DHS COMPARATIVE 24 STUDIES

Maternal **Nutritional** Status



DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH **SURVEYS**

The Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) is a 13-year project to assist government and private agencies in developing countries to conduct national sample surveys on population and maternal and child health. Funded primarily by the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), DHS is administered by Macro International Inc. in Calverton, Maryland.

The main objectives of the DHS program are (1) to promote widespread dissemination and utilization of DHS data among policymakers, (2) to expand the international population and health database, (3) to advance survey methodology, and (4) to develop in participating countries the skills and resources necessary to conduct high-quality demographic and health surveys.

For information about the Demographic and Health Surveys program, write to DHS, Macro International Inc., 11785 Beltsville Drive, Suite 300, Calverton, MD 20705, U.S.A. (Telephone 301-572-0200; Fax 301-572-0999).

Demographic and Health Surveys Comparative Studies No. 24

Maternal Nutritional Status

EDILBERTO LOAIZA

Macro International Inc. Calverton, Maryland, USA

December 1997

Recommended citation: Loaiza, Edilberto. 1997. Maternal Nutritional Status. DHS Comparative Studies No. 24. Calverton, Maryland: Macro International Inc.

Contents

Prefa	ce	
Ackı	owledgments vi	
Exec	utive Summaryvii	
1	Introduction 1	
2	Data and Methods 3 2.1 Sample of Women 3 2.2 Equipment and Training of Measurers 3 2.3 Nutritional Status Indices and Indicators of Malnutrition 3	
3	Data Quality 3.1 Sample Bias 3.2 Sample Coverage 3.3 Accuracy of the Measurements	
4	Findings 9 4.1 Overall Levels of Nutrition 9 4.2 Patterns of Nutrition 9 4.3 Distributions of the Measures of Nutritional Status 11	
5	Biodemographic Differentials175.1 Fertility-Related Variables175.2 Child Health Variables25	
6	Socioeconomic Differentials 34 6.1 Urban-Rural Residence 34 6.2 Mother's Education 34 6.3 Socioeconomic Status 34 6.4 Ethnic Origin/Religion/Language 38	
7	Relative Risks of Malnutrition397.1 Chronic Energy Deficiency397.2 Obesity41	
8	Summary and Conclusions43	
Re	Perences	
Aŗ	pendix Summary of DHS-I, DHS-II and DHS-III Surveys, 1985-199746	

Tables

3.1	Difference between the percentage of women
	measured and the percentage observed in
	the total sample
3.2	Percentage of women with children born in the 5
	years before the survey who were not measured 7
3.3	Percent distribution of women not
	measured by reason
3.4	Heaping of height readings
3.5	Heaping of weight readings
4.1	Indicators of maternal nutritional status among
	women with children under 5 years
4.2	Distribution of women with children
	under 5 years by height
4.3	Distribution of women with children under 5
	years by BMI
4.4	Distribution of women with children under 5
	years by weight-for-height
5.1	Mean BMI among women with
	children under 5 years by age
5.2	Mean BMI among women with
	children under 5 years by parity
5.3	Mean BMI among women with children
	under 5 years by last birth interval
5.4	Mean BMI among women with children under
	5 years by number of months since last birth 26
5.5	Mean BMI among women with children under
	5 years by breastfeeding status
5.6	Mean height and BMI among women with children
	under 5 years by birth weight of last child 29
5.7	Mean height and BMI among women with children
	under 5 years by last child anthropometry and age 31
5.8	Mean BMI among women with children under
	5 years by under-five mortality status
6.1	Mean BMI among women with children
	under 5 years by residence
6.2	Mean BMI among women with children
	under 5 years by education
6.3	Mean BMI among women with children
	under 5 years by socioeconomic status
6.4	Mean BMI among women with children under
	5 years by ethnic origin/religion/language 38
7.1	Net relative risk of chronic energy deficiency
7.0	among nonpregnant mothers age 15-49
7.2	Net relative risk of obesity among
	nonpregnant mothers age 15-49

Figures

4.1	Stunting among women age 15-49 with
	children under 5 years 10
4.2	Chronic energy deficiency and obesity
	among women age 15-49 11
4.3	Weight-for-height among women age 15-49 11
4.4	Distribution of women age 15-49
	according to height scores
4.5	Distribution of women age 15-49
	according to BMI scores
4.6	Distribution of women age 15-49
	according to weight-for-height 14
5.1	Percentage of women with BMI < 18.5
	by age of the mother
5.2	Percentage of women with BMI 30+
	by age of the mother 20
5.3	Percentage of women with BMI < 18.5
	by parity
5.4	Percentage of women with BMI 30+
	by parity
5.5	Percentage of women with chronic energy
	deficiency (BMI < 18.5) by
	breastfeeding status
5.6	Percent of obese women (BMI 30+)
	by breastfeeding status
5.7	Percentage of women with chronic energy
	deficiency (BMI < 18.5) by birth
	weight of last child
5.8	Percentage of obese women (BMI 30+)
•	by birth weight of last child
5.9	Percentage of women with chronic energy
	deficiency (BMI < 18.5) by last
	child's anthropometric status
5.10	Percentage of obese women (BMI 30+)
D.110	by last child's anthropometric status
6.1	Percentage of women with BMI <18.5
0.1	by place of residence
6.2	Percentage of obese women (BMI 30+)
0.2	by place of residence
6.3	Percentage of obese women (BMI 30+)
0,0	
	by socioeconomic status
	by sociocomonine status

Preface

One of the most significant contributions of the DHS program is the creation of an internationally comparable body of data on the demographic and health characteristics of populations in developing countries. The DHS Comparative Studies series and the DHS Analytical Reports series examine these data across countries in a comparative framework, focusing on specific topics.

The objectives of DHS comparative research are: to describe similarities and differences between countries and regions, to highlight subgroups with specific needs, to provide information for policy formulation at the international level, and to examine individual country results in an international context. While Comparative Studies are primarily descriptive, Analytical Reports utilizes a more analytical approach.

The comparative analysis of DHS data is carried out primarily by staff at the DHS headquarters in Calverton, Maryland. The topics covered are selected by staff in conjunction with the DHS Scientific Advisory Committee and USAID.

The Comparative Studies are based on a variable number of data sets reflecting the number of countries for which data were available at the time the report was prepared. Each report provides detailed tables and graphs for countries in four regions: sub-Saharan Africa, the Near East and North Africa, Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean. Survey-related issues such as questionnaire comparability, survey procedures, data quality, and methodological approaches are addressed in each report, as necessary. Where appropriate, data from previous DHS surveys are used to evaluate trends over time.

Comparative Studies published under the current phase of the DHS program (DHS-III) are, in some cases, updates and expansions of reports published earlier in the series. Other reports, however, will cover new topics that reflect the expanded substantive scope of the DHS program.

It is anticipated that the availability of comparable information for a large number of developing countries will have longterm usefulness for analysts and policymakers in the fields of international population and health.

> Martin Vaessen Project Director

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Cindy Stanton and Ana Sanchez for their help in reviewing this paper and for their constructive comments. I gratefully acknowledge Mary Lane, Sidney Moore, Catherine Sansone, and Celia Siebenmann for their assistance in the production of this report.

Executive Summary

Nutritional status based on the measurement of maternal height and weight is one of the important outcome measures of the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) program. Since nationally representative samples are used, DHS data permit a comparison of maternal nutritional status within and across countries. This report presents information on the nutritional status of women who have children born in the five years preceding the survey. It also analyzes the differentials in nutritional status levels by selected demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related characteristics. Two basic indicators of maternal malnutrition obtained from the body mass index (BMI)1 distribution are used: chronic energy deficiency, the percentage of women with BMI < 18.5, and obesity, the percentage of women with BMI 30+. The relative risk of malnutrition is examined using multivariate analysis to determine the effects of a particular variable when other variables are held constant.

Level of malnutrition, that is, chronic energy deficiency (CED) or obesity, differs from region to region and from country to country, but three general patterns are identified:

- (1) The African pattern shows high levels of chronic energy deficiency and low levels of obesity. Women tend to be tall and thin, with 10 percent or more of the mothers classified as malnourished.
- (2) The Near East/North African pattern has low levels of chronic energy deficiency but high levels of obesity.
- (3) The Latin American pattern has relatively low levels of chronic energy deficiency and moderate levels of obesity.

Differentials

The nutritional status of mothers varies by age because of weight gain during the reproductive years, with younger mothers having greater prevalence of chronic energy deficiency. As with age, the BMI increases with mother's parity, except in some countries where parity 6 or higher is associated with lower BMIs and, therefore, higher percentages of chronic energy deficiency. Some of the increase observed in the mean BMI by parity is associated with obesity. Obesity increases with parity; however, for some countries it decreases after parity 4-5. The results suggest the existence of long-term maternal depletion, which tends to occur in countries with high levels of fertility. Almost all the DHS countries show a consistent pattern linking short birth intervals with malnutrition.

The postpartum period—during which breastfeeding can affect the weight of the mother—influences the level of chronic energy deficiency during the first year and a half after the birth of the child. Variations are observed by country, probably related to the length of the lactation period. In sub-Saharan Africa, where the average length of breastfeeding is around 20 months, the percentage of mothers with chronic energy deficiency increases with the duration of the postpartum period and stabilizes around 10 percent after three years. Women tend to lose weight over the course of lactation, thus affecting their BMI. Although mean BMI is lower for lactating mothers, in 7 of the 18 countries analyzed, chronic energy deficiency percentages are higher among nonlactating women. As expected, obesity is more prevalent among nonlactating women.

BMIs are lower among mothers of low birth weight children. The prevalence of chronic energy deficiency by birth weight of the last child is highest in sub-Saharan Africa. Likewise, mothers of stunted children in sub-Saharan Africa show greater prevalence of chronic energy deficiency, particularly mothers of younger children (< 24 months). The descriptive analysis of malnutrition and child survival produced mixed results with no specific pattern across countries or regions.

Levels of maternal malnutrition vary by background characteristics. Chronic energy deficiency is more common in rural areas in almost all DHS countries, while obesity is predominantly an urban manifestation. As expected, malnutrition decreases with mother's education, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. Compared with education, the socioeconomic status of women seems to be a better predictor of both chronic energy deficiency and obesity. The higher the socioeconomic status of the mother, the lower the prevalence of malnutrition, particularly in sub-Saharan countries. Ethnicity and religion also affect levels of maternal malnutrition. In Latin America, indigenous mothers tend to have higher levels of chronic energy deficiency and lower levels of obesity compared with women whose main language is Spanish. On the other hand, in Turkey, obesity is higher among ethnic Turkish mothers than non-Turkish mothers, and higher in Egypt among Muslim mothers than non-Muslim mothers.

Relative Risk

A multivariate analysis confirms most of the findings presented in the descriptive analysis, particularly in terms of the direction of the relationship between chronic energy deficiency and its covariates. At least one of the socioeconomic variables (place of residence, education, socioeconomic status, ethnic group) entered the model, not only confirming its importance in explaining maternal malnutrition, but also verifying a higher prevalence of chronic energy deficiency among the poor. As for

Weight in kilograms divided by height squared in meters (kg/m²).

obesity, the following variables have significant effects over and above the other variables in the model: age, place of residence, socioeconomic status, and the parity of the mother. The relative risk of obesity for urban mothers is considerably lower than for their rural counterparts, which is the opposite of results presented in the descriptive analysis. In general, the higher the socioeconomic status or education of the mother, the higher the relative risk of obesity. These findings are substantiated by analysis of variables for ethnicity, religion, and language.

The results indicate that the risk of obesity is explained primarily by socioeconomic variables and seems to be a greater problem in North African and Near Eastern countries. At the same time, the risk of chronic energy deficiency is also explained largely by socioeconomic variables, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. Biodemographic variables appear to be operating as intermediate variables affecting maternal malnutrition.

Improvements in maternal nutritional status will only be seen when mothers and their children are no longer exposed to the risks factors leading to malnutrition. Some of the actions that would reduce these risks factors are described in the Program of Action of the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development.

1 Introduction

Governments and international organizations around the world are concerned about the health and nutritional status of women. The two most recent statements of this concern are embedded in the declarations and plans of action approved at the 1994 Cairo International Conference on Population and Development (United Nations, 1994) and the 1995 Beijing World Conference on Women. Both conferences clearly established the importance of women's health and nutritional status as key elements for the future of any society.

Although women represent half the world's population and contribute greatly to the functioning of society, most live under unfavorable conditions. Gender discrimination, lack of autonomy, and fewer opportunities for education and employment are some of problems faced by women around the world. "Women have different and unequal access to and use of basic health resources, including primary health services for the prevention and treatment of childhood diseases, malnutrition, anemia, diarrheal diseases, communicable diseases, malaria and other tropical diseases, and tuberculosis..." (United Nations, 1995). In societies with one or more of these problems, malnutrition of women may be a reality from the time of conception to old age. Unfortunately, women's malnutrition is not only insufficiently recognized, but also inadequately documented. "Statistical data on health are often not systematically collected, desegregated and analyzed by age, sex and socioeconomic status and by established demographic criteria used to serve the interests and solve the problems of the subgroup... Recent and reliable data on the mortality and morbidity of women and conditions and diseases particularly affecting women are not available in many countries" (United Nations, 1995).

The nutritional status of mothers is usually assessed by measures of maternal anthropometry, maternal mortality, incidence of low birth weight, and anemia. Maternal mortality is much higher in developing countries: in Africa the lifetime chance of maternal death is 1:20 compared with 1:6,000 in North America. Of the four major causes of maternal mortality (hemorrhage, infection, obstructed labor, and eclampsia) three are preventable with appropriate nutritional responses in the population (United Nations, 1992). Maternal anthropometric indicators are useful for "...screening women at nutritional risk, monitoring maternal nutrition status, and predicting unfavorable infant outcomes related to pregnancy (low birth weight); perinatal, neonatal and infant mortality; and poor infant growth" (Krasovec and Anderson, 1991). Very often, height is a good indicator of socioeconomic status and is useful for identifying women at nutritional risk, while prepregnancy weight is closely associated with birth weight and infant mortality (Kramer, 1987; Naeye, 1979; Kardjati et al., 1988; Krasovec and Anderson, 1991).

The 1992 Second Report on the World Nutrition Situation, by the Administrative Committee on Coordination/Subcommittee

on Nutrition, presented results on stature, weight, and thinness of women in developing countries by region (United Nations, 1992). The report indicates that for the period 1977 to 1990, the prevalence of short stature and underweight among women in Asia and Latin America was substantial, especially compared with developed regions. However, the overall proportion of underweight women (below 45 kg) was high in South Asia (60 percent), Southeast Asia (45 percent), and sub-Saharan Africa (about 20 percent). The report also relates these findings to obstetric risk and the occurrence of low birth weight and, therefore, maternal mortality. Because deficiencies in height and weight can be due to both stunting and thinness, the results of the body mass index (BMI), which controls for height, "... show substantial prevalence of thinness in Southeast Asia (around 40 percent), and a significant prevalence in Africa of around 20 percent." Thus, underweight among women in Africa is mostly thinness, in line with the low prevalence of stunting. In Central America, the problem is more one of stunting than thinness. Most data on which this and other studies are based consist of small samples that do not allow analysis of socioeconomic and demographic differentials in the prevalence of female malnutrition.

The Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) program, funded by the U.S. Agency for International Development, has assisted developing countries to implement surveys on population and maternal and child health since 1984. During the first phase of the program (DHS-I) from 1984 to 1989, surveys were implemented in 30 countries in sub-Saharan Africa, Asia, the Near East, North Africa, and Latin America and the Caribbean. Although anthropometric measurements have always been included in the DHS questionnaire, in the first phase measurements were obtained only for children born in the five years preceding the survey. During the second phase (DHS-II) from 1988 to 1993, with the support of the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization, the DHS program expanded anthropometric measurements to include mothers of children born in the five years preceding the survey. The exercise was carried out in 11 of the 22 surveys completed during the second phase and included measurement of height, weight, and arm circumference. At the beginning of the third phase (DHS-III) from 1992 to 1997, it was decided to include maternal anthropometry in all scheduled surveys. Given that DHS samples are nationally representative for women with children born in the five years before the survey, population-based estimates of maternal nutritional status can be produced for the countries included in this phase. The main findings of these measurements are included in the DHS country reports.

The main objective of this report is to present comparative, maternal anthropometric data for DHS-II and DHS-III countries that can be related to the nutritional status of women in developing countries, as well as differentials in levels of nutritional status

by selected demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related characteristics. Only findings based on anthropometric indicators derived from the measurement of women's height and weight are presented. Section 2 in the report presents the survey data and discusses the methodology used in the surveys. Section 3 examines data quality. Section 4 describes the main anthropometric indicators: height, weight, relative weight, BMI, and Rohrer index. Sections 5 and 6 present the biodemographic and socioeconomic differentials of maternal malnutrition. Section 7 uses multivariate analysis to examine chronic energy deficiency and obesity. Section 8 presents the summary and conclusions.

2 Data and Methods

2.1 SAMPLE OF WOMEN

In most DHS surveys data are obtained from a sample of households and all women age 15-49 present in the households (de facto population). However, in some countries in the Near East/North Africa region information is restricted to ever-married women. The health component of the women's questionnaire is devoted to maternal and child health and includes anthropometric measurements of mothers and children. Health information is collected for mothers and their children born in the five years preceding the survey. In the second phase of the DHS program (DHS-II) the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) provided funds to collect anthropometric data on mothers and children in 10 surveys. In the third phase of the program (DHS-III) maternal anthropometric measurements were included as part of the standard questionnaire.

Self-weighted samples are used most often in DHS surveys; however, oversampling may be necessary in some areas to obtain reliable estimates. In this report weighted data are used in the analysis. The number of respondents ranges from 4,500 to 16,000, including 2,000 to 6,000 women with children under five years of age.² To avoid the bias of weight changes experienced by women during pregnancy and after delivery, the sample is further restricted to nonpregnant women and women with children three months of age and older. Results are presented for the following 18 countries:

Sub-Saharan Africa

Burkina Faso, 1992-93 Ghana, 1993 Kenya, 1993 Malawi, 1992 Namibia, 1992 Niger, 1992 Senegal, 1992-93 Tanzania, 1991-92 Zambia, 1992 Zimbabwe, 1994

Near East/North Africa

Egypt, 1992 Morocco, 1992 Turkey, 1993

Latin America/Caribbean

Bolivia, 1993-94 Colombia, 1990 Dominican Republic, 1991 Guatemala, 1995 Peru, 1991-92

² The reference period is 3 years for Bolivia, Ghana, and Zimbabwe.

2.2 EQUIPMENT AND TRAINING OF MEASURERS

The selection of measurers was carried out differently in different regions. The typical approach in Francophone African countries was to train all interviewers to take measurements of mothers and children. In Anglophone Africa and in Asia, two team members were usually designated as measurers. In most Latin American and Caribbean countries, the supervisor and field editor of each team were trained to take measurements, with the interviewers acting as assistants.

Training followed the guidelines in the United Nations manual, How to Weigh and Measure Children (United Nations, 1986). The guidelines and instruments (scales and measuring boards) were adapted to measure both mothers and children. Training included classroom instruction and field practice, and was conducted by a DHS specialist or by local personnel with expertise in nutrition. A quality-control test was administered at the end of the training to ensure trainee proficiency. In some countries, a second quality-control test was conducted halfway through the survey.

A digital scale was used to weigh the mothers and children, and measurers were taught to read weights to 100 grams. Height was measured using an adjustable wooden measuring board and measurers were instructed to read heights to the nearest 0.1 centimeter. For a limited number of countries, the women's arm circumference was measured using a metric band designed for this purpose. However, arm circumference was not collected during DHS-III because of observed inconsistencies with malnutrition estimates based on height and weight. For this reason, arm circumference information is not included in this report.

2.3 NUTRITIONAL STATUS INDICES AND INDICATORS OF MALNUTRITION

The use and analysis of maternal anthropometric data are limited because there is no accepted international standard or reference population for adult women. The nutritional status of children in DHS surveys is compared with an international reference population defined by the U.S. National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and accepted by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO). The reference population is based on the assumption that well-nourished young children in all populations follow similar growth patterns (see Martorell and Habicht, 1986; and Sommerfelt and Stewart, 1994). A reference population serves as a point of comparison, facilitating the examination of differences in the anthropometric status of subgroups in a population and of changes in nutritional status over time.

Efforts were made in the past to develop anthropometric standards for adult populations. The most commonly used standard of ideal weights, known as the Fogarty standard, was developed by the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and is based on adult mortality over age 25. Another standard was developed using the NCHS/CDC/WHO reference population for children (extrapolated to older ages) and the table of average body weight according to sex, height, and age prepared by the Association of Life Insurance Directors and the Actuarial Society of America. Unfortunately, these standards are affected by methodological limitations that preclude their use in comparative studies.

More recently, DHS has developed a de facto anthropometric standard for mothers in developing countries. The standard is based on "elite" women with children under five years of age in 12 DHS countries where maternal anthropometry was collected (Nestel and Rutstein, 1997). The DHS data were collected between 1991 and 1993 in Burkina Faso, the Dominican Republic, Egypt, Kenya, Malawi, Morocco, Peru, Namibia, Niger, Senegal, Tanzania, and Zambia. The elite group of mothers was defined as having the following characteristics: attended at least secondary school; came from a household that owns either a car or motorbike; and live in a home which has both electricity and a refrigerator. In Malawi and Kenya, the motorbike and refrigerator information was replaced by information about the presence of a flush toilet in the household.

When the DHS de facto standard is used, results can be presented two ways: as the percentage of women with chronic energy deficiency, and as the percentage of women who are obese. Women with chronic energy deficiency are those whose weight-for-height is less than 80 percent of that of the standard group, while obese women are those whose weight-for-height is more than 120 percent of that of the standard group.

Alternatively, some indices can be used that compare anthropometric measurements without use of a reference population. The most common indices based on body mass that use the weight-for-height ratio are: the Quetelet Body Mass Index (BMI), determined by dividing the weight in kilograms by the square of the height in meters; and the Rohrer Index, determined by dividing the weight in kilograms by the cube of the height in meters. The BMI has been used to measure chronic energy deficiency at values below 18.5 (James et al., 1988), overweight at values between 24.0 and 29.9, and obesity at values of 30 or more. The Rohrer Index, which is primarily used for school-age children, has cutoff points at 10.5 for malnutrition and 19.7 for obesity.

Given the comparative nature of this report, results are presented and analyzed using BMI estimates and in some cases BMI and height estimates or the DHS standard. Height is used to indicate the percentage of mothers who are stunted, that is the percentage of mothers with height less than 145 centimeters. For most DHS countries, BMI estimates of chronic energy deficiency and obesity fall between the Rohrer and DHS standard estimates at the cutoff points shown below (see also Section 4, Table 4.1). Discrepancies are primarily due to the lack of coincidence in the cutoff points for measuring chronic energy deficiency and obesity. For this reason, and given that BMI estimates provide middle values, this analysis is based entirely on BMI estimates.

Chronic energy deficiency	Obesity
< 18.5	> 30.0
< 10.5	> 19.7
< 80%	> 120%
	deficiency < 18.5 < 10.5

3 Data Quality

Several issues regarding data quality may affect the interpretation of anthropometric findings. It is important to know whether the women who were measured are representative of the larger population of women of reproductive age, the extent to which all targeted women were measured, and whether the height and weight measurements are accurate.

3.1 SAMPLE BIAS

In DHS surveys, height and weight measurements are obtained for women of reproductive age (15-49) who have had a birth in the five years preceding the survey.3 These selection criteria produce a sample that is biased toward certain groups in the population. The sample bias, or selectivity, is perhaps most noticeable regarding age, with greater representation of women age 20-34, who have higher levels of fertility. Table 3.1 shows the difference between the percentage of women measured and the percentage of women observed in the total sample, by sociodemographic characteristics. In Bolivia, for example, the proportion of women age 20-24 who were measured is 6 percentage points greater than the proportion in that age group among all women in the sample. The pattern of selectivity by age of the mother is similar for all DHS countries, and includes age group 35-39 in countries with high levels of fertility (mostly in Africa). In Egypt and Turkey, the bias is less marked for women 15-19 because the sample only includes ever-married women.

Rural women and women who have lower levels of education tend to have greater representation in the overall sample; these are the same groups that have higher levels of fertility. Additionally, women of parity 1 are measured more often than women of other parities, which is expected since only women who have had births in the five years preceding the survey are measured. Women in union (married or living together) are also overrepresented in the sample of women measured because most births occur in this group. Finally, women whose last-born child is living tend to have greater representation compared with those who report that their last-born child is dead. There are no variations by country in the patterns described above, and the selectivity of women is mostly due to the effects of fertility on sample designs. The patterns described before do not present important variations between countries. We can conclude then, that the selectivity of women observed in the samples analyzed are due to the existing levels of fertility and to the sampling strategy used in each country. In sum, it is important to emphasize that the analysis focuses on the nutritional status of women with births in the five years before the survey, particularly their prepregnancy nutritional status (i.e., if they were to get pregnant at the moment of the survey). Any generalization of the findings to all women in the samples should be given careful consideration.

3.2 SAMPLE COVERAGE

The women measured in the DHS surveys do not necessarily represent all women of reproductive age; they account for, at most, two-thirds of the women (Table 3.2). In countries such as Burkina Faso and Niger that have high levels of fertility, close to two-thirds of eligible women were measured, compared with about one-third in Latin American countries.

One reason to permanently include the anthropometric measurement of women in the DHS questionnaire is the success achieved in obtaining the measurements. With the exception of the Latin American countries, the proportion of eligible women measured is 95 percent or more. The highest proportion of eligible women not measured was in Bolivia (13 percent), and the lowest was in Morocco (1 percent) (Table 3.2).

Nonmeasurement of women usually occurs either because the women were not present at the time the measurements were taken, or because they refused to be measured (Table 3.3). In Bolivia, almost half of the women who were not measured (49 percent) were not present, 39 percent refused to be measured, and 12 percent were not measured for other reasons. The level of nonmeasurement, although important in some cases, generally has little effect on the overall findings and conclusions of this report.

One way to assess the potential impact of women not being measured is to observe the levels of nonmeasurement by socio-demographic characteristics (Table 3.2). In Bolivia, which has the highest percentage of nonmeasurement, there are no substantial differences by age of the woman, place of residence, or parity, although older women and urban women have somewhat higher levels of nonmeasurement. Women with higher education, as expected, show a higher proportion of nonmeasurement. Also, women whose last child was dead at the time of the survey, have a higher percentage of nonmeasurement, in part because their child was not measured. These differentials appear to be important for some countries, especially in Latin America, and could affect the nutritional status differentials of women in the sample.

3.3 ACCURACY OF THE MEASUREMENTS

It is possible that a systematic bias in reading or recording the height and weight measurements could occur. While it is difficult to assess the accuracy of the recorded measurements, height and weight data can be examined to determine the extent of digit preference (i.e., heaping) on the commonly preferred decimals 0 and 5. According to Tables 3.4 and 3.5, heaping is not a problem in most of the DHS surveys analyzed. If no heaping occurs, about 20 percent of measurements should end in 0 or 5.

³ Three years in Bolivia, Ghana, and Zimbabwe. In Egypt and Turkey only ever-married women were measured.

Table 3.1 Difference between the percentage of women measured and the percentage observed in the total sample

Proportion of women measured above or below the percentage observed in the total sample of women, by sociodemographic characteristics, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

'				Sub		Saharan Africa				j	Near Ea	Near East/North Africa	Africa		Latin Am	Latin America/Caribbean	ribbean	
Characteristic	Burkina Faso	Ghana	Kenya	Ghana Kenya Malawi N	Namibia	Niger	Senegal	Tan- zania	Zambia	Zim- babwe	Egypt N	Morocco	Turkey	Bolivia	Co- lombia Re	Dom. (Republic	Guate- mala	Peru
Mother's age	12.6	10.2	15.9	12.0	9 7 1	5	77.		77.7	7.71	3	5	3		:		;	
20-24	49		5.7	5.5		5.1	200	5.6	2.4	1.4	5 v	-20.1	13.4	-17.7	-11.7	-14.0	-14.0	-16.5
25-29	9.9		00	5.5	6.3	7.4	6.4	7.7	6.5	6.9	9.6	6.4	12.0	10.1	2.0	2.7) O	10.2
30-34	4.3		5.1	4.2	5.7	3.8	5.9	4.2	3.9	5.2	4.2	10.9	12	4.5	4.6	, v	99	7.3
35-39	2.3		1.5	1.2	2.0	0.3	3.2	2.1	1.5	0.1	-2.2	8.9	-7.0	2.2	-1.2	-1.6	1.3	3.3
40 44 45 49	-1.0 -3.5	-2.8 -5.3	-1.9 -3.4	-1.2 -3.1	-0.2 -3.6	-1.8 -3.9	-0.6 -3.4	6.4 6.4	-0.7 -3.2	2, 2, 8, 8,	-7.0 -9.6	1.1 -3.3	-10.0 -9.6	-3.8 -6.6	-5.4 -7.3	-5.3 -5.5	-2.9	-1.9 -5.5
Residence	,	,	!	,	,													
Urban Rural	4.4 6.6	-9.1 9.1	မှ လ လ	-2.6 2.6	-2.6 2.6	-1.7	-7.5 7.5	-25 25	4 4 ພິພິ	ئ ئ ئ	5.7	-10.5 10.5	-1.7	-9.0 9.0	-6.9 6.9	9.9 9.9	& 6. & 6. &	-12.5 12.5
Education																		
No education Primary	4.1- 4.1-	5.1 -0.6 2.7	0.7	4.4. 1.1.	0.7	-0.4 5.4	 6.6.	0.7	0.9 2.2 3.4	2.7	0.3 -1.8	15.2 -5.4	-0.1 -0.7	2.1 10.4	0.9 4.7	0.4	8.1	3.4 9.6
Secondary Higher	-0.2	-9.7	0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.0	 6.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.7	-0.4 4.0	8.6. 2.5.	-2.2	-2.4	-8.4 -1.2	-5.4 -7.6
Parity	,		;		,	ļ	. (,	,			,	:	,				
1 2-3	4. C.	2.7	1.7	- o	-17	0.7	0.0	76	1.5	3.2 4.4	1.7	2.4	12.4 -3.9	2.9 -0.5	8.2 -2.6	7.3 1.9	2.7 -0.3	3.8 -1.0
2 4	0.2 -2.9	-3.7	-0.0	-1.0	0.6 5.4	-1.7	-1.7	-0.4	-2.4	-3.6 -4.0	-2.3 -3.9	-0.3 -3.2	-5.6 -2.8	-1.7	-3.9 -1.7	-3.8 -5.5	-2.4 0.0	-1.9 -0.9
Marital status																		
Never married Married	-12.7 13.2	-17.1 17.7	-20.2 19.6	-13.2 12.5	-13.3 8.7	-9.6 10.5	-20.8 21.4	-16.2 16.2	-17.6 17.5	-21.4 24.6	0.0 5.4	-39.1 41.4	0.0 2.7	-25.2 16.8	-23.8 6.8	-27.8 6.2	-25.4 15.4	-33.4
Living together Widowed	0.0	3.4	0.8 7.4	0.0 1.8	6.43	0.0 4	0.1	0.5	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.2	17.4	19.0	11.2	16.2
Divorced	07	-2.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.5	0.5	0.1	9.0	-1.2	-1.3	-1.0	-0.9	-0.5	-0.1	-0.7	-0.2	-0.2 -0.2
Not living together	0.0	-0.3	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.8	0.3	4.0	-0.2	8.0
Survival status	ć	5	-	96	-	-	,	Ċ	ć	-	4 (1	-	701	2	Ċ	ć	ć	ć
Dead	2.5	-9.1	-1.9	-2.6	17	-1.0	-3.7	-2.9	0.1	-13	-10.5	4:1-	-10.6	6. 6. 5. 4.	-2.3	. 6. . 6.	3.0 -3.0	6.0

Table 3.2 Percentage of women with children born in the 5 years before the survey who were not measured

Characteristic	Women interviewed Not to be measured To be measured (%) Not measured (%)	Percentage not measi Mother's age 15-19 20-24 35-29 36-34 40-44	45-49 Residence Urban Rural	Education No education Primary Secondary Higher	Parity 1 2-3 4-5 6+	Marital status Never married Married Living together Widowed Divorced Not living together	Survival status Living Dead
Burkina Faso	6,354 34.8 65.2 2.0	1.5 1.5 1.3 2.2 2.2 1.7 1.7	4.2 2.9 1.8	2.1 2.1 3.1 8.3	2112	949999	1.8
Ghana ¹		ding to: 3.4 3.1 1.8 2.9 2.9 2.3					.8 1.8 .3 14.2
Kenya	7,540 47.8 52.2 5.6	·		6.6 5.4 5.1 11.1	6.8 6.8 7 6.4 6.4		8 5.0 2 10.1
Malawi N	4,849 41.1 58.9 2.7	7.7. 2.6. 3.1. 3.8. 8.8.	3.0 2.5	3.1. 4.1.8. 4.1.8.	2.0 3.8 2.1 2.7	4.22.4.4.4.4.4.4.7.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4	3.6
	5,421 51.0 49.0 2.9	3.5. 1.4. 1.4. 1.4. 1.4. 1.4. 1.4. 1.4. 1	3.9	325 232 342 342 342 342 342 342 342 342 342	3.5 2.8 2.7	22.2 3.5.4.6 3.2.6	2.5
	6,503 35.4 64.6 2.3	1.6 2.5 1.6 3.4 4.5 5.4	2.9 2.1	\$25 *23 *5	2.1 2.2 2.2 2.5	5.1 2.3 0.0 3.0 3.0	2.1
l	6,310 44.0 56.0 1.6	4.0 1.7 1.3 1.3	2.0	1.3 1.7 1.6 18.2	1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5	1.3 0.0 6.5 0.0	0.9 4.8
1 -	9,238 42.5 57.5 2.0	3.4 2.0 2.0 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6	2.4	1.6 2.1 2.7 10.5	2.4 2.7 1.7	1.4 2.3 2.3 0.0	1.4
1	7,060 43.6 56.4 1.6	1.7 1.7 1.7 1.5 3.1 3.1 3.1	1.8	2.5 1.6 0.9	2.2 1.1 2.1 1.5	2.3 1.6 2.3 0.8 2.9	3.7
!	6,128 64.8 35.2 2.4	222 33.9 3.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	3.1	3.3 2.1 12.1	1.6 3.0 2.9 2.0	3.4 0.0 3.5 0.0 0.0	4.5
gypt Mo	9,062 9 43.5 56.5 4.6	4 % 4 % 4 % 6 5 % 6 % 5 % 6 % 6 % 6 % 6 % 6 % 6 % 6 %	3.3	4.1 3.8 7.2 7.2	5.7 4.1 4.6	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	4.6 5.8
orocco T),256 63.4 36.6 1.1	1.1 0.7 1.1 0.8 0.8	1.3	0.7 1.0 2.8 3.9	1.4 0.5 0.7	0.0 0.9 3.8 0.0	3.7
urkey Bo	5,519 8 57.6 42.4 4.0	3.9 4.7.4 6.7 6.7 0.0	3.4 3.4	3.8 3.5 5.2 11.8	6.5 4.4.6 3.2 3.2	0.0 0.0 0.0 11.1 0.0	3.8 7.5
olivia¹ lo	3,603 11 64.4 35.6 12.7	11.9 11.6 12.1 13.7 13.8 14.1	13.7	13.7 13.1 10.4 21.9	14.7 10.9 12.7 13.6	9.6 12.5 20.0 10.0	28.7
Co- Lombia Re	1,140 66.2 33.8 5.8	5.2 6.7 5.1 7.8 7.8 7.8	7.3	5.6 4.0 13.2	7.6 5.1 3.7	5.0 7.2 3.3 0.0 8.4	5.6
om. G public	7,320 1. 64.4 35.6 9.6	11.0 11.1 7.7 12.1 5.6 10.0	10.8 7.7	9.4 8.4 10.6 12.7	13.0 8.4 8.0 8.0	4.8 8.8 9.2 0.0 12.0	9.4
nate- mala		444484 886784 8	5.6	5.3 3.4 6.7 11.5	5.2 4.1 4.2	2.8 4.4 5.4 5.4 5.4	8.8
Peru	5,882 63.1 36.9 8.1	7.6 7.2 7.0 7.0 9.0 9.0	10.0	7.9 6.6 7.7 13.2	10.0 7.8 7.0 7.8	8.2 8.2 6.9 10.0 33.3 11.1	15.0
	Burkina Faso Ghana ^t	Burkina Faso Ghana¹ Kenya Malawi Namibia Niger Senegal Zambia Dame Egypt Morocco Turkey Bolivia¹ Iombia Republic mala viewed 6,354 4,562 7,540 4,849 5,421 6,503 6,310 9,238 7,060 6,128 9,062 9,256 6,519 8,603 11,140 7,320 12,403 1 assured 34.8 56.6 47.8 41.1 51.0 35.4 44.0 42.5 43.6 64.8 43.5 64.8 43.5 64.4 56.2 64.4 54.2 54.2 54.2 54.2 54.2 54.2 54.2 54.2 54.2 56.5 36.6 42.4 35.6 42.4 35.6 42.4 43.6 42.4 35.6 42.4 35.6 42.4 35.6 43.8 56.5 36.6 1.1 4.0 12.7 5.8 9.6 4.8 6%0 2.2 2.3 1	Burkina Faso Ghana¹ Kenya Malawi Namibia Niger Senegal Zamia Zambia babwe¹ Egypt Morocco Turkey Bolivia¹ Iombia Republic mala viewed 6,354 4,562 7,540 4,849 5,421 6,503 6,138 7,060 6,128 9,062 9,256 6,519 8,603 11,140 7,320 12,403 assured 53.4 56.6 47.8 41.1 51.0 35.4 44.0 42.5 64.8 43.5 66.4 57.6 64.4 66.2 64.4 56.4 56.5 36.6 42.4 35.6 42.4 35.6 42.4 35.6 42.4 56.8 43.8 35.6 42.4 56.8 43.8 43.6 42.4 56.8 43.8 43.6 42.4 56.8 43.8 43.6 42.4 43.8 43.8 43.8 43.8 43.8 43.8 43.8 43.8 43.8 43.8 43.8 43.8 <td>Burkina Faso Chanal Kenya Malawi Namibia Niger Senegal Zamia Zambia Egypt Morocco Turkey Bolivial Ion Guate Person viewed 6,354 4,562 7,540 4,849 5,421 6,503 6,310 9,238 7,060 6,128 9,062 9,256 6,519 8,603 11,140 7,320 12,403 15,320 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,404 6,62 6,44 6,42 4,22 6,44 43.5 6,44 6,62 6,44 54.2 6,48 54.2 6,44 6,48 54.2 6,48 54.2 6,64 6,44 6,48 54.2 6,64 6,44 6,48 6,44 6,48 6,44 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48<td>Burkina Fab. Tan- (Sp.) Tan- (Sp.) Egypt Morocco Turkey Co- Dom. Guate Co- Dom. Guate Per Co- Dom. Guate</td><td>Burkina Faso Channel Responsion Construction Construction</td><td> Purkina</td></td>	Burkina Faso Chanal Kenya Malawi Namibia Niger Senegal Zamia Zambia Egypt Morocco Turkey Bolivial Ion Guate Person viewed 6,354 4,562 7,540 4,849 5,421 6,503 6,310 9,238 7,060 6,128 9,062 9,256 6,519 8,603 11,140 7,320 12,403 15,320 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,403 15,404 6,62 6,44 6,42 4,22 6,44 43.5 6,44 6,62 6,44 54.2 6,48 54.2 6,44 6,48 54.2 6,48 54.2 6,64 6,44 6,48 54.2 6,64 6,44 6,48 6,44 6,48 6,44 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 6,48 <td>Burkina Fab. Tan- (Sp.) Tan- (Sp.) Egypt Morocco Turkey Co- Dom. Guate Co- Dom. Guate Per Co- Dom. Guate</td> <td>Burkina Faso Channel Responsion Construction Construction</td> <td> Purkina</td>	Burkina Fab. Tan- (Sp.) Tan- (Sp.) Egypt Morocco Turkey Co- Dom. Guate Co- Dom. Guate Per Co- Dom. Guate	Burkina Faso Channel Responsion Construction Construction	Purkina

For the 3 years before the survey.

Heaping of height measurements (Table 3.4) appears in many countries: Bolivia (1.47), Guatemala (1.45), Burkina Faso (1.62), Namibia (1.74), Zambia (1.64), Zimbabwe (1.73), Morocco (2.01), and Turkey (1.81), with about equal preference for the digits 0 and 5. The weight readings show little or no heaping, with a weight-heaping ratio always around 1 (Table 3.5). Zambia and Morocco are exceptions, with ratios of 1.23 and 1.28, respectively, due to heaping on 0.

Heaping of height measurements on the digits 0 and 5 may indicate systematic undermeasurement because the design of the measuring board is such that numbers on the scale above the mother's height cannot be seen. (The top part of the measuring board covers the numbers.) Regarding weight measurements, there is no reason to assume a direction in the bias caused by digit preference because numbers can be seen both above and below the actual weight on the scales. Heaping of height and weight measurements on the digits 0 and 5 did not present a problem in the surveys analyzed.

Table 3.3 Percent distribution of women not measured by reason

Percent distribution of women not measured by reason, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995

		Reason f	or no measu	rement
Country	Percentage not measured	Not present	Refused	Other
Burkina Faso	2.0	67	23	10
Ghana	2.8	54	42	4
Kenya	5.6	49	33	18
Malawi	2.7	38	23	39
Namibia	2.9	52	12	36
Niger	2.3	29	18	53
Senegal	1.6	47	7	16
Tanzania	2.0	3	10	87
Zambia	1.6	39	26	35
Zimbabwe	2.4	50	28	22
Egypt	4.6	68	28	4
Morocco	1.1	7	37	56
Turkey	4.0	11	60	29
Bolivia	12.7	49	39	2
Colombia	5.8	75	7	8
Dominican Republic	9.6	67	10	24
Guatemala	4.7	33	50	18
Peru	8.1	29		71

Table 3.4 Heaping of height readings

Heaping of height readings: Percentage of recorded heights ending with 0 and 5. Ratio of the proportion of recorded heights ending with 0 and 5 to the expected proportion, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Region and	Percentage readings en		Heaping	Number of women
country	0	5	ratio	measured
Sub-Saharan Africa		 		
Burkina Faso	17.6	14.9	1.62	4,318
Kenya	12.3	11.5	1.19	4,044
Ghana	14.9	11.3	1.31	1,980
Malawi	10.7	13.1	1.19	2,854
Namibia	21.0	13.9	1.74	2,656
Niger	12.2	13.5	1.29	4,197
Senegal	11.6	12.0	1.18	3,534
Zambia	18.5	14.3	1.64	3,891
Zimbabwe	20.2	14.3	1.73	2,155
Near East/North Afr	rica			
Egypt	14.1	12.2	1.31	5,676
Morocco	24.0	16.2	2.01	3,387
Turkey	21.2	15.0	1.81	2,763
Latin America/Caril	bbean			
Bolivia	16.2	13.2	1.47	3,059
Colombia	10.1	10.5	1.03	4,071
Dominican Republic		10.7	1.11	2,609
Guatemala	14.3	14.8	1.45	5,679
Peru	11.7	10.5	1.11	5,868
				- ,

Table 3.5 Heaping of weight readings

Heaping of weight readings: Percentage of recorded weights ending with 0 and 5. Ratio of the proportion of recorded weights ending with 0 and 5 to the expected proportion, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Region and	Percentage readings en	of height ding with:	Heaping	Number of women
country	0	5	ratio	measured
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Burkina Faso	8.5	10.1	0.93	3,571
Kenya	10.0	10.3	1.01	3,445
Ghana	10.7	10.0	1.03	1,716
Malawi	8.3	9.8	0.90	2,259
Namibia	9,9	10.0	0.99	2,253
Niger	9.6	10.3	0.99	3,272
Senegal	10.0	10.9	1.05	2,859
Zambia	14.4	10.2	1.23	3,157
Zimbabwe	10.5	10.2	1.03	1,845
Near East/North Af	rica			
Egypt	9.5	12.1	1.08	4,864
Morocco	14.8	10.9	1.28	2,803
Turkey	10.5	11.0	1.08	2,402
Latin America/Cari	bbean			• .
Bolivia	11.9	9.0	1.05	2,584
Colombia	10.3	9.8	1.01	3,362
Dominican Republi	c 9.2	9.9	0.95	2,234
Guatemala	12.8	9.7	1.13	4,698
Peru	10.5	9.9	1.02	5,103

4 Findings

4.1 OVERALL LEVELS OF NUTRITION

The anthropometric measurements height and weight are used to estimate maternal nutritional status in DHS countries. A woman's nutritional status before pregnancy is an important determinant of the characteristics of the pregnancy for both mother and child and contributes to morbidity and mortality that may follow a birth. Maternal height is commonly associated with birth weight, child survival, maternal mortality, pregnancy and birth complications, and length of breastfeeding (Krasovec and Anderson, 1991). Women whose height is less than 145 cm are frequently found in high-risk categories for these variables. Table 4.1 presents the average height and body mass index (BMI) for women, and the percentage who are below or above the cutoff points for the anthropometric indicators of maternal nutritional status. On average, sub-Saharan women are the tallest, with Senegal and Burkina Faso at the upper end of the distribution (162.3 cm and 161.6 cm, respectively); Latin American women are the shortest, with Guatemala and Peru at the lower end of the distribution (148.2 cm and 150.3 cm, respectively). The largest proportions of women with height below 145 cm are in Guatemala (31 percent) and Peru (16 percent).

A woman's prepregnancy weight determines the characteristics of her pregnancy (weight gain, premature birth, etc.) and the birth weight of her child. Given the relationship between height and weight, use of the BMI is preferred over other indicators.⁴ Sub-Saharan women have the lowest BMI averages (i.e., tall and thin), compared with women in other regions. The lowest BMI average (20.7) is for women in Niger, while the highest (26.9) is for women in Egypt. This classifies the women in the two countries as having the highest proportions of malnutrition and obesity, respectively.

In Table 4.1, women are classified according to three BMI categories: < 18.5 for chronic energy deficiency; 25-29 for overweight; and 30+ for obesity. Women in sub-Saharan Africa are the most affected by chronic energy deficiency: 10 percent or more have a BMI below 18.5 (except for Zimbabwe), while in Latin America and Near East/North Africa the percentage is well below 10 percent. In Niger, for example, one-fifth of the women measured have chronic energy deficiency and, if they were to get

Another way of assessing maternal nutritional status is by comparing the observed weight-for-height index with the weightfor-height index of a standard reference population. Table 4.1 shows the percentage of women below and above the cutoff points for weight-for-height (< 80 and 120+), indicating the proportion whose weight-for-height is representative of the DHS standard distribution. In Bolivia, for example, 5 percent of the women have a weight-for-height that is less than 80 percent of the weight-for-height observed in the standard DHS distribution. At the other end of the distribution, 9 percent of the woman have a weight-for-height that is 120 percent or more of the weight-forheight of the standard distribution. Using these cutoff points as indicators of chronic energy deficiency and obesity, it is evident that the weight-for-height information in Table 4.1 confirms the existence of high levels of chronic energy deficiency in sub-Saharan countries, high levels of overweight and obesity in the Near East and North Africa, and intermediate values for chronic energy deficiency and obesity in Latin America. Note, however, that the estimates for chronic energy deficiency based on the DHS standard are considerably higher than those based on the BMI. At the same time, obesity estimates are similar for both methods.

4.2 PATTERNS OF NUTRITION

Height

Figure 4.1 shows the patterns of stunting among women in the three regions. While these patterns represent a continuum, countries can be classified into two broad patterns. African and Near Eastern countries have a pattern of tall women and low proportions of stunted women. Latin American countries, especially Guatemala, Peru, and Bolivia, have a pattern of short women and high proportions of stunted women (except for the Dominican Republic).

pregnant immediately, would be considered at high risk for unfavorable maternal outcome. At the other end of the distribution are overweight and obese women. This seems to be a general problem in the Near East and North Africa and, to a lesser extent, in Latin America, with more than a third of the women overweight. Obesity is most prevalent in Egypt and Turkey, with 18 percent or more of the women measured having a BMI of 30 or more. In Latin America, obesity is less prevalent but above the levels observed in sub-Saharan countries. Obesity is a risk factor for unfavorable pregnancy outcomes such as perinatal mortality, high birth weight, and infant mortality (Krasovec and Anderson, 1991). Obesity is also related to other degenerative diseases such as high blood pressure, heart attacks, and diabetes.

⁴ The preference of BMI over weight-for-height is based on the assumption that BMI is independent of height. Although BMI is correlated with height, the values tend to be low (below 0.10). At the same time, weight-for-height is expressed as a percentage of average or ideal body weight, while BMI is not.


Table 4.1 Indicators of maternal nutritional status among women with children under 5 years

Mean height and BMI among nonpregnant women with children under 5 years, and percentage below and above the cutoff points for the anthropometric indicators of nutrition, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

	Height	(cms)	Rol	nrer			BMI (k	g/m²)			Weig he	ht-for- ight ^l	Number of
Region and country	Mean	< 145	< 10.5	19.7+	Mean	< 16.0	16-18.4	<18.5	25-29	30+	< 80	120+	women
Sub-Saharan Africa													
Burkina Faso	161.55	0.3	3.9	0.7	21.00	1.0	13.6	14.7	5.8	1.0	23.8	1.4	3,031
Ghana	158.50	1.2	2.4	2.8	21.73	0.8	11.4	12.2	9.3	3.5	21.0	4.4	1,598
Kenya	159.14	0.9	2.1	2.0	21.99	0.6	9.3	9.9	11.8	2.5	17.0	3.4	3,059
Malawi	156.08	2.9	1.1	0.9	21.52	0.5	9.2	9.7	8.0	1.1	19.5	1.5	2,108
Namibia	160.55	1.3	3.5	5.3	22.59	1.4	12.5	13.9	13.8	7.6	18.4	8.3	2,093
Niger	160.31	0.5	5.9	0.8	20.74	2.2	17.4	19.6	6.6	1.4	27.5	2.1	2,973
Senegal	162.28	0.2	5.2	1.8	21.86	1.5	13.6	15.1	12.2	3.8	20.0	5.3	2,683
Tanzania	155.86	3.6	1.3	1.6	21.70	0.6	9.2	9.8	9.4	2.0	19.4	3.5	4,115
Zambia	158.05	1.2	2.2	2.0	21.78	0.7	10.7	11.4	11.6	2.5	18.1	3.6	2,974
Zimbabwe	159.29	0.8	0.8	4.3	23.09	0.1	5.1	5.2	17.1	5.9	9.1	8.5	1,788
Near East/North Africa													
	157.04	1.22	0.4	21.1	26.88	0.2	1.4	1.6	33.9	23.9	3.5	28.5	4,518
Egypt Morocco	157.02	1.20	0.6	9.0	24.11	0.2	3.6	3.8	22.3	10.9	10.0	12.4	2,683
Turkey	155.31	2.43	0.3	16.8	25.81	0.0	2.6	2.6	31.5	18.4	4.1	23.8	2,275
Latin America/Caribbean													
Bolivia	151.03	12.8	0.3	8.4	24.25	0.2	2.3	2.5	25.3	8.0	4.8	8.9	2,211
Colombia	154.51	5.9	0.5	9.0	24,46	0.1	3.8	3.9	31.1	9.2	6.7	12.1	3,131
Dominican Republic	156.38	2.0	1.8	6.4	23.24	0.8	8.2	9.0	18.9	7.4	14.9	8.8	2,022
Guatemala	148.21	31.2	0.5	10.5	24.18	0.3	3,5	3.8	26.5	8.2	9.1	10.0	4,536
Peru	150.34	16.4	0.1	11.1	24.76	0.1	1.2	1.3	30.6	9.2	3.6	9.8	2,713
		5-11											

Proportion of women with a weight-for-height that is < 80 or 120 + percent of the weight-for-height of the DHS standard distribution.

Figure 4.1 Stunting among women age 15-49 with children under 5 years, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995



BMI

Figure 4.2 shows three main patterns for estimates of chronic energy deficiency (BMI < 18.5) and obesity (BMI 30+). The African pattern (except for Ghana) has a high level of chronic energy deficiency but a low level of obesity. The Near East/North African pattern has a low level of chronic energy deficiency but a high percentage of obesity. Latin American countries show a third pattern characterized by a relatively low level of chronic energy deficiency (except for the Dominican Republic) and a moderate level of obesity.

Weight-for-Height

The same three patterns can be seen in Figure 4.3, which presents estimates of chronic energy deficiency and obesity based on weight-for-height information. Sub-Saharan countries have high levels of chronic energy deficiency and low levels of obesity (except for Namibia); Near East and North African countries have high levels of obesity but low levels of chronic energy deficiency; and Latin American countries show intermediate levels of chronic energy deficiency and obesity.

4.3 DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE MEASURES OF NUTRITIONAL STATUS

Graphing the distributions of the three measures of nutritional status (height, BMI, and weight-for-height), as shown in Figures 4.4 to 4.6, provides another picture of maternal nutritional status in the countries surveyed. In Figure 4.4, which shows the distribution of women according to height, the patterns seen in Figure 4.1 can again be identified: the sub-Saharan pattern (except for Malawi) of tall women is reflected in the curve shifted to the right; the Near East/North African pattern with a more balanced normal distribution; and the Latin American pattern which has two components—one seen in Bolivia, Guatemala, and Peru with a curve shifted to the left reflecting high levels of stunting, and one seen in the Dominican Republic

and Colombia that is closer to the Near East/North African pattern.

These patterns are also observed in the distributions of BMI and weight-for-height presented in Figures 4.5 and 4.6, respectively. The low level of chronic energy deficiency (BMI < 18.5) is marked among the Latin American countries, together with high proportions of stunted women (Bolivia, Guatemala, and Peru). Regarding the pattern for obesity, Near East/North African countries can be identified with the highest percentages at the end of the distributions, sub-Saharan African countries with the lowest, and Latin American countries in the middle of these two patterns. Tables 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 show the percent distributions for each of the three indicators of nutritional status in the countries surveyed.

Figure 4.2 Chronic energy deficiency and obesity among women age 15-49, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995




Figure 4.3 Weight-for-height among women age 15-49, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995






Figure 4.4 Distribution of women age 15-49 according to height scores, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995










Figure 4.5 Distribution of women age 15-49 according to BMI scores, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995










Figure 4.6 Distribution of women age 15-49 according to weight-for-height, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995








Table 4.2 Distribution of women with children under 5 years by height

Percent distribution of nonpregnant women age 15-49, with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey, by height, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

							Heig	ht (cm)							
Region and country	<140	142.5	145	147.5	150	152.5	155	157.5	160	162.5	165	167.5	170	>170	Total
Sub-Saharan Africa										40.0	160	11.0	7.5	8.3	100.0
Burkina Faso	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	1.4	3.5	7.9	9.8	15.5	18.2	16.2	11.2	7.5	3.0	100.0
Ghana	0.2	0.2	0.8	1.8	3.5	7.8	12.2	16.6	18.6	15.8	10.2	5.7	3.8		
Kenya	0.2	0.2	0.6	1.4	4.1	8.0	10.6	14.3	16.7	14.9	12.1	8.0	4.5	4.3	100.0
Malawi	0.2	0.5	2.2	3.4	7.5	12.5	16.8	18.0	14.1	12.3	7.2	3.2	1.2	1.1	100.0
Namibia	0,3	0.2	0.8	0.9	2.9	4.6	9.5	11.6	14.0	17.1	15.5	9.4	5.5	7.7	100.0
Niger	0.2	0.2	0.1	1.1	2.4	6.4	9.1	12.6	16.0	17.1	13.1	10.2	5.4	6.3	100.0
Senegal	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.9	3.1	6.2	9.4	13.7	18.4	16.3	13.4	8.0	10.1	100.0
Tanzania	0.2	1.2	2.1	5.2	7.8	14.3	15.6	14.5	12.2	11.6	7.6	4.4	1.7	1.4	100.0
Zambia	0.1	0.3	0.8	2.7	4.8	9.5	12.9	14.3	16.5	15.8	10.3	6.4	3.0	2.6	100.0
Zimbabwe	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.9	3.8	6.8	11.4	13.3	16.5	17.7	13.0	7.2	4.7	3.8	100.0
Near East/North Afric	a														100.0
Egypt	0.1	0.3	0.8	3.0	6.3	10.8	15.2	16.1	18.1	14.8	7.7	4.1	1.4	1.4	
Morocco	0.2	0.3	0.7	2.7	5.4	11.8	16.0	17.7	15.6	13.9	8.6	4.1	1.5	1.5	100.0
Turkey	0.4	0.5	1.5	4.5	8.9	15.1	18.4	16.0	14.6	9.8	6.4	2.5	0.9	0.5	100.0
Latin America/Caribb	ean											0.6	0.1	0.6	100.0
Bolivia	2.1	3.4	7.2	13.3	18.8	19.6	13.8	9.5	5.7	3.7	1.6	0.6	0.1	0.6	100.0
Colombia	0.8	1.2	3.8	5.9	10.1	15.6	16.1	15.4	13.3	9.2	4.6	2.2	1.1	0.7	
Dominican Republic	0.5	0.4	1.1	4.1	6.3	14.1	15.5	15.1	15.6	13.1	7.4	3.4	1.9	1.5	100.0
Guatemala	8.9	9.6	12.9	16.1	15.6	14.3	9.1	6.1	3.1	2.3	1.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	100.0
Peru	2.6	4.9	8.9	15.0	17.2	18.3	13.4	9.3	5.7	2.6	1.2	0.6	0.2	0.2	100.0

Table 4.3 Distribution of women with children under 5 years by BMI

Percent distribution of nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey by BMI, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

				B	MI				
Region and country	< 16	17	18.5	20	25	30	35	> 35	Total
Sub-Saharan Africa							0.6	0.4	100.0
Burkina Faso	1.0	2.3	11.3	23.7	54.9	5.8	0.6	0.4	100.0
Ghana	0.8	1.8	9.6	20.7	54.2	9.3	2.7	0.8	100.0
Kenya	0.6	1.5	7.8	17.2	58.6	11.8	2.0	0.5	100.0
Malawi	0.5	1.6	7.6	21.3	60.0	8.0	0.9	0.2	
Namibia	1.4	2.3	10.3	14.9	49.8	13.8	5.5	1.8	100.0
Niger	2.2	3.5	13.9	26.0	46.5	6.6	1.1	0.3	100.0
Senegal	1.5	2.3	11.3	18.9	50.0	12.2	3.2	0.6	100.0
Tanzania	0.6	1.5	7.7	19.4	59.4	9.4	1.5	0.5	100.0
Zambia	0.7	1.9	8.8	20.0	54.5	11.6	2.0	0.5	100.0
Zimbabwe	0.1	0.6	4.5	13.3	58.5	17.1	4.3	1.6	100.0
Near East/North A	frica						150	0.6	100.0
Egypt	0.2	0.1	1.3	3.9	36.7	33.9	15.3	8.6	100.0
Morocco	0.2	0.6	3.1	11.1	52.9	22.3	8.6	2.3	100.0
Turkey	0.0	0.3	2.2	5.8	41.5	31.5	13.4	5.0	100.0
Latin America/Car	ibbean				TO 0	25.0		1.6	100.0
Bolivia	0.2	0.3	2.0	5.4	59.0	25.3	6.4	1.6	
Colombia	0.1	0.5	3.3	8.0	47.9	31.1	7.6	1.6	100.0
Dominican Republ	ic 0.8	1.9	6.3	15.0	49.7	18.9	5.4	2.0	100.0
Guatemala	0.3	0.5	3.0	7.8	53.7	26.5	6.4	1.8	100.0
Peru	0.1	0.2	1.1	4.8	54.2	30.6	7.3	1.9	100.0

<u>Table 4.4 Distribution of women with children under 5 years by weightfor-height</u>

Percent distribution of nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey, by weight-for-height in percent, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

	V				
Region and country	< 80	80-119.9	120-145.5	145.6 +	Total
Sub-Saharan Afi	ica				
Burkina Faso	23.8	74.8	1.2	0.2	100.0
Ghana	21.0	74.6	3.8	0.6	100.0
Kenya	17.0	79.6	3.0	0.4	100.0
Malawi	19.5	79.1	1.4	0.1	100.0
Namibia	18.4	72.2	7.5	1.8	100.0
Niger	27.5	70.4	1.8	0.3	100.0
Senegal	20.0	73.8	4.6	0.7	100.0
Tanzania	19.4	77.3	3.1	0.2	100.0
Zambia	18.1	78.3	3.3	0.4	100.0
Zimbabwe	9.0	82.5	7.0	1.5	100.0
Near East/North	Africa				
Egypt	3.5	68.0	20.9	7.6	100.0
Morocco	10.0	77.5	10.6	1.8	100.0
Turkey	4.1	72.1	20.0	3.8	100.0
Latin America/C	aribbean				
Bolivia	4.8	86.4	7.5	1.4	100.0
Colombia	6.7	81.2	10.9	1.2	100.0
Dominican Repu	ıblic 14.9	76.3	7.3	1.5	100.0
Guatemala	9.1	80.9	8.5	1.5	100.0
Peru	3.6	86.6	8.8	1.0	100.0

5 Biodemographic Differentials

This section examines the biodemographic differentials of maternal nutritional status. Biodemographic variables are grouped into two sets: fertility indicators and child health indicators. As noted earlier, this analysis is based entirely on estimates of chronic energy deficiency and obesity obtained from the BMI indicator, assuming that Rohrer and DHS standard estimates are below and above the BMI values, respectively.

5.1 FERTILITY-RELATED VARIABLES

Parity, pace of childbearing (birth intervals), length of the postpartum period, and duration of lactation all affect maternal nutritional status. The first two differentials—parity and pace of childbearing—are included to assess maternal depletion (which occurs in countries with high fertility levels). Duration of the postpartum period and mother's breastfeeding status (for the most recent birth) also affect maternal nutritional status.

Mother's Age

Analyzing the magnitude of chronic energy deficiency among women at different ages reveals how nutritional status varies during the reproductive years (see Table 5.1). Generally, BMI increases with age, mainly due to weight gain throughout the reproductive years. Figure 5.1 shows the percentage of mothers with BMI < 18.5 (chronic energy deficiency) by age for the three regions analyzed. Although the pattern by country and region is mixed, chronic energy deficiency occurs more commonly among younger mothers (age 15-24) than older mothers. In most of the sub-Saharan countries examined (except Kenya, Tanzania, and Zimbabwe), a greater proportion of mothers age 15-24 exhibit chronic energy deficiency than older mothers. The percentages are particularly high in Namibia, Niger, and Senegal. At the other end of the age distribution, that is, women age 40-49, there are high percentages of mothers with chronic energy deficiency in Ghana, Namibia, and Zambia.

Obesity (BMI 30+), as noted earlier, is common in the Near East/North African countries and tends to increase with age of the mother (Figure 5.2). Thus, by age 30, one-tenth of the mothers in Egypt and Turkey are obese, compared with 3 percent or less in the other DHS countries. Among mothers age 35 and over in Egypt and Turkey, more than one-third are obese, compared with 15 percent or less in the other DHS countries.

Parity

The relationship between parity (number of children ever born) and maternal nutritional status is presented in Table 5.2. There is a positive association between mother's parity and mean BMI; that is, as parity increases, so does the average BMI. Some chronic energy deficiency can be seen at higher parities but, for

most countries, the highest mean BMIs and the lowest levels of chronic energy deficiency are found at the highest parities. In Burkina Faso, Ghana, Niger, Zambia, Morocco, Bolivia, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, and Peru, chronic energy deficiency by mother's parity shows a U-shaped distribution, with high values at parity 1 and 6+ and low values at parity 4-5. This pattern may be an indication of long-term maternal depletion (see Figure 5.3). In sub-Saharan Africa, chronic energy deficiency varies by parity from 5 to 15 percent, with the exception of Niger and Senegal where chronic energy deficiency is prevalent among low parity mothers (20 to 25 percent). The lowest prevalence of chronic energy deficiency (less than 5 percent) is observed in Turkey and Peru among women who are parity 2 or above.

Part of the increase in the BMI observed by parity can be associated with obesity. The percentage of women with BMI 30+ (see Figure 5.4) increases up to parity 4-5, but in some countries declines among mothers who are parity 6+ (for example, in Latin American countries, and in Namibia, Egypt, and Morocco). The greatest weight gains (and associated increased BMI) are observed after parity 1 and are sustained through parity 5, especially in Turkey where the percentage of obese mothers increases from 9 percent at parity 1, to 27 percent or more at parity 4 and above.

Last Birth Interval

The length of the interval preceding each child's birth is derived from the birth history provided by the mother. Three categories are defined regarding birth intervals: first births, intervals of less than 24 months, and intervals of 24 months or more (see Table 5.3).

Almost all the countries show a consistent pattern linking malnutrition (chronic energy deficiency and obesity) with length of birth interval: high percentages of chronic energy deficiency are associated with short birth intervals while high percentages of obesity are associated with long birth intervals. The highest prevalence of chronic energy deficiency is observed among mothers with first births. In sub-Saharan countries the differences between the three categories—i.e., first birth, < 24 months, and 24+ months—are small and, in cases such as Burkina Faso, Kenya, Malawi, and Senegal there is no variation in BMI according to length of birth interval.

Postpartum Period

Women's BMI can be influenced by the postpartum period, during which maternal weight is affected by the duration of breastfeeding and lactation (Adair, 1991). In this report, the postpartum period is defined as the number of months since the last birth for women with children born in the five years preceding the survey (see Table 5.4). The prevalence of chronic energy


Table 5.1 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by age

Mean BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the five years before the survey and percentage with BMI below and above the cutoff points for BMI, by age, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995


Sub-Saharan Africa									Region and				
Sub-Saharan Africa Burkina Faso 20.48 20.81 21.11 21.36 20.98 20.82 21.22 21.04 21.73 22.28 22.29 21.94 21.23 22.21 22.28 22.29 21.94 21.23 22.21 22.21 22.22 22.29 21.94 21.23 22.21 22.22 22.29 21.94 21.23 22.21 22.22 22.29 21.94 21.23 22.21 22.21 21.95 22.49 22.38 22.29 21.94 21.23 22.21 22.21 21.95 22.49 22.38 22.29 21.94 21.23 22.21 21.95 22.49 22.38 22.29 21.94 21.37 21.71 21.48 21.47 22.76 23.50 23.30 22.70 22.87 22.87 22.88 23.92 23.92 23.92 23.92 23.92 23.92 23.92 23.92 23.92 23.92 23.93 23.24 24.44 22.18 23.94 23.94 24.44 22.18 23.94 24.45 24.14 22.18 24.45 24.14 22.18 24.45 24.14 22.18 24.45 24.14 22.18 24.45 24.14 22.18 24.45 24.14 22.18 24.45 24.14 22.18 24.45 24.14 22.18 24.45 24.14 22.18 24.45 24.14 24.18 24.14	Total	45-49	40-44	35-39	30-34	25-29	20-24	15-19					
Burkina Faso 20.48 20.81 21.11 21.36 20.98 20.82 21.22 20.00 20.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 22.28 22.29 21.94 21.23 22.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 22.00 22.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 22.00	Mean BMI												
Chana 20.97 21.10 21.73 22.28 22.29 21.94 21.23	21.00	21.22	20.92	20.00	21.26	21.11	20.01	20.40					
Series 12 29 21 72 22 13 22 21 21 95 22 249 22 238 Malawi 20 96 21 47 21 53 21 79 21 71 21 48 21 47 18 18 19 19 19 20 20 29 20 20 20 20 2	21.00 21.73												
Malawi	21.73												
Namibia 20.86 21.74 22.76 23.65 23.30 22.70 22.87 Niger 19.69 20.29 20.82 21.06 21.15 21.50 Senegal 20.26 21.18 21.46 22.18 22.86 22.80 22.88 Tanzania 21.50 21.53 21.70 21.99 22.02 21.46 21.41 Zambia 20.83 21.17 21.76 22.58 22.84 22.45 21.43 Zambia 22.89 22.37 23.37 23.85 23.53 23.84 22.45 21.43 Zambia 22.90 22.37 23.37 23.85 23.53 23.84 22.45 21.43 Zambia 22.90 22.37 23.37 23.85 23.53 23.84 22.45 24.74 Near East/North Africa Egypt 23.92 25.00 26.32 27.62 28.09 29.17 28.03 Morocco 22.90 22.88 23.71 24.13 24.81 25.14 25.09 Turkey 23.23 24.19 25.88 26.66 28.13 27.99 27.24 Latin America/Caribbean 22.62 23.57 24.30 24.72 25.11 25.16 24.34 Colombia 22.62 23.57 24.30 24.72 25.11 25.16 24.34 Colombia 21.48 22.33 23.38 23.83 24.29 21.59 21.40 Colombia 21.48 22.33 23.38 23.83 24.29 21.59 21.40 Colombia 22.83 23.66 24.46 25.26 25.90 26.03 25.66 Fercentage with BMI < 18.5 Sub-Saharan Africa 8.9 8.1 9.5 10.4 15.0 7.5 12.9 Malawi 14.1 8.1 9.8 6.6 10.2 10.1 16.8 Mamibia 18.7 15.2 10.6 11.0 13.5 18.9 19.2 Niger 22.5 24.2 18.4 18.9 16.2 14.5 16.0 Senegal 22.9 77.1 16.7 13.5 18.9 19.2 Malawi 14.1 8.1 9.8 6.6 10.2 10.1 16.8 Namibia 18.7 15.2 10.6 11.0 13.5 18.9 19.2 Niger 22.5 24.2 18.4 18.9 16.2 14.5 16.0 Senegal 22.9 77.1 16.7 13.5 12.9 Malawi 14.1 8.1 9.8 9.8 9.2 11.1 13.2 10.0 Malawi 14.1 8.1 9.8 6.6 10.2 10.1 16.8 Namibia 18.7 15.2 10.6 11.0 13.5 18.9 Niger 22.5 24.2 18.4 18.9 16.2 14.5 16.0 Colombia 9.2 4.1 5.4 2.5 0.4 2.5 1.3 1.1 2.3 0.0 Colombia 9.2 4.1 5.4 2.5 0.4	21.52												
Niger	22.59												
Senegal 20.26 21.18 21.46 22.18 22.86 22.80 22.81 22.316 22.41 22.316 22.02 21.46 21.41 22.316 22.02 21.46 21.41 22.316 22.02 21.46 21.41 22.316 22.02 21.46 21.41 22.316 22.02 21.46 21.41 22.316 22.02 21.46 21.41 22.316 22.02 21.46 21.41 22.316 22.02 21.46 21.41 22.316 22.02 22.02 22.02 23.03 23.84 24.74 24.74 24.74 22.31 23.02 23.02 23.03 23.85 23.53 23.84 24.74	20.74												
Tanzania 21.50 21.53 21.70 21.99 22.02 21.46 21.41 Zambia 20.83 21.17 21.76 22.58 22.84 22.45 21.43 Zimbabwe 22.09 22.37 23.37 23.85 23.53 23.84 24.74 Near East/North Africa Egypt 23.92 25.00 26.32 27.62 28.09 29.17 28.03 Morocco 22.90 22.88 23.71 24.13 24.81 25.14 25.09 Morocco 22.90 22.88 23.71 24.13 24.81 25.14 25.09 27.24 Latin America/Caribbean Bolivia 22.66 23.43 24.19 25.88 26.68 28.13 27.99 27.24 Latin America/Caribbean Bolivia 22.66 23.43 24.10 24.72 25.11 25.16 24.34 Colombia 22.66 23.43 24.19 25.19 25.86 26.28 26.95 Dominican Republic 21.48 22.33 25.58 23.83 24.29 23.59 21.40 Quaternala 22.81 23.43 24.24 24.64 25.26 25.90 26.03 25.66 Percentage with BMI < 18.5 Sub-Saharan Africa Burkina Faso 17.4 14.6 13.0 13.7 16.3 17.4 13.4 Kenya 8.9 8.1 9.5 10.4 15.0 7.5 12.9 Malawi 14.1 8.1 9.8 6.6 10.2 10.1 16.8 Namibia 18.7 15.2 10.6 11.0 13.5 18.9 19.2 Kenya 8.9 8.1 9.5 10.4 15.0 7.5 12.9 Malawi 14.1 8.1 9.8 6.6 10.2 10.1 16.8 Namibia 18.7 15.2 10.6 11.0 13.5 18.9 19.2 Kenya 8.9 8.1 9.5 10.4 15.0 7.5 12.9 Malawi 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 11.8 18.9 19.2 Zimbabwe 7.9 4.6 22.9 17.1 16.7 13.5 12.7 9.6 11.4 Sengal 22.9 12.0 Sengal 22.9 12	21.86		22.80		22,18								
Zimbabwe 22.09 22.37 23.37 23.85 23.53 23.84 24.74	21.70			22.02	21.99	21.70	21.53	21.50					
Near East/North Africa Egypt 23.92 25.00 26.32 27.62 28.09 29.17 28.03 28.06 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.07 28.07 28.07 28.07 28.07 28.07 28.07 28.07 28.07 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 27.99 27.24 28.08 28.13 28.28 28.	21.78		22.45										
Egypt	23.09	24.74	23.84	23.53	23.85	23.37	22.37	22.09	Zimbabwe				
Morocco	06.00	20.02	00.17	00.00	07.60	06.00	25.00	22.02					
Latin America/Caribbean Bolivia 22.62 23.57 24.30 24.72 25.11 25.16 24.34 Colombia 22.66 23.43 24.13 25.19 25.86 26.28 26.95 Dominican Republic 21.48 22.33 23.58 23.83 24.29 23.59 21.40 Colombia 22.83 23.66 24.46 24.99 25.33 24.94 Peru 22.83 23.66 24.46 25.26 25.90 26.03 25.66 Percentage with BMI < 18.5 Sub-Saharan Africa Burkina Faso 17.4 14.6 13.0 13.7 16.3 17.4 13.4 Chana 10.7 14.8 11.5 10.1 9.7 16.1 23.1 Kenya 8.9 8.1 9.5 10.4 15.0 7.5 12.9 Malawi 14.1 8.1 9.8 6.6 10.2 10.1 16.8 Namibia 18.7 15.2 10.6 11.0 13.5 18.9 19.2 Niger 22.5 24.2 18.4 18.9 16.2 14.5 16.0 Senegal 22.9 17.1 16.7 13.5 12.7 9.6 11.4 Tanzania 9.7 8.1 9.8 9.2 11.1 13.2 12.9 Zambia 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 11.8 18.9 2.2 11.1 13.2 12.9 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.3 2	26.88								Egypt				
Latin America/Caribbean Solivia 22.62 23.57 24.30 24.72 25.11 25.16 24.34	24.11 25.81												
Bolivia 22.66 23.47 24.30 24.72 25.11 25.16 24.34	23.61	21.24	21.99	28.13	20.08	25.88	24.19	23.23	Turkey				
Colombia 22.66 23.43 24.13 25.19 25.86 26.28 26.95	24.25	24.34	25 16	25 11	24.72	24.20	22 57	22.62					
Dominican Republic 21.48 22.33 23.58 23.83 24.29 23.59 21.40	24.46		26.10		24.72								
Colombia 22.31 23.43 24.24 24.64 24.99 25.33 24.94	23.24		23.59				22.43						
Peru 22.83 23.66 24.46 25.26 25.90 26.03 25.66	24.18		25.33	24.99									
Sub-Saharan Africa Burkina Faso 17.4 14.6 13.0 13.7 16.3 17.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.5 10.1 9.7 16.1 23.1 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.4 15.0 7.5 12.9 13.4 13.4 14.1 8.1 9.8 6.6 10.2 10.1 16.8 13.4 13.4 13.4 13.5 13.5 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.5 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.5 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.7 14.5 16.0 13.5 13.9 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.2 11.1 13.2 12.9 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.2 11.1 13.2 12.9 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 11.8 18.9 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 11.8 18.9 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 11.8 18.9 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 13.8 18.9 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 13.8 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.9 13.4 13.2 13.9 13.4 13.9 13.4 13.9 13.9 13.4 13.9 13	24.76												
Burkina Faso			_, , , ,		BMI < 18.5	rcentage with	Pe						
Burkina Faso									Sub-Saharan Africa				
Renya	14.7	13.4											
Malawi 14.1 8.1 9.8 6.6 10.2 10.1 16.8 Namibia 18.7 15.2 10.6 11.0 13.5 18.9 19.2 Niger 22.5 24.2 18.4 18.9 16.2 14.5 16.0 Senegal 22.9 17.1 16.7 13.5 12.7 9.6 11.4 Tanzania 9.7 8.1 9.8 9.2 11.1 13.2 12.9 Zambia 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 11.8 18.9 Zimbabwe 7.9 4.6 5.9 4.1 3.9 8.0 3.4 Near East/North Africa Egypt 4.6 2.2 1.7 1.0 1.3 1.2 1.0 Morocco 1.4 6.1 3.4 3.6 3.8 1.6 6.6 Turkey 5.1 3.9 2.6 1.9 0.5 0.0 0.0 Latin America/Caribbean Bolivi	12.3	23.1		9.7									
Namibia	9.9	12.9							Kenya				
Niger 22.5 24.2 18.4 18.9 16.2 14.5 16.0 Senegal 22.9 17.1 16.7 13.5 12.7 9.6 11.4 Tanzania 9.7 8.1 9.8 9.2 11.1 13.2 12.9 Zambia 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 11.8 18.9 Zimbabwe 7.9 4.6 5.9 4.1 3.9 8.0 3.4 Near East/North Africa Egypt 4.6 5.9 4.1 3.9 8.0 3.4 Near East/North Africa Egypt 4.6 1.3 3.4 3.6 3.8 1.6 6.6 Turkey 5.1 3.9 2.6 1.9 0.5 0.0 0.0	9.7 13.9		10.1										
Scenegal 22.9 17.1 16.7 13.5 12.7 9.6 11.4 Tanzania 9.7 8.1 9.8 9.2 11.1 13.2 12.9 Zambia 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 11.8 18.9 Zimbabwe 7.9 4.6 5.9 4.1 3.9 8.0 3.4 Near East/North Africa Egypt	19.6		10.9					18.7					
Tanzania 9.7 8.1 9.8 9.2 11.1 13.2 12.9 Zambia 13.4 13.2 9.8 9.3 8.7 11.8 18.9 Zimbabwe 7.9 4.6 5.9 4.1 3.9 8.0 3.4 Near East/North Africa Egypt 4.6 2.2 1.7 1.0 1.3 1.2 1.0 Morocco 1.4 6.1 3.4 3.6 3.8 1.6 6.6 Turkey 5.1 3.9 2.6 1.9 0.5 0.0 0.0 Latin America/Caribbean Bolivia 5.8 3.3 2.5 1.3 1.1 2.3 0.0 Colombia 9.2 4.1 5.4 2.5 0.4 2.5 1.4 Dominican Republic 6.6 10.0 8.9 9.4 7.8 11.7 2.6 Guatemala 6.8 5.5 3.6 3.1 3.0 0.6 1.9 Peru 3.5 1.6 1.3 0.9 1.2 0.7 2.3 Percentage with BMI 30+ Sub-Saharan Africa Burkina Faso 0.2 0.2 0.7 2.1 1.8 1.0 0.9 Ghana 0.0 1.7 2.9 4.2 6.8 6.3 5.1 Kenya 1.0 0.8 2.2 2.9 4.5 6.1 5.9 Malawi 1.2 0.8 0.1 3.0 0.8 0.7 0.9 Namibia 0.5 3.3 7.4 11.0 12.0 11.0 11.4 Niger 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Tanzania 0.0 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	15.1			12.7	13.5	16.7	17.1		Senegal				
Tambia	9.8								Tanzania				
Near East/North Africa Egypt	11.4	18.9				9.8		13.4					
Egypt	5.2		8.0	3.9	4.1		4.6						
Morocco									Near East/North Africa				
Turkey 5.1 3.9 2.6 1.9 0.5 0.0 0.0 Latin America/Caribbean Bolivia 5.8 3.3 2.5 1.3 1.1 2.3 0.0 Colombia 9.2 4.1 5.4 2.5 0.4 2.5 1.4 Dominican Republic 6.6 10.0 8.9 9.4 7.8 11.7 2.6 Guatemala 6.8 5.5 3.6 3.1 3.0 0.6 1.9 Peru 3.5 1.6 1.3 0.9 1.2 0.7 2.3 Percentage with BMI 30+ Sub-Saharan Africa Burkina Faso 0.2 0.2 0.7 2.1 1.8 1.0 0.9 Ghana 0.0 1.7 2.9 4.2 6.8 6.3 5.1 Kenya 1.0 0.8 2.2 2.9 4.5 6.1 5.9 Malawi 1.2 0.8 0.1 3.0 0.8 0.7 0.9 Mamibia 0.5 3.3 7.4 11.0 12.0 11.0 11.4 Niger 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	1.6												
Latin America/Caribbean Solivia	3.8												
Sub-Saharan Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Surkina Faso O.0 1.7 2.9 4.2 6.8 6.3 5.1 6.1 5.9 6.1 5.9 6.1 5.9 6.1 5.9 6.1 5.9 6.1 6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.9	2.6	3.9	5.1	Turkey				
Colombia 9.2 4.1 5.4 2.5 0.4 2.5 1.4	2.5	0.0	2.2	1.1	1.2	2.5	2.2	<i>5</i> 0	Latin America/Caribbean				
Dominican Republic 6.6 10.0 8.9 9.4 7.8 11.7 2.6 Guatemala 6.8 5.5 3.6 3.1 3.0 0.6 1.9 1.2 1.2 0.7 2.3	2.5 3.9		2.3			2.5		3.8					
Sub-Saharan Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Burkina Faso O.2 O.2 O.7 O.9 O.5 O.8 O.5 O.5 O.8 O.5 O.9 O.8 O.7 O.9 O.5 O	9.0		11.7					9.2 6.6					
Peru 3.5 1.6 1.3 0.9 1.2 0.7 2.3 Percentage with BMI 30+ Sub-Saharan Africa	3.9												
Sub-Saharan Africa Burkina Faso 0.2 0.2 0.7 2.1 1.8 1.0 0.9 Ghana 0.0 1.7 2.9 4.2 6.8 6.3 5.1 Kenya 1.0 0.8 2.2 2.9 4.5 6.1 5.9 Malawi 1.2 0.8 0.1 3.0 0.8 0.7 0.9 Namibia 0.5 3.3 7.4 11.0 12.0 11.0 11.4 Niger 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	3.9 1.3	2.3	0.7	1.2			1.6						
Burkina Faso 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.7 2.1 1.8 1.0 0.9 Ghana 0.0 1.7 2.9 4.2 6.8 6.3 5.1 Kenya 1.0 0.8 2.2 2.9 4.5 6.1 5.9 Malawi 1.2 0.8 0.1 3.0 0.8 0.7 0.9 Namibia 0.5 3.3 7.4 11.0 12.0 11.0 11.4 Niger 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3					h BMI 30+	ercentage wit	P						
Burkina Faso 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.7 2.1 1.8 1.0 0.9 Ghana 0.0 1.7 2.9 4.2 6.8 6.3 5.1 Kenya 1.0 0.8 2.2 2.9 4.5 6.1 5.9 Malawi 1.2 0.8 0.1 3.0 0.8 0.7 0.9 Namibia 0.5 3.3 7.4 11.0 12.0 11.0 11.4 Niger 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				<u>-</u>				Sub-Saharan Africa				
Ghana 0.0 1.7 2.9 4.2 6.8 6.3 5.1 Kenya 1.0 0.8 2.2 2.9 4.5 6.1 5.9 Malawi 1.2 0.8 0.1 3.0 0.8 0.7 0.9 Namibia 0.5 3.3 7.4 11.0 12.0 11.0 11.4 Niger 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	1.0	0.9		1.8	2.1	0.7	0.2	0.2					
Malawi 1.2 0.8 0.1 3.0 0.8 0.7 0.9 Namibia 0.5 3.3 7.4 11.0 12.0 11.0 11.4 Niger 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	3.5	5.1		6.8		2.9		0.0	Ghana				
Namibia 0.5 3.3 7.4 11.0 12.0 11.0 11.4 Niger 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	2.5			4.5	2.9	2.2	0.8	1.0					
Niger 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.1 1.5 3.4 4.0 Senegal 0.0 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	1.1 7.6			U.8 12 A				1.2					
Senegal 0.0 2.1 2.2 4.6 7.5 5.9 7.6 Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	1.3			15.0	21.0		<i>3,3</i> ∩ <						
Tanzania 0.0 0.5 2.1 2.7 4.3 2.1 4.4 Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	3.9		5.9	7.5		2.2							
Zambia 0.3 0.7 1.5 4.4 7.2 4.6 1.3	2.0	4.4	2.1	4.3	2.7	2.1							
Zimbabwe 2.9 1.9 6.7 11.6 5.5 9.5 17.3	2.5	1.3	4.6	7.2	4.4	1.5	0.7	0.3					
	5.9	17.3	9.5	5.5	11.6	6.7	1.9	2.9					
Near East/North Africa			 =	** *			=						
Egypt 6.4 11.7 20.7 28.7 32.1 35.7 34.7 Morocco 6.9 3.8 9.4 10.5 15.4 15.1 16.5	24.0	34.7	35.7		28.7	20.7	11.7	6.4	Egypt				
	10.9	16.5	15.1	15.4	10.5	9.4							
Turkey 4.7 10.9 19.4 22.5 36.3 41.4 36.7	20.4	30.7	41.4	30.3	22.5	19.4	10.9	4.7	Turkey				
Latin America/Caribbean Bolivia 0.0 6.0 8.4 9.8 11.5 11.5 0.0	7:0	ΛΛ.	11 5	11 €	0.0	0 4		0.0					
	7.9 9.2					6.4 7 5							
Colombia 2.1 4.0 7.5 13.4 14.6 17.1 22.6 Dominican Republic 1.6 3.0 8.8 10.0 12.4 8.1 0.0	7.4	22.0 0.0	1/.1 R 1			7.3 8 8		2,1 1 K	Colombia Dominican Papublic				
Guatemala 0.9 5.4 8.8 9.9 10.6 12.7 11.2	8.2	11.2	12.7		9.9								
Peru 1.8 3.8 7.3 10.5 15.3 15.7 19.6	8.2 9.2		15.7	15.3	10.5	7.3							

Figure 5.1 Percentage of women with BMI < 18.5 by age of the mother, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995

Sub-Saharan Africa



Near East/North Africa



Latin America/Caribbean






Figure 5.2 Percentage of women with BMI 30+ by age of the mother, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995










Table 5.2 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by parity

Mean BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for BMI, for parity, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and parity of the		BMI		Number of	Country and parity of the	BMI			Number of
woman	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases	woman	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases
Sub-Saharan Africa	 l			 	Near East/Nort	h Africa			
Burkina Faso					Egypt				
1	20.76	15.8	0.1	553	1	25.77	1.8	16.4	679
2 - 3	20.95	14.8	1.2	839	2 - 3	26.75	1.8	23.1	1,593
4-5	21.07	12.7	1.0	682 938	4 - 5	27.34	1.8	27.7	1,094
6+	21.11	15.4	1.4	938	6+	27.29	1.0	26.1	1,150
Ghana	21.12	15.6	1.5	327	Morocco				•
1 2 - 3	21.12	10.4	3.7	576	1	23.34	5.0	7.4	418
4 - 5	21.98	9.4	3.7	383	2 - 3	23.88	4.2	9.7	767
6+	21.80	15.6	5.1	315		24.43	3.1	13.0	623
Kenya	21.00	15.0	J.1	210	4 - 5			12.2	
1	22.06	6.7	1,1	548	6+	24.45	3.5	12.2	852
2 - 3	21.92	8.9	2.0	925	Turkey				
4-5	21.97	10.4	2.0	675	1	24.16	4.5	9.2	607
6+	22,03	12.7	4.3	906	2 - 3	26.14	2.4	19.2	1,050
Malawi					4 - 5	26.94	1.0	26.7	333
1	21.25	10.7	1.1	370	6+	26.84	0.7	27.9	278
2 - 3	21.55	9.4	0.7	576	0,	20.0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
4 - 5	21.37	10.0	1.1	478	Latin America/	Caribbaar	_		
6+	21.74	9.2	1.3	684		Caribbeai	1		
Namibia					Bolivia	00.10	4.0	2.2	460
1	21.83	15.6	4.2	595	1	23.10	4.3	3.3	469
2 - 3	22.69	12.0	7.9	652	2- 3	24.11	2.6	7.5	714
4 - 5	23.34	13.1	10.4	388	4 - 5	24.69	1.2	11.7	452
6+	22.79	15.2	9.1	452	6+	25.13	1.7	9.5	506
Niger	10.05	05.0	0.0	427	Colombia				
1	19.95	25.2	0.2	427 801	1	23.47	4.8	4.9	1,014
2 - 3	20.45	22.6	0.7	679	2 - 3	24.65	3.8	9.3	1,400
4 - 5	20.76	17.0	1.1			25.51	2.3	15.6	456
6+	21.22	17.0	2.3	1,073	4 - 5			13.7	259
Senegal	21.13	14.1	1.4	427	_6+	25.51	3.6	15.7	239
1 2 - 3	21.13	20.3	2.5	720	Dominican Rep				40.6
4-5	21.67	15.6	1.6	565	1	22.63	9.5	4.2	486
6+	22.75	11.3	7.3	955	2 - 3	23.27	9.5	7.8	911
Tanzania	22.75	11,5	,,,,	,,,,	4 - 5	24.10	6.8	12.5	360
1	21.56	9.8	0.6	821	6+	23.13	9.1	4.7	236
2 - 3	21.89	7.6	2.4	1,263	Guatemala	•			
4 - 5	21.58	10.0	1.9	841	1	23.13	5.7	3.6	755
6+	22.70	12.0	2.6	1,190	2 - 3	24.31	4.7	10.4	1.403
Zambia						24.59	2.7	9.4	977
1	21.03	14.4	0.3	651	4 - 5				
2 - 3	21.31	12.2	1.0	858	_6+	24.44	2.4	7.7	1,401
4 - 5	22.20	9.3	3.5	563	Peru				4.04-
6 +	22.55	9.6	4.9	898	1	23.91	2.0	4.6	1,065
Zimbabwe			2.5	165	2 - 3	24.60	1.4	7.6	1,780
1	22.52	5.6	2.5	465	4 - 5	25.46	0.7	14.1	998
2 - 3	22.88	5.4	5.3	613	6+	25.42	0.8	12.9	998
4 - 5	23.34	5.8	8.5	327	0 ,		2.5		
6+	23.95	3.4	9.0	383					

Figure 5.3 Percentage of women with BMI < 18.5 by parity, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995










Figure 5.4 Percentage of women with BMI 30+ by parity, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995








Table 5.3 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by last birth interval

Mean BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for BMI, by last birth intervals, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and		BMI		Number of	Country and last birth		BMI		Number of	
interval		•	interval	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases			
Sub-Saharan Afric	a				Near East/Nortl	Africa				
Burkina Faso					Egypt					
First birth	20.76	15.8	0.1	553	First birth	25.77	1.8	16.4	418	
< 24 months	21.16	13.5	1.4	746	< 24 months	26.28	1.9	20.9	956	
24 + months	21.00	14.9	1.1	1,706	24 + months	27.74	1.3	28.9	1,286	
Ghana					Morocco				.,	
First birth	21.12	15.6	1.5	327	First birth	23,34	5.0	7.4	418	
< 24 months	21.58	14.0	3.0	335			3.1	8.7	956	
24 + months	22.00	10.5	4.4	939	< 24 months	23.90				
Kenya					24 + months	24.51	4.0	13.7	1,286	
First birth	22.06	6.7	1.1	548	Turkey					
< 24 months	21.89	11.3	2.7	1,218	First birth	24.16	4.5	9.2	607	
24 + months	22.05	10.0	3.0	1,288	< 24 months	25.50	2,8	17.8	647	
Malawi					24 + months	27.02	1.2	25.1	1,014	
First birth	21.25	10.7	1.1	370	211 1110111111	21102			-,	
< 24 months	21.68	8.4	1.3	659	Latin America/	~awibbaan				
24 + months	21.52	10.1	0.9	1,079		Jarrobean				
Namibia					Bolivia		4.0	2.2	460	
First birth	21.83	15.6	4.2	595	First birth	23.10	4.3	3.3	469	
< 24 months	22.46	13.8	7.1	552	< 24 months	24.39	2.3	7.6	799	
24 + months	23.15	12.8	10.0	940	24 + months	24.73	1.7	10.7	873	
Niger					Colombia					
First birth	19.95	25.2	0.2	427	First birth	23.47	4.8	4.9	1,014	
< 24 months	20.81	19.3	1.5	1,191	< 24 months	24.19	4.7	8.5	842	
24 + months	20.91	18.2	1.5	1,362	24 + months	25.43	2.6	13.0	1,273	
Senegal							2.0	15.0	1,275	
First birth	21.13	14.1	1.4	427	Dominican Repu		0.5	4.0	406	
< 24 months	22.13	15.1	4.2	866	First birth	22.63	9.5	4.2	486	
24 + months	21.92	15.4	3.8	1,374	< 24 months	23.07	9.4	6.9	804	
Tanzania					24 + months	23.86	8.3	10.3	703	
First birth	21.56	9.8	0.6	821	Guatemala					
< 24 months	21.78	9.3	2.5	1,188	First birth	23.13	5.8	3.6	755	
24 + months	21.72	10.1	2.3	2,106	< 24 months	24.00	3,6	6.8	1,915	
Zambia					24 + months	24.84	3.2	11.6	1,866	
First birth	21.03	14.3	0.3	651	Peru	47.04	J.24	11.0	1,000	
< 24 months	22.05	10.1	3.3	942		02.01	2.0	16	1 065	
24 + months	21.97	10.8	3.0	1,377	First birth	23.91	2.0	4.6	1,065	
Zimbabwe					< 24 months	24.57	1.3	9.0	1,702	
First birth	22.52	5.7	2.5	465	24 + months	25.39	0.9	11.9	2,074	
< 24 months	22.86	4.4	5.2	357						
24 + months	23.45	5.3	7.9	966						

deficiency increases between 3 and 18 months postpartum and then stabilizes or decreases. Some fluctuations are observed by country and region, depending on the length of the period of lactation. In sub-Saharan Africa, where breastfeeding averages about 20 months, the percentage of mothers with chronic energy deficiency (BMI < 18.5) increases with the length of the postpartum period and stabilizes around 10 percent after three years (22 percent in Niger).

The percentage of mothers with BMI 30+ also increases with the length of the postpartum period, with the highest values for mothers who are three or more years postpartum (Table 5.4). In Latin America, for example, between 9 and 15 percent of the mothers with three years since their last birth, are obese compared with 4 to 6 percent among those with only three to six months since the last birth. Clearly, lactation affects the weight of the mother (and her BMI), as will be explained further in the next sections.

Breastfeeding Status

Table 5.5 presents the average BMI and the percentage of mothers below and above the cutoff points for chronic energy deficiency and obesity by breastfeeding status of the mother. Women tend to lose weight during lactation which affects their BMI. Although the mean BMI is lower for lactating mothers, in 7 of the 18 countries analyzed—Burkina Faso, Ghana, Malawi, Niger, Turkey, Bolivia, and Peru—the percentage of mothers with chronic energy deficiency (BMI < 18.5) was actually higher among nonlactating than lactating mothers (see Figure 5.5). At the other end of the distribution, the percentage of obese mothers (BMI 30+) is higher among nonlactating mothers in the all countries except the Dominican Republic, and is especially high in the three Near East/North African countries (see Figure 5.6).

5.2 CHILD HEALTH VARIABLES

One way of looking at the possible outcomes associated with maternal malnutrition is to analyze the relationship between high-risk factors (short stature, chronic energy deficiency, and obesity) and children's health as expressed by birth weight, child anthropometry, and mortality. This can be seen from the perspective of intergenerational links: small maternal size, low birth weight, growth failure in children, leading back to small adults. As shown in the Second Report on the World Nutrition Situation (United Nations, 1992), "...high incidences of low birth weight occur in areas where there is much underweight in women," and "...where there is a high proportion of underweight children, this may lead to higher levels of underweight adults," and showing "...across generations, small mothers having small babies who grow into small mothers again." This frame of analysis can be applied to DHS data, which assesses maternal nutritional status (using anthropometry) at the same time children are measured. However, given that the relationship between maternal chronic energy deficiency and children's health in DHS surveys is based only on information regarding the last child born in the five years before the survey, the results should be considered minimum estimates.

Birth Weight

Mothers of children born in the five years before the survey were asked directly about their children's birth weight. For this analysis, a dichotomous variable was created: children born weighing less than 2.5 kilograms, and children whose birth weight was 2.5 kilograms or more. Children without weight information were included by using the questions on prematurity at birth and the mother's perceived size of the baby at birth. Children were classified as having a birth weight of < 2.5 kg if they were "small" or "very small" at birth or born prematurely with an "average" size at birth. Again, the analysis is restricted to the information about the last child and although the mother's assessment of chronic energy deficiency is done after her child's birth, the relationship is still meaningful. The question of causality between chronic energy deficiency among mothers and the incidence of low birth weight in babies seems to go in both directions in DHS studies.

The percentage of mothers whose children were born with low birth weight (< 2.5 kg) varies across countries from 11 percent in Ghana, Tanzania, Zambia, and Colombia to 32 percent in Senegal. For some of these countries, a large proportion of women did not know their children's birth weight, leaving the present classification based largely on the perceived size of the child at birth. Table 5.6 presents mean values and the percentage of mothers below the cutoff points for height and BMI by birth weight of the last child. Average height is lower for mothers who gave birth to low birth weight children. In Latin American countries, although there are no important differences in mothers' mean height by children's birth weight, the percentage of mothers whose height is below 145 centimeters is much larger among those whose children had low birth weights (< 2.5 kg). In Peru, for example, 23 percent of mothers who gave birth to low birth weight children had a height below 145 centimeters (stunted), compared with 15 percent of mothers whose children weighed 2.5 kg or more at birth.

Similarly, BMIs are lower among mothers whose children were low birth weight (see Figure 5.7). Overall, chronic energy deficiency seems to be more prevalent among mothers whose children were underweight at birth (< 2.5 kg), except in Peru and Morocco, where the percentages are about the same). Birth weight shows the greatest differences in the sub-Saharan countries, particularly in Burkina Faso, Malawi, Namibia, and Niger.

Are children of obese mothers likely to be born with low weight (< 2.5 kg)? The last column under BMI in Table 5.6 shows higher percentages of obese mothers have children with birth weights of 2.5 kg and above in all countries except Malawi and Egypt. The differentials are greatest in the Latin American countries, particularly the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, and Peru (see Figure 5.8).

Child Anthropometry

The anthropometric status of the last-born child, defined in this report by the index height-for-age, is included here as a covariant of mother's malnutrition. The primary objective is to examine levels of malnutrition across generations. This is done by comparing the nutritional status of mothers with that of their most recent child. The height-for-age index is expressed as Z-scores from the median of the International Reference Population (as recommended by the World Health Organization). A Z-score is the number of standard deviation units a child's measurements deviate from the median of the reference population for that age group. A low score in the height-for-age index is evidence of chronic energy deficiency in which past deficiencies resulted in short stature. The extent of children's chronic energy deficiency, as measured by height-for-age, is expressed as the percentage of children whose Z-score falls below -2 SD from the median of the reference population.

Table 5.4 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by number of months since last birth

Mean BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for BMI, by number of months since last birth, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and		вмі		Number	Country and		BMI		Number
months since last birth	Mean	< 18.5	30+	of cases	months since last birth	Mean	< 18.5	30+	of cases
Sub-Saharan Africa					Near East/North	Africa			
Burkina Faso 3 - 6 months	21.16	12.7	0.8	323	Egypt	26.00	1.7	16.4	407
6 -11 months	20.93	12.2	0.5	425	3 - 6 months	26.08		16.4	407 572
12-17 months	20.80	13.4	0.9	478	6 -11 months	25.58		14.6	
18-23 months	21.04	15.1	1.0	324	2-17 months	25.76		18.4	550
24-35 months	20.91	16.1	0.8	547	18-23 months	26.20		19.4	461
36 + months	21.26	16.5	2.0	579	24-35 months	27.26		27.2	834
Ghana 3 - 6 months	22.04	11.0	4.1	218	36 + months	28.57	1.3	34.4	1,254
6 -11 months	21.91	8.1	3.3	299	Morocco				
12-17 months	21.60	15.5	3.0	263	3 - 6 months	24.02		9.2	206
18-23 months	21.52	14.6	3.1	226	6 -11 months	23.44		6.8	415
24-35 months	21.56	13.9	3.5	396	12-17 months	23.16	5.7	7.3	368
36 + months	U	U	U	U	18-23 months	23.90	3.8	10.0	291
Kenya	00.01	0.0		007	24-35 months	24.19	3.0	11.3	434
3 - 6 months	22.01	8.0	2.3 1.1	287 493	36 + months	25.29	3.2	17.0	648
6 -11 months	21.61 21.87	11.8 12.3	1.7	493 399	Turkey				
12-17 months 18-23 months	21.73	9.8	3.0	349	3 - 6 months	25,39	1.8	14.2	201
24-35 months	21.65	10.5	1.4	496	6 -11 months	24.91		14.2	290
36 + months	22.85	7.2	5.3	659	12-17 months	24.95		15.1	234
Malawi		• • • •							213
3 - 6 months	21.60	5.6	0.6	266	18-23 months	25.54		16.8	
6-11 months	21.68	8.5	1.1	397	24-35 months	25.84		20.0	383
12-17 months	21.29	11.1	1.2	294	36 months	26.80	3.1	24.0	718
18-23 months	21.49	10.6	0.8	237					
24-35 months	21.49	10.6	2.6	305 337	Latin America/C	aribbean			
36 + months	21.27	12.7	0.3	337	Bolivia				
Namibia 3 - 6 months	22.51	11,3	4.7	233	3 - 6 months	24.38	3.0	6.2	299
6 -11 months	22.06	13.3	3.8	321	6 -11 months	23.95	2.4	8.5	455
12-17 months	21.91	21.1	7.0	321	12-17 months	23.80		7.1	366
18-23 months	22.41	17.1	6.9	220	18-23 months	24.15		6.8	262
24-35 months	23.04	10.2	8.9	372	24-35 months	24.83		8.7	448
36 + months	23.68	11.7	12.6	366	36 + months	24.03 U	U	Ü	Ü
Niger	01.05	10.4		262	Colombia	· ·	Ū	Ü	Ū
3 - 6 months	21.05	13.4	1.1	362 535		24.22	5.8	6.3	238
6 -11 months 12-17 months	20.90 20.39	19.2 22.1	1.7 0.6	455	3 - 6 months	24.23			
18-23 months	20.39	19.5	2.1	328	6 -11 months	23.88		6.6	388
24-35 months	20.36	22.1	1.0	468	12-17 months	24.07		8.0	363
36 + months	21.01	22.4	2.0	421	18-23 months	23.77		7.6	339
Senegal					24-35 months	24.79		10.5	565
3 - 6 months	21.72	13.7	2.7	336	36 + months	25.01	2.9	11.5	944
6-11 months	21.93	13.7	4.1	437	Dominican Repub	lic			
12-17 months	21.54	15.3	2.7	446	3 - 6 months	23.30	4.5	4.0	172
18-23 months	21.17	17.5	2.9	280	6 -11 months	22.52	8.9	3.5	350
24-35 months	22.11 22.60	16.6 13.7	4.3 6.8	423 453	12-17 months	23.24		7.3	255
36 + months Tanzania	22.00	13.7	0.0	433	18-23 months	22,40		5.3	213
3 - 6 months	22.13	8.4	1.5	429	24-35 months	23 78		8.5	319
6 -11 months	21.63	10.1	0.5	695		24.03		13.2	492
12-17 months	21.41	10.1	1.9	651	36 + months Guatemala	24.03	9.0	13.2	472
18-23 months	21.51	8.9	1.3	558		22.66		47	401
24-35 months	21.54	12.6	1.4	626	3 - 6 months	23.66		4.7	481
36 + months	22.19	9.0	4.5	677	6 -11 months	23.70		5.3	839
Zambia	01.05	7.0	0.4	266	12-17 months	23.70		7.2	710
3 - 6 months	21.95	7.8	2.4 2.4	366 546	18-23 months	24.00		7.9	454
6 -11 months 12-17 months	21.68 21.50	11.4 13.5	2.4 2.6	458	24-35 months	24.42		8.8	668
12-17 months 18-23 months	21.50	12.5	1.4	352	36 + months	25.48	1.8	14.7	856
24-35 months	22.04	10.9	2.9	453	Peru				
36 + months	22.10	11.9	2.9	418	3 - 6 months	24.44	0.9	4.4	492
Zimbabwe					6 -11 months	24.36		8.6	703
3 - 6 months	22.97	5.4	2.7	205	12-17 months	23.95		5.9	568
6 -11 months	22.83	4.4	4.8	337	18-23 months	24.21		6.5	
12-17 months	22.78	9.1	7.1	256					828
18-23 months	22.95	5.5	5.3	268	24-35 months	24.93		9.6	
24-35 months	23.73	2.4 U	8.5	461 U	36 + months	25.69	1.1	13.9	1,324
36 + months	U	U	U	. 0					

U = Unknown (not available)

Table 5.5 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by breastfeeding status

Mean BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for BMI, by breastfeeding status, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and		BMI		Number of
breastfeeding status	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases
Sub-Saharan Afr	ica			
Burkina Faso				
No	21.34	15.6	1.7	1,017
Yes	20.86	14.3	0.7	1,995
Ghana		10.0	" 0	407
No	22.30	12.3	5.8	487
Yes	21.48	12.2	2.5	1,114
Kenya	00.05	7.7	2.4	1 202
No	22.35 21.72	11.5	3.4 1.9	1,303 1,751
Yes Malawi	21.72	11.5	1.9	1,751
Malawi No	21.48	11.3	1.6	799
	21.55	8.7	0.7	1,309
Yes Namibia	21,33	0.7	0.7	1,507
Namibia	23.32	11.3	10.7	1,087
Yes	21.73	16.9	3.8	1,000
Niger	21.75		2.0	-,000
No	20.83	21.3	1.7	1,065
Yes	20.69	18.8	1.2	1,915
Senegal	_0.05			- ,
No	22.23	14.9	5.0	1,081
Yes	21.60	15.2	3.1	1,586
Tanzania				•
No	21.91	9.6	3.1	1,530
Yes	21.58	10.0	1.3	2,585
Zambia				
No	22.02	10.8	2.6	1,215
Yes	21.62	22.7	2.4	1,755
Zimbabwe				
No	23.49	2.8	6.7	754
Yes	22.80	7.1	5.4	1,034
Near East/North	Africa			
Egypt				
Ňo	27.89	1.2	30.5	2,562
Yes	25.60	2.1	15.7	1,953
Morocco				
No	24.74	3.2	14.2	1,698
Yes	22.98	5.0	5.1	962
Turkey				
No	26.08	3.1	20.4	1,643
Yes	25.14	1.2	14.4	625
Latin America/C	aribbean			
Bolivia				
No	24.46	2.9	9.5	808
Yes	24.11	2.2	6.9	1,303
Colombia				
No	24.52	3.5	9.6	2,202
Yes	24.34	4.6	8.1	927
Dominican Repu		~ ~	6.4	1 ~1-
No	23.45	8.9	8.3	1,517
Yes	22.44	9.5	4.0	476
Guatemala		2.0	10.0	1 (10
No	25.12	3.0	13.0	1,618
Yes	23.54	4.4	4.9	2,918
Peru	05.10		11.4	2 (00
No	25.12	1.5	11.4	2,690
Yes	24.30	1.1	6.4	2,151

A comparison of mother's nutritional status with last child's anthropometric status is shown in Table 5.7 and Figure 5.9 by age of the child (< 24 months and 24+ months). In Latin America, the percentage of mothers who are stunted (< 145 cm) shows marked differentials by children's anthropometric status. The highest percentages are among mothers with stunted children (below -2 SD) in Bolivia, Colombia, Guatemala, and Peru. Within this group, mothers of younger children (less than two years) have the highest percentages of stunting. The fact that this pattern is only observed in Latin American countries may be due to the presence of higher proportions of indigenous populations—typically shorter and heavier—in these countries.

When weight is controlled for, the relationship changes considerably. The percentage of mothers with chronic energy deficiency is highest among those with stunted children (below -2 SD), particularly in the sub-Saharan countries (see Figure 5.9). In Namibia, for example, 23 percent of mothers of stunted children have chronic energy deficiency (BMI < 18.5) compared with 13 percent of mothers whose children have normal height-for-age scores.

The differences in stunting, noted earlier for Latin America do not translate into higher percentages of chronic energy deficiency among mothers. The only exception is the Dominican Republic, where chronic energy deficiency is much higher for mothers of stunted children. In the Near East and North Africa, chronic energy deficiency is higher among mothers of children with normal heightfor-age (see also Figure 5.10).

Mortality

This section examines the relationship between mother's malnutrition and child survival. The child survival variable identifies those mothers who experienced the death of a child born during the five years preceding the survey. The results are mixed and do not allow identification of any specific patterns across countries or regions (see Table 5.8). For six countries (Ghana, Tanzania, Zimbabwe, Turkey, Bolivia, and Colombia) the mean BMI is slightly higher among mothers with a dead child than those without. For the remaining 12 countries, the mean BMIs are either greater among women who did not experience the death of a child or are very similar.

There are eight countries (Malawi, Niger, Tanzania, Zimbabwe, Morocco, Turkey, Bolivia, and Colombia) that have a higher percentage of chronic energy deficiency among mothers with all their children surviving than among those with a dead child. Only in Ghana, Kenya, the Dominican Republic, and Guatemala are chronic energy deficiency percentages higher for mothers who had a child die than for those who have all their children surviving. In the rest of the countries, the percentage differences are small, indicating no relationship.

As with chronic energy deficiency, the percentage of obese women by child survival status varies from country to country. In Egypt, Morocco, Bolivia, and Colombia, mothers who did not experience a child death have higher percentages of obesity, while in Turkey, the Dominican Republic, and Guatemala, the opposite is found.

Figure 5.5 Percentage of women with chronic energy deficiency (BMI < 18.5) by breastfeeding status, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995




Figure 5.6 Percentage of obese women (BMI 30+) by breastfeeding status, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995




Table 5.6 Mean height and BMI among women with children under 5 years by birth weight of last child

Mean height and BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for height and BMI, by birth weight of last child, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and	Height	(cm)		BMI		Number of
last child	Mean	< 145	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases
birth weight			1410411			
Sub-Saharan Afr	ica					
Burkina Faso	161.70	0.1	21.15	13.3	1.2	2,392
2.5+ kg	161.70	0.1	20.49	19.5	0.3	620
< 2.5 kg	101.03	0.0	20.77	17.0		
Ghana	158.45	1.2	21.80	11.6	3.7	1,418
2.5+ kg	158.45	1.1	21.20	16.9	2.2	183
< 2.5 kg	130.00	1.1	21.20	2015		
Kenya	159.33	8.3	22.06	9.7	2,7	2,640
2.5+ kg	157.97	11.8	21.56	11.2	1.6	414
< 2.5 kg Malawi	137.77	11.0	21,00			
2.5+ kg	156.27	2.6	21.58	8.7	1.0	1,760
	155.17	4.5	21.24	14.3	1.5	348
< 2.5 kg	155.17	710				
Namibia 2,5+ kg	160,79	1.0	22.77	12.9	8.2	1,725
	159.41	2.5	21.72	18.8	4.4	362
< 2.5 kg	102.71	2.0				
Niger 2.5+ kg	160.60	0.3	20.98	17.8	1.7	2,094
	159.76	0.7	20.28	23.1	0.6	886
< 2.5 kg	133.70	0.7	20,20			
Senegal	162.37	0.2	22.11	13.5	4.7	1,826
2.5+ kg	162.44	0.0	21.31	18.5	2.1	841
< 2.5 kg	102.44	0.0	21.01	10.5		
Tanzania	155.95	3.5	21.75	9.7	2.0	3,673
2.5+ kg	155.93	4.7	21.73	11.2	2.0	442
$\lesssim 2.5 \text{ kg}$	133.00	4.7	21.32	11.2		
Zambia	150 06	1.2	21.85	10.9	2.7	2,658
2.5+ kg	158.06	1.3	21.19	15.2	0.7	312
< 2.5 kg	158.00	1.5	41,19	15.2	O,	
Zimbabwe	150.45	0.7	23.12	5.1	6.1	1,517
2.5+ kg	159.45 158.34	1.5	22.95	5.9	5.1	271
< 2.5 kg	130.34	1.5	22.75	3.7	D1.2	
Near East/North	Africa¹					
Egypt				1.5	22.0	2 022
2.5+ kg	157.15	1.0	26.92	1.5	23.9	
< 2.5 kg	156.44	2.5	26.70	2.2	24.4	683
Morocco				2.0	11 -	2 042
2.5+ kg	157.06	1.2	24.27	3.9	11.5	
< 2.5 kg	156.89	1.1	23.58	3.7	8.4	617
Latin America/	Caribbean					
Bolivia						
2.5+ kg	151.24	12.5	24.31	2.3	8.5	
< 2.5 kg	149.94	14.2	23.92	3.5	4.9	337
Colombia	- 15 15 1					
2.5+ kg	154.69	5.3	24.53	3.5	9.5	
< 2.5 kg	152.98	10.4	23.95	6.6	6.4	337
Dominican Rep						
2.5+ kg	156.48	1.6	23.43	8.3	8.0	
< 2.5 + kg	155.66	4.6		14.0	3.0	248
Guatemala	155.50					
2.5+ kg	148.46	30.0	24.35	3.8	8.9	3,645
	147.16	36.1	23.50	4.0	5.4	4 891
< 2.5 kg	147.10	50.1	20,00			
Peru 25 kg	150.50	15.2	24.83	1.3	9.	4,136
2.5+ kg	149.36	23.1		1.2	6.	
< 2.5 kg	147.30	20.1	£ 7,50		٠.	

Ouestion not asked in the 1993 DHS survey in Turkey.

Figure 5.7 Percentage of women with chronic energy deficiency (BMI < 18.5) by birth weight of last child, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995




Figure 5.8 Percentage of obese women (BMI 30+) by birth weight of last child, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995




Table 5.7 Mean height and BMI among women with children under 5 years by last child anthropometry and age

Mean height and BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for height and BMI, by last child anthropometry (height-for-age) and age, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and						No	Country and	Heig	hi		BMI		Number
last child anthropometry	Heigh	nt < 145	Mean	BMI < 18.5	30+	of cases	last child anthropometry and age		 < 145		18.5	30+	of cases
and age Sub-Saharan Afr		143	Mican				Near East/North A	frica			-		
Burkina Faso % above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	162.22 162.11 162.50	0.2 0.2 0.0	21.21 21.11 21.46	11.7 12.0 10.9	1.1 0.8 1.8	1,734 1,178 556	Egypt % above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	157.37 157.16 157.59	1.0 1.1 1.0	27.31 26.05 28.62	1.6 2.1 1.0	26.6 18.1 35.4	3,160 1,606 1,554
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months Ghana	160.15 160.37 159.88	0.6 0.7 0.5	20.48 20.42 20.54	20.1 18.2 22.4	0.4 0.8 0.0	656 356 300	% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	155.88 156.10 155.59	2.0 2.6 1.2	25.78 25.35 26.31	1.9 2.1 1.7	17.9 15.5 20.8	992 538 454
% above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	158.93 158.88 159.13	0.7 0.7 0.4	21.93 21.89 22.08	10.6 10.3 11.7	3.7 3.5 4.2	1,067 828 239	Morocco % above -2 SD < 24 months	157.52 157.08	0.6	24.39 23.70	3.5 4.1	12.1 8.2	1,897 1,116
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	157.18 156.90 157.65	2.0 1.3 3.1	21.01 21.11 20.84	15.0 15.6 13.9	1.7 1.8 1.5	354 224 130	24 + months	157.68	0.5	25.37	2.6	17.7	781 533
Kenya % above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	160.01 160.09 159.89	0.5 0.4 0.6	22.21 22.88 22.76	8.5 9.8 6.2	3.2 2.1 5.0	1,856 1,176 680	% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months Turkey	155.28 155.17 155.39	3.0 3.4 2.6	23.06 22.70 23.42	5.6 3.8	6.4 7.5	267 266
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	157.29 157.71 156.71	1.8 2.2 1.5	21.46 21.43 21.49	12.3 13.6 10.5	0.9 0.7 1.3	784 448 336	% above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	155.74 155.68 155.81	2.0 2.1 1.8	25.92 25.34 26.55	2.4 2.7 2.0	19.5 15.9 23.5	1,741 913 828
Malawi % above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	156.86 156.71 157.42	2.5 2.8 1.2	21.70 21.78 21.39	7.9 6.4 13.6	1.4 1.3 2.0	1,016 791 225	% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	153.36 153.45 153.30	4.6 2.9 5.6	25.34 24.68 25.73	3.6 0.8 5.3	15.7 12.8 17.4	319 114 205
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	155.01 155.17 154.78	3.3 3.2 3.4	21.26 21.22 21.32	12.0 12.5 11.3	0.5 0.1 1.0	689 409 280	Latin America/Ca Bolivia		5.0	23.73		•	
Namibia % above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	161.33 161.17 161.67	1.0 1.0 0.9	22.93 22.60 23.63	12.5 12.6 12.3	8.7 6.8 12.5	1,094 742 352	% above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	151.72 151.58 152.17	10.2 10.6 9.1	24.32 24.16 24.83	2.3 2.5 1.7	8.9 8.6 9.9	1,451 1,113 338
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months Niger	158.35 158.32 158.42	1.8 1.9 1.5	21.17 20.89 21.79	23.2 25.6 17.9	3.4 2.8 4.6	461 315 146	% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	149.06 148.96 149.34	20.1	24.02 23.73 24.80	2.6 2.4 3.4	4.6 3.5 7.9	491 361 130
% above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	161.27 161.07 162.11	0.0 0.1 0.0	21.12 21.07 21.37	16.1 16.2 15.5	2.0 1.6 3.6	1,427 1,112 315	Colombia % above -2 SD < 24 months	155.04 155.01	3.8 4.1	24.55 24.11	3.7 4.6	9.8 7.8	2,595 1,302
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	159.43 159.19 159.85	0.8 0.6 0.9	20.41 20.41 20.41	21.6 20.8 23.1	0.7 0.4 1.1	727 461 266	24 + months % below -2 SD	155.07 150.55	3.5	25.00 23.95	2.8 4.8	11.8	1,293 351
Senegal % above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	162.73 162.56 163.20	0.1 0.1 0.2	22.18 21.78 23.26	13.5 14.5 10.6	4.9 3.7 8.1	1,617 1,183 434	< 24 months 24 + months Dominican Repul	149.69 151.32	25.6	23.64 24.23	6.1 3.8	4.7 7.7	163 188
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	160.88 161.20 160.44	0.4 0.7 0.0	21.12 21.21 21.00	18.3	1.7 2.2 1.0	475 274 201	% above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	156.69 156.86 156.50	1.8	23.46 23.00 24.00	8.3 8.5 8.1	8.4 5.6 11.9	1,422 793 629
Tanzania % above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	156.98 156.90 157.20	2.0 2.2 1.3	21.96 21.87 22.22	7.8	2.0 1.7 3.1	2,000 1,478 522	% below -2 SD	154.04 154.12 153.88	6.2		15.7 17.4 12.5		184
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months Zambia	154.45 154.28 154.74	5.8 6.9 3.8	21.14	13.5 12.4 15.6	1.2 1.0 1.6	906	Guatemala	150.20 149.23	20.3 5 24.4	23.99	3.7 4.1	7.0	1,485
% above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	159.12 158.95 159.71	0.5 0.7 0.0	21.98	9.8	3.2 3.0 3.9	1,215	24 + months % below -2 SD		2 45.5	23.41	3.0 4.3	4.4	2,010
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	156.19 156.03 158.45	2.4	21.39 21.20 21.69	15.2	1.5 1.4 1.7	. 599	24 + months Peru	145.4 145.9	4 41.3	23.76	4.8 3.6	5.7	784
Zimbabwe % above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	159.77 159.73 159.91	0.6	23.03	5.0 5.8 4 2.4	6.4 5.5 9.0	1,232 932 300	% above -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	151.2 150.8 151.7	1 13.3	24.36	1.1 1.1 1.0	7.7	1,850
% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	157.41 157.23 157.72	2.3 3 2.1 2 2.4	22.16	8.3 5 11.3 2 3.0	5.7 4.7 7.4	364 237 1 127	% below -2 SD < 24 months 24 + months	147.7 147.5 147.8	7 32.1	23.66	2.1 1.8 2.3	2.3	548

Figure 5.9 Percentage of women with chronic energy deficiency (BMI < 18.5) by last child's anthropometric status, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995




Figure 5.10 Percentage of obese women (BMI 30+) by last child's anthropometric status, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995




Table 5.8 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by under-five mortality status

Mean BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for BMI, by under-five mortality status, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and under-5		BMI		Number of
mortality status	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases
Sub-Saharan Africa		<u> </u>		
Burkina Faso	20.00	15.2	1.3	582
Dead Living	20.99 21.00	14.5	0.9	2,439
Living Ghana	21.00	14.5	0.5	2, 107
Dead	22.80	15.2	4.2	217
Living	21.69	11.8	3.4	1,384
Kenya	21.66	11.0	1.4	335
Dead Living	21.66 22.04	9.7	2.7	2,719
Living Malawi	22.07	7.1	2	2,,,,,
Dead	21.43	8.6	0.8	538
Living	21.55	10.0	1.1	1,570
Namibia		10.4		105
Dead	22.20	13.4 13.9	7.8 7.5	195 1,892
Living	22.63	13.9	1.5	1,092
Niger Dead	20.63	18.6	0.8	796
Living	20.78	20.1	1.6	2,184
Senegal				440
Dead	21.65	15.9	2.4	410
Living	21.90	14.9	3.9	2,257
Tanzania Dead	21.98	8.8	2.4	702
Living	21.65	10.0	1.9	3,413
Zambia				
Dead	21.67	11.4	2.1	618
Living	21.81	11.4	2.6	2,352
Zimbabwe Dead	23.22	1.8	6.1	169
Living	23.08	5.6	5.9	1,619
Near East/North Afri	ica			
Egypt			20.5	450
Dead	26.50 26.92	1.6 1.6	22.5 24.1	458 4,058
Living Morocco	20.92	1.0	27,1	4,050
Dead	23.69	3.4	8.4	238
Living	24.15	3.9	11.2	2,422
Turkey			26.5	155
Dead	26.28	0.8 2.7	26.5 18.1	155 2,113
Living	25.77	2.1	10.1	2,113
Latin America/Carlb	bean			
Bolivia Dead	24,49	2.3	9.8	254
Living	24.21	2.5	7.7	1,887
Colombia				
Dead	24.61	1.8	10.1	118
Living	24.46	3.9	9.1	3,011
Dominican Republic	22.78	10.5	6.3	143
Dead Living	23.27	8.9	7.5	1,850
Guatemala	23.21	0.7	,	_,000
Dead	24.03	5.8	7.3	400
Living	24.20	3.7	8.3	4,136
Peru	24.72	1.1	9.0	469
Dead Living	24.72 24.76	1.1	9.0	4,372
Living	24.70	1.5	٠.2	.,

Note: Under-5 mortality status refers to deaths among children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey.

6 Socioeconomic Differentials

6.1 URBAN-RURAL RESIDENCE

The classification of urban and rural places of residence is based on definitions used by the national statistical office in each country. Therefore, the size of towns and cities defined as urban may vary from one country to another.

There are consistent marked differences in the level of malnutrition between urban and rural areas: chronic energy deficiency (CED) is more common in rural areas in all DHS countries except Bolivia and Turkey (see Figure 6.1), while obesity (Figure 6.2) is predominantly an urban manifestation (see Table 6.1).

Chronic energy deficiency is particularly high in the rural areas of the sub-Saharan countries, especially in Burkina Faso, Namibia, Niger, and Senegal, where more than 15 percent of rural mothers have BMI < 18.5. In contrast, in Latin America (but not the Dominican Republic), Egypt, Morocco, and Turkey, chronic energy deficiency is relatively low and/or only small differences exist between urban and rural areas. The opposite is observed regarding obesity: 15 percent or more of urban mothers in the Near East and North African countries present BMI 30+, while in the other two regions, only Namibia, Zimbabwe, Guatemala, and Peru show high percentages of obesity (more than 10 percent) among urban mothers (see Figure 6.2).

6.2 MOTHER'S EDUCATION

Mother's education is defined here as the highest level of schooling attended, regardless of whether the woman completed the level. Thus, women identified as having primary education have attended, but not necessarily completed, primary school. Secondary and higher education have been combined into one category because few women have achieved higher levels of education in most countries.

There is a negative association between mothers' education and chronic energy deficiency—the higher the level of education, the lower the percentage of mothers with BMI below 18.5 (see Table 6.2) This pattern is found in all the DHS sub-Saharan countries, the Dominican Republic, Egypt, and Morocco. In the remaining Latin American countries and Turkey, the pattern is reversed; that is, women with higher levels of education tend to have higher levels of chronic energy deficiency. As will be seen later, the effect of education on chronic energy deficiency, after controlling for other variables, is important only in Kenya, Malawi, Namibia, and Zimbabwe. The multivariate analysis presented in this report also shows lower levels of chronic energy deficiency at higher levels of education.

At the other end of the distribution, obesity tends to increase with education, except in Colombia and Turkey, where the prevalence of obesity is highest among mothers with no education (13 and 21 percent, respectively). By contrast, in Egypt and Guatemala the prevalence of obesity is highest among mothers who attended secondary or higher education (30 and 13 percent, respectively).

6.3 SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS

The socioeconomic status of women with children born in the five years preceding the survey was determined from variables related to household possessions and dwelling characteristics: possession of a radio, television, or refrigerator; floor material, water supply, toilet availability; and the number of persons per sleeping room in the household.⁵

The relationship between socioeconomic status and chronic energy deficiency is more conclusive than that observed for level of education. The higher the level of socioeconomic status, the lower the percentage of mothers with chronic energy deficiency (see Table 6.3). The only exception to this pattern is Bolivia⁶ where the percentage of women with chronic energy deficiency increases with socioeconomic status. Note, however, that in Bolivia the differences between categories of socioeconomic status are small. The countries with the largest differentials in chronic energy deficiency by socioeconomic status are in sub-Saharan Africa.

The proportion of mothers who are obese generally increases with socioeconomic status in the countries analyzed. However, in six countries (Kenya, Turkey, Bolivia, Colombia, Guatemala, and Peru) the proportion of obese mothers is higher in the "low" socioeconomic category than the "medium/high" category. Also, the percentage of obese mothers in Turkey and Colombia is similar across socioeconomic status categories. Egypt, the country with the highest levels of obesity, shows the greatest variation across status categories: 17 percent for "very low" versus 41 percent for "medium/high" (Figure 6.3).

⁵ Points were assigned with the following criteria: persons per room, two points if the ratio was 2 or less, one point if the ratio was 3-4, and zero points if the ratio was 5 or more persons per room. For possession of a radio, TV or refrigerator, a woman received one point, otherwise zero points. Floor materials, water and toilet availability added two, one, or zero points, respectively, depending on the quality of these services. For the ownership of a car (not for work), two points were assigned. The index can take values between zero and 13.

⁶ Here it must be remembered that 22 percent of women with higher education in Bolivia were not measured (see Table 3.2).

<u>Table 6.1 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by residence</u>

Mean BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for BMI, by place of residence, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and		BMI		Number of
place of esidence	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases
esidence	Wican	10.5		
Sub-Saharan Afri	ica			
Burkina Faso	00.07	0.6	3.3	1,107
Urban	22.27	9.6 15.7	0.6	1,905
Rural	20.75	15.7	0.0	1,505
Ghana	22.10	10.6	7.8	472
Urban	23.10 21.16	12.9	1.7	1,129
Rural	21.10	12.7	1.,	1,1-2
Kenya Urban	23.49	4.1	6.1	367
Rural	21.75	10.8	1.9	2,687
Malawi	21.75			•
Urban	22.56	7.0 ·	4.4	555
Rural	21.38	10.0	0.6	1,553
Namibia	21.00			
Urban	24.24	8.8	13.6	717
Rural	21.59	17.0	3.9	1,370
Niger				
Urban	22.73	13.1	6.5	1,165
Rural	20.46	20.9	0.3	1,815
Senegal				
Urban	23.06	11.1	7.3	915
Rural	21.23	17.2	2.1	1,752
Tanzania				
Urban	22.51	6.1	4.0	717
Rural	21.46	10.9	1.4	3,398
Zambia			4.0	1 214
Urban	22.56	9.3	4.6	1,314
Rural	21.06	13.3	0.5	1,656
Zimbabwe		0.4	12.2	409
Urban	24.68	2.4	13.3	1,379
Rural	22.52	6.3	3.2	1,319
Near East/North	Africa			
	i WIIICA			
Egypt	28.60	1.1	35.7	1,942
Urban Rural	25.65	1.9	15.5	2,574
Morocco	20.00	1.0		
Urban	25.46	3.0	18.5	1,074
Rural	23.19	4.4	5.7	1,586
Turkey	20.17	•••		*
Urban	26.00	3.1	19.7	1,409
Rural	25.49	1.6	17.0	859
Latin America/	Caribbean			
Bolivia				4 40-
Urban	24.58	2.8	10.2	1,187
Rural	23.85	2.1	5.2	954
Colombia				A 101
Urban	24.51	3.8	9.2	2,134
Rurai	24.36	4.0	9.1	995
Dominican Rep	ublic	0.4	0.0	1 202
Urban	23.67	8.4	9.0	1,203
Rural	22.56	9.9	4.8	790
Guatemala		0.1	12.1	1 200
Urban	25.25	3.1	13.1	1,209 3,327
Rural	23.56	4.3	5.3	3,327
Peru	05.10	1.0	11 4	3,030
Urban	25.18	1.2	11.6 4.7	1,811
Rural	23.94	1.6	4./	1,011

Table 6.2 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by education

Mean BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for BMI, by level of education, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Surveys, 1990-1995		714		Number
Country and mother's		BMI		Number of
education	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Burkina Faso	20.78	15.3	0.5	2,430
None Primary	22.10	10.4	3.0	385 197
Secondary/higher	23.38	10.6	7.6	197
Ghana None	21.17	13.6	1.6	626
Primary	21.82 24.48	12.0 6.2	3.9 12.4	878 97
Secondary/higher Kenya	24.46	0.2		
None	21.48	17.2	3.0 1.9	532 1,823
Primary Secondary/higher	21.88 22.64	9.0 6.6	3.6	699
Malawi		10.1	0.2	862
None	21.20 21.68	12.1 7.2	0.3 1.2	1,107
Primary Secondary/higher	23.94	6.8	10.0	139
Namibia	22.12	19.7	5.1	353
None Primary	22.03	15.3	6.2	1,008
Secondary/higher	23.56	9.3	10.6	726
Niger None	20.58	19.9	0.9	2,518
Primary Secondary/higher	21.73	18.9	3.9 8.8	312 150
Secondary/higher Senegal	23.81	11.6	0.0	
None	21.57	16.2	3.0	2,154 355
Primary Secondary/higher	22.87 23.57	11.6 7.6	6.8 8.9	158
Tanzania				1,519
None	21.38 21.76	12.2 8.8	1.5 1.9	2,459
Primary Secondary/higher	24.01	4.2	9.0	137
Zambia	21.19	12.5	1.3	521
None Primary	21.65	11.5	2.2	1,826
Secondary/higher	22.60	10.1	4.2	620
Zimbabwe None	22.34	5.2	4.8	218
Primary	22.83	6.7 3.2	4.8 7.8	925 645
Secondary/higher	23.70	3.2	7.0	0.15
Near East/North Afric	a			
Egypt None	25.71	2.3 1.2	16.0	2,083
Primary	27.97	1.2 0.8	32.7 29.6	1,148 1,285
Secondary/higher Morocco	27.89	0.0		
None	23.74	4.1	9.1 321	2,060 17.8
Primary Secondary/higher	25.52 25.16	2.2 3.6	16.5	279
Turkey			01.1	566
None	25.95 26.05	2.1 2.3	21.1 20.4	1,277
Primary Secondary/higher	24.91	4.1	10.3	425
Latin America/Caribb	ean			
Bolivia			1.0	200
None	23.94 24.59	1.6 2.0	1.9 9.5	308 971
Primary Secondary/higher	23.97	3.2	8.1	862
Colombia	24,34	2,8	12.7	138
None Primary	24.54	3.7	9.4	1,303
Secondary/higher	24.42	4.1	8.7	1,688
Dominican Republic None	22.75	13.7	5.5	164
Primary	23.02	8.6 8.4	7.3 7.9	1,099 728
Secondary/higher Guatemala	23.63	0.4		
None	23.66		4.2 9.4	1,871 2,188
Primary Secondary/higher	24.24 25.18		13.4	477
Peru			6.9	448
None Primary	24.54 24.75	5 1.2	8.7	1,951
Secondary/higher	24.80	1.5	9.9	2,442

Figure 6.1 Percentage of women with BMI < 18.5 by place of residence, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995




Figure 6.2 Percentage of obese women (BMI 30+) by place of residence, Demographic and Health Surveys 1990-1995




Figure 6.3 Percentage of obese women (BMI 30+) in Zimbabwe, Egypt, and Guatemala by socioeconomic status, Demographic and Health Surveys 1992-1995




Table 6.3 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by socioeconomic status

Mean BMI among nonpregnant women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for BMI, by socioeconomic status, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and		BMI		Number of	Country and socioeconomic		BMI		Number of
socioeconomic status	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases	status	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases
Sub-Saharan Africa			-		Near East/North Afric	a			
Burkina Faso					Egypt	05.70	0.1	17.0	2,532
Very low	20.81	15.3	0.7	2,466	Very low	25.79	2.1		1 206
Low	22.31	10.1	2.4	390	Low	27.77	1.1	29.8	1,286
Medium/high	24.31	5.7	10.8	156	Medium/high	29.66	0.6	41.2	698
Ghana					Morocco				
Very low	21.30	13.3	2.0	1,310	Very low	22.81	4.7	4.0	1,302
Low	23.04	8.6	8.1	209	Low	24.36	4.7	13.6	472
Medium/high	25.34	4.9	15.9	82	Medium/high	25.87	2.1	19.6	886
Kenya					Turkey	20.0.			
Very low	21,72	11.2	2.0	2,662	Very low	25.04	2.9	15.6	451
Low	23,28	2.5	6.1	295		26.06	1.9	20.1	689
Medium/high	24.79	0.4	5.4	97	Low		2.9	19.1	1.128
Malawi	24.17	0.4	5.1	,	Medium/high	25.97	2.9	19.1	1.120
	21.44	9.8	0.7	1.896					
Very low	22.33	8.7	4.4	182	Latin America/Caribl	oean			
Low	25.42	3.2	15.3	30	Bolivia				
Medium/high	23.42	3.2	15,5	30	Very low	24.16	2.2	7.3	1,541
Namibia	01 52	17.2	3.4	1,413	Low	24.70	2.8	11.5	415
Very low	21.53	11.1	12.0	258	Medium/high	24.01	3.6	5.7	185
Low	23.33		16.6	416	Colombia				
Medium/high	25.13	6.2	10.0	410	Very low	23.93	5.1	7.5	899
Niger	00.55	20.2	0.7	2,560		24.45	3.9	9.9	798
Very low	20.55	20.2		2,300	Low	24.79	3.1	9.8	1,432
Low	22.80	12.5	7.1		Medium/high	24.19	3.1	9.0	1,432
Medium/high	25.08	8.2	17.4	172	Dominican Republic	10	10.6	4.1	885
Senegal		4 - 0		1.010	Very low	22.40	10.6	4.1	
Very low	21.33	16.8	2.4	1,918	Low	23.30	8.7	7.4	566
Low	22.89	10.7	6.5	507	Medium/high	24.09	7.6	11.0	542
Medium/high	23.93	10.3	10.3	242	Guatemala				
Tanzania					Very low	23.52	4.2	4.5	3,532
Very low	21.52	10.2	1.3	3,772	Low	25.68	3.3	17.5	730
Low/medium/high	23.44	6.5	8.0	347	Medium/high	26.07	2.6	16.2	274
Zambia						20.07	2.0		
Very low	21.23	12.9	1.0	2,074	Peru	24.31	1.3	6.2	3,035
Low	22.60	8.5	4.2	601	Very low			15.9	627
Medium/high	23.61	7.5	8.5	295	Low	25.45	1.9		
Zimbabwe					Medium/high	25.33	1.1	11.9	1,179
Very low	22.62	6.0	3.9	1,469					
Low	24.20	2.6	8.8	210					
Medium/high	26.50	1.5	24.2	109					
Medininiikii	20.50	1.5	27.2						

Table 6.4 Mean BMI among women with children under 5 years by ethnic origin/religion/language

Mean BMI among nonpregnant, nonlactating women with children born in the 5-year period preceding the survey and percentage below and above the cutoff points for BMI, by ethnic origin/religion/language, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

Country and		BMI		Number
ethnic origin/				_ of
religion/language	Mean	< 18.5	30+	cases
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Ghana(1)				
Asa/Fan/OAka/				
Ewe/Mole	21.55	13.4	2.9	1,205
Other	22.37	8.6	5.6	374
Kenya(2)				
Kal/Kam/Kik/Mer/Mij.	21.92	11.8	2.5	1,746
Other	22,12	7.1	2.6	1,308
Namibia(3)				•
Eng/Afrik/Germ.	24.38	7.1	13.7	170
Ashivambo	21.98	14.4	4.0	910
Other	22.85	14.8	10.1	1,006
Niger(4)				
Ĥao/Kan/Peu/				
Tou/OthNig.	20.46	20.8	1.0	2,115
Other	21.55	16.2	2.4	853
Senegal(5)				
Pou/Man/Bau/OthSen.		21.8	3.6	894
Other	22.18	11.7	4.0	1,772
Tanzania				
Muslim	21.78	10.6	2.2	1,930
Other	21.60	9.1	1.8	2,168
Zambia(6)	01.50	10.0	۰.	1.540
Bem/NW/Bar/Mam.	21.72	12.8	2.5	1,748
Other	21.87	9.6	2.5	1,218
Zimbabwe	22.50	47	70	052
Christian Other	23.58 22.60	4.7 5.8	7.8 4.1	853 935
Other	22.00	2.0	4.1	933
Near East/North Africa				
Egypt	26.04		0.4.0	4.0.0
Muslim	26.94	1.5	24.2	4,267
Non-Muslim	25.96	2.8	19.8	249
Turkey	26.02	2.4	10.5	1.040
Turkish Non-Turkish	26.03 24.71	2.4	19.5 14.6	1,949 319
Non-Turkish	24.71	2.0	14.0	319
Latin America/Caribbea	n			
Bolivia				
Spanish	25.18	2.6	10.4	1,539
Indigenous languages	25.34	2.1	3.0	600
Guatemala				
Spanish	24.47	4.5	10.5	2,362
Indigenous languages	23.73	2.9	4.5	2,155
Peru			10.0	4.000
Spanish	24.89	1.4	10.3	4,229
Indigenous languages	23.86	0.9	1.7	603

⁽¹⁾ ASA/FAN/AKA/EWE/MOLE = Asante, Fanti, Other Akan,

Compared with education, socioeconomic status appears to be a better predictor of both chronic energy deficiency and obesity; although, logically, there should be a strong association between the two indicators because socioeconomic status is commonly obtained through education. This is discussed further in the last section of this report, which includes a multivariate analysis of the variables associated with maternal nutritional status.

6.4 ETHNIC ORIGIN/RELIGION/LANGUAGE

The last variable examined—ethnic origin, religion, and language—relates to the sociocultural characteristics of the population in the countries analyzed, and was obtained from responses to questions on ethnic group, religion, and language spoken at home. Since not all three questions were asked in the countries analyzed, the assumption is that any one of the three is a suitable indicator for measuring differentials in maternal nutritional status. Information is available for 13 of the 18 DHS countries analyzed. None of the questions was asked in Burkina Faso or Malawi, and of the remaining eight sub-Saharan countries, ethnic origin was asked in only six. For Tanzania, Zimbabwe, Egypt, and Turkey the analysis was based on the religion question, while in Bolivia, Guatemala, and Peru the question used was language spoken at home.

Table 6.4 presents the mean BMI and percentage of mothers with chronic energy deficiency and obesity for each category. In Latin America, indigenous mothers (those who speak an indigenous language) have a slightly lower prevalence of chronic energy deficiency and a substantially lower prevalence of obesity than mothers whose main language is Spanish. The percentage of Spanish-speaking mothers who are obese is 10 percent in all three countries, compared with 2-5 percent among mothers who speak indigenous languages. Obesity is also higher among Muslim than non-Muslim mothers in Egypt and higher among Turkish than non-Turkish mothers in Turkey. In the sub-Saharan countries, the percentage of mothers with chronic energy deficiency varies widely among ethnic groups, particularly in Ghana, Kenya, Namibia, and Senegal. In Namibia, the incidence of obesity among women of English/Afrikaans/German origin is particularly high (14 percent).

Ewe, and Mole-Dagbani.
(2) KAL/KAM/KIK/MER/MIJ = Kalenjin, Kamba, Kikuyu, Meru/Embu, Mijikenda/Kiswahili

⁽³⁾ Eng/Afrik/Germ = English, Afrikaans, and German

⁽⁴⁾ HAO/KAN/PEU/TOU/OTHNIG = Haoussa, Kanouri, Peulh, Touareg bella, Toubou, Other Niger

⁽⁵⁾ POU/MAN/BAU/OTHSEN = Poular, Mandingue, Baub, Other Senegal

⁽⁶⁾ BEM/NW/BAR/MAM = Bemba, Northwestern, Baroste, Mambwe.

7 Relative Risks of Malnutrition

This report has presented a descriptive analysis of maternal malnutrition and its differentials by biodemographic and socioeconomic variables. Since many of these covariants are interrelated, a multivariate analysis of the determinants of maternal malnutrition was undertaken to determine the net effect on low and high BMI. Tables 7.1 and 7.2 include the odds ratios for logistic regression of determinants of chronic energy deficiency (BMI < 18.5) and obesity (BMI 30+), respectively, for each DHS country. The variables used in the descriptive analysis were placed in the logistic regression model as dichotomous variables with results significant at p < 0.05 and p < 0.1. Birth weight and child survival were not included in the model because both events occurred before assessment of the mother's chronic energy deficiency. Although these two variables can influence levels of chronic energy deficiency in mothers, they do not explain the hypothesis of intergenerational links in which mothers with chronic energy deficiency tend to have small children. Likewise, the nutritional status of the child is also excluded from the models as a covariant of the mother's chronic energy deficiency. The relationship between chronic energy deficiency in the mother and in the child appears to be one in which the mother's nutritional status can explain the nutritional status of the child but not the other way around, as hypothesized in the intergenerational links framework.

The main objective of the multivariate analysis is to examine the relationship of each variable with maternal malnutrition (chronic energy deficiency and obesity) when the effects of other variables are held constant, and then to compare the results across DHS countries and regions. Secondary objectives are to see how well the models fit the data for each country and how much variation in nutritional status indicators can be explained by the variables included in each model.

7.1 CHRONIC ENERGY DEFICIENCY

The multivariate analysis confirms most of the findings presented in the descriptive analysis (see Table 7.1), particularly in the direction of the relationship between chronic energy deficiency and its covariants. However, not all variables are significantly associated with chronic energy deficiency across DHS countries. In particular, none of the variables included has significant effects in Bolivia. The most relevant finding is the strong difference in the net risk of chronic energy deficiency by breastfeeding status and socioeconomic status.

Of the socioeconomic variables—urban-rural residence, education, and socioeconomic status—almost every country had at least one variable significantly explaining the variations in chronic energy deficiency, thus confirming the results of the

descriptive analysis. The net odds ratios of chronic energy deficiency for mothers with "very low" or "low" socioeconomic status are substantially higher than those observed for mothers with "medium/high" status. This finding not only confirms the presence of socioeconomic factors determining chronic energy deficiency but also indicates that it is among the poorer groups that chronic energy deficiency is most prevalent. In Egypt and Guatemala, none of the socioeconomic variables made the model; however, in both cases the ethnic origin/religion/language classification proved to be significant with a lower net odds ratio for "non-indigenous" and "non-Muslim" mothers. The breastfeeding status of the mother at the time of the survey shows mixed results. In Kenya, Namibia, Zimbabwe, Egypt, Morocco, and Guatemala, chronic energy deficiency net risk is greater for lactating mothers, while in Malawi, Niger, Turkey, and Peru the opposite is observed.

Urban-rural residence is an important determinant of chronic energy deficiency in Burkina Faso, Kenya, Niger, Senegal, Tanzania, Zambia, Turkey, and Peru. In the remaining countries, urban-rural residence does not explain the level of chronic energy deficiency. Mother's education is significant in only four sub-Saharan countries. In Kenya, Malawi, and Namibia mothers with no education have risks of chronic energy deficiency at least 40 percent higher than the reference group (mothers with primary education), while in Zimbabwe the relationship is significant only for the more educated mothers.

Mothers' age is also an important covariant of chronic energy deficiency, appearing significantly in 7 of the 18 DHS countries: Burkina Faso, Malawi, Namibia, Senegal, Zambia, Colombia, and Peru. Although the net odds ratios follow the direction of the descriptive results, they are only significant at younger or older age groups. In Malawi, for example, mothers age 15-19 have almost twice the risk (1.85) of chronic energy deficiency observed for mothers age 25-29 (1.00), compared with Zambia where the risk of chronic energy deficiency is around 0.90 times that observed for the reference group (mothers 25-29 years). Something similar happens at the other end of the age distribution. Namibia shows higher risk for mothers age 35-49, while Senegal and Colombia show half the risk or less of the reference group (mothers 25-29 years). Mother's age has a more important influence on the prevalence of obesity, as will be seen in the next section. It is also possible that the age effect on chronic energy deficiency is affected by the parity of the mother. With the exception of Senegal, parity 1 mothers have greater risk of chronic energy deficiency than mothers who are parity 2-3. At the same time, the net odds risk for higher parity mothers (4+) is much less than observed for the reference group (2-3 children).

Table 7.1 Net relative risk of chronic energy deficiency among nonpregnant mothers age 15-49

Net relative risk of chronic energy deficiency (BMI <18.5) among nonpregnant mothers age 15-49 by background characteristics, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

				Sub	Sub-Saharan Africa	1 Africa					Near East/North Africa	(North A	Vfrica	Latin America/Caribbean	Caribbea	9
Characteristic	Burkina Faso	Ghana	Kenya	Malawi Namibia	Vamibia	Niger	Senegal	Tan- zania	Zambia	Zim- babwe	Egypt Morocco Turkey	orocco 7		Co- Dominican Guate- Iombia Republic mala	Guate- mala	Peru
Mother's age 15-19 20-24 30-34 35-49	1.172 1.058 1.000 1.226 1.425*	<u> </u>		1.854* 1. 0.948 1. 1.000 1. 0.664 1. 0.929 1.	1.106 1.173 1.000 1.107 1.685**		1.719** 0.992 1.000 0.883 0.709*		0.902** 1.022 1.000 1.163 1.504*					1.676 0.738 1.000 0.467**		2.375* 1.382 1.000 0.896 1.480
Residence Urban Rural	1.000		1.000			1.000 1.554**	1.000	1.000 1.000 1.509** 1.288*	1.288*				1.000			1.836**
Mother's education No education Primary Secondary +			1.647** 1.000 1.007	1.714** 1 1.000 1 0.809 0	1.386* 1.000 0.721					0.715 1.000 0.515**						
Socioeconomic status Very low Low Medium +	2.127* 1.736 1.000	2.895* 1.794 1.000	2.804** 1.000		1.980** 1.000 1.486	2.394** 1.000 1.565			1.679* 1.164 1.000		44H	2.631** 2.566** 1.000		2.387** 1.581* 1.279 1.339 1.000 1.000		1.783 2.603** 1.000
Risk of previous birth interval Low High	ı interval	1.000														
Parity 1 2-3 4+	1.058 1.000 0.740*	2.119** 1.000 1.035					0.515** 1.000 0.685**	1.372* 1.000 1.334*	1.228 1.000 0.634**							1.068 1.000 0.448
Currently breastfeeding Yes No	ing		1.365**	0.715** 1.374** 1.000 1.000	1.374**	0.830* 1.000				2.492** 1.000	1.630** 1.000	1.426*	0.433**		1.376* 1.000	0.574**
Ethnic/religious/linguistic group Yes No	istic grouj	P 1.642**	1.820***	ma.		1.564** ^b 1.000	1.564** ^b 1.976** ^c 1.000 1.000	1.195* ^d 1.000			2.242** ^e 1.000				1.808 ** ^f 1.000	_

Note: Significant at: * p < 0.1; ** p < 0.05. In Kenya the socioeconomic status classification is for low and other.

* Kalenjin, Kamba, Kikuyu, Meru/Embu, Mijikenda/Kiswahili
b Haoussa, Kanouri, Peulh, Touareg bella, Toubou, Other Niger
c Poular, Mandingue, Baub, Other Senegal
d Bemba, Northwestern, Baroste, Mambwe.
c Muslim
f Ladino

The relative risk of chronic energy deficiency by previous birth interval is significant only in Ghana. Mothers with a first child or a child with a preceding birth interval of less than 24 months (high risk) have chronic energy deficiency risks 1.4 times those observed for mothers with a birth interval of 24 months or more (low risk). Although the results confirm the findings of the descriptive analysis, the lack of significance of this variable among sub-Saharan countries is surprising. It may be that the influence of length of previous birth interval disappears when parity is taken into the model. In Ghana and Tanzania, parity 1 mothers have higher risk of chronic energy deficiency (2.1 and 1.4 times, respectively) than other mothers. In Burkina Faso, Senegal, Zambia, and Peru, mothers in the highest parity group (4+) have lower risk of chronic energy deficiency (0.7 or less) than observed for parity 2-3 mothers (1.0). The exception is Tanzania, where parity 4+ mothers have greater risk of chronic energy deficiency (1.3).

7.2 OBESITY

The multivariate analysis results for obesity (Table 7.2) are different from those described for chronic energy deficiency. Mother's age, urban-rural residence, socioeconomic status, and parity are the main significant variables associated with obesity. The direction of the relationship of each variable with obesity remains as in the descriptive analysis. The relative risk of obesity is considerably lower for rural mothers than for urban mothers, suggesting that obesity is mainly urban phenomenon. In Egypt, where a high proportion of obesity is observed, rural mothers have about half the risk of their urban counterparts. The risk of obesity for urban mothers in Morocco and Turkey is two-thirds and three-fourths the risk for rural women, respectively.

Mother's age is significantly associated with obesity in all DHS countries except Bolivia: the older the mother, the greater the relative risk of obesity. In Turkey, for example, mothers age 15-19 have only 0.2 times the risk of obesity observed for mothers 25-29, compared with 2.2 times for those age 35-49 years. The same relationship is observed by socioeconomic status: the higher the socioeconomic status, the higher the net risk of obesity. (In Bolivia the opposite is observed.) These results are qualified by the findings on mother's education, in which less educated mothers have lower net risk of obesity. Turkey departs from this trend: the net relative risk of obesity in Turkey is lower among mothers with secondary or higher education (0.4) than those with primary education.

The inclusion of ethnic origin/religion/language in the models supports the findings by education and socioeconomic status in Ghana, Namibia, Niger, Egypt, Turkey, Bolivia, and Peru. In Bolivia, for example, indigenous mothers have a lower net risk of obesity (0.4) independent of the net relative risk observed by education or socioeconomic status. Combining the net relative risk for ethnic origin/religion/language and socioeconomic status obtains net relative risks of obesity that are always lower for indigenous mothers.

Socio-	Eun	icity
economic Status	Indigenous	Nonindigenous
Low Medium/High	1.915 x 0.404 = 0.774 1.000 x 0.404 = 0.404	1.915 x 1.000 = 1.915 1.000 x 1.000 = 1.000

Ethnicity

The biodemographic covariants of obesity appear to have greater importance in the Near East/North African and Latin American countries. Parity, breastfeeding status, and previous birth interval tend to enter the model of obesity risks in these countries more often than in sub-Saharan Africa. As expected, parity of the mother is positively associated with the net risk of obesity. In Peru, for example, first-child mothers and those with the highest parity (4+) have 0.7 and 1.9 times the net relative risk of obesity observed for mothers with 2-3 children (1.0). Lactating mothers are less likely to be obese in Namibia, Egypt, Morocco, Turkey, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, and Peru.

Table 7.2 Net relative risk of obesity among nonpregnant mothers age 15.49

Net relative risk of obesity (BMI 30+) among nonpregnant mothers age 15-49 by background characteristics, Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-1995

				8	Sub-Saharan Africa	n Africa					Near Ea	Near East/North Africa	Vfrica		Latin An	Latin America/Caribbean	ibbean	
Characteristic	Burkina Faso	Ghana	Kenya	Malawi	Kenya Malawi Namibia	Niger	Senegal	Tan- zania	Zambia	Zim- babwe	Egypt 1	Egypt Morocco Turkey	Turkey	Bolivia	Co- Dominicar lombia Republic	Dominican Republic	Guate- mala	Peru
Mother's age 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-49	1.993* 0.545 1.000 2.220* 2.589*	0.803 1.000 1.521 2.416**	1.040 1 0.409* 1.000 1.751 1 2.902**	13.438* 5.348 1.000 19.376** 9.739**	0.083** 0.332** 1.000 1.532 2.087**	a 0.451* 1.000 1.110 1.862*	a 1.278 1.000 1.844* 3.211**	0.393* 1.000 2.467* 6.092**	0.581 0.923 1.000 2.338* 3.569**		1.184 0.455** 1.216 0.349**0.450** 0.510** 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.592 1.200* 0.952 1.392 1.527** 1.473**	0.455** 1.216 0.450** 0.510** 0.000 1.000 0.200* 0.952 0.527** 1.473**	0.239** 0.667* 1.000 1.230 2.225**		0.344** 0.677* 1.000 1.711**	0.393* 0.480** 1.000 0.864	0.236** 0.615** 1.000 1.014	0.294** 0.600** 1.000 1.091 1.639**
Residence Urban Rural		1.000	1.000 0.385**	1.000 1.000 1.000 0.437** 0.385** 0.356**	1.000	1.000	1.000 1.000 0.086** 0.424**	1.000	1.000	1.000 0.308*	1.000 1.000 1.000 0.308* 0.481** 0.688**		1.000	1.000			1.000 0.584**	1.000
Mother's education No education Primary Secondary +	0.330** 1.000 1.670	0.471* 1.000 0.972		0.245** 1.000 4.402**							0.550** 1.000 0.830**		0.900 1.000 0.385**	0.265** 1.000 0.861		0.468* 1.000 0.759	0.585** 1.000 0.753	
Socioeconomic status Very low Low Medium +	0.235** 0.397* 1.000	0.248** 0.649 1.000			0.326** 0.979 1.000	0.201** 0.481** 1.000	0.353** 0.622 1.000	0.225** 1.000	0.242** 0.484** 1.000		0.388**0.483** 0.238** 0.558* 0.798* 0.735* 1.000 1.000 1.000	0.238** 0.735* 1.000	0.696** 0.966 1.000	1.497 1.915* 1.000	0.573** 0.977 1.000	0.445** 0.637** 1.000	0.358** 0.915 1.000	0.518** 1.156 1.000
Risk of previous interval Low High	ral						0.636**	0.589**			1.309** 1.000				1.373*	1.446 * 1.000	1.326**	
Parity 1 2-3 4+	0.092** 1.000 0.933							0.508 1.000 0.439**	0.530 1.000 2.460*		0.712** 1.000 1.307**	0.722 1.000 1.528**	0.679** 1.000 1.207	0.511** 1.000 1.568*	0.611** 1.000 1.345*	0.618* 1.000 1.234	0.480** 1.000 1.154	0.663** 1.000 1.911**
Currently breastfeeding Yes No	80				0.622** 1.000						0.562** 0.540** 1.000 1.000	0.540**	0.769*			0.455** 1.000	0.556** 0.769**	0.769**
Ethnic/religious/linguistic group Yes No	istic group	0.542** 1.000			0.455 ^b 1.000	0.501**° 1.000					0.650** ^d 1.000		0.663**¢ 1.000	0.663*** 0.404** ^f 1.000 1.000				0.234** ^f 1.000

Significant at: * p<0.10; ** p<0.05

* zero cases category

b English, Afrikaans, German

c Haoussa, Kanouri, Peulh, Touareg bella, Toubou, Other Niger

Muslim

c Non-Turkish
f Quechua, Aymara, Other indigenous language

8 Summary and Conclusions

Maternal nutritional status is a reflection not only of women's health but also of the level of the society's level of development. Chronic energy deficiency results from exposure to a number of risk factors including infectious illnesses, food scarcity, inadequate food intake, high parity, short birth intervals, and lack of access to appropriate reproductive health services and education. In developing countries, maternal chronic energy deficiency is both a biological and a socioeconomic problem. On the one hand, low birth weight children, especially children of mothers with chronic energy deficiency, tend to grow into smallsized adults who enter reproductive life at an early age, have small birth intervals, and ultimately achieve high parities; the result is a new generation of low birth weight, children with chronic energy deficiency. On the other hand, developing countries may lack the necessary resources, programs, and infrastructure to deal with problems of chronic energy deficiency. Social programs relating to health, education, and housing may not be a first priority in countries facing problems such as internal warfare and debt. As a result, maternal chronic energy deficiency is little recognized and insufficiently documented in developing countries.

Nutritional status, based on maternal height and weight, is an important outcome measure of the DHS program. Typically, maternal height is a good indicator of socioeconomic status and is useful for identifying women at nutritional risk; additionally, maternal weight is closely associated with birth weight and infant mortality. Since nationally representative samples are used, DHS data permit comparison of maternal nutritional status within and across countries. This report presents descriptive information on maternal anthropometry related to the nutritional status of women with children born in the five years before the survey. It also analyzes the differentials in levels of maternal nutritional status by selected demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related characteristics. Two indicators of malnutrition obtained from the BMI distribution are used in this report: chronic energy deficiency (the percentage of women with BMI < 18.5), and obesity (the percentage of women with BMI 30+). The relative risk of malnutrition is also examined using multivariate analysis to determine the effects of a particular variable when other variables are held constant.

The level of maternal malnutrition (chronic energy deficiency and obesity) varies between countries and between regions, however, three general patterns have been identified:

The sub-Saharan pattern shows high percentages of mothers with chronic energy deficiency and low percentages of mothers who are obese. Women tend to be tall and thin, with 10 percent or more of the mothers classified as having chronic energy deficiency. In Niger, one-fifth of the women with children born during the five years preceding the survey had chronic energy deficiency. These women would be at high risk for unfavorable pregnancy outcome if they were to become pregnant immediately.

- The Near East/North African pattern has low percentages of mothers with chronic energy deficiency and high percentages of mothers who are obese. In Egypt, Morocco, and Turkey, more than one-third of the women are overweight; in Egypt and Turkey, 18 percent or more are classified as obese (BMI 30+).
- The Latin American pattern has relatively low percentages of mothers with chronic energy deficiency and moderate percentages of mothers who are obese. In Bolivia, Guatemala, and Peru, the low prevalence of chronic energy deficiency occurs together with a high proportion of stunting.

The nutritional status of mothers varies by age due to weight gain during the reproductive years. Younger mothers have greater prevalence of chronic energy deficiency, particularly in Namibia, Niger, and Senegal. By age 30, one-tenth of the mothers in Egypt and Turkey are obese, compared with 3 percent or less in the other countries. As with age, BMI increases with mother's parity, except for countries where parity 6 or more is associated with lower BMI and, therefore, higher percentages of chronic energy deficiency. Part of the increase observed in the mean BMIs by parity may be associated with obesity. Generally, obesity increases with parity, but for some countries it decreases after parity 4-5. These results indicate the existence of maternal depletion over the long term, which tends to occur in countries with high levels of fertility. Almost all countries show a consistent pattern linking malnutrition with birth intervals: high percentages of chronic energy deficiency among mothers of first births or mothers with birth intervals of less than 24 months, and high percentages of obesity among mothers with birth intervals of 24 months or more.

The postpartum period, during which breastfeeding can affect the weight of the mother, has an impact on the level of chronic energy deficiency during the first year and a half following the birth of a child. Some variations are observed across countries, and are probably related to the length of the lactation period. In sub-Saharan Africa, where the average duration of breastfeeding is around 20 months, the percentage of mothers with chronic energy deficiency increases with the duration of the postpartum period, and stabilizes around 10 percent after three years (22 percent in Niger). Women tend to lose weight over the course of lactation, thus affecting their BMI. Although the mean BMI is lower for lactating mothers, in 7 of the 18 countries analyzed, the prevalence of chronic energy deficiency is higher among "nonlactating" women. As expected, obesity is more prevalent among nonlactating women.

BMIs are lower among mothers of low birth weight children. The prevalence of chronic energy deficiency by birth weight of the last child is highest in sub-Saharan Africa. In Burkina Faso, Malawi, Namibia, and Niger, the prevalence of chronic energy deficiency among mothers of low birth weight

children is substantially higher than among mothers of children with average birth weights. Likewise, mothers of stunted children in all sub-Saharan countries show higher prevalence of chronic energy deficiency, particularly mothers of younger children (< 24 months). In Namibia, 23 percent of the mothers of stunted children have chronic energy deficiency, compared with 13 percent of mothers whose children have normal height-for-age. The descriptive analysis of maternal chronic energy deficiency and child survival produced mixed results with no specific pattern across countries or regions.

There are marked differences in the levels of malnutrition between urban and rural areas. Chronic energy deficiency is more common in rural areas in all DHS countries (except Bolivia and Turkey), while obesity is predominantly an urban manifestation. Chronic energy deficiency is particularly high in rural areas of Burkina Faso, Namibia, Niger, and Senegal, where more than 15 percent of mothers have BMI < 18.5. As expected, chronic energy deficiency decreases as mother's level of education increases, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. In Burkina Faso, Kenya, Namibia, Niger, and Senegal, the prevalence of chronic energy deficiency is more than 15 percent among mothers with no education. The relationship between obesity and education varies: Turkey and Colombia show high proportions of obese mothers among those with no education, while Egypt and Guatemala show high levels among mothers with secondary or higher education.

Compared with education, level of socioeconomic status is a better predictor of both chronic energy deficiency and obesity. The higher the level of socioeconomic status of the mother, the lower the prevalence of malnutrition, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. Ethnic origin, religion, and language also show differentials in maternal. In Latin America, indigenous mothers tend to have higher levels of chronic energy deficiency and lower levels of obesity compared with mothers whose main language is Spanish. Obesity is higher among Muslim Egyptian mothers and Turkish mothers than among non-Muslim Egyptian mothers and non-Turkish mothers.

The multivariate analysis confirms most of the findings presented in the descriptive analysis, particularly in the direction of the relationship between chronic energy deficiency and its covariants. In Kenya, Zimbabwe, Egypt, Morocco, and Guatemala, chronic energy deficiency net risk is greater for lactating than nonlactating women, suggesting reduced effectiveness of lactation and poor infant growth. However, in Malawi, Niger, Peru, and Turkey the opposite is observed (in contrast to the pattern seen in the descriptive analysis). This last finding may be an indication that lactating mothers are at less risk of chronic energy deficiency if the risks observed for other variables are eliminated. At least one of the socioeconomic variables (i.e., place of residence, education, socioeconomic status, ethnic group) entered the model, confirming the importance of this variable in explaining maternal chronic energy deficiency.

Regarding obesity, the following variables have significant effects over and above other variables in the model: age,

place of residence, socioeconomic status, and the parity of the mother. The relative risk of obesity for rural mothers is considerably lower than for urban counterparts, confirming the results presented in the descriptive analysis. In Egypt, Morocco, and Turkey, the risk of obesity for rural mothers is half, two-thirds, and three-fourths the risk for their urban counterparts, respectively. In general, the higher the level of socioeconomic status or education, the higher the relative risk of obesity. These findings are substantiated in Ghana, Namibia, Niger, Egypt, Turkey, Bolivia, and Peru, with the inclusion of ethnic origin/religion/language.

The risk of obesity tends to be determined primarily by socioeconomic variables and seems to be a greater problem for Near East and North African countries. The relative risk of chronic energy deficiency is also determined largely by socioeconomic variables, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. However, fertility variables and child health variables appear to operate as intermediate variables regarding maternal malnutrition.

Improvements in women's nutritional status will only be seen when mothers and their children are no longer exposed to the risk factors leading to malnutrition. Actions that would reduce these risk factors are emphasized in the Program of Action of the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development and include the following:

- Maximize the cost-effectiveness of health programs to reduce morbidity and mortality, and ensure access to basic health care services for all people. Special attention should be given to the living conditions of the poor and disadvantaged in urban and rural areas.
- Expand the provision of maternal health services in the context of primary health care including: education on safe motherhood, prenatal care, maternal nutrition programs, adequate delivery assistance, referral services for pregnancy, childbirth and abortion complications, postnatal care, and family planning.
- Design and implement programs addressing the nutritional needs of women of child-bearing age, especially those who are pregnant or breastfeeding. These programs should also give particular attention to the prevention and management of nutritional anemia and iodine-deficiency disorders.
- Improve the nutrition and health status of young women through education and training as part of maternal health and safe motherhood programs. Adolescents should receive information, education, and counseling to delay family formation, premature sexual activity, and first pregnancy.
- Ensure food security for families with children and prevent micronutrient deficiencies.

References

Adair, L. 1991. Weight-for-height and body mass index in nonpregnant women. In *Maternal nutrition and pregnancy outcomes: Anthropometric assessment*, ed. K. Krasovec and M.A. Anderson. Washington, D.C.: Pan American Health Organization.

James, W.P.T., A. Ferro-Luzzi, and J.C. Waterlow. 1988. Definition of chronic energy deficiency in adults. Report of a working party of the International Dietary Energy Consultative Group. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 42(12):969-981.

Kardjati, S., J.A. Kusin, and C. De With. 1988. Energy supplementation in the last trimester of pregnancy in East Java: I. Effect of birth weight. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 95(8):783-794.

Kramer, M. 1987. Determinants of low birth weight: Methodological assessment and meta-analysis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 65(5):663-737.

Krasovec, K. and M. A. Anderson. 1991. Maternal nutrition and pregnancy outcomes: Anthropometric assessment. Scientific Publication No. 529. Washington, D.C.: Pan American Health Organization.

Martorell, R. and J.P. Habicht. 1986. Growth in early childhood in developing countries. In *Human growth: A comprehensive treatise*, Vol. 3, *Methodology and ecological, genetic, and nutritional effects on growth*, ed. F. Falkner and J.M. Tanner. New York: Plenum Press. 241-262.

Naeye, R. 1979. Weight gain and the outcome of pregnancy. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 135(1):3-9.

Nestel, P.S. and S.O. Rutstein. 1997. Defining nutritional status of mothers in developing countries. Calverton, Maryland: Macro International Inc.

Population and Development Review. 1995a. Program of Action of the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development (Chapters I-VIII). *Population and Development Review* 21(1):187-213.

Population and Development Review. 1995b. Program of Action of the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development (Chapters IX-XVI). Population and Development Review 21(2):437-461.

Population and Development Review. 1995c. World Conference on Women: The Beijing Declaration and the Platform for Action on Women and Health. *Population and Development Review* 21(4):907-913.

Sommerfelt, A. Elisabeth and M. Kathryn Stewart. 1994. *Children's nutritional status*. DHS Comparative Studies No. 12. Calverton, Maryland: Macro International Inc.

United Nations. 1986. How to weigh and measure children. New York: United Nations.

United Nations. 1992. Second report on the world nutrition situation, Vol. 1, Global and regional results. New York: United Nations.

United Nations. 1994. Programme of action of the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development. New York: United Nations.

United Nations. 1995. Report of the Fourth World Conference on Women. New York: United Nations.

Appendix

Summary of DHS-I, DHS-II, and DHS-III Surveys, 1985-1997

Region and Country	Date of Fieldwork	Implementing Organization	Respondents	Sample Size	Male/Husband Survey	Supplemental Studies, Modules, and Additional Questions
SUB-SAHAR	AN AFRICA					
DHS-I Botswana	Aug-Dec 1988	Central Statistics Office	AW 15-49	4,368		AIDS, PC, adolescent fertility
Burundi	Apr-Jul 1987	Département de la Population, Ministère de l'Intérieur	AW 15-49	3,970	542 Husbands	CA, SAI, adult mortality
Ghana	Feb-May 1988	Ghana Statistical Service	AW 15-49	4,488	943 Husbands	CA, SM, WE
Kenya	Dec-May 1988/89	National Council for Population and Development	AW 15-49	7,150	1,133 Husbands	
Liberia	Feb-Jul 1986	Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Planning and Economic Affairs	AW 15-49	5,239		TBH, employment status
Mali	Mar-Aug 1987	Institut du Sahel, USED/CERPOD	AW 15-49	3,200	970 Men 20-55	CA, VC, childhood physical handicaps
Ondo State, Nigeria	Sep-Jan 1986/87	Ministry of Health, Ondo State	AW 15-49	4,213		СА, ТВН
Senegal	Apr-Jul 1986	Direction de la Statistique, Ministère de l'Economie et des Finances	AW 15-49	4,415		CA, CD
Sudan	Nov-May 1989/90	Department of Statistics, Ministry of Economic and National Planning	EMW 15-49	5,860		FC, M, MM
Годо	Jun-Nov 1988	Unité de Recherche Démographique, Université du Benin	AW 15-49	3,360		CA, SAI, marriage history
Jganda	Sep-Feb 1988/89	Ministry of Health	AW 15-49	4,730		CA, SAI
Zimbabwe	Sep-Jan 1988/89	Central Statistical Office	AW 15-49	4,201		AIDS, CA, PC, SAI, WE
OHS-II Burkina Faso	Dec-Mar 1992/93	Institut National de la Statistique et de la Démographie	AW 15-49	6,354	1,845 Men 18+	AIDS, CA, MA, SAI
Cameroon	Apr-Sep 1991	Direction Nationale du Deuxiême Recensement Général de la Population et de l'Habitat	AW 15-49	3,871	814 Husbands	CA, CD, SAI
Madagascar	May-Nov 1992	Centre National de Recherches sur l'Environement	AW 15-49	6,260		CA, MM, SAI
1alawi	Sep-Nov 1992	National Statistical Office	AW 15-49	4,850	1,151 Men 20-54	AIDS, CA, MA, MM, SAI
Iamibia	Jul-Nov 1992	Ministry of Health and Social Services, Central Statistical Office	AW 15-49	5,421		CA, CD, MA, MM
liger	Mar-Jun 1992	Direction de la Statistique et des Comtes Nationaux	AW 15-49	6,503	1,570 Husbands	CA, MA, MM, SAI
ligeria	Apr-Oct 1990	Federal Office of Statistics	AW 15-49	8,781		CA, SAI
wanda	Jun-Oct 1992	Office National de la Population	AW 15-49	6,551	598 Husbands	CA
enegal	Nov-Aug 1992/93	Direction de la Prévision et de la Statistique	AW 15-49	6,310	1,436 Men 20+	AIDS, CA, MA, MM, SAI
anzania	Oct-Mar 1991/92	Bureau of Statistics, Planning Commission	AW 15-49	9,238	2,114 Men 15-60	AIDS, CA, MA, SAI
ambia	Jan-May 1992	University of Zambia	AW 15-49	7,060		AIDS, CA, MA

OHS-III Benin	Jun-Aug 1996	Institut National de la Statistique	AW 15-49	5,491	1,535 Men 20-64	AIDS, CA, MA, MM, SAI
Central African Republic	Sep-Mar 1994/95	Direction des Statistiques Démographiques et Sociales	AW 15-49	5,884	1,729 Men 15-59	AIDS, CA, CD, MA, MM, SAI
Comoros	Mar-May 1996	Centre National de Documentation et de la Recherche Scientifique	AW 15-49	3,050	795 Men 15-64	CA, MA
Côte d'Ivoire	Jun-Nov 1994	Institut National de la Statistique	AW 15-49	8,099	2,552 Men 12-49	CA, MA, SAI
Eritrea	Sep-Jan 1995/96	National Statistics Office	AW 15-49	5,054	1,114 Men 15-59	AIDS, CA, MA, MM, SAI
hana	Sep-Dec 1993	Ghana Statistical Service	AW 15-49	4,562	1,302 Men 15-59	CA, MA
Kenya	Feb-Aug 1993	National Council for Population and Development	AW 15-49	7,540	2,336 Men 15-54	AIDS, CA, MA, SAI
⁄lalawi KAP)	Jun-Oct 1996	National Statistical Office	AW 15-49	2,683	2,658 Men 15-54	AIDS
Mali	Nov-Apr 1995/96	CPS/MSSPA et DNSI	AW 15-49	9,704	2,474 Men 15-59	AIDS, CA, MA, MM, SAI
Tanzania KAP)	Jul-Sep 1994	Bureau of Statistics, Planning Commission	AW 15-49	4,225	2,097 Men 15-59	AIDS, PC
Tanzania In-depth)	Jun-Oct 1995	Bureau of Statistics, Planning Commission	AW 15-49	2,130		Adult and childhood mortality estimation
Fanzania	Jul-Nov 1996	Bureau of Statistics, Planning Commission	AW 15-49	8,120	2,256 Men 15-59	AIDS, CA, MA, MM
Uganda	Mar-Aug 1995	Statistics Department, Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning	AW 15-49	7,070	1,996 Men 15-59	AIDS, CA, MA, MM, SAI
Uganda (In-depth)	Oct-Jan 1995/96	Institute of Statistics and Applied Economics, Makerere University	AW 20-44	1,750	1,356 Partners	Negotiating reproductive outcom
Zambia	Jul-Jan 1996/97	Central Statistics Office	AW 15-49	8,021	1,849 Men 15-59	AIDS, CA, MA, MM
Zimbabwe	Jul-Nov 1994	Central Statistical Office	AW 15-49	6,128	2,141 Men 15-54	AIDS, CA, MA, MM, PC, SAI
NEAR EAS	I/NORTH AFRICA					
DHS-I	Oct-Jan 1988/89	National Population Council	EMW 15-49	8,911		CA, CD, MM, PC, SAI, WE, W
Egypt	May-Jul 1987	Ministère de la Santé Publique	EMW 15-49	5,982		CA, CD, S
Morocco Tunisia	Jun-Oct 1988	Office National de la Famille et de la Population	EMW 15-49	4,184		CA, S, SAI
DHS-II		N. d. al D. autoia Conneil	EMW 15-49	9,864	2,466 Husbands	CA, MA, PC, SM
Egypt	Nov-Dec 1992	National Population Council		6,461	a,-100 Huovanus	CA, SAI
Jordan	Oct-Dec 1990	Department of Statistics, Ministry of Health	EMW 15-49	0,401		J. 1, 0.11
Могоссо	Jan-Apr 1992	Ministère de la Santé Publique	AW 15-49	9,256	1,336 Men 20-70	CA, MA, MM, SAI
Yemen	Nov-Jan 1991/92	Central Statistical Organization	EMW 15-49	5,687		CA, CD, SAI
DHS-III Egypt	Nov-Jan 1995/96	National Population Council	EMW 15-49	14,779		CA, FC, MA, WS
Morocco (Panel)	Apr-May 1995	Ministère de la Santé Publique	AW 15-49	4,753		

ASIA						
DHS-I						
Indonesia	Sep-Dec 1987	Central Bureau of Statistics, National Family Planning Coordinating Board	EMW 15-49	11,884		PC, SM
Nepal (In-depth)	Feb-Apr 1987	New Era	CMW 15-49	1,623		KAP-gap survey
Sri Lanka	Jan-Mar 1987	Department of Census and Statistics, Ministry of Plan Implementation	EMW 15-49	5,865		CA, NFP
Thailand	Mar-Jun 1987	Institute of Population Studies Chulalongkorn University	EMW 15-49	6,775		CA, S, SAI
DHS-II						
	May-Jul 1991	Central Bureau of Statistics, NFPCB/MOH	EMW 15-49	22,909		PC, SM
Pakistan	Dec-May 1990/91	National Institute of Population Studies	EMW 15-49	6,611	1,354 Husbands	CA
DHS-III Bangladesh	Nov-Mar 1993/94	Mitra & Associates/NIPORT	EMW 10-49	9,640	3,284 Husbands	PC, SAI, SM
Bangladesh	Nov-Mar 1996/97	Mitra & Associates/NIPORT	EMW 10-49	9,127	3,346 EMM	CA, MA, SM
Indonesia	Jul-Nov 1994	Central Bureau of Statistics/ NFPCB/MOH	EMW 15-49	28,168	,	MM, PC, SAI, SM
Kazakstan	May-Aug 1995	Institute of Nutrition, National Academy of Sciences	AW 15-49	3,771		CA, MA
Nepal	Jan-Jun 1996	Ministry of Health/New ERA	EMW 15-49	8,429		CA, MA, MM
Philippines	Apr-Jun 1993	National Statistics Office	AW 15-49	15,029		MM, SAI
Turkey	Aug-Oct 1993	General Directorate of MCH/FP Ministry of Health	EMW <50	6,519		CA, MA
Uzbekistan	Jun-Oct 1996	Research Institute of Obstetrics and Gynecology	AW 15-49	4,415		CA, MA
LATIN AMER	RICA/CARIBBEAN			***		
DHS-I Bolivia	Feb-Jul 1989	Instituto Nacional de Estadística	AW 15-49	7,923		CA, CD, MM, PC, S, WE
Bolivia (In-depth)	Feb-Jul 1989	Instituto Nacional de Estadística	AW 15-49	7,923		Health
Brazil	May-Aug 1986	Sociedade Civil Bem-Estar Familiar no Brasil	AW 15-44	5,892		CA, S, SM, abortion, young adult use of contraception
Colombia	Oct-Dec 1986	Corporación Centro Regional de Población, Ministerio de Salud	AW 15-49	5,329		CA, PC, S, SAI, SM
Dominican Republic	Sep-Dec 1986	Consejo Nacional de Población y Familia	AW 15-49	7,649		CA, NFP, S, SAI, family planning communication
Dominican Republic (Experimental)	Sep-Dec 1986	Consejo Nacional de Población y Familia	AW 15-49	3,885		S, SAI
Ecuador	Jan-Mar 1987	Centro de Estudios de Población y Paternidad Responsable	AW 15-49	4,713		CD, SAI, employment
	May-Jun 1985	Asociación Demográfica Salvadoreña	AW 15-49	5,207		CA, S, TBH
El Salvador		•				·
El Salvador Guatemala	Oct-Dec 1987	Instituto de Nutrición de Centro América y Panamá	AW 15-44	5,160		CA, S, SAI

Peru	Sep-Dec 1986	Familiar, Secretaría de Salud Instituto Nacional de Estadística	AW 15-49	4,999	•	NFP, employment, cost of family planning
Peru (Experimental)	Sep-Dec 1986	Instituto Nacional de Estadística	AW 15-49	2,534	·	
Trinidad and Tobago	May-Aug 1987	Family Planning Association of Trinidad and Tobago	AW 15-49	3,806		CA, NFP, breastfeeding
DHS-II						
Brazil (NE)	Sep-Dec 1991	Sociedade Civil Bem-Estar Familiar no Brasil	AW 15-49	6,222	1,266 Husbands	AIDS, PC
Colombia	May-Aug 1990	PROFAMILIA	AW 15-49	8,644		AIDS
Dominican Republic	Jul-Nov 1991	Instituto de Estudios de Población y Desarrollo (PROFAMILIA), Oficina Nacional de Planificación	AW 15-49	7,320		CA, MA, S, SAI
Paraguay	May-Aug 1990	Centro Paraguayo de Estudios de Población	AW 15-49	5,827		CA, SAI
Peru	Oct-Mar 1991/92	Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática	AW 15-49	15,882		CA, MA, MM, SAI
DHS-III				b		TAR OL OR MA MAR COAT
Bolivia	Nov-May 1993/94	Instituto Nacional de Estadística	AW 15-49	8,603 ^b		AIDS, CA, CD, MA, MM, S, SAI
Brazil	Mar-Jun 1996	Sociedade Civil Bem-Estar Familiar no Brasil	AW 15-49	12,612	2,949 Men 15-59	AIDS, CA, MA, MM, PC, S
Colombia	Mar-Jun 1995	PROFAMILIA	AW 15-49	11,140		AIDS, CA, MA, PC
Dominican Republic	Aug-Dec 1996	CESDEM/PROFAMILIA	AW 15-49	8,422	2,279 Men 15-64	CA, MA
Guatemala	Jun-Dec 1995	Instituto Nacional de Estadística	AW 15-49	12,403		AIDS, CA, MA, MM, S
Haiti	Jul-Jan 1994/95	Institut Haitien de l'Enfance	AW 15-49	5,356	1,610 Men 15-59	AIDS, CA, CD, MA, SAI
Peru	Aug-Nov 1996	Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática	AW 15-49	28,951	2,487 Men 15-59	CA, MA, MM

a No health or birth history section in questionnaire.
b Household questionnaire was administered in 26,144 households.

AIDS acquired immune deficiency sy AW all women CA child anthropometry CD causes of death (verbal reports of CMW currently married women EMW ever-married women	M MA f symptoms) MM NFP	female circumcision migration maternal anthropometry maternal mortality natural family planning pill compliance	SAI SM TBH VC WE	sterilization service availability information social marketing truncated birth history value of children women's employment women's status
--	-------------------------	---	------------------------------	---

Demographic and Health Surveys Comparative Studies Series

- Boerma, J. Ties, A. Elisabeth Sommerfelt, Shea O. Rutstein, and Guillermo Rojas. 1990. *Immunization: Levels, Trends and Differentials*.
- 2 Arnold, Fred and Ann K. Blanc. 1990. Fertility Levels and Trends.
- 3 Westoff, Charles F. 1991. Reproductive Preferences: A Comparative View.
- 4 Boerma, J. Ties, A. Elisabeth Sommerfelt, and Shea O. Rutstein. 1991. Childhood Morbidity and Treatment Patterns.
- 5 Westoff, Charles F. and Luis Hernando Ochoa. 1991. Unmet Need and the Demand for Family Planning.
- 6 Rutenberg, Naomi, Mohamed Ayad, Luis Hernando Ochoa, and Marilyn Wilkinson. 1991. Knowledge and Use of Contraception.
- Wilkinson, Marilyn I., Wamucii Njogu, and Noureddine Abderrahim. 1993. The Availability of Family Planning and Maternal and Child Health Services.
- 8 Govindasamy, Pavalavalli, M. Kathryn Stewart, Shea O. Rutstein, J. Ties Boerma, and A. Elisabeth Sommerfelt. 1993. High-Risk Births and Maternity Care.
- 9 Muhuri, Pradip K. and Shea O. Rutstein. 1994. Socioeconomic, Demographic and Health Indicators for Subnational Areas.
- 10 Westoff, Charles F., Ann K. Blanc, and Laura Nyblade. 1994. Marriage and Entry into Parenthood.
- 11 Ayad, Mohamed, Marilyn Wilkinson, and Melissa McNiff. 1994. Sources of Contraceptive Methods.
- 12 Sommerfelt, A. Elisabeth and M. Kathryn Stewart. 1994. Children's Nutritional Status.
- 13 Muhuri, Pradip K., Ann K. Blanc, and Shea O. Rutstein. 1994. Socioeconomic Differentials in Fertility.
- 14 Ayad, Mohamed, Andrea L. Piani, Bernard Barrère, Koffi Ekouevi, and James Otto. 1994. *Demographic Characteristics of Households*.
- 15 Sullivan, Jeremiah M., Shea Oscar Rutstein, and George T. Bicego. 1994. Infant and Child Mortality.
- 16 Westoff, Charles F. and Akinrinola Bankole. 1995. Unmet Need: 1990-1994.
- 17 Bankole, Akinrinola and Charles F. Westoff. 1995. Childbearing Attitudes and Intentions.
- 18 Ezeh, Alex C., Michka Seroussi and Hendrik Raggers. 1996. Men's Fertility, Contraceptive Use, and Reproductive Preferences.
- 19 Curtis, Siân L. and Katherine Neitzel. 1996. Contraceptive Knowledge, Use, and Sources.
- 20 Bicego, George and Omar B. Ahmad. 1996. Infant and Child Mortality.
- 21 Kishor, Sunita and Katherine Neitzel. 1996. The Status of Women: Indicators for Twenty-Five Countries.
- 22 Sommerfelt, A. Elisabeth and Andrea L. Piani. 1997. Childhood Immunization: 1990-1994.
- 23 Arnold, Fred. 1997. Gender Preferences for Children.
- 24 Loaiza, Edilberto. 1997. Maternal Nutritional Status.
- 25 Stewart, M. Kathryn, Cynthia K. Stanton, and Omar Ahmed. 1997. Maternal Health Care.
- 26 Ayad, Mohamed, Bernard Barrère, and James Otto. 1997. Demographic and Socioeconomic Characteristics of Households.