)		
124 (2)	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO PARENT/OTHER	The malaria test shows that your child has malaria. We can give you free medicine. The medicine is called Coartem is very effective and in a few days it should get rid of the fever and other symptoms. You do not have to give the child the medicine. This is up to you. Please tell me whether you accept the medicine or not.		
125	CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME.	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED 2 OTHER 6
126	CHECK 125: MEDICATION ACCEPTED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED 2 OTHER
127 (5)	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO PARENT/OTHER ADULT.	[INSERT DOSAGE INSTRUCTIONS] ALSO TELL THE PARENT/OTHER ADULT: If [NAME] has a high fever, fast or difficult breathing, is not able to drink or breastfeed, gets sicker or does not get better in two days, you should take him/her to a health professional for treatment right away.		
130	GO BACK TO 106 IN NEXT COLUMN OF CHILDREN, END INTERVIEW.	UMN OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE OR IN THE FIRST COLUMN OF THE NEXT PAGE; IF NO MORE		

101	CHECK COLUMN 9 IN HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE. RECORD THE LINE NUMBER AND NAME FOR ALL ELIGIBLE CHILDREN 0-14 YEARS IN QUESTION 102; IF MORE THAN SIX CHILDREN, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S).			
		CHILD 4	CHILD 5	CHILD 6
102	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: LINE NUMBER FROM COLUMN 9.	LINE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER
103	IF MOTHER INTERVIEWED: COPY CHILD'S DATE OF BIRTH (DAY, MONTH, AND YEAR) FROM BIRTH HISTORY. IF MOTHER NOT INTERVIEWED OR 5 YEARS AND OLDER ASK: What is (NAME)'s date of birth?	DAY	DAY	DAY
104	CHECK 103 AND CALCULATE IF THE CHILD IS LESS THAN 15 YEARS OLD	YES	YES	YES
105	CHECK 103: CHILD AGE 0-5 MONTHS, I.E., WAS CHILD BORN IN MONTH OF INTERVIEW OR 5 PREVIOUS MONTHS?	0-5 MONTHS 1 (SKIP TO 130) ← OLDER 2	0-5 MONTHS 1 (SKIP TO 130) ← OLDER 2	0-5 MONTHS 1 (SKIP TO 130) ← OLDER 2
106	LINE NUMBER OF PARENT/OTHER ADULT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CHILD FROM COLUMN 1 OF HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE.	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)
			•	
109	ASK CONSENT FOR MALARIA TEST FROM PARENT/OTHER ADULT.	malaria. Malaria is a serious illne will assist the government to dev	king children all over the country to iss caused by a parasite transmitter elop programs to prevent malaria.	d by a mosquito bite. This survey
		drops of blood from a finger or he result will be told to you right awa laboratory for testing. You will no	2003 or later take part in malaria test eel. One blood drop will be tested for ay. A few blood drops will be collect t be told the results of the laborator e shared with anyone other than me	or malaria immediately, and the ted on slide(s) and taken to a y testing. All results will be kept
		Do you have any questions? You can say yes or no. It is up to Will you allow (NAME OF CHILD	you to decide.) to participate in the malaria test?	
110	CIRCLE THE CODE, SIGN YOUR NAME, AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER.	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2-	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2-	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2-
		(SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	(SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	(SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)
		NOT PRESENT/OTHER. 3	NOT PRESENT/OTHER. 3	NOT PRESENT/OTHER. 3
111	PREPARE EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES THE TEST(S).	ONLY FOR THE TEST(S) FOR W	HICH CONSENT HAS BEEN OBT	AINED AND PROCEED WITH
112	PLACE BAR CODE LABEL FOR MALARIA LAB TEST.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.

		NOT PRESENT 99994 REFUSED 99995 OTHER 99996 PUT THE 2ND BAR CODE LABEL ON THE SLIDE AND THE 3RD ON THE TRANSMITTAL FORM.	NOT PRESENT 99994 REFUSED 99995 OTHER 99996 PUT THE 2ND BAR CODE LABEL ON THE SLIDE AND THE 3RD ON THE TRANSMITTAL FORM.	NOT PRESENT 99994 REFUSED 99995 OTHER 99996 PUT THE 2ND BAR CODE LABEL ON THE SLIDE AND THE 3RD ON THE TRANSMITTAL FORM.
114	CIRCLE THE CODE FOR THE MALARIA RDT.	TESTED 1 NOT PRESENT 2 REFUSED 3 OTHER 6 (SKIP TO 118)	TESTED 1 NOT PRESENT 2 REFUSED 3 OTHER 6 (SKIP TO 118)	TESTED 1 NOT PRESENT 2 REFUSED 3 OTHER 6 (SKIP TO 118)
115	RECORD THE RESULT OF THE MALARIA RDT HERE AND IN THE MALARIA PAMPHLET.	POSITIVE1NEGATIVE2OTHER6	POSITIVE1NEGATIVE2OTHER6	POSITIVE 1 NEGATIVE 2 OTHER 6
118 (4)	Does (NAME) suffer from any of the following illnesses or symptoms: a) Extreme weakness? b) Heart problems? c) Loss of consciousness? d) Rapid or difficult breathing? e) Seizures? f) Abnormal bleeding? g) Jaundice or yellow skin? h) Dark urine?	YES NO a) EXTREME WEAKNESS 1 2 b) HEART PROBLEMS 1 2 c) LOSS OF CONSCIOUS. 1 2 d) RAPID BREATHING 1 2 e) SEIZURES 1 2 f) BLEEDING 1 2 g) JAUNDICE 1 2 h) DARK URINE 1 2	YES NO a) EXTREME WEAKNESS 1 2 b) HEART PROBLEMS 1 2 c) LOSS OF CONSCIOUS. 1 2 d) RAPID BREATHING 1 2 e) SEIZURES 1 2 f) BLEEDING 1 2 g) JAUNDICE 1 2 h) DARK URINE 1 2	YES NO a) EXTREME WEAKNESS 1 2 b) HEART PROBLEMS 1 2 c) LOSS OF CONSCIOUS. 1 2 d) RAPID BREATHING 1 2 e) SEIZURES 1 2 f) BLEEDING 1 2 g) JAUNDICE 1 2
118A	CHECK 118: ANY 'YES' CIRCLED?	NO YES	NO YES (SKIP TO 122)	NO YES (SKIP TO 122)
119	CHECK 115:	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 3' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 130)	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 3' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 130)	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 3' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 130)
121 (5)	In the past two weeks has (NAME) taken or is taking Coartem given by a doctor or health center to treat the malaria? VERIFY BY ASKING TO SEE TREATMENT	YES1 (SKIP TO 123) ← NO2 (SKIP TO 124) ←	YES	YES

122	SEVERE ILLNESS REFERRAL RECORD THE RESULT OF THE MALARIA RDT ON THE REFERRAL FORM.	(NAME OF CHILD) has symptoms of severe illness. The malaria treatment I have will not help your child, and I cannot give you the medication. Your child is very ill and must be taked to a health facility right away. (SKIP TO 130)		
123 (5)	ALREADY TAKING COARTEM REFERRAL STATEMENT	You have told me that (NAME OF CHILD) had already received Coartem for malaria. Therefore, I cannot give you additional Coartem. However, the test shows that he/she has malaria. If your child has a fever for two days after the last dose of Coartem, you should take the child to the nearest health facility for further examination. (SKIP TO 130)		
124 (2)	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO PARENT/OTHER	The malaria test shows that your child has malaria. We can give you free medicine. The medicine is called Coartem is very effective and in a few days it should get rid of the fever and other symptoms. You do not have to give the child the medicine. This is up to you. Please tell me whether you accept the medicine or not.		
125	CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME.	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED 2 OTHER 6
126	CHECK 125: MEDICATION ACCEPTED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED 2 OTHER
127 (5)	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO PARENT/OTHER ADULT.		ER ADULT: If [NAME] has a high fe ets sicker or does not get better in	
130	GO BACK TO 106 IN NEXT COLUMN OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE OR IN THE FIRST COLUMN OF THE NEXT PAGE; IF NO MORE CHILDREN, END INTERVIEW.			

201	CHECK COLUMN 10 IN HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE. RECORD THE LINE NUMBER AND NAME FOR ALL ADULTS 15+ YEARS IN QUESTION 202; IF MORE THAN SIX ADULTS, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE(S).			LL ADULTS 15+ YEARS IN
		ADULT 1	ADULT 2	ADULT 3
202	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: LINE NUMBER FROM COLUMN 10; NAME FROM COLUMN 2	LINE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER
203	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: AGE FROM COLUMN 7.	15-17 YEARS 1 18+ YEARS 2 (GO TO 208)	15-17 YEARS 1 18+ YEARS 2 (GO TO 208)	15-17 YEARS 1 18+ YEARS 2 (GO TO 208)
204	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: MARITAL STATUS: FROM COLUMN 7A.	CODE 4 (NEVER IN UNION) 1 OTHER 2 (GO TO 208)	CODE 4 (NEVER IN UNION) 1 OTHER 2 (GO TO 208)	CODE 4 (NEVER IN UNION) 1 OTHER 2 (GO TO 208)
205	LINE NUMBER OF PARENT/OTHER ADULT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CHILD FROM COLUMN 1 OF HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE.	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)
206	ASK CONSENT FOR MALARIA TEST FROM PARENT/OTHER ADULT IDENTIFIED IN 205 AS RESPONSIBLE FOR NEVER IN UNION ADULT AGE 15-17.	As part of this survey, we are asking adults all over the country to take a test to see if they have malaria. Malaria is a serious illness caused by a parasite transmitted by a mosquito bite. This survey will assist the government to develop programs to prevent malaria. We ask that all adults aged 15 or older take part in malaria testing in this survey and give a few drops of blood from a finger or heel. One blood drop will be tested for malaria immediately, and the result will be told to you right away. A few blood drops will be collected on slide(s) and taken to a laboratory for testing. You will not be told the results of the laboratory testing. All results will be kept strictly confidential and will not be shared with anyone other than members of our survey team. Do you have any questions? You can say yes or no. It is up to you to decide. Will you allow (NAME OF ADULT) to participate in the malaria test?		
207	CIRCLE THE CODE, SIGN YOUR NAME, AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER.	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)
208	ASK CONSENT FOR MALARIA TEST FROM RESPONDENT.	serious health problem that caus assist the government to develop will need a few drops of blood fro completely safe. It has never bee will be tested for Malaria immedia kept strictly confidential and will r Do you have any questions?	king people all over the country to ta ed by a parasite transmitted by a m o programs to prevent and treat Ma im a finger. The equipment used to in used before and will be thrown a ately, and the result will be told to yo not be shared with anyone other that bu can say no. It is up to you to dec	nosquito bite This survey will laria. For the Malaria testing, we take the blood is clean and way after each test. The blood ou right away. The result will be an members of our survey team.

208A	CIRCLE THE CODE, SIGN YOUR NAME, AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER.	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)
209	PREPARE EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES THE TEST(S).	ONLY FOR THE TEST(S) FOR W	HICH CONSENT HAS BEEN OBT	AINED AND PROCEED WITH
210	PLACE BAR CODE LABEL FOR MALARIA LAB TEST.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.
		NOT PRESENT 99994 REFUSED 99995 OTHER 99996	NOT PRESENT 99994 REFUSED 99995 OTHER 99996	NOT PRESENT 99994 REFUSED 99995 OTHER 99996
		PUT THE 2ND BAR CODE LABEL ON THE SLIDE AND THE 3RD ON THE TRANSMITTAL FORM.	PUT THE 2ND BAR CODE LABEL ON THE SLIDE AND THE 3RD ON THE TRANSMITTAL FORM.	PUT THE 2ND BAR CODE LABEL ON THE SLIDE AND THE 3RD ON THE TRANSMITTAL FORM.
211	CIRCLE THE CODE FOR THE MALARIA RDT.	TESTED 1 NOT PRESENT 2 REFUSED 3 OTHER 6 (SKIP TO 223)	TESTED 1 NOT PRESENT 2 REFUSED 3 OTHER 6 (SKIP TO 223)	TESTED 1 NOT PRESENT 2 ¬ REFUSED 3 ¬ OTHER 6 ¬ (SKIP TO 223)

212	RECORD THE RESULT OF THE MALARIA RDT HERE AND IN THE MALARIA PAMPHLET.	POSITIVE 1 NEGATIVE 2 OTHER 6	POSITIVE 1 NEGATIVE 2 OTHER 6	POSITIVE 1 NEGATIVE 2 OTHER 6
213	CHECK 212:	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 6' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 223)	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 6' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 223)	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 6' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 223)
214	In the past two weeks has you taken or is taking Coartem given by a doctor or health center to treat the malaria? VERIFY BY ASKING TO SEE TREATMENT	YES	YES	YES
215	ALREADY TAKING [FIRST LINE MEDICATION] REFERRAL STATEMENT	additional Coartem. However, the	I ready received Coartem for malaria e test shows that you has malaria. I bu should go to the nearest health f	f you has a fever for two days
216	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: SEX FROM COLUMN 4.	FEMALE 1 MALE 2 (GO TO 218)	FEMALE 1 MALE 2 (GO TO 218)	FEMALE 1 MALE 2 (GO TO 218)
217	Are you currently pregnant?	YES 1 (GO TO 222) J NO 2	YES 1 (GO TO 222) J NO 2	YES1 (GO TO 222) J NO2
218	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO PARENT/OTHER	Coartem is very effective and in a	has malaria. We can give you free a few days it should get rid of the fe his is up to you. Please tell me whe	ever and other symptoms. You do
219	CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME.	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED 2 OTHER 6	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED 2 OTHER
220	CHECK 119: MEDICATION ACCEPTED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED 2 OTHER 6 (SKIP TO 223) <	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED 2 OTHER	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED 2 OTHER
221	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO ADULT.		DNS] have a high fever, fast or difficult br vo days, you should go to see a hea	
222	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO PARENT/OTHER ADULT.		aria. However, you have told me th rtem. You should go to the nearest	
223	GO BACK TO 202 IN NEXT COLUMN OF END INTERVIEW.	THIS QUESTIONNAIRE OR IN T	HE FIRST COLUMN OF THE NEX	T PAGE; IF NO MORE ADULT,

201	CHECK COLUMN 10 IN HOUSEHOLD Q QUESTION 202; IF MORE THAN SIX AD			LL ADULTS 15+ YEARS IN
		ADULT 4	ADULT 5	ADULT 6
202	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: LINE NUMBER FROM COLUMN 10; NAME FROM COLUMN 2	LINE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER
203	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: AGE FROM COLUMN 7.	15-17 YEARS 1 18+ YEARS 2 (GO TO 208) ←	15-17 YEARS 1 18+ YEARS 2 (GO TO 208) ←	15-17 YEARS 1 18+ YEARS 2 (GO TO 208)
204	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: MARITAL STATUS: FROM COLUMN 7A.	CODE 4 (NEVER IN UNION) 1 OTHER 2 (GO TO 208)	CODE 4 (NEVER IN UNION) 1 OTHER 2 (GO TO 208)	CODE 4 (NEVER IN UNION) 1 OTHER 2 (GO TO 208)
205	LINE NUMBER OF PARENT/OTHER ADULT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CHILD FROM COLUMN 1 OF HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE.	LINE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)	LINE NUMBER (RECORD '00' IF NOT LISTED)
		(,	(/	(,
206	ASK CONSENT FOR MALARIA TEST FROM PARENT/OTHER ADULT IDENTIFIED IN 205 AS RESPONSIBLE FOR NEVER IN UNION ADULT AGE 15-17.	malaria. Malaria is a serious illne will assist the government to dev We ask that all adults aged 15 or drops of blood from a finger or he result will be told to you right awa laboratory for testing. You will no strictly confidential and will not be Do you have any questions? You can say yes or no. It is up to	king adults all over the country to ta ss caused by a parasite transmitted elop programs to prevent malaria. • older take part in malaria testing in eel. One blood drop will be tested fo ay. A few blood drops will be collect t be told the results of the laborator e shared with anyone other than me you to decide. T) to participate in the malaria test?	d by a mosquito bite. This survey in this survey and give a few or malaria immediately, and the ed on slide(s) and taken to a y testing. All results will be kept embers of our survey team.
207	CIRCLE THE CODE, SIGN YOUR NAME, AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER.	GRANTED 1 REFUSED	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)
208	ASK CONSENT FOR MALARIA TEST FROM RESPONDENT.	serious health problem that caus assist the government to develop will need a few drops of blood fro completely safe. It has never bee will be tested for Malaria immedia kept strictly confidential and will r Do you have any questions?	king people all over the country to ta ed by a parasite transmitted by a m o programs to prevent and treat Ma orm a finger. The equipment used to en used before and will be thrown a ately, and the result will be told to yo not be shared with anyone other that bu can say no. It is up to you to dec	nosquito bite This survey will laria. For the Malaria testing, we take the blood is clean and way after each test. The blood ou right away. The result will be an members of our survey team.

208A	CIRCLE THE CODE, SIGN YOUR NAME, AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER.	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)	GRANTED 1 REFUSED 2 (SKIP TO 223) NOT PRESENT/OTHER . 3 (SIGN AND ENTER YOUR FIELDWORKER NUMBER)
209	PREPARE EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES THE TEST(S).	ONLY FOR THE TEST(S) FOR W	HICH CONSENT HAS BEEN OBT	AINED AND PROCEED WITH
210	PLACE BAR CODE LABEL FOR MALARIA LAB TEST.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.	PUT THE 1ST BAR CODE LABEL HERE.
		NOT PRESENT 99994 REFUSED 99995 OTHER 99996	NOT PRESENT 99994 REFUSED 99995 OTHER 99996	NOT PRESENT 99994 REFUSED 99995 OTHER 99996
		PUT THE 2ND BAR CODE LABEL ON THE SLIDE AND THE 3RD ON THE TRANSMITTAL FORM.	PUT THE 2ND BAR CODE LABEL ON THE SLIDE AND THE 3RD ON THE TRANSMITTAL FORM.	PUT THE 2ND BAR CODE LABEL ON THE SLIDE AND THE 3RD ON THE TRANSMITTAL FORM.
211	CIRCLE THE CODE FOR THE MALARIA RDT.	TESTED 1 NOT PRESENT 2 REFUSED 3 OTHER 6 (SKIP TO 223)	TESTED 1 NOT PRESENT 2 REFUSED 3 OTHER 6 (SKIP TO 223)	TESTED 1 NOT PRESENT 2 - REFUSED 3 - OTHER 6 - (SKIP TO 223)

212	RECORD THE RESULT OF THE MALARIA RDT HERE AND IN THE MALARIA PAMPHLET.	POSITIVE1NEGATIVE2OTHER6	POSITIVE 1 NEGATIVE 2 OTHER 6	POSITIVE 1 NEGATIVE 2 OTHER 6
213	CHECK 212:	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 6' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 223)	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 6' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 223)	CODE '1' CODE '2 OR 6' IS CIRCLED IS CIRCLED (SKIP TO 223)
214	In the past two weeks has you taken or is taking Coartem given by a doctor or health center to treat the malaria?	YES		
	VERIFY BY ASKING TO SEE TREATMENT	NO2 (SKIP TO 216) ←	NO2 (SKIP TO 216) ←	(SKIP TO 216) ←
215	ALREADY TAKING [FIRST LINE MEDICATION] REFERRAL STATEMENT	additional Coartem. However, the	ready received Coartem for malaria e test shows that you has malaria. I ou should go to the nearest health f	f you has a fever for two days
216	CHECK HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: SEX FROM COLUMN 4.	FEMALE 1 MALE 2 (GO TO 218)	FEMALE 1 MALE 2 (GO TO 218)	FEMALE 1 MALE 2 (GO TO 218)
217	Are you currently pregnant?	YES 1 (GO TO 222) J NO 2	YES 1 (GO TO 222) J NO 2	YES 1 (GO TO 222) 4 NO 2
218	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO PARENT/OTHER	Coartem is very effective and in a	has malaria. We can give you free a few days it should get rid of the fe his is up to you. Please tell me whe	ever and other symptoms. You do
219	CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME.	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 (SIGN) REFUSED 2 OTHER 6
220	CHECK 119: MEDICATION ACCEPTED	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED 2 OTHER	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED 2 OTHER	ACCEPTED MEDICINE . 1 REFUSED 2 OTHER
221	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO ADULT.		DNS] have a high fever, fast or difficult bi vo days, you should go to see a hea	
222	READ INFORMATION FOR MALARIA TREATMENT AND CONSENT STATEMENT TO PARENT/OTHER ADULT.		aria. However, you have told me th rtem. You should go to the nearest	
223	GO BACK TO 202 IN NEXT COLUMN OF CHILDREN, END INTERVIEW.	THIS QUESTIONNAIRE OR IN TI	HE FIRST COLUMN OF THE NEX	T PAGE; IF NO MORE

FIELDWORKER'S OBSERVATIONS

TO BE FILLED IN AFTER COMPLETING BIOMARKERS

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS
EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS

BIOMARKER: FOOTNOTES

(1) This section should be adapted for country-specific survey design.

(2) Year of fieldwork is assumed to be 2017. For fieldwork beginning in 2018, all references to calendar years should be increased by one; for example, 2012 should be changed to 2013, 2013 should be changed to 2014, and similarly for all years throughout the questionnaire.

(3) This question should be deleted in surveys that do not collect blood smears.

(4) This is a list of generic symptoms indicative of severe malaria. Symptoms should be revised according to the country's national malaria treatment guidelines.

(5) The referral statement should be revised to reflect the country's national malaria treatment guidelines in reference to antimalarial treatment failure.

ADDITIONAL DHS PROGRAM RESOURCES

The DHS Program Website – Download free DHS reports, standard documentation, key indicator data, and training tools, and view announcements.DHSprogram.comSTAT compiler – Build custom tables, graphs, and maps with data from 90 countries and thousands of indicators.Statcompiler.comDHS Program Mobile App – Access key DHS indicators for 90 countries on your mobile device (Apple, Android, or Windows).Search DHS Program in your iTunes or Google Play storeDHS Program User Forum – Post questions about DHS data, and search our archive of FAQs.userforum.DHSprogram.comTutorial Videos – Watch interviews with experts and learn DHS basics, such as sampling and weighting, downloading datasets, and how to read DHS tables.www.youtube.com/DHSProgramDHS program Load DHS datasets for analysis.DHSprogram.com/DataSpatial Data Repository – Download geographically- linked health and demographic data for mapping in a geographic information system (GIS).spatialdata.DHSprogram.com			
maps with data from 90 countries and thousands of indicators.Search DHS Program in your iTunes or Google Play storeDHS Program Mobile App – Access key DHS indicators for 90 countries on your mobile device (Apple, Android, or Windows).Search DHS Program in your iTunes or Google Play storeDHS Program User Forum – Post questions about DHS data, and search our archive of FAQs.userforum.DHSprogram.comTutorial Videos – Watch interviews with experts and learn DHS basics, such as sampling and weighting, downloading datasets, and how to read DHS tables.www.youtube.com/DHSProgramDatasets – Download DHS datasets for analysis.DHSprogram.com/DataSpatial Data Repository – Download geographically- linked health and demographic data for mapping in aspatialdata.DHSprogram.com	reports, standard documentation, key indicator data,	DHSprogram.com	
indicators for 90 countries on your mobile device (Apple, Android, or Windows).iTunes or Google Play storeDHS Program User Forum – Post questions about DHS data, and search our archive of FAQs.userforum.DHSprogram.comTutorial Videos – Watch interviews with experts and learn DHS basics, such as sampling and weighting, downloading datasets, and how to read DHS tables.www.youtube.com/DHSProgramDatasets – Download DHS datasets for analysis.DHSprogram.com/DataSpatial Data Repository – Download geographically- linked health and demographic data for mapping in aspatialdata.DHSprogram.com	maps with data from 90 countries and thousands of	Statcompiler.com	
DHS data, and search our archive of FAQs. Image: Comparison of FAQs. Tutorial Videos – Watch interviews with experts and learn DHS basics, such as sampling and weighting, downloading datasets, and how to read DHS tables. www.youtube.com/DHSProgram Datasets – Download DHS datasets for analysis. DHSprogram.com/Data Spatial Data Repository – Download geographically-linked health and demographic data for mapping in a spatialdata.DHSprogram.com	indicators for 90 countries on your mobile device		
learn DHS basics, such as sampling and weighting, downloading datasets, and how to read DHS tables. Image: Comparison of the com	•	userforum.DHSprogram.com	
Spatial Data Repository – Download geographically- linked health and demographic data for mapping in a spatialdata.DHSprogram.com	learn DHS basics, such as sampling and weighting,	www.youtube.com/DHSProgram	
linked health and demographic data for mapping in a	Datasets – Download DHS datasets for analysis.	DHSprogram.com/Data	
	linked health and demographic data for mapping in a	spatialdata.DHSprogram.com	

Social Media – Follow The DHS Program and join the conversation. Stay up to date through:

f	Facebook www.facebook.com/DHSprogram		LinkedIn www.linkedin.com/ company/dhs-program	
You Tube	YouTube www.youtube.com/DHSprogram		Blog Blog.DHSprogram.com	
y	Twitter www.twitter.com/ DHSprogram	ente XVI en Ente		