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## PREFACE

The 1996 Zambia Demographic and Health Survey (ZDHS) is a nationwide sample survey of men and women of reproductive age designed to provide information on background characteristics of respondents, reproduction, contraceptive knowledge and use, and nutrition of children. Also collected was information on marriage, fertility, AIDS and other sexually-transmitted diseases, and maternal, child, and infant mortality rates. Zambia carried out the same type of survey in 1992; however, the 1996 ZDHS was more comprehensive than the 1992 ZDHS, as it covered additional information.

The survey was conducted by the Central Statistical Office on behalf of the Ministry of Health and with substantial financial assistance from the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) through Macro International Inc. of Calverton, Maryland and the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA).

The major objective of the 1996 ZDHS was to provide the country with data useful for policy formulation, and monitoring, implementation, and evaluation of some major government programmes and projects. ZDHS data are also useful to researchers, non-governmental organisations and the public for advocacy in the areas of social progress.

Background work on the 1996 ZDHS commenced in August 1995. The exercise of mapping and listing households followed in March, 1996. Fieldwork began in July of the same year and went on until January 1997. Data entry and verification began in August 1996 and continued until January 1997. This was followed by tabulation production and drafting of the preliminary report, which was published in February 1997.
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My sincere appreciation also goes to the members of the National Health Survey Management Committee and the ZDHS Technical Committee.

Many thanks go to the authors of the various chapters of this report for their effort in its production.
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This report presents results of the 1996 ZDHS. The data will be particularly useful to planners, policy makers and the community at large.
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## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The 1996 Zambia Demographic and Health Survey (ZDHS) is a nationally representative survey conducted by the Central Statistical Office at the request of the Ministry of Health, with the aim of gathering reliable information on fertility, childhood and maternal mortality rates, maternal and child health indicators, contraceptive knowledge and use, and knowledge and prevalence of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) including AIDS. The survey is a follow-up to the Zambia DHS survey carried out in 1992.

## FERTILITY

Fertility Trends. The 1996 ZDHS survey results indicate that the level of fertility in Zambia is continuing to decline. At current fertility levels, a Zambian woman will give birth to an average of 6.1 children during her reproductive period, a decline from the level of 6.5 prevailing during the late 1980s and early 1990 s . Despite the decline, fertility in Zambia remains one of the highest in sub-Saharan Africa. Results from DHS surveys in neighbouring countries show that the fertility level in Botswana is 4.9 births per woman, in Namibia 5.4, in Tanzania 5.8 and in Zimbabwe 4.3 births per woman.

Fertility Differentials. Some women are apparently leading the fertility decline. For example, fertility levels are substantially lower among urban women ( 5.1 children per woman on average) than among rural women ( 6.9 children). Moreover, women who have received some secondary education have the lowest level of fertility, with a total fertility rate of 4.5 , compared to a rate of 6.8 children per woman for those with no education, a difference of more than two children.

Age at First Birth. Childbearing begins early in Zambia, with over one-third of women becoming mothers by the time they reach age 18 and around two-thirds having had a child by the time they reach age 20 . The median age at first birth falls between 18 and 19 years.

Moreover, 31 percent of teenage women (age 15-19) have begun childbearing, with 24 percent having had a child already and 7 percent carrying their first child. Adolescent childbearing seems to be declining somewhat-in 1992, 34 percent of teenagers either had already given birth or were pregnant with their first child.

Birth Intervals. The majority of Zambian children (81 percent) are born after a "safe" birth interval ( 24 or more months apart), with 36 percent bom at least 36 months after a prior birth. Nevertheless, 19 percent of non-first births occur less than 24 months after the preceding birth. The overall median birth interval is 32 months.

Fertility Preferences. Survey data indicate that there is a strong desire for children and a preference for large families in Zambian society. They also indicate that men are considerably more pronatalist than women. Among those with six or more children, 22 percent of married women want to have more children, compared to 44 percent of married men. Both men and women desire large families. More than half ( 56 percent) of all women report five or more children as ideal and another 23 percent want to have four children. Only 7 percent of women report a two-child family as ideal. Overall, women report a mean ideal number of children of 5.3 , compared to 5.9 for men.

Despite the high fertility preferences, the data indicate that there has been a decline in ideal family size among women in Zambia, from an average of 5.8 children in 1992 to 5.3 in 1996. Women's desire for additional children has also declined somewhat over the past 5 years. The proportion of women who want no more children increased from 24 percent in 1992 to 29 percent in 1996.

Unplanned Fertility. Despite the increasing level of contraceptive use, ZDHS data indicate that unplanned pregnancies are still common. Overall, more than one-third of births in the five years prior to the survey were reported to be unplanned- 29 percent were mistimed (wanted later) and 7 percent were unwanted. If unwanted births could be eliminated altogether, the total fertility rate in Zambia would be 5.2 births per woman instead of the actual level of 6.1.

## FAMILY PLANNING

Increasing Use of Contraception. The contraceptive prevalence rate in Zambia has increased significantly over the past five years, rising from 15 percent in 1992 to 26 percent in 1996. Use of modern methods has increased from 9 percent of married women in 1992 to 14 percent in 1996. Use of traditional methods increased from 6 to 12 percent. The pill is the most widely used method ( 7 percent of married women), followed by withdrawal ( 5 percent) and condoms ( 4 percent).

Differentials in Family Planning Use. Differentials in current use of family planning by province are large. Forty-two percent of married women in North-Western Province are currently using a contraceptive method, compared to only 11 percent of those in Luapula Province. However, most users in North-Western Province are using traditional methods; Lusaka and Copperbelt Provinces have the highest levels of use of modern methods. There are large differentials in current use by level of education. Only 17 percent of currently married women with no formal education are currently using a method, compared with 55 percent of those with higher than secondary education.

Source of Contraception. Six in ten users obtain their methods from public sources, while 24 percent use non-governmental medical sources and shops and friends account for the remaining 13 percent. Govermment health centres ( 41 percent) and government hospitals ( 16 percent) are the most common sources of contraceptive methods.

Knowledge of Contraceptive Methods. Knowledge of contraceptive methods is nearly universal, with 96 percent of all women and men knowing at least one method of family planning. Knowledge of at least one contraceptive method has increased since 1992, from 89 to 96 percent of all women. There has also been an increase over the last five years in the proportion of women who know specific family planning methods. For example, the proportion of women who have heard of condoms has increased from 72 percent in 1992 to 92 percent in 1996 and the proportion who have heard of injectables increased from 38 percent to 53 percent during the same period.

Family Planning Messages. One reason for the increase in level of contraceptive awareness is that family planning messages are prevalent. Over 40 percent of the women and over half of the men interviewed reported that they had heard a family planning message in the months prior to the survey, mostly on radio.

Unmet Need for Family Planning. ZDHS data show that there is a considerable unmet need for family planning services in Zambia. Overall, 27 percent of married women are in need of services- 19 percent for spacing their next birth and 8 percent for limiting births. If all women who say they want to space or limit their children were to use methods, the contraceptive use rate would be increased from 26 to 52 percent of married women. Currently, less than half of this "total demand" for family planning is being met.

## MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

Maternal Health Care. ZDHS data show some encouraging results regarding maternal health care, as well as to some areas in which improvements could be made. Results show that most Zambian mothers receive antenatal care, 3 percent from a doctor and 93 percent from a nurse or trained midwife. Similarly, tetanus toxoid coverage is relatively widespread in Zambia; for 85 percent of births in the five years preceding
the survey, the mothers received at least one tetanus toxoid injection during pregnancy. Less encouraging is the fact that more than half of births still occur at home and, consequently, less than half are assisted by trained medical personnel. Proper medical attention during pregnancy and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risk of complications and infections that can cause death or serious illness for either the mother or the newbom. There has been little change in these maternal health indicators since 1992. The survey results indicate that maternal mortality in Zambia is high - 649 maternal deaths per 100,000 births.

High Childhood Mortality. One of the more disturbing findings from the survey is that child survival has not improved over the past few years. Currently, the infant mortality rate is 109 deaths per 1,000 births and under-five mortality is 197 per 1,000 births, a slight increase from the rates of 107 and 191, respectively, that were derived from the 1992 ZDHS. Under-five mortality rates are highest in Luapula and Eastem Provinces, where approximately one in four children does not live to the fifth birthday.

Childhood Vaccination Coverage. Vaccination coverage against the most common childhood illnesses has increased recently. The proportion of children age 12-23 months who are considered to be fully immunised has increased from 67 in 1992 to 78 percent in 1996. Only 2 percent of children 12-23 months have not received any vaccinations.

Childhood Health. ZDHS data indicate that Zambian mothers are reasonably well-informed about childhood illnesses and that a high proportion are treated appropriately. For example, 71 percent of children with symptoms of respiratory illness during the two weeks before the survey were taken to a health facility or health care provider for treatment. Over half of children with diarthoea during the same period received some type of oral rehydration treatment (fluid made from an ORS packet, a homemade solution, or increased fluids). Ninety-four percent of mothers know about the use of sugar-salt-water solutions for treating diarrhoea; yet when asked about specific eating and drinking regimes for sick children, only three-quarters say that a child who is sick with diarrhoea should get more to drink.

Breastfeeding Practices. The ZDHS results indicate that breastfeeding is almost universally practised in Zambia, with a median duration of 20 months. Since breastfeeding has beneficial effects on both the child and the mother, it is encouraging to note that supplementation of breast milk starts relatively late in Zambia. In the first two months, only 11 percent of children have received supplements other than water and breast milk. However, by $4-5$ months, 77 percent of children are given some form of food supplementation. Also encouraging is the fact that there is negligible use of infant formula and bottlefeeding is not commonly practised.

Childhood Nutritional Status. Overall, 42 percent of Zambian children under age five are classified as stunted (low height-for-age) and 18 percent as severely stunted. Four percent of children under five in Zambia are wasted (low weight-for-height). Comparison with the 1992 ZDHS shows little change in these measures over time.

Knowledge and Behaviour Regarding AIDS. Survey results indicate that virtually all respondents had heard of AIDS. Common sources of information were friends/relatives, the radio, and health workers. The vast majority of respondents- 80 percent of women and 94 percent of men-say they have changed their behaviour in order to avoid contracting AIDS, mostly by restricting themselves to one sexual partner. Although almost all respondents say they know about the condom, only one-quarter of women and ahout half of men used condoms at some time during the 12 months prior to the survey. Most respondents say they use condoms both for family planning and for disease prevention. The practice of giving money, gifts or favours in exchange for sex is not uncommon in Zambia- 11 percent of women and 24 percent of men say they have engaged in this in the 12 months before the survey.


## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 History, Geography and Economy

## History

Historical and archaeological evidence indicates that by the year 1500, much of modern Zambia was occupied by farming people who were ancestors of the present inhabitants. In the late nineteenth century, various parts of what was to become Northern Rhodesia were administered by the British South Africa Company. In 1924, the British Colonial Office assumed responsibility for administering the territory, and in 1953, Northern Rhodesia (Zambia) and Southern Rhodesia (Zimbabwe) joined Nyasaland (Malawi) to form the Central African Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland, despite the opposition of Northerm Rhodesia's Africans. The Federation was, however, dissolved in 1963. In October 1964, Zambia gained her political independence and adopted a multiparty system of government. In December 1972, Zambia became a one-party state until 1991 when a multi-party system was re-introduced.

## Geography

Zambia is a land-locked country covering an area of 752,612 square kilometres and consisting of about 2.5 percent of the area of Africa. It shares borders with Zaire and Tanzania in the north; Malawi and Mozambique in the east; Zimbabwe and Botswana in the south; Namibia in the southwest and Angola in the west. Administratively, the country is divided into nine provinces and 67 districts. Four of ten Zambians live in urban areas, most of which are located along the old line of rail.

Zambia lies between 8 and 18 degrees south latitude and between 20 and 35 degrees east longitude. It has a tropical climate and vegetation with three distinct seasons: the cool dry winter from May to August, a hot dry season during September and October and warm wet season from November to April.

Among the main river water sources in Zambia are the Zambezi, Kafue, Luangwa and Luapula. The country also has major lakes such as Tanganyika, Mweru, Bangweulu and the man-made Kariba. The northern part of the country receives the highest precipitation, with an annual average ranging from $1,100 \mathrm{~mm}$ to over $1,400 \mathrm{~mm}$. The southem and eastern parts of the country have less rainfall, ranging from 600 mm to 1,100 mm annually, which often results in droughts.

## Economy

Zambia has a mixed economy consisting of a modern and urban-oriented sector confined to the line of rail, and a rural agricultural sector. For a long time, the modern sector has been dominated by parastatal organisations, while private businesses have predominated in the construction and agricultural sectors. Since 1991, with the introduction of a liberalised market-oriented economy, the parastatals have been privatised and, in some cases, liquidated.

Copper mining is the country's main economic activity, accounting for 95 percent of export eamings and contributing 45 percent of govermment revenue during the decade following the attainment of political independence (i.e., 1965-1975). This situation was sharply changed by the drastic decline in world copper prices in late 1974 and 1975. The prices rose in 1978 but only to drop sharply between 1981 and 1982. The combined effects of the fall in copper prices, a rise in oil prices, the slow pace of industrialisation and a heavy dependence on imports have put the country's economy under serious pressure.

Copper production reached its peak at 713,000 tonnes in 1976 and has been fluctuating ever since; production fell to 607,000 tonnes in 1980 and continued falling to 459,000 tonnes in 1986 before the slight rise in 1987 to 483,100 tonnes. By 1996, copper production fell to between 300-400,000 metric tonnes (MoFED, 1996b). The decline in copper production can be attributed to many factors including increased cost of production as a result of the continued fall in the grade of ore and reduction in investment in advanced technology. The overvalued exchange rate that existed for a long time contributed to the high cost of copper production.

Before the closure of the Kabwe mines in 1994 due to reduced ore levels, the production of zinc and lead had also been showing a downward trend. About 10,600 tonnes of zinc and 3,900 tonnes of lead were produced in 1990 compared with 32,700 tonnes of zinc and 10,000 tonnes of lead produced in 1980 (NCDP, 1984).

Cobalt production also declined from 3,309 tonnes in 1980 to 2,407 tonnes in 1983, after which production levels began to increase to 5,055 tonnes in 1988, marking the highest production level during the $1980-90$ period. The production of coal rose from 579,000 tonnes in 1980 to 604,000 tonnes in 1982, after which it started to decline to reach 330,000 tonnes in 1990 (NCDP,1989).

Agriculture contributes 15 percent of Zambia's gross domestic product (GDP), and employs 75 percent of the labour force. The agricultural sector is expected to provide both food-stuffs and industrial raw materials to rural and urban consumers and producers. Ninety percent of the farming population consists of small-scale farmers, while less than 10 percent are medium-scale farmers, and less than 3 percent are part of the large-scale sector. Maize is the country's staple as well as a major cash crop, accounting for 75 percent of the land cropped and 85 percent of the crop output. Other crops include cotton, groundnuts, sunflower, millet, tobacco, cassava, and vegetables. In 1995, the government launched the Agricultural Sector Investment Programme (ASIP) aimed at boosting agricultural production and streamlining the marketing of agricultural inputs and produce.

About 42 million hectares are potentially suitable for agriculture. However, only 2.5 million hectares (6 percent) of this land are cropped annually (MoFED, 1996a). Of all the cultivated land, only 50,000 hectares ( 2 percent) are irrigated. This implies heavy dependency on rainfall to sustain agricultural production. With erratic rainfall in the major agricultural production areas of Southem and Eastem Provinces, an appropriate irigation policy needs to be put in place. More than 10 million hectares of land are also used for the raising of 2.2 million cattle, 500,000 goats, 70,000 sheep, 300,000 pigs and 20 million poultry (CSO, 1994).

Under the structural adjustment programme being implemented in Zambia, the average annual inflation rate was reduced from 191 percent (December 1991-November 1992) to 53 percent and 45 percent in 1994 and 1995, respectively. Amidst the declining economic situation, the total long-term debt rose from $\$ 60$ million in 1971 to $\$ 7.3$ billion in 1991, representing an increase in per capita indebtedness from $\$ 160$ to $\$ 900$. By the end of October 1993, Zambia's debt burden was $\$ 6.8$ billion. In the same year, Zambia's extemal debt service payments totaled $\$ 375$ million net of debt relief, which represented 35 percent of exports (MoFED, 1996c). Currently, approximately 70 percent of the total external finance flow to Zambia is reallocated to debt service, principally to the international finance institutions.

The poor economic performance since the mid-1970s has consequently led to lower GDP. For instance, GDP per capita (in constant 1977 prices) declined from $\$ 350$ in 1980 to $\$ 264$ in 1994, representing an average annual decline of 2 percent. In the interim periods, fluctuations were observed. In 1989, the GDP grew by a meagre 0.1 percent in contrast to 6.3 percent in 1988. Real output declined on average by about 1.0 percent annually between 1989 and 1991 (NCDP, 1994).

In an effort to halt the economic recession, the Movement for Multiparty Democracy (MMD) Govemment has launched an Economic Recovery Programme (ERP) to turn around the "protracted decline of the economy into sustained positive real growth, and consequent improvement in living standards and the quality of life of the people" (Republic of Zambia, 1992).

### 1.2 Population

The 1969, 1980 and 1990 national censuses reported total populations of 4.0 million, 5.7 million and 7.8 million respectively, with growth rate of 2.7 percent per annum in 1990 (see Table 1.1). The growth rates vary by province, ranging from 1.5 percent in Copperbelt Province to 4.2-4.4 percent in Central and Easterm Provinces during the 1980-90 intercensal period (data not shown).

| Table 1.ل Demographic characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected demographic indicators, Zambia 1969, 1980 and 1990 |  |  |  |
|  |  | nsus ye |  |
| Indicator | 1969 | 1980 | 1990 |
| Population (millions) | 4.0 | 5.7 | 7.8 |
| Density (pop./sq. km.) | 5.3 | 7.5 | 10.4 |
| Percent urban | 29.4 | 39.9 | 38.0 |
| Crude birth rate (per 1,000 ) | 47.7 | 50.0 | 44.0 |
| Crude death rate (per 1,000) | 19.7 | 16.7 | 18.3 |
| Growth rate (per 1,000) | 28.0 | 33.3 | 25.7 |
| Total fertility rate | 7.1 | 7.2 | 6.7 |
| Completed family size (women age 40-49) | $5.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $6.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.0 |
| Infant mortality rate | 141 | 97 | 123 |
| Life expectancy at birth |  |  |  |
| Male | 41.8 | 50.4 | 46.1 |
| Female | 45.0 | 52.5 | 47.6 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Reported figures <br> Sources: Central Statistical Office, 1974, 1985a,1985b, and 1995b |  |  |  |

The population density in Zambia increased from 5.3 people per square kilometre in 1969 to 7.5 in 1980 and 10.4 in 1990. The average density in 1990 ranged from 50 people or more pr square kilometre in Lusaka and Copperbelt Provinces to 5 or fewer people per square kilometre in Wester and North-Western Provinces. In addition to being the most densely populated provinces, Lusaka and Copperbelt are also the most urbanised areas in the country.

There has been a steady flow of people to mining towns and urban centres. As a result, the proportion of the population living in urban areas has increased steadily from 29 percent in 1969 to 38 percent in 1990. The proportion of urban population varies by province, from 91 percent in Copperbelt Province to 9 percent in Eastem Province. While the urban population has grown by 2.7 percent per annum during the 1980-90 decade, the rural population has increased by 3.5 percent in the same period. During 1969-80, the urban population grew much faster than the rural population ( 5.8 vs . 1.6 percent annually). Thus, the speed of migration to the urban areas has slowed considerably during the $1980-90$ period, compared with the earlier period (CSO, 1995).

The crude birth rate as estimated from the census data increased from 48 per 1,000 population in 1969 to 50 in 1980 and dropped to 44 in 1990. The crude death rate is estimated to have increased slightly from 17 per 1,000 during 1975-80 to 18 during 1985-90 (CSO, 1995). Total fertility rates estimated from the 1969 and 1980 censuses are in the neighbourhood of 7.0 births per woman. The rate declined to 6.7 births per woman in 1990.

Life expectancy at birth for males increased from 42 years in 1969 to 50 years in 1980 and was estimated to have declined to 44 years by 1990. Zambian women live, on average, 2 to 3 years longer than men; however, the gap appears to have narrowed in 1990 . Mortality levels are highest in Eastern, Luapula and Western Provinces, followed by Northern and Southern Provinces, with Lusaka, Copperbelt and Central Provinces experiencing the lowest mortality rates (data not shown). Life expectancy at birth ranged from 45 years in Eastem Province to 57 years in Copperbelt (CSO, 1985b). The overall infant mortality rate declined from 141 deaths per 1,000 live births in the mid-1960s (based on the 1969 census) to 97 in the late 1970s, after which it increased to 123 in the late 1980s.

### 1.3 The Population Policy and National Population and Development Programme of Action

For the first decade and a half after independence, Zambia did not view the high rate of population growth as a development problem. The only concern then was with the high rate of migration from rural to urban areas and the uneven spatial distribution of the population. The results of the 1980 Population and Housing Census exposed the rapidity with which the population was expanding and the implied adverse effect on development and individual welfare. This led the government to reappraise the role of population in national development efforts. The government realised that the nation's development planning and plan implementation processes should not only aim at accommodating the increased demands for goods and services brought about by population growth, but should also aim at influencing those aspects of the country's sociocultural life that underpin high levels of reproduction and thus of population growth.

In 1984, the then National Commission for Development Planning (NCDP) was given a mandate to initiate a draft population policy which would aim at achieving a population growth rate consistent with the growth rate of the economy. The National Population Policy was accepted in May 1989. Since then, the country's population growth rate has remained high and continues to act as a serious impediment towards sustainable development.

The original population policy was revised in December 1996 to redefine or clarify its objectives. Another important rationale for the revision of the population policy was to take account of new concerns which include HIV/AIDS, teenage pregnancy, poverty, and gender issues.

The objectives of the revised policy are:

1. To ensure that population issues and other development concerns are mutually integrated in the planning and implementation processes so as to attain development;
2. To ensure that all couples and individuals have the basic right to decide freely and responsibly the number and spacing of their children and to have the information, education and means to do so in order to enhance the health of families;
3. To establish and continuously update a national population-related database and information system that will pool pertinent data and information from various sources with a view to ensure availability of timely, population-related data;
4. To enhance participation among opinion leaders and the general public in population and development issues in order to generate and sustain commitment;
5. To contribute to the reduction of maternal, infant and child mortality in order to increase life expectancy;
6. To contribute to the reduction of HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases so as to improve the general health status of the population;
7. To promote fair distribution of the population between rural and urban areas so as to ensure balanced development;
8. To promote productive employment opportunities for women in order to promote gender equality;
9. To improve the population's access to appropriate, affordable and quality reproductive health services including family planning and sexual health in order to have a healthy nation;
10. To promote the incorporation of population and gender education into school curricula at all levels in order to increase the knowledge and understanding of population and gender issues; and
11. To promote and maintain equal access to education for both sexes at all levels in order to raise literacy levels.

Donor and non-governmental agencies such as the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF) through its Zambian affiliate, the Planned Parenthood Association of Zambia (PPAZ), and the Family Life Movement of Zambia (FLMZ) provide material, financial and technical assistance and operate family planning clinics, supplementing the efforts of the Ministry of Health (MOH).

### 1.4 Health Priorities and Programmes

The Government's commitment to the objectives of attaining health for all means not only improving the accessibility of health services and reducing mortality and morbidity, but also improving the quality of life of all Zambians. One of the strategies for achieving this objective is the Primary Health Care (PHC) programme, which constitutes an important component of the health care delivery system. To ensure that the PHC programme operates efficiently in tackling the main health problems of the individual, the family, and the community, the health service has been decentralised, with the responsibility of planning, implementing, monitoring, and managing PHC programmes falling to the districts. The integrated health plans developed out of the District Health Boards' Basic Health Programme constitute the PHC package.

The reformulated PHC programme aims, among other things, to tackle the main health problems in the community, focusing on the needs of the underserved, high risk, and vulnerable groups. Thus, attention is paid to the rural and peri-urban areas where the health needs of the people are greatest, with particular emphasis placed on maternal and child care, family planning, nutrition, control of communicable diseases (e.g., diarthoea, cholera, dysentery, sexually transmitted diseases, HIV/AIDS, malaria, etc.), immunisation, and environmental sanitation in order to secure adequate health care for all Zambians.

The National Population and Development Programme and Health Reforms establishes the government's commitment to improve the health of the population by progress towards the achievement of the following targets by the year 2000 :

- To reduce the percentage of underweight children (0-5 years) from 23 to 18 percent.
- To bring under control 80 percent of tuberculosis cases.
- To increase accessibility to and acceptability of family planning services and appropriate use of information in order to increase family planning use.
- To improve the quality of, access to and utilisation of maternal and child health services in order to reduce maternal deaths and complications.
- To reduce the incidence of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), AIDS, and reproductive tract infections.
- To reduce the incidence of induced abortions in order to reduce maternal complications and deaths.
- To increase the percentage of the population having adequate sanitation from 66 to 75 percent in urban areas and from 37 to 57 percent in rural areas in 5 years' time (MOH, 1992).

The implementation of all these aspects of the PHC programmes requires multi-sectoral action and close collaboration among the various government institutions. The government has therefore set up multisectoral PHC committees as an integral part of the PHC basic supportive manpower, and inter-sectoral collaboration with other ministries has been given prominence.

### 1.5 Objectives and Organisation of the Survey

## Objectives

The Zambia Demographic and Health Survey (ZDHS) is a nationwide sample survey of women of reproductive age designed to provide information on fertility, family planning, child survival and health of children.

The primary objectives of the ZDHS are:
i) To collect up-to-date information on fertility, infant and ehild mortality and family planning;
ii) To collect information on health-related matters such as breastfeeding, antenatal care, children's immunisations and childhood diseases;
iii) To assess the nutritional status of mothers and children;
iv) To support dissemination and utilisation of the results in planning, managing and improving family planning and health services in the country; and
v) To enhance the survey capabilities of the institutions involved in order to facilitate the implementation of surveys of this type in the future.

## Organisation

The ZDHS was conducted by the Central Statistical Office. Macro International Inc. of Calverton, Maryland provided technical assistance to the project through its contract with the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). Funding for the survey was supplied by Macro International (from USAID), the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), the Swedish International Development Agency (SIDA), and the government of Zambia (through the Central Statistical Office). The UNICEF office in Zambia contributed to the survey by providing salt-testing kits for use in data collection.

## Sample

In preparation for the 1990 Census of Population, Housing and Agriculture, the entire country was demarcated into Census Supervisory Areas (CSAs). Each CSA was in turn divided into Standard Enumeration Areas (SEAs) of roughly equal size. The ZDHS sample was selected from this frame in three stages. First, 312 CSAs were selected from this frame with probability proportional to size. One SEA was then selected from each CSA, again with probability proportional to size. After a household listing operation in all selected SEAs, a systematic sample of households was then selected. Every fourth household was identified as selected for the men's survey, meaning that, in addition to interviewing women age $15-49$, interviewers also interviewed men age 15-59. As a result of oversampling of households in Luapula, North-Western and Western Provinces in order to produce province-level estimates for some variables, the ZDHS sample is not self-weighting at the national level. A more detailed description of the sample design is presented in Appendix A.

## Questionnaires

Three types of questionnaires were used for the ZDHS: the Household Questionnaire, the Women's Questionnaire and the Men's Questionnaire. The contents of these questionnaires were based on the DHS Model "B" Questionnaire, which is designed for use in countries with low levels of contraceptive use. Additions and modifications to the model questionnaires were made after consultation with a number of institutions, including the Unvversity of Zambia, the Ministry of Health, the Planned Parenthood Association of Zambia (PPAZ), and the National Commission for Development Planning. The questionnaires were developed in English and then translated into and printed in seven of the most widely spoken languages (Bemba, Kaonde, Lozi, Lunda, Luvale, Nyanja and Tonga).

The Household Questionnaire was used to list all the usual members and visitors of a selected household. Some basic information was collected on the characteristics of each person listed, including his/her age, sex, education, and relationship to the head of the household. The main purpose of the Household Questionnaire was to identify women and men who were eligible for the individual interview. In addition, information was collected on the household itself, such as the source of water, type of toilet facilities, material used for the floor of the house, and ownership of various consumer goods.

The Women's Questionnaire was used to collect information from women age 15-49 about the following topics:

Background characteristics (education, religion, etc.);
Reproductive history;
Knowledge and use of family planning methods;
Antenatal and delivery care;
Breastfeeding and weaning practices;
Vaccinations and health of children under age five;
Marriage;
Fertility preferences;

Husband's background and respondent's work;
Awareness of AIDS; and
Maternal mortality.
The Men's Questionnaire was used to collect information from men age 15-59 years in every fourth household about the following topics:

Background characteristics (education, religion, etc.);
Reproductive history;
Knowledge and use of family planning methods;
Marriage;
Fertility preferences; and
Awareness of AIDS.
In addition, the interviewing teams measured the height and weight of all children under age five and their mothers.

## Fieldwork

The fieldwork for the ZDHS was carried out by 11 interviewing teams. In general, each team consisted of one supervisor, one field editor, five interviewers and one driver. In total, there were 11 supervisors, 11 field editors, 56 interviewers, and 11 drivers. Of the interviewers, 45 were women and 11 were men. Fieldwork commenced on 15 July 1996 and was completed on 6 January 1997. The persons involved in the survey are listed in Appendix D.

Table 1.2 is a summary of response rates from the household and the individual interviews. A total of 8,016 households were selected; of these 7,286 were successfully interviewed. The shortfall is due primarily to dwellings being vacant at the time they were visited by the interviewing team. Of the 7,365 households that were occupied, 99 percent were successfully interviewed. In these households, 8,298 women were identified as eligible for the individual interview and 8,021 were successfully interviewed. The number of men eligible for individual interview was 2,043 , among whom 1,849 were successfully interviewed, resulting in the eligible men's response rate of 91 percent.

Table 1.2 Results of the household and individual interviews
Number of households, number of interviews, and response rates, Zambia 1996

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Household interviews | 2,329 | 5,687 | 8,016 |
| Households sampled <br> Households occupied <br> Households interviewed | 2,230 | 5,135 | 7,365 |
| Household response rate | 98.9 | 98.9 | 98.9 |
| Individual interviews | 3,124 | 5,174 | 8,298 |
| Number of eligible women <br> Number of eligible women <br> interviewed | 3,001 | 5,020 | 8,021 |
| Number of eligible men | 794 | 1,249 | 2,043 |
| Number of eligible men <br> interviewed | 698 | 1,151 | 1,849 |
| Eligible woman response rate | 96.1 | 97.0 | 96.7 |
| Eligible man response rate | 87.9 | 92.2 | 90.5 |

## CHAPTER 2

## CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS

Information on the characteristics of the households and the individual women interviewed in the survey is essential for the interpretation of survey findings and can provide an approximate indication of the representativeness of the survey. This chapter presents this information in three sections: characteristics of the household population, housing characteristics, and background characteristics of survey respondents.

### 2.1 Characteristics of the Household Population

The Zambia Demographic and Health Survey (ZDHS) collected information on all usual residents and visitors who spent the previous night in the household. In this survey, the household was defined as a person or group of people living together and sharing a common source of food.

## Age and Sex

The distribution of the household population in the ZDHS is shown in Table 2.1 by five-year age groups, according to sex and urban-rural residence. The age distribution is typical of high fertility populations, i.e., a much higher proportion of the population in the younger than in the older age groups. Examination of the single-year age distributions (see Figure 2.1 and Appendix C.1) indicates slight distortions of the data due to misreporting of date of birth and/or age and preference for particular digits, though this preference is much less pronounced than in census data and data in many other countries.

Table 2.1.Household population by age, residence and sex
Percent distribution of the de facto household population by five-year age groups, according to urban-rural residence and sex, Zambia 1996

| Age group | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Fermale | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 0-4 | 17.3 | 16.9 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.7 | 17.5 | 17.6 |
| 5-9 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 14.7 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 15.8 | 15.1 | 15.5 |
| 10-14 | 13.6 | 14.3 | 13.9 | 15.2 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 14.4 |
| 15-19 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| 20-24 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 9.8 |
| 25-29 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| 30-34 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| 35-39 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| 40-44 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 45-49 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 50-54 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 55-59 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.0 |
| 60-64 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 65-69 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 70.74 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 75-79 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 80+ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 7,524 | 7,743 | 15,267 | 11,051 | 11,664 | 22,727 | 18,575 | 19,407 | 37,994 |

Note: Totals include a small number of persons whose sex was not stated.

Figure 2.1
Distribution of De Facto Household Population by Single Year of Age and Sex


When population is distributed in five-year age groups, age misreporting is minimal (Figure 2.2). The relatively large number of women at age $50-54$ and men age $60-64$ is suggestive of transfer of some women from age group 45-49 to 50-54 and men from age group 55-59 to 60-64, presumably a deliberate attempt on the part of interviewers to reduce their workload. This pattern has been observed in other DHS surveys (Rutstein and Bicego, 1990). However, the impact of this phenomenon on the quality of the data is minimal because the shifting is not pronounced.

Table 2.2 shows that the de jure population structures derived from the 1969, 1980 and 1990 Population and Housing Censuses and the 1992 and 1996 ZDHS surveys have changed little over time. Dependency ratios are also shown. They are the ratio of the number of persons age 0-14 and 65 and over divided by the number of persons age 15-64. The ratio is an indication of the dependency responsibility of adults in their productive years. The ratio varies over time. After reaching 111 dependents per 100 population age 15-64 in 1980, the ratio dropped to 98 in 1992 and 1996. The dependency burden in Zambia is similar to that found in other African countries. With close to 50 percent of the population under age 15 and about 3 percent over age 64, there is one dependent person for each adult in the population. As in many rapidly growing populations, old age dependency is minimal compared to child dependency.

## Household Composition

Table 2.3 shows data on households by the sex of the head of household, household size and the mean household size by residence. The table shows that the vast majority of households in Zambia are headed by males ( 77 percent), with only 23 percent headed by females. This represents a decline in the proportion of male-headed households from 83 percent in 1990 (CSO, 1995). Female-headed households are more common in rural than in urban areas ( 25 compared to 20 percent).

Figure 2.2
Population Pyramid, Zambia 1996


Table 2.2 Population by age from selected sources
Percent distribution of the de jure population by broad age group, 1969, 1980, 1990, 1992 and 1996, Zambia

| Age group | 1969 <br> Census | 1980 <br> Census | 1990 <br> Census | 1992 <br> ZDHS | 1996 <br> ZDHS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<15$ | 46.4 | 49.8 | 46.2 | 46.7 | 46.8 |
| $15-64$ | 51.3 | 47.4 | 51.9 | 50.6 | 50.5 |
| $65+$ | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median age | - | 15.3 | 16.8 | 16.3 | 16.4 |
| Dependency ratio | 0.95 | 1.11 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 0.98 |

Sources: Central Statistical Office, 1985a and 1985b, Central Statistical Office, 1995

Household composition usually affects the allocation of resources (financial, emotional etc.) available to the household members. Financial resources are usually limited in situations where women are heads of households. The well-being of a household's members is also affected by its size. Where the size of the household is large, crowding is likely and can lead to health problems. In the 1996 ZDHS, the average size of households was 5.4 persons, compared to 5.6 in the 1992 ZDHS. Results from the survey further show that the average household size is larger in urban areas than in rural areas ( 5.7 compared to 5.1 persons). While households with one to six members are common in both rural and urban areas of Zambia, large households

| Table 2.3 Household composition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of households by sex of head of household, household size, and whether household includes foster children, according to urban-rural residence, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |
| Characteristic | Residence |  | Total |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Household headship |  |  |  |
| Male | 79.8 | 75.2 | 76.9 |
| Female | 20.2 | 24.8 | 23.1 |
| Number of usual members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 6.0 |
| 2 | 9.2 | 10.6 | 10.1 |
| 3 | 11.4 | 14.3 | 13.2 |
| 4 | 12.5 | 14.6 | 13.8 |
| 5 | 13.3 | 14.7 | 14.1 |
| 6 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.6 |
| 7 | 11.9 | 9.9 | 10.6 |
| 8 | 8.5 | 6.1 | 7.0 |
| 9+ | 16.9 | 11.5 | 13.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean size | 5.7 | 5.1 | 5.4 |
| Percent with foster children | 25.1 | 25.1 | 25.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note: Table is based on de jure members, i.e., usual residents.
with nine or more persons are more common in urban than rural areas ( 17 percent compared to 12 percent).

One-quarter of Zambian households contain a foster child or children, that is, persons under 15 years of age who have neither parent in the household.

Table 2.4 presents data on fosterhood and orphanhood for children under 15 years of age. Household structure has been influenced by the high rates of rural-urban migration experienced by the country over the past two decades, coupled with urban-rural migration in the recent past. Overall, 62 percent of children under age 15 are living with both parents, while 19 percent live with only their mothers, 5 percent live with only their fathers, and 14 percent are "fostered" or not living with either parent. Although parental mortality accounts for only a small proportion of children not living with both parents, it is a factor; 9 percent of children under 15 have a deceased father, 4 percent have a deceased mother, and 2 percent have neither father nor mother. Given the projected population below 15 years in 1996 of 4.2 million (CSO, 1995b), almost half a million are orphans, among whom 307,000 have a mother but no father, 122,000 have a father but no mother, and 63,000 do not have either a father or a mother. As expected, the percentage of children living with both parents decreases with age, mostly because older children are more likely than younger children to live with only their fathers or to be fostered. Almost one-quarter of children age 10-14 live without either parent. Of course, the likelihood that one or the other parent has died is also higher among older children; 14 percent of children age 10-14 have lost their fathers and 8 percent have lost their mothers. Differences in fosterhood and orphanhood by other background characteristics are minimal and may be due to differences in the age distribution of children. The one exception is Westem Province, where only 44 percent of children under 15 live with both parents and 30 percent live only with their mothers.

## Education

On the eve of independence, Zambia had barely 1,000 Africans with secondary school certificates and only 109 university graduates. Development plans were therefore designed to provide educated and skilled manpower for the civil service and industry. The govemment adopted a policy of achieving universal first level education; one of the major objectives of the Fourth National Development Plan (1989-1993) was to reach this goal of universal primary education by the year 2000 .

Zambia's formal education is based on a three-tier system. Under this system, primary education consists of 7 years and secondary education of 5 years ( 2 years junior secondary and 3 years senior secondary). Graduates of secondary school may then choose to further their education either by attending university for a four-year course leading to a degree or by attending a vocational or technical institute for a two- or three-year certificate/diploma course. The goal is for the nation to meet its manpower requirements in the social, economic and political sectors, as well as achieving national development and modernisation.

## Table 2.4 Fosterhood and orphanhood

Percent distribution of de jure children under age 15 by survival status of parents and child's living arrangements, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Charactersstic | Living with both parents | Living with mother but not father |  | Living with father but not mother |  | Not living with either parent |  |  |  | Missing/ Don't know if father/ mother alive | Total | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Father alive | Father dead | Mother alive | Mother dead | Both alive | Father only alive | Mother only alive | Both dead |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 | 75.3 | 18.9 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4,157 |
| $3-5$ | 66.6 | 14.6 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3,732 |
| 6.9 | 58.9 | 12.0 | 56 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 10.8 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4,826 |
| 10-14 | 50.2 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 13.3 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 5,596 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 61.6 | 13.0 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 10 | 100.0 | 9,072 |
| Fernale | 61.4 | 13.7 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 9.6 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 14 | 100.0 | 9,229 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 61.6 | 12.4 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 7.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 7,040 |
| Rural | 61.5 | 13.9 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1000 | 11,271 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 60.2 | 12.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 10.4 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,695 |
| Copperbelt | 62.4 | 11.0 | 67 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3,365 |
| Eastern | 68.6 | 12.3 | 39 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 7.6 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 2,670 |
| Luapula | 63.5 | 13.7 | 58 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 7.5 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,612 |
| Lusaka | 606 | 13.2 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2,637 |
| Northern | 643 | 14.0 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2,173 |
| North-Western | 57.4 | 14.6 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 15.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 755 |
| Southern | 61.0 | 12.2 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 2,113 |
| Western | 44.4 | 23.3 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 1.2 | 11.1 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,290 |
| Total | 61.5 | 13.4 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 18,311 |

Note: By convention, foster children are those who are not living with either parent. This includes orphans, i.e., children whose parents are both dead. Total includes a few persons whose sex was not stated.

Tables 2.5 .1 and 2.5 .2 show the educational level of the female and male household population by selected background characteristics. Three-quarters of females and 82 percent of males have at least some education, while 16 percent of females and 25 percent of males have attended secondary school or higher education. Educational coverage has deteriorated slightly since 1992; the proportion of females with no education increased from 24 percent in 1992 to 25 percent in 1996, while the proportion of males who have never been to school increased from 15 to 18 percent.

There is evidence that the differential in educational attainment by sex is narrowing (Figure 2.3). For example, while among people in their early fifties the proportion of women with no education is about four times the proportion of men with no education ( 58 compared to 15 percent); among those age 15-19 the difference is considerably smaller ( 9 percent compared to 7 percent).

For both males and females, the proportion of persons with no education is much higher in rural areas than in urban areas. Rural men are more than twice as likely to have never attended school ( 24 percent) as urban dwellers ( 9 percent). Of the provinces, Eastern Province has the highest proportion of both men and women with no education, while Copperbelt Province has the lowest percentage.

## Table 2.5.1 Educational level of the female household population

Percent distribution of the de facto female household population age six and over by highest level of education attended, and median number of years of schooling, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | No education | Primary | Secondary | Higher | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number | Median years of schooling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 53.4 | 44.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 2,345 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 12.4 | 84.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,761 | 3.6 |
| 15-19 | 8.5 | 62.3 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,129 | 6.7 |
| 20-24 | 11.0 | 56.6 | 30.7 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,967 | 7.1 |
| 25-29 | 12.6 | 57.2 | 26.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,375 | 7.2 |
| 30-34 | 13.1 | 60.1 | 21.7 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,156 | 7.0 |
| 35-39 | 13.8 | 62.5 | 18.5 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 811 | 6.6 |
| 40-44 | 19.9 | 53.8 | 19.6 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 609 | 6.6 |
| 45-49 | 38.4 | 53.4 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 520 | 2.2 |
| 50-54 | 58.2 | 38.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 549 | 0.0 |
| 55-59 | 61.6 | 35.6 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 440 | 0.0 |
| 60-64 | 64.3 | 33.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 311 | 0.0 |
| 65+ | 74.9 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 451 | 0.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 12.7 | 57.1 | 26.4 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6,203 | 6.3 |
| Rural | 33.5 | 59.0 | 65 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 9,223 | 2.6 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 21.3 | 62.2 | 14.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,390 | 4.4 |
| Copperbelt | 13.8 | 59.4 | 24.5 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,817 | 5.9 |
| Eastern | 43.9 | 50.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 2,138 | 1.0 |
| Luapula | 28.8 | 60.5 | 10.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,356 | 3.1 |
| Lusaka | 15.7 | 54.6 | 24.6 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,382 | 6.1 |
| Northern | 28.4 | 62.4 | 8.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,829 | 3.2 |
| North-Western | 332 | 54.7 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 599 | 2.6 |
| Southern | 22.6 | 64.8 | 10.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,675 | 4.0 |
| Western | 31.5 | 56.4 | 10.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,241 | 3.1 |
| Total | 25.1 | 58.2 | 14.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 15,426 | 4.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes one woman with age missing

Table 2.6 presents enrolment rates by age, sex and residence. Six in ten children aged 6-15 years are currently enrolled in school. Enrolment is substantially higher in urban areas than in rural areas. Boys and girls age $6-15$ have a virtually equal chance of being enrolled ( 60 percent compared to 59 percent). Enrolment after age 15 drops substantially; only 33 percent of older teenagers and 6 percent of those in their early twenties are still in school. The proportions are higher in urban areas than in rural areas in all age groups. At age 16 and older, women are much less likely than men to be enrolled in school, presumably due to cultural norms which encourage girls to drop out of school, possibly to get married and start a family. Enrolment rates in 1996 are lower than those in 1992, probably because the data collection period encompassed school holidays (July-August) in which some children were reported as not enrolled in school.

## Table 2.5.2 Educational leyel of the male household population

Percent distribution of the de facto male household population age six and over by highest level of education attended, and median number of years of schooling, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | No education | Primary | Secondary | Higher | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number | Median years of schooling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.9 | 54.1 | 44.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 2,383 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 12.5 | 85.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,702 | 3.4 |
| 15-19 | 7.2 | 64.0 | 28.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,045 | 6.6 |
| 20-24 | 7.1 | 48.2 | 42.3 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,740 | 7.7 |
| 25-29 | 5.8 | 45.5 | 42.9 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,329 | 7.9 |
| 30-34 | 6.2 | 44.9 | 41.3 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,072 | 7.9 |
| 35-39 | 4.8 | 44.3 | 40.2 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 845 | 8.0 |
| 40-44 | 6.1 | 43.8 | 38.2 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 583 | 8.0 |
| 45-49 | 9.6 | 50.6 | 31.5 | 7.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 438 | 7.5 |
| 50-54 | 15.3 | 61.8 | 15.9 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 369 | 5.9 |
| 55-59 | 19.8 | 65.7 | 8.7 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 307 | 4.4 |
| 60-64 | 31.0 | 59.5 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 345 | 3.3 |
| 65+ | 38.4 | 54.5 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 567 | 2.3 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.0 | 50.6 | 34.1 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 6,011 | 7.3 |
| Rural | 23.7 | 61.5 | 13.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 8,716 | 3.9 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 16.7 | 57.0 | 23.9 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,438 | 5.5 |
| Copperbelt | 9.2 | 52.8 | 33.3 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,776 | 7.1 |
| Eastern | 32.8 | 53.9 | 11.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2,075 | 2.8 |
| Luapula | 16.6 | 67.2 | 14.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,237 | 4.4 |
| Lusaka | 12.3 | 50.5 | 29.2 | 7.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,354 | 7.1 |
| Northern | 15.6 | 64.3 | 18.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,717 | 4.8 |
| North-Western | 23.1 | 58.5 | 15.3 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 490 | 3.9 |
| Southem | 18.5 | 61.9 | 17.0 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,568 | 4.9 |
| Western | 24.4 | 57.6 | 14.9 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,072 | 4.1 |
| Total | 17.7 | 57.1 | 21.7 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 14,727 | 5.2 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Excludes one man with education missing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 2.6 Schoolenrolment

Percentage of the de facto household population age 6-24 years enrolled in school, by age group, sex, and urban-rural residence, Zambia 1996

| Age group | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 6-10 | 61.2 | 40.3 | 48.3 | 63.2 | 41.2 | 49.3 | 62.2 | 40.7 | 48.8 |
| 11-15 | 83.9 | 67.6 | 73.9 | 81.3 | 63.9 | 71.2 | 82.6 | 65.8 | 72.5 |
| 6-15 | 71.7 | 52.8 | 60.1 | 72.0 | 51.2 | 59.4 | 71.9 | 52.0 | 59.7 |
| 16-20 | 50.6 | 35.7 | 42.4 | 32.9 | 14.7 | 23.3 | 41.3 | 25.1 | 32.6 |
| 21-24 | 13.5 | 5.5 | 9.2 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 8.5 | 3.3 | 5.7 |

Figuré 2.3 Percentage of Males and Females With No Education by Age Group


### 2.2 Housing Characteristics

Socioeconomic conditions were assessed by asking respondents specific questions relating to their household environment. Table 2.7 presents housing characteristics such as type of drinking water, sanitation facilities, quality of the floor and crowding. This information is important in that it helps determine the health status and reflects wealth and disposable income of the members of the household.

Electricity is available to only 17 percent of the households in Zambia. Moreover, most of those with electricity are urban households ( 44 percent) compared to 2 percent of rural households.

Sources of drinking water differ considerably by area of residence. In urban areas, piped water is the primary source; 47 percent of households have water piped into the residence and 34 percent obtain water from a public tap. In rural areas, public traditional wells ( 31 percent), public shallow wells ( 20 percent), and rivers and streams ( 22 percent) are the main sources of drinking water. More than half of households are within 15 minutes from their source for drinking water. The proportion of these households is 76 percent in urban areas, compared to 39 percent in rural areas.

Eighteen percent of Zambian households have a flush toilet, 53 percent have traditional pit latrines, and 29 percent have no sanitation facilities at all. Modern sanitation facilities are absent from virtually all rural households. Traditional pit latrines are common in both urban and rural areas ( 49 percent and 55 percent, respectively).

| Table 2.7 Housing characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of households by housing characteristics, according to urban-rural residence, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |
|  | Residence |  |  |
| Characteristic | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Electricity |  |  |  |
| Yes | 44.1 | 1.5 | 17.3 |
| No | 55.8 | 98.3 | 82.5 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.1 | 02 | 02 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Source of drinklng water |  |  |  |
| Piped into residence | 46.7 | 1.7 | 18.4 |
| Public tap | 33.9 | 5.3 | 15.9 |
| Well in residence | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| Public shallow well | 2.2 | 20.1 | 134 |
| Public traditional well | 5.1 | 31.2 | 21.5 |
| Borehole | 47 | 12.5 | 9.6 |
| Spring | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| River/stream | 0.8 | 22.3 | 14.4 |
| Pond/lake | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Other | 2.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.2 | 01 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Time to water source (minutes) |  |  |  |
| <15 minutes | 75.8 | 39.2 | 52.7 |
| Median time to source | 1.0 | 20.1 | 10.8 |
| Sanitation facility |  |  |  |
| Own flush toilet | 41.2 | 1.0 | 15.9 |
| Shared flush toilet | 4.4 | 0.2 | 1.7 |
| Traditional pit toilet | 48.6 | 55.0 | 52.6 |
| Ventilated improved pit latrine | 0.3 | 04 | 0.4 |
| No facillty/bush | 48 | 42.9 | 28.8 |
| Other | 03 | 01 | 0.1 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Floor material |  |  |  |
| Earth/sand | 14.9 | 88.4 | 61.1 |
| Wood planks | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Parquelpolished wood | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Terrazzo tule | 3.3 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| Concrete/cement | 79.9 | 11.2 | 36.7 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.0 | 01 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 02 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Persons per sleeping room |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | 56.6 | 534 | 546 |
| 3-4 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 |
| 5-6 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 6.6 |
| $7+$ | 1.3 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Total | 1000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean persons per room | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Iodine reading (parts per million) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Not iodised | 9.8 | 6.6 | 7.7 |
| Iodised | 80.6 | 76.5 | 78.1 |
| Not tested/Missing | 96 | 16.9 | 14.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| TNot iodised includes households in which the respondent reported that the salt was not odised, or the lest indicated that the salt contained less than 25 ppm (no colour change). Iodised means that the salt contained 25 or more ppm. |  |  |  |

The majority of Zambian households live in residences with earthen ( 61 percent) floors and 37 percent occupy houses with cement floors. Concrete or cement flooring is most common for urban households ( 80 percent), whilst earthen floors are most common for rural households ( 88 percent).

Information was collected on the number of rooms households use for sleeping (a measure of crowding). The majority of households have one or two persons per sleeping room, while in one third of the households, three or four persons share a roorn for sleeping. Although there are more people per household in urban areas (see Table 2.3), the dwelling units there must consist of more bedrooms, since there is almost no difference between urban and rural households in number of people per sleeping room.

One of the objectives of the 1996 ZDHS was to establish the prevalence of use of iodised salt. For this purpose, the interviewers were given kits developed by UNICEF which allow the identification of the iodine content by the change in the salt colour after the application of a special liquid. The content was measured in five levels, from $0-24,25-49,50-74$, $75-99$, and $100+$ parts per million (ppm). However, according to UNICEF recommendation, the change in colour should be considered as an approximation of the iodine concentration and the test is most useful in determining whether the salt contains iodine or not. The salt is considered usefully iodised if it contains a minimum of about 20 ppm of iodine. Data from the 1996 ZDHS show that in 14 percent of households, the test was not conducted, either because the respondent could not provide the salt or because of the interviewer's negligence. In the majority of the households ( 78 percent), the salt was usefully iodised ( 25 or more ppm ) and in 8 percent of the households either the respondent reported that the salt was not iodised or the test indicated that the iodine content was less than 25 ppm . Urban households are more likely to have iodised salt than rural households.

## Household Durable Goods

Respondents were asked about ownership of particular household goods such as radios and televisions (to assess access to media), refrigerators (to assess food storage) and modes of transportation (bicycle, motorcycle, car). Ownership of these items is also indicative of the household's social and economic well-being. The results presented in Table 2.8 indicate that 44 percent of households own a radio ( 65 percent in urban areas and 31 percent in rural areas) and 17 percent own a television (43 percent in urban areas and 2 percent in rural areas). Seven percent of households own refrigerators (17 percent in urban and 1 percent in rural areas). Thus, televisions and refrigerators are mostly restricted to urban areas, presumably as a result of lack of electricity and/or financial resources in rural areas.

Twenty-five percent of the households own

## Table 2.8 Household durable goods

Percentage of households possessing various durable consumer goods, according to urban-rural residence, Zambia 1996

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Durable good | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Radio | 64.9 | 31.0 | 43.5 |
| Television | 43.4 | 2.1 | 17.4 |
| Refrigerator | 14.7 | 0.5 | 6.5 |
| Bicycle | 0.9 | 0.5 | 24.6 |
| Motorcycle | 5.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Private car | 28.1 | 55.1 | 45.1 |
| None of the above | 2,702 | 4,584 | 7,286 |
| Number of households |  |  |  | a bicycle, while only 3 percent own a car and less than one percent own a motorcycle. Bicycles are the only household possession listed that are more common among rural than urban bouseholds. The proportion of households owning a private car is 6 percent in urban areas and 1 percent in rural areas.

### 2.3 Background Characteristics of Survey Respondents

## General Characteristics

Women were asked two questions in the individual interview to assess their age: "In what month and year were you born?" and "How old were you at your last birthday?" Interviewers were trained to probe situations in which respondents did not know their age or date of binh; and as a last resort, interviewers were instructed to record their best estimate of the respondent's age.

Table 2.9 Age distribution of women 1980. 1990. 1992 and 1996
Percent distribution of women of reproductive age, Zambia 1980, 1990, 1992 and 1996

| Age group | 1980 <br> Census | 1990 <br> Census | 1992 <br> ZDHS | 1996 <br> ZDHS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | 24.6 | 27.4 | 28.1 | 25.0 |
| $20-24$ | 20.0 | 21.5 | 20.4 | 22.8 |
| $25-29$ | 16.3 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 16.0 |
| $30-34$ | 13.3 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 13.5 |
| $35-39$ | 10.5 | 8.5 | 9.3 | 9.5 |
| $40-44$ | 8.6 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 7.1 |
| $45-49$ | 6.7 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 6.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Sources: Central Statistical Office, 1995

Table 2.9 presents the age distribution of women in the 1992 and 1996 ZDHS surveys compared with that of women enumerated in the 1980 and 1990 censuses. Although the proportion of all women who are of reproductive age is virtually the same in both cases ( 44 percent of the female population), the age structure for women $15-$ 49 in the 1996 ZDHS is older than that for women 15-49 in the 1990 census. Specifically, the proportion of women age $15-19$ is lower in the 1996 ZDHS than in the 1990 census ( 25 percent compared with 27 percent). It is not clear whether this difference is real or whether it is the result of some pattern of age misreporting, or high mortality among women in the reproductive ages (15-49).

Table 2.10 reflects the high level of urbanisation in Zambia; 45 percent of women 15-49 and 46 percent of men 15-59 live in urban areas. The data show further that one in five respondents lives in Copperbelt Province, 17 percent in Lusaka Province, and 13 percent in Eastern Province. Northern and Southern Provinces each accounts for 10-11 percent of respondents, while Central, Luapula, and Western Provinces each accounts for about 8 percent of the respondents. North-Westem Province has the smallest proportion of respondents ( 3 percent). The distribution of respondents by urban-rural residence and province differs somewhat from that recorded in 1992, because the sample for the 1996 survey was based on the actual population recorded in the 1990 Census of Population, Housing and Agriculture, while that in the 1992 ZDHS was based on pre-census estimates.

The majority of respondents have had formal schooling. Only 13 percent of women and 7 percent of men have never attended school, more than half have gone to primary school, and more than 25 percent attended secondary or higher education. Nine in ten women and more than eight in ten men are not currently attending school

Data in Table 2.10 show that 25 percent of women 15-49 and 44 percent of men 15-59 in the sample have never been married, 61 percent of women and 51 percent of men are currently married or living together, and 14 percent of women and 5 percent of men are no longer in union. The percentage of women in union declined from 67 percent in 1980 to 63 percent in 1992 and to 61 percent in 1996. One in four respondents reported themselves as Catholics, while seven in ten are Protestants.

Bemba is the largest ethnic group, accounting for 36 percent of respondents. Nineteen percent of respondents belong to the Nyonja group, while 15 percent comprise the Tonja language group. The Northwestern group (comprising Luvale, some Lunda groups, Kaonde, and other smaller tribes in NorthWestern Province) is the fourth largest group with 9 percent of the respondents. The Baroste language group (including Lozi) comprises about 7 percent of respondents, with Mambwe and Tumbuka accounting for 6 percent each.

## Differentials in Characteristics Between Spouses

Table 2.11 shows the age and educational differentials between female respondents and their husbands or partners. On average, husbands are almost 7 years older than their wives. For 80 percent of the couples, both husband and wife have attended formal schooling, while for 16 percent only one of the spouses is educated and for 4 percent of the couples, neither has gone to school.

## Differentials in Education

Table 2.12 presents the distribution of respondents by education, according to selected characteristics. Education is inversely related to age; that is, older men and women are generally less educated than younger men and women. For instance, 37 percent of women age $45-49$ years have had no formal schooling, compared to only 8 percent of the women age 15-19 years. In the case of men, 10 percent of men aged 45-49 have had no formal schooling, compared to 7 percent of men age 15-19 years.

Rural residents are educationally disadvantaged compared to urban residents. One in five rural women of childbearing age has never been to school, compared to only 6 percent of urban women. The corresponding proportions for men are 10 percent and 3 percent, respectively. Conversely, four times as many urban women have gone beyond the primary level as rural women ( 46 percent compared to 13 percent). Data in Table 2.12 also indicate that women residing in Copperbelt and Lusaka Provinces are more likely to have received secondary education, followed by women in Central, Southern and North-Western Provinces. The same pattern is found among men age 15-59. The differences by province in the percentage of women and men who have had only primary schooling are minor.

| Table 2.10 Background characteristics of respondents <br> Percent distribution of women and men by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characterstic | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
|  | Weighted percent | Number of women |  | Weighted percent | Number of men |  |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |  | Weighted | Unweughted |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 25.0 | 2.003 | 1,982 | 24.9 | 460 | 458 |
| 20-24 | 22.8 | 1,830 | 1,823 | 218 | 404 | 395 |
| 25-29 | 16.0 | 1,286 | 1,280 | 13.8 | 255 | 253 |
| 30-34 | 13.5 | 1,081 | 1,083 | 122 | 225 | 230 |
| 35-39 | 9.5 | 758 | 768 | 9.9 | 184 | 186 |
| 40-44 | 7.1 | 568 | 569 | 65 | 121 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 6.2 | 494 | 516 | 45 | 83 | 84 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | 3.5 | 65 | 68 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | NA | 2.8 | 52 | 54 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 44.9 | 3,604 | 3,001 | 461 | 852 | 698 |
| Rural | 55.1 | 4,417 | 5,020 | 53.9 | 997 | 1,151 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 81 | 653 | 748 | 8.5 | 157 | 185 |
| Copperbelt | 19.8 | 1,588 | 1,129 | 214 | 396 | 288 |
| Eastern | 134 | 1,075 | 1,118 | 13.7 | 254 | 276 |
| Luapula | 90 | 726 | 896 | 8.2 | 151 | 196 |
| Lusaka | 17.5 | 1,403 | 1,074 | 17.1 | 316 | 220 |
| Northern | 109 | 872 | 783 | 12.0 | 221 | 205 |
| North-Western | 3.6 | 288 | 567 | 2.6 | 48 | 94 |
| Southern | 10.2 | 816 | 846 | 9.4 | 173 | 189 |
| Western | 7.5 | 600 | 860 | 7.1 | 132 | 196 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 13.3 | 1,067 | 1,168 | 6.9 | 127 | 138 |
| Primary | 58.9 | 4,721 | 4,833 | 50.7 | 938 | 990 |
| Secondary | 250 | 2,007 | 1,828 | 371 | 686 | 634 |
| Higher | 28 | 226 | 191 | 53 | 98 | 87 |
| Don't know/mıssing | 00 | 1 | 1 | 00 | 0 | 0 |
| Currently attending school |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 88 | 703 | 673 | 15.1 | 279 | 265 |
| No | 90.7 | 7,278 | 7,310 | 836 | 1,545 | 1,562 |
| Missing | 0.5 | 40 | 38 | 13 | 25 | 22 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 253 | 2,032 | 1,986 | 44.0 | 814 | 796 |
| Married | 60.3 | 4,839 | 4,888 | 51.0 | 943 | 962 |
| Living together | 08 | 63 | 61 | 0.1 | 1 | 2 |
| Widowed | 4.1 | 327 | 313 | 1.1 | 21 | 19 |
| Divorced | 72 | 574 | 591 | 2.1 | 39 | 43 |
| Not living together | 2.3 | 184 | 180 | 1.7 | 31 | 27 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catholic | 240 | 1,927 | 1,853 | 24.7 | 457 | 452 |
| Protestant | 74.4 | 5,965 | 6,029 | 716 | 1,324 | 1,321 |
| Muslim | 03 | 22 | 18 | 0.5 | 8 | 9 |
| Other | 03 | 27 | 25 | 0.6 | 11 | 12 |
| Missing | 03 | 25 | 25 | 01 | 3 | 2 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bemba | 35.6 | 2,854 | 2,670 | 35.7 | 660 | 629 |
| Tonga | 15.4 | 1,232 | 1.242 | 14.8 | 273 | 286 |
| Northwestern | 92 | 737 | 1.016 | 8.5 | 157 | 204 |
| Baroste | 7.5 | 604 | 730 | 6.4 | 118 | 149 |
| Nyanja | 185 | 1.486 | 1,389 | 20.0 | 370 | 337 |
| Mambwe | 57 | 455 | 385 | 5.8 | 108 | 94 |
| Tumbuka | 5.5 | 441 | 396 | 5.8 | 107 | 100 |
| Other | 2.3 | 185 | 166 | 2.6 | 48 | 43 |
| Don't know/missing | 03 | 28 | 27 | 0.4 | 7 | 7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 8,021 | 8,021 | 100.0 | 1,849 | 1,849 |

Table 2.ل11 Differential characteristics between spouses
Percent distribution of couples by differences between spouses in age and level of education, Zambia 1996

| Differential <br> characteristic | Percent/ <br> Years | Number <br> of <br> couples |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age difference (percent) <br> (husband minus wife) |  |  |
| Wife older |  |  |
| 0-4 years |  |  |
| 5-9 years |  |  |
| 10-14 years |  |  |
| 15 years + | 2.0 | 16 |
| Mean age difference (years) | 45.5 | 283 |
| lst wife | 12.5 | 372 |
| 2nd wife | 5.8 | 48 |
| All wives | 6.5 | 805 |
| Education (percent) | $*$ | 17 |
| Both husband and wife | 6.6 | 822 |
| not educated |  |  |
| Wife educated, husband not | 3.8 | 31 |
| Husband educated, wife not | 13.2 | 27 |
| Both husband and wife educated | 79.8 | 656 |
| Total | 100.0 | 822 |

Note: An asterisk indicates the mean is based on less than 25 couples and has been suppressed.

## Access to Media

Men and women were asked if they usually read a newspaper, listen to the radio or watch television at least once a week. This information is important to programme planners seeking to reach men and women with family planning and health messages through the media. Table 2.13 shows that whilst 36 percent of the women and 44 percent of men interviewed listen to the radio daily, 25 percent of women and 45 percent of men read a newspaper on a weekly basis, and 29 percent of women and 38 percent of men watch television at least once a week. There are few variations in media access by age of the respondents, except among the oldest age groups for whom access is more limited. Urban residents are much more likely to have access to mass media than rural residents. Compared to data from the 1992 ZDHS, it appears as if women are reading newspapers and listening to the radio less often and watching television more often; however, comparisons are difficult since the wording of the questions differed.

## Employment

Women were asked whether they were employed and if so, how often they worked. Table 2.14 gives this information according to different background characteristics. Overall, 54 percent of women were not working, 21 percent were working all year, 19 percent worked seasonally, and 6 percent worked occasionally. A high proportion of women aged 15-19 (76 percent) and 20-24 ( 57 percent) were not employed compared to those aged 25 years and older, in part due to their being at school. Over half of the women both in rural and urban areas were not currently employed. Rural women are more likely to have seasonal jobs than urban women; about 30 percent of women in rural areas work seasonally, compared to only 6 percent in urban areas. However, the reverse is true for women working throughout the year. Twenty-nine percent of women in urban areas worked all year, while in rural areas the proportion is only about 9 percent. The reason for this could be that most of the women in rural areas are engaged in farming which is seasonal, while women in urban areas are more likely to be involved in fulltime, formal employment or in businesses such as sale of second-hand clothes and vegetables at the market. Women with secondary or higher education are more likely to work all year than women with less education.

Table 2.15 shows data on employed women by type of employment and whether the woman earns cash, according to age, residence, and level of education acquired. Younger women are more likely to be employed by a relative, while women age $25-39$ tend to be self-employed and receive cash earnings. Urban women have a better chance of receiving cash payment for their work than rural women; while 95 percent of urban women work for cash, only 73 percent of rural women receive cash for their work. Among women in urban areas, six in ten are self-employed with cash payment, and 29 percent are employed by a non-relative and receive cash payment. In rural areas, the corresponding proportions are 58 percent and 5 percent, respectively.

| Table 2.12 Level of education by background characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of respondents by highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Level of education |  |  |  | Total | Number of women/ men |
| Background characteristic | $\frac{\text { No }}{\text { education }}$ | Primary | Secondary | Higher |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.4 | 61.6 | 30.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,003 |
| 20-24 | 10.9 | 57.3 | 29.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1,830 |
| 25-29 | 11.7 | 57.1 | 27.0 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 1,286 |
| 30-34 | 13.1 | 60.6 | 21.2 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 1,081 |
| 35-39 | 14.1 | 62.9 | 17.8 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 758 |
| 40-44 | 20.6 | 53.5 | 19.1 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 568 |
| 45-49 | 37.3 | 54.3 | 7.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 494 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.6 | 48.1 | 40.7 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 3,604 |
| Rural | 19.6 | 67.6 | 12.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 4,417 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 7.9 | 61.4 | 26.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 653 |
| Copperbelt | 5.8 | 50.8 | 40.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1,588 |
| Eastern | 32.7 | 58.4 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,075 |
| Luapula | 15.6 | 66.3 | 17.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 726 |
| Lusaka | 7.8 | 48.1 | 36.8 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 1,403 |
| Northern | 11.8 | 71.9 | 15.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 872 |
| North-Western | 18.5 | 60.7 | 20.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 288 |
| Southern | 10.0 | 68.5 | 19.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 816 |
| Western | 18.6 | 61.3 | 18.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 600 |
| Total | 13.3 | 58.9 | 25.0 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 8,021 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.9 | 62.7 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 6.8 | 50.4 | 40.9 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 6.2 | 40.1 | 46.6 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 255 |
| 30-34 | 8.5 | 42.7 | 42.0 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 1.4 | 44.1 | 42.5 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 184 |
| 40-44 | 6.5 | 42.4 | 36.3 | 14.9 | 100.0 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 9.7 | 51.7 | 32.4 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 83 |
| 50-54 | 7.6 | 60.8 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 65 |
| 55-59 | 18.2 | 62.7 | 11.2 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 52 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.7 | 34.9 | 53.2 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 852 |
| Rural | 10.4 | 64.2 | 23.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 997 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 7.2 | 43.5 | 44.7 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 2.8 | 37.6 | 53.7 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 396 |
| Eastern | 19.2 | 58.7 | 21.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 254 |
| Luapula | 5.1 | 64.7 | 26.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Lusaka | 5.2 | 38.1 | 43.6 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 316 |
| Northern | 2.1 | 64.6 | 31.2 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 221 |
| North-Western | 3.1 | 63.6 | 27.5 | 58 | 100.0 | 48 |
| Southern | 5.6 | 60.8 | 32.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 173 |
| Western | 11.9 | 56.5 | 24.7 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Total | 6.9 | 50.7 | 37.1 | 53 | 100.0 | 1,849 |

Table_2.13 Access to mass media
Percentage of women and men who usually read a newspaper once a week, watch television once a week, or listen to radio daily, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mass media |  |  |  |  | Number of women | No mass media | Mass media |  |  |  | Number of men |
|  | No mass media | Read newspaper weekly | Watch television weekly | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lislen } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { radio } \\ \text { daily } \end{gathered}$ | All three media |  |  | Read newspaper weekly | Watch television weekly | $\begin{gathered} \text { Listen } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { radio } \\ \text { daily } \end{gathered}$ | All three media |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 47.9 | 26.5 | 32.3 | 34.7 | 11.7 | 2,003 | 39.3 | 42.1 | 38.7 | 34.4 | 18.2 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 48.5 | 24.2 | 29.5 | 36.3 | 11.2 | 1,830 | 36.6 | 42.1 | 41.4 | 46.8 | 24.8 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 46.5 | 25.5 | 30.6 | 40.7 | 13.9 | 1,286 | 28.8 | 50.6 | 38.5 | 495 | 24.2 | 255 |
| 30-34 | 44.9 | 26.1 | 28.8 | 41.2 | 13.3 | 1,081 | 32.6 | 45.7 | 37.0 | 46.0 | 19.0 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 48.8 | 24.2 | 27.2 | 36.9 | 11.1 | 758 | 29.3 | 50.1 | 40.8 | 51.3 | 24.6 | 184 |
| 40-44 | 55.7 | 24.5 | 24.7 | 31.2 | 11.4 | 568 | 29.5 | 47.3 | 35.1 | 52.0 | 22.2 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 65.0 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 25.4 | 4.6 | 494 | 31.3 | 39.4 | 39.6 | 52.1 | 18.5 | 83 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 34.7 | 48.8 | 32.3 | 46.3 | 24.8 | 65 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 58.9 | 29.9 | 8.7 | 24.3 | 4.9 | 52 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 22.5 | 40.0 | 57.5 | 57.3 | 24.4 | 3,604 | 11.6 | 65.9 | 73.4 | 64.5 | 43.1 | 852 |
| Rural | 70.8 | 12.0 | 5.4 | 19.2 | 1.2 | 4,417 | 54.7 | 26.4 | 7.7 | 27.1 | 2.8 | 997 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 47.3 | 11.4 | 26.9 | 39.7 | 4.4 | 653 | 38.2 | 33.1 | 27.6 | 45.5 | 14.4 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 22.9 | 37.8 | 59.7 | 56.7 | 23.6 | 1,588 | 9.4 | 62.0 | 78.1 | 64.3 | 39.7 | 396 |
| Eastern | 67.5 | 17.8 | 3.6 | 19.6 | 1.0 | 1,075 | 35.7 | 40.8 | 7.3 | 41.5 | 1.9 | 254 |
| Luapula | 715 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 22.9 | 1.5 | 726 | 42.2 | 37.8 | 14.5 | 26.6 | 5.2 | 151 |
| Lusaka | 18.2 | 48.3 | 60.3 | 62.5 | 31.4 | 1,403 | 8.5 | 72.2 | 81.2 | 70.8 | 55.3 | 316 |
| Northern | 79.5 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 14.4 | 0.9 | 872 | 81.1 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 15.4 | 1.7 | 221 |
| North-Western | 72.8 | 12.9 | 8.2 | 16.9 | 1.8 | 288 | 53.3 | 25.6 | 14.1 | 34.7 | 8.3 | 48 |
| Southern | 50.1 | 31.7 | 15.7 | 25.6 | 5.2 | 816 | 58.2 | 34.0 | 12.5 | 18.6 | 6.5 | 173 |
| Western | 75.4 | 6.3 | 8.1 | 19.5 | 1.8 | 600 | 45.2 | 39.4 | 9.4 | 31.4 | 5.9 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 81.1 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 15.2 | 0.1 | 1,067 | 71.1 | 2.9 | 8.3 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 127 |
| Primary | 56.6 | 16.6 | 18.7 | 30.1 | 4.8 | 4,721 | 46.6 | 31.0 | 24.2 | 33.6 | 10.4 | 938 |
| Secondary | 19.5 | 50.1 | 57.6 | 57.5 | 28.6 | 2,007 | 16.3 | 65.0 | 56.7 | 58.1 | 34.9 | 686 |
| Higher | 3.9 | 77.4 | 86.2 | 77.6 | 58.5 | 226 | 4.8 | 86.8 | 76.9 | 78.0 | 58.9 | 98 |
| Total | 49.1 | 24.6 | 28.8 | 36.3 | 11.6 | 8,021 | 34.8 | 44.6 | 38.0 | 44.4 | 21.3 | 1,849 |

NA $=$ Not applicable

Virtually all women who are currently employed in Copperbelt, Eastern, Lusaka, North-Western and Southern Provinces earn cash, while in Western Province the majority of women who work do not receive cash payment. There is a considerable variation of employment status by the woman's level of education. Nine in ten women with higher than secondary education are employed by a non-relative with cash payment. On the other hand, eight in ten women with no education are self-employed. Among these women, 20 percent did not receive cash earnings.

Table 2.14 Employment
Percent distribution of women by employment status and continuity of employment, according to background charactenstics,
Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Not currently employed |  | Currently employed |  |  |  | Missing | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Did not work in last 12 months | Worked in last 12 months | All year |  | Seasonally | Occasionally |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5+\text { days } \\ & \text { per week } \end{aligned}$ | $<5 \text { days }$ per week |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 74.3 | 1.9 | 5.7 | 1.5 | 11.8 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,003 |
| 20-24 | 54.7 | 2.6 | 14.8 | 3.0 | 19.1 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,830 |
| 25-29 | 42.9 | 3.7 | 23.3 | 2.6 | 20.8 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,286 |
| 30-34 | 38.8 | 2.2 | 24.4 | 4.6 | 22.5 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,081 |
| 35-39 | 38.7 | 2.4 | 29.1 | 2.2 | 22.2 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 758 |
| 40-44 | 36.2 | 1.9 | 31.2 | 3.9 | 22.2 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 568 |
| 45-49 | 41.8 | 1.7 | 18.8 | 1.5 | 28.9 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 494 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.1 | 3.6 | 29.4 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,604 |
| Rural | 51.8 | 1.5 | 8.6 | 2.6 | 29.9 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,417 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 46.4 | 3.5 | 20.4 | 4.0 | 21.6 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 653 |
| Copperbelt | 57.5 | 4.3 | 24.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,588 |
| Eastern | 73.1 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 17.9 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,075 |
| Luapula | 34.5 | 0.6 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 48.1 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 726 |
| Lusaka | 54.9 | 3.2 | 33.4 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,403 |
| Northern | 34.4 | 3.7 | 11.6 | 4.5 | 36.2 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 872 |
| North-Western | 25.6 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 42.8 | 22.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 288 |
| Southern | 63.0 | 1.5 | 14.4 | 2.3 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 816 |
| Western | 42.3 | 1.1 | 12.9 | 4.9 | 36.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 600 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 55.7 | 1.3 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 28.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,067 |
| Primary | 51.6 | 2.5 | 14.4 | 2.9 | 22.0 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,721 |
| Secondary | 54.9 | 2.9 | 24.5 | 2.9 | 8.9 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,007 |
| Higher | 15.1 | 1.8 | 75.5 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Total ${ }^{3}$ | 51.9 | 2.4 | 18.0 | 2.7 | 19.1 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,021 |

${ }^{1}$ Total includes one woman with missing information on education

## Occupation

Tables 2.16 .1 and 2.16 .2 show data on employed women and men by their current occupation. For those working in agriculture, the data are presented by type of land holding. The agriculture sector accounts for 36 percent of employed women 15-49. Four in ten women are engaged in sales and services, while 10-11 percent of women work in skilled manual jobs.

## Table 2.15 Employer and form of earnings

Percent distribution of currently employed women by employer and form of eamings, according to background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Self-employed |  | Employed by a non-relative |  | Employed by a relative |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earns cash | Docs not earn cash | Earns cash | Does not earn cash | Earns cash | Does not earn cash | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 47.8 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 1.2 | 16.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 475 |
| 20-24 | 55.3 | 14.0 | 15.4 | 0.8 | 9.7 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 780 |
| 25-29 | 63.2 | 10.2 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 685 |
| 30-34 | 67.1 | 8.3 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 637 |
| 35-39 | 59.7 | 11.8 | 17.9 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 447 |
| 40-44 | 54.7 | 12.7 | 22.5 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 351 |
| 45-49 | 61.0 | 19.3 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 60.1 | 3.1 | 29.1 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,596 |
| Rural | 57.7 | 19.0 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,059 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 48.9 | 23.6 | 15.3 | 1.4 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 327 |
| Copperbelt | 73.0 | 0.4 | 21.6 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 604 |
| Eastern | 89.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 283 |
| Luapula | 57.6 | 25.2 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 471 |
| Lusaka | 52.4 | 0.2 | 38.3 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 588 |
| Northern | 47.1 | 18.1 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 18.2 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 540 |
| North-Western | 81.8 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 212 |
| Southern | 67.9 | 2.9 | 204 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 290 |
| Western | 26.6 | 35.4 | 8.9 | 0.6 | 8.6 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 59.5 | 19.5 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 459 |
| Primary | 63.7 | 14.2 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,161 |
| Secondary | 565 | 5.1 | 28.3 | 0.4 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 847 |
| Higher | 10.8 | 0.4 | 86.6 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 188 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 58.8 | 12.1 | 15.4 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3,655 |

${ }^{1}$ Total includes one woman with missing information on education

Among men, 28 percent are not working, while 36 percent (or half of those who are employed) work in agricultural jobs. A large proportion of men age 15-19 are not working because most of them are in school. Men in their 30s and 40s are more likely to be engaged in professional, technical and management services, while sales and services are popular among women at all ages. As expected, rural respondents are more likely to be employed in agriculture, whilst the majority of urban women and men who are employed are involved in the professional, technical and management sector, the sales and services sector, and skilled manual jobs. One's education is associated with the type of occupation one does; those with no education are more likely to be engaged in agriculture, while women and men with higher than secondary education tend to work in professional, technical and management positions.

## Table 2.16.1 Occupation: women

Percent distribution of currently employed women by occupation and type of agricultural land worked or type of non-agricultural employment, according to background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background charactenstic | Agricultural |  |  |  | Non-agricultural |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Numbe of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Own land | Family land | Rented land | Other's land | Prof. tech./ manag. | Sales/ services | Skilled manual | Unskilled manual | Household and domestic | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.4 | 23.7 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 44.3 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 475 |
| 20-24 | 20.1 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 42.8 | 8.8 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 780 |
| 25-29 | 18.7 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 9.0 | 45.2 | 12.7 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 685 |
| 30-34 | 22.2 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 42.5 | 11.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 637 |
| 35-39 | 22.5 | 9.6 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 13.1 | 39.0 | 8.5 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 447 |
| 40-44 | 23.8 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 16.6 | 34.0 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 351 |
| 45-49 | 35.3 | 12.0 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 33.1 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 17.1 | 61.1 | 9.0 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,596 |
| Rural | 35.7 | 21.5 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 26.0 | 10.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,059 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 13.7 | 22.7 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 9.0 | 41.4 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 327 |
| Copperbelt | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 14.2 | 68.9 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 604 |
| Eastern | 33.3 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 24.4 | 32.0 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 283 |
| Luapula | 57.3 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 471 |
| Lusaka | 0.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 20.1 | 55.3 | 8.6 | 2.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 588 |
| Northern | 26.1 | 33.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 29.9 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 540 |
| North-Western | 49.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 25.7 | 17.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 212 |
| Southern | 7.2 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 60.6 | 11.8 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 290 |
| Western | 24.8 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 22.6 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 40.5 | 17.4 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 25.6 | 10.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 459 |
| Primary | 24.7 | 16.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 43.0 | 9.6 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,161 |
| Secondary | 6.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 16.3 | 53.6 | 11.7 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 847 |
| Higher | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 88.7 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 188 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 21.3 | 12.8 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 8.6 | 41.3 | 9.8 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,655 |

Note: The "professional, technical, managerial" category includes professional, technical, clerical and managerial occupations.
${ }^{1}$ Total includes one woman with missing information on education

## Decisionmaking On Use of Earnings

Women in employment receiving cash earnings were asked who decides on the use of their earnings. More than half of women reported making the decision themselves, 16 percent involved their husband/partner, and for 21 percent of women the decision was made by their husband/partner (Table 2.17). There is little difference by age in decisionmaking regarding earnings, except that younger women are more likely to have someone other than a husband decide how their earnings are spent, presumably because a lower proportion of younger women are married.

## Table 2.16.2 Occupation: men

Percent distribution of men by employment status, occupation and type of agricultural land worked or type of non-agricultural employment, according to background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Not currently working | Agricultural |  |  |  | Non-agricultural |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Own land | Family land | Rented land | Other's land | Prof. tech/ manag. | Sales/ services | Skilled manual | Unskilled manual | Household and domestic | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 70.4 | 1.4 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 28.2 | 8.5 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 3.4 | 15.0 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 9.9 | 18.9 | 13.9 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 17.0 | 19.5 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 255 |
| 30-34 | 7.4 | 24.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 19.3 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 6.0 | 25.5 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 10.6 | 20.3 | 10.2 | 14.8 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 184 |
| 40-44 | 4.2 | 27.6 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 10.6 | 20.6 | 17.2 | 4.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 7.2 | 24.8 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 8.9 | 12.7 | 22.0 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83 |
| 50-54 | 10.3 | 40.3 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 17.0 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 65 |
| 55-59 | 14.0 | 61.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 7.0 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 52 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 33.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 12.1 | 18.6 | 19.5 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 852 |
| Rural | 23.1 | 29.5 | 22.2 | 0.2 | 9.6 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 997 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 34.3 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 13.0 | 8.4 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 38.1 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 9.0 | 14.6 | 23.0 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 396 |
| Eastern | 13.7 | 39.7 | 30.5 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 254 |
| Luapula | 22.5 | 9.1 | 27.9 | 1.0 | 20.4 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Lusaka | 30.8 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 13.6 | 18.6 | 15.5 | 4.9 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 316 |
| Northern | 25.0 | 30.5 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 2.1 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 221 |
| North-Western | 19.5 | 38.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 12.1 | 6.3 | 10.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 48 |
| Southern | 25.2 | 26.2 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 173 |
| Western | 27.4 | 15.5 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 6.0 | 15.2 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 19.0 | 30.7 | 23.5 | 0.7 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Primary | 26.7 | 21.0 | 16.9 | 0.2 | 8.6 | 0.6 | 10.3 | 7.8 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 938 |
| Secondary | 33.4 | 9.7 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 9.3 | 15.0 | 16.3 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100,0 | 686 |
| Higher | 11.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 55.1 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98 |
| Total | 27.9 | 16.4 | 12.4 | 0.1 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,849 |

Note: The "professional, technical, managerial" category includes professional, technical, clerical and managerial occupations.

Urban women are more likely than their rural counterparts to have their say in the use of cash they earned. Rural women tend to have their husbands make this decision. Women in the most urbanised parts of the country, Copperbelt and Lusaka Provinces, are most likely to decide for themselves, as are women in Southem Province. In Eastem Province, 47 percent of respondents decide themselves how to spend their earnings, while 45 percent report that their husbands decide. As expected, unmarried women are more likely to make the decision regarding their cash earnings than married women ( 84 percent compared to 43 percent). Among married women, three in ten say their husbands make the decision, 43 percent make the decision themselves, and 24 percent share the decision with their husbands/partners. The likelihood of making a decision on cash earnings increases with the woman's level of education. The proportion of women who decide for themselves how to spend their cash earnings is 49 percent among women with no education compared to 67 percent for women who have higher than secondary level of education.

Table 2.17 Decisions on use of eamings
Percent distribution of women receiving cash earnings by person who decides on use of earnings, according to background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Person who decides how earnings are used |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Self only | Husband/ partner | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jointly } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { husband/ } \\ \text { partner } \end{gathered}$ | Someone else | Jointly with someone | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 50.9 | 15.4 | 4.7 | 24.9 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 360 |
| 20-24 | 52.6 | 25.8 | 14.3 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 627 |
| 25-29 | 57.2 | 21.5 | 17.8 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 595 |
| 30-34 | 59.0 | 21.5 | 17.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 560 |
| 35-39 | 59.8 | 20.1 | 19.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 370 |
| 40-44 | 66.9 | 13.2 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 287 |
| 45-49 | 58.7 | 24.5 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 210 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 69.2 | 10.7 | 14.9 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,517 |
| Rural | 44.9 | 31.3 | 16.2 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,493 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 52.6 | 30.4 | 9.5 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 239 |
| Copperbelt | 65.0 | 9.4 | 20.2 | 1.8 | 32 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 592 |
| Eastern | 47.3 | 45.2 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 282 |
| Luapula | 43.9 | 33.8 | 17.6 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 317 |
| Lusaka | 73.0 | 9.6 | 11.8 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 573 |
| Northern | 44.3 | 28.5 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 381 |
| North-Western | 43.9 | 16.3 | 37.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 197 |
| Southern | 63.3 | 14.5 | 17.1 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 278 |
| Western | 58.5 | 20.5 | 11.7 | 7.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 49.4 | 32.0 | 13.3 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 319 |
| Primary | 52.7 | 25.0 | 15.3 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,722 |
| Secondary | 67.9 | 11.3 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 781 |
| Higher | 67.4 | 4.8 | 27.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 186 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 42.9 | 32.1 | 23.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,953 |
| Not married | 83.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,057 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 57.2 | 20.9 | 15.5 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3,010 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes one woman with missing information on education

## Child Care

Working mothers with children under 5 were asked who took care of their children while they were at work. Table 2.18 shows that six in ten employed women have one or more children below the age of six living with them. Among these respondents who also work away from home, the most common caretaker of the children is the respondent herself, followed by other relatives and female children. The role of the husband or partner and male children in looking after children when the mother is away for work is minimal. This is true in all subgroups of employed women, except in urban areas and Lusaka, where a sizeable proportion of young children are taken care of by servants or hired help. Hired help is also common among children of women who work for someone else, most of whom are employed in the formal sector.

Table 2.18 Child care while working
Percentage of employed women (i.e., worked in the last 12 months) who have a child under six years of age, and the percent distribution of employed mothers who work away from home by person who cares for child while mother is at work, according to background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background charactenstic | Employed women |  | Child's caretaker, among employed women who work away from home and have children < 6 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of employed mothers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One or more children $<6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | Re-spondent | Husband/ partner | Other female child | Other male child | Other relative | Neighbor/ fruend | Servant/ hired help | Child is in school/ institutional care | Not worked since birth of child | Other | Missing |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 55.1 | 1,596 | 20.0 | 2.7 | 20.0 | 3.0 | 39.5 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 16 | 1000 | 562 |
| Rural | 622 | 2,056 | 48.0 | 27 | 18.7 | 5.7 | 20.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 00 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 870 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 62.8 | 327 | 28.8 | 3.9 | 18.8 | 4.2 | 33.8 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 14 | 100.0 | 136 |
| Copperbelt | 55.9 | 604 | 18.7 | 2.1 | 21.5 | 5.2 | 385 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 193 |
| Eastern | 63.7 | 283 | 58.4 | 14 | 119 | 2.7 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Luapula | 64.0 | 471 | 33.1 | 1.6 | 24.1 | 6.8 | 295 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 261 |
| Lusaka | 53.4 | 588 | 17.6 | 2.8 | 12.4 | 1.3 | 44.3 | 1.5 | 13.7 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 183 |
| Northern | 62.7 | 540 | 60.8 | 2.2 | 17.8 | 3.1 | 12.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 00 | 100.0 | 253 |
| North-Western | 64.1 | 212 | 59.1 | 0.6 | 138 | 0.6 | 24.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 85 |
| Southern | 55.4 | 290 | 25.3 | 8.5 | 197 | 7.5 | 31.3 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 09 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Western | 54.8 | 340 | 43.1 | 3.1 | 24.6 | 8.8 | 14.6 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 158 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 60.1 | 459 | 41.7 | 2.6 | 306 | 4.2 | 13.6 | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 187 |
| Primary | 61.2 | 2,162 | 43.6 | 31 | 201 | 5.7 | 23.3 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 04 | 10 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 867 |
| Secondary | 56.3 | 847 | 243 | 2.0 | 115 | 2.1 | 46.6 | 14 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 297 |
| Higher | 45.0 | 188 | 17 | 0.6 | 11.7 | 4.4 | 38.8 | 0.9 | 315 | 6.2 | 33 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Work status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For family mernber | 55.4 | 484 | 45.8 | 2.7 | 21.8 | 4.5 | 21.9 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 202 |
| For someone else | 40.7 | 581 | 6.6 | 1.7 | 12.7 | 2.3 | 49.6 | 2.1 | 17.0 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 220 |
| Self-employed | 63.9 | 2,589 | 41.9 | 2.9 | 202 | 5.2 | 24.2 | 18 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,010 |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural | 65.2 | 1,319 | 53.1 | 1.5 | 20.3 | 5.6 | 16.4 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 04 | 04 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 704 |
| Non-agricultural | 55.7 | 2,334 | 21.6 | 3.9 | 18.2 | 38 | 38.8 | 1.5 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 727 |
| Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All year, full-time | 54.6 | 1,440 | 19.2 | 2.2 | 20.9 | 3.5 | 37.0 | 2.1 | 9.2 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1000 | 506 |
| All year, part-time | 66.2 | 214 | 35.1 | 6.3 | 15.6 | 5.7 | 28.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 68 |
| Seasonal | 63.3 | 1,532 | 499 | 1.8 | 19.5 | 5.6 | 19.9 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 05 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 734 |
| Occasional | 55.7 | 467 | 35.1 | 7.8 | 13.0 | 3.3 | 37.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 123 |
| Total | 59.1 | 3,655 | 37.0 | 2.7 | 19.2 | 4.7 | 27.8 | 16 | 3.3 | 09 | 07 | 09 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1.432 |

Note: Totals include one woman with work status missing, two wornen with occupation missing, and two women with employment status missing Figures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.

## CHAPTER 3

## FERTILITY

Fertility measures presented in this chapter are based on the reported reproductive histories of women in the age range of 15 to 49 years who were interviewed in the ZDHS. Each woman was asked to report the number of sons and daughters she had ever given birth to who were living with her, the number living away, and the number who had died. For each live birth, she was asked to report the name, sex, and date of birth. For living children, the children's ages and whether or not they were living with her were recorded. For deceased children, the age at death was also collected. This information allows for the calculation of completed fertility (number of children ever born) and current fertility.

This chapter also analyses levels of fertility by selected background characteristics of women which include age, residence, and education level. Factors related to fertility, including age at first birth, birth intervals, and teenage childbearing are also analysed.

### 3.1 Fertility Levels and Trends

Age-specific fertility rates for the three-year period preceding the survey are shown in Table 3.1, along with data from the 1980 and 1990 censuses and the 1992 ZDHS for comparison. The census estimates refer to the single years preceding the censuses (i.e., 1979 and 1989), while the DHS estimates refer to the three-year periods preceding the surveys. The sum of the age-specific fertility rates (known as the total fertility rate) is a useful means of summarising the level of fertility. It can be interpreted as the number of children a woman would have by the end of her childbearing years if she were to pass through those years bearing children at the currently observed rates. If fertility were to remain constant at the levels measured in the 1996 ZDHS, a Zambian woman would bear 6.1 children in her lifetime. This is lower than the rates from the previous sources, implying a decline of about 15 percent over the past 16 years. The pace of the fertility decline is slightly faster during the 1990 to 1996 period ( 9 percent) than during the $1980-90$ period ( 7 percent).

Figure 3.1 shows that the most of the decline in fertility from 1990 is due to lower age-specific fertility rates for women 30 years and older. At the same time, while the peak of the age-specific fertility rates in 1990 is in the 25-29 age group, in the last two surveys the peak had shifted to age 20-24.

Age-specific fertility rates for the three-year period prior to the survey by urban and rural residence are presented in Table 3.2. In general, women in rural areas have about two more children than those in urban arcas (6.9 births comparcd to 5.1 births). The most significant differences are found in women under age 30 . Figure 3.2 shows that in urban areas, age-specific fertility rates peak at age $25-29$, while in rural areas the peak is at age 20-24.

Table 3.1_Age-specific fertility rates over time
Age-specific fertility rates as adjusted in the 1980 and 1990 censuses and as reported in the 1992 and 1996 ZDHS

| Age group | Census <br> 1980 | Census <br> 1990 | ZDHS <br> 1992 | ZDHS <br> 1996 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | 153 | 94 | 156 | 158 |
| $20-24$ | 318 | 267 | 294 | 280 |
| $25-29$ | 323 | 294 | 271 | 274 |
| $30-34$ | 289 | 272 | 242 | 229 |
| $35-39$ | 225 | 226 | 194 | 175 |
| $40-44$ | 115 | 129 | 105 | 77 |
| $45-49$ | 17 | 59 | 31 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TFR $15-49$ | 7.2 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.1 |

Note: The ZDHS rates refer to the three-year period preceding the surveys. The census figures were estimated using the Gompertz function, and refer to the year preceding the census.

Source: Gaisie et al., 1993; Central Statistical Office, 1995

Figure 3.1
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Figure 3.2
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| Table 3.2 Current fertility rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age-specific and cumulative fertility rates and the crude birth rate for the three years preceding the survey, by urban-rural residence, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age group | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 15-19 | 127 | 184 | 158 |
| 20-24 | 236 | 318 | 280 |
| 25-29 | 248 | 297 | 274 |
| 30-34 | 211 | 243 | 229 |
| 35-39 | 137 | 203 | 175 |
| 40-44 | 50 | 97 | 77 |
| 45-49 | 8 | 32 | 24 |
| TFR 15-49 | 5.08 | 6.86 | 6.08 |
| TFR 15-44 | 5.04 | 6.70 | 5.96 |
| GFR | 182 | 239 | 213 |
| CBR | 43.7 | 46.1 | 45.2 |
| Note: Rates are for the period 1-36 months preceding the survey. Rates for age group 45-49 may be slightly biased due to truncation. <br> TFR: Total fertility rate, expressed per woman |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| GFR: General fertility rate (births divided by number of women 15-44), expressed per 1,000 women |  |  |  |
| CBR: Crude birth rate, expressed per 1,000population |  |  |  |

Differences in fertility according to selected background characteristics of women are shown in Table 3.3. There is considerable variation in fertility among the provinces. The total fertility rate (TFR) is more than 7 births per woman in Northern and Eastern Provinces. On the other hand, the TFR is lowest in Lusaka Province, where women average more than two fewer births than women in Northern and Eastern Provinces ( 4.9 vs. more than 7 births per woman). Women in Copperbelt and Western Provinces also have relatively low TFR compared to women in other provinces (see Figure 3.3).

Women's level of education appears to have an inverse relationship with their fertility. Women who have had no education have the highest TFR, while those who have completed higher levels of education tend to have fewer children.

The 1996 ZDHS data show that 12 percent of women in reproductive age are currently pregnant. Lusaka Province, which has the lowest TFR, also has the smallest percentage of currently pregnant women ( 10 percent). Eastern and Central Provinces, on the other hand, have the largest percentage of currently pregnant women ( 13 percent).

Another measure of trends in fertility is comparing the TFR with the mean number of children ever bom to women at the end of their childbearing period, age 40-49. While the total fertility rate is a measure of current fertility, the latter measures past or completed fertility. Overall, women age $40-49$ report having given birth to an average of 7.3 children. Comparing this with the TFR of 6.1 is another indication that there has been a decline in fertility of about one child over the last 20 years or so.

| Table 3.3 Fertility by background characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total fertility rate for the three years preceding the survey, percentage currently pregnant, and mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { fertility } \\ & \text { [ate } \end{aligned}$ | Percentage currently pregnant | Mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.08 | 9.9 | 7.11 |
| Rural | 6.86 | 12.7 | 7.45 |
| Province |  |  |  |
| Central | 6.25 | 13.3 | 7.24 |
| Copperbelt | 5.59 | 10.9 | 7.57 |
| Eastern | 7.06 | 13.5 | 7.49 |
| Luapula | 6.83 | 11.6 | 7.87 |
| Lusaka | 4.87 | 9.8 117 | 6.79 7.91 |
| Northern | 7.23 | 11.7 | 7.91 |
| North-Western | 6.23 | 10.8 | 7.36 6.84 |
| Southern | ${ }_{5}^{6.16}$ | 12.1 | 6.84 |
| Western | 5.53 | 9.9 | 6.70 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.82 | 13.2 | 7.72 |
| Primary | 6.66 | 12.4 | 7.48 |
| Secondary+ | 4.53 | 8.7 | 6.16 |
| Total | 6.08 | 11.5 | 7.31 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Women age 15-49 years |  |  |  |

Table 3.4 shows fertility trends in the four five-year periods before the survey. These data were derived from the respondents' birth histories. Figures in brackets represent partial fertility rates due to "truncation"-the fact that women age 50 years and older were not included in the survey-and the further back into time rates are calculated, the more severe is the truncation. For example, rates cannot be calculated for women in age group 45-49 for the period $5-9$ years before the survey because those women would have been age $50-$ 60 at the time of the survey and were not interviewed. The data show that fertility has been declining in all age groups, except at ages $20-24$, where fertility remained at the same level in the periods $5-9$ and 0-4 years prior to the survey.

Table 3.5 presents fertility rates for ever-married women by duration since first marriage for four five-year periods preceding the survey. The table is similar to Table 3.4 except that it is confined to ever-married women, and the woman's age is replaced by marriage duration at the birth of the child. Data in this table confirm the findings presented in Table 3.4: fertility has declined at all marriage durations.

### 3.2 Children Ever Born

The distribution of women and men in different age categories by the number of children ever born is shown in Table 3.6 for all women and for currently married women, and for all men and currently married men. The mean number of children ever born and the mean number of living children in each five-year age group are also shown in the table.

On average, women have given birth to three children by their late twenties, six children by their late thirties, and almost eight children at the end of their reproductive years. The most significant difference between all women and currently married women is found in the youngest age group, because many women have not been married. Differences at older ages, though minimal, generally reflect the impact of marital dissolution (either divorce or widowhood).

The parity distribution for older, currently married women provides a measure of primary infertility-the proportion of women who are unable to have children at all. Voluntary childlessness is rare in Zambia, and married women with no live births are most likely unable to bear children. The ZDHS results suggest that primary infertility is low, with only about one percent of Zambian women unable to bear children. It should be noted that this estimate of primary infertility does not include women who may have had one or more births but who are unable to have more (secondary infertility).

Figure 3.3
Total Fertility Rates by Province


## Table 3.4 Trends in age-specific fertility rates

Age-specific fertility rates for five-year periods preceding the survey, by woman's age at the time of birth, Zambia 1996

| Woman's <br> age at hirth | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | 10-14 | $15-19$ |
|  | 156 | 166 | 179 | 198 |
| $20-24$ | 285 | 284 | 303 | 322 |
| $25-29$ | 270 | 280 | 304 | 319 |
| $30-34$ | 231 | 257 | 277 | $[292]$ |
| $35-39$ | 174 | 188 | $[269]$ | - |
| $40-44$ | 76 | $[137]$ | - | - |
| $45-49$ | $[26]$ | - | - | - |

Note: Age-specific fertility rates are per 1,000 women. Estimates in brackets are truncated.

The distribution of women by number of children ever born shows that one-quarter of women 15-19 have had at least one child, twothirds of women 30-34 have four or more children, and one in three women 45-49 have ten or more children.

A comparison between currently married women and currently married men shows that for all age groups, men have fewer children than women. This is to be expected, since men marry and have children later than women. However, the gap narrows at older ages (eight children for both women and men age 4549).

| Table 3.5 Trends in fertility by marital duration |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ferility rates for ever-married women by number of years since first marriage, for five-year periods preceding the survey, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |
| Marriage | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |
| birth (years) | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |
| 0-4 | 348 | 345 | 356 | 365 |
| 5-9 | 296 | 306 | 319 | 340 |
| 10-14 | 261 | 267 | 297 | 325 |
| 15-19 | 202 | 240 | 295 | [282] |
| 20-24 | 150 | 189 | [243] | - |
| 25-29 | 67 | [113] | - | - |

Note: Duration-specific fertility rates are per 1,000 women. Estimates in brackets are truncated.

### 3.3 Birth Intervals

Research has shown that children born too close to a previous birth are at increased risk of dying. The risk is particularly high when the interval between births is less than two years. The percent distribution of births in the five years before survey by the number of months since the previous birth is shown in Table 3.7 by demographic and socioeconomic characteristics. First births have been excluded from the table.

The median birth interval is 32 months, 8 months longer than the minimum length considered safe. One in five births in the fiveyear period preceding the survey occurred less than two years after a previous birth, 45 percent between two to three years, and 36 percent three years or more after a previous birth. The age of the mother and birth order have a direct relationship with the length of the birth interval; younger women tend to have shorter birth intervals than older women. There is no significant variation in birth intervals by the sex of the child or urban-rural residence. As expected, children whose preceding sibling died have a shorter birth interval than those whose older sibling survived ( 33 months compared to 27 months), presumably because parents are eager to "replace" the child who died.

The median interval between births varies by province, ranging between 31 and 36 months. The interval is significantly higher in Western Province ( 36 months). Birth intervals also vary by mother's level of education. The median ranges between 32 and 33 months for children whose mothers had up to secondary education; however, for children whose mothers had attended higher education, the interval is 6 months longer (39 months).

### 3.4 Age at First Birth

The age at which childbearing begins has important demographic consequences for society as well as health consequences for the mother and child. From the demographic point of view, early initiation into childbearing is generally a major determinant of large family size and rapid population growth, particularly in countries where family planning is not widely used. From the health perspective, bearing children at a young age involves substantial risks to the health of both the mother and child. For instance, high maternal mortality rates are associated with frequent births and young and old age of mother. Early childbearing also tends to restrict educational and economic opportunities for women.

The distribution of women by age at first birth and current age is shown in Table 3.8. The majority of women in Zambia become mothers before reaching age 20. Older women started childbearing earlier than younger women, at a median age of 18.2 years among women $45-49$ compared to 19.0 years among women $20-24$. While about 45 percent of women age 35 years and over had their first child before age 18, the corresponding percentage among women $20-24$ years is 35 percent, indicating a trend towards delayed childbearing.

Differentials in age at first birth by background characteristics are shown in Table 3.9. The median age at first birth for women aged 20-49 is 18.7 years. Generally speaking, variation in age at first birth is not pronounced in Zambia, except that urban women have a slightly higher median age at first birth than rural women ( 19.1 years compared to 18.5 years).

Table 3.6 Children ever borm and living
Percent distribution of ali women and currently married women age 15-49 and all men and currently married men age 15-59 by number of children ever bom (CEB) and mean number ever bom and living, according to five-year age groups, Zambia 1996

| Age group | Number of children ever born (CEB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of wornen | Mean no. of CEB | Mean no. of living children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |  |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 761 | 19.8 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 2,003 | 0.28 | 0.24 |
| 20-24 | 21.2 | 28.0 | 28.1 | 162 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 1,830 | 1.60 | 1.30 |
| 25-29 | 8.1 | 14.1 | 16.4 | 22.0 | 20.9 | 127 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 00 | 0.1 | 00 | 100.0 | 1,286 | 2.96 | 2.44 |
| 30.34 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 10.5 | 127 | 14.0 | 17.5 | 16.1 | 11.9 | 43 | 1.8 | 05 | 100.0 | 1,081 | 4.46 | 3.61 |
| 35-39 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 9.6 | 11.7 | 145 | 11.4 | 13.7 | 9.8 | 8.6 | 1000 | 758 | 5.90 | 4.86 |
| 40-44 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 12.5 | 11.4 | 12.9 | 125 | 18.6 | 1000 | 568 | 6.85 | 5.63 |
| 45-49 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 32 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 14.2 | 12.7 | 31.4 | 1000 | 494 | 7.84 | 6.05 |
| Total | 26.0 | 15.5 | 12.4 | 10.2 | 82 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 8,021 | 3.04 | 2.46 |


| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 35.2 | 51.4 | 12.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 100.0 | 498 | 0.79 | 0.66 |
| 20.24 | 10.5 | 254 | 33.9 | 21.4 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,207 | 1.95 | 1.59 |
| 25-29 | 4.4 | 9.3 | 15.8 | 23.6 | 24.0 | 15.7 | 5.8 | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 969 | 3.31 | 274 |
| 30.34 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 8.7 | 11.6 | 14.0 | 18.9 | 17.4 | 13.7 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 857 | 4.76 | 388 |
| 35-39 | 0.6 | 4.9 | 56 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 11.3 | 138 | 12.5 | 15.4 | 12.0 | 102 | 100.0 | 586 | 6.29 | 522 |
| 40-44 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 15.0 | 21.6 | 100.0 | 419 | 723 | 5.96 |
| 45-49 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 129 | 12.9 | 35.7 | 100.0 | 367 | 806 | 6.28 |
| Total | 7.8 | 15.1 | 15.4 | 133 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 49 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 4,902 | 402 | 3.28 |
| ALL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 98.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 460 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 20-24 | 68.1 | 18.1 | 10.8 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 404 | 0.50 | 0.41 |
| 25-29 | 27.1 | 22.7 | 22.2 | 13.2 | 101 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 255 | 1.73 | 1.40 |
| 30-34 | 12.2 | 9.7 | 14.6 | 16.6 | 168 | 14.2 | 8.3 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1000 | 225 | 3.35 | 2.69 |
| 35-39 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 7.0 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 17.4 | 15.5 | 8.5 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 184 | 5.11 | 421 |
| 40.44 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 7.7 | 105 | 11.4 | 15.6 | 12.8 | 10.5 | 67 | 13.5 | 1000 | 121 | 6.23 | 5.24 |
| 45-49 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 73 | 11.1 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 114 | 28.0 | 100.0 | 83 | 7.78 | 6.22 |
| 50-54 | 00 | 10 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 14.0 | 112 | 48.7 | 1000 | 65 | 9.50 | 7.89 |
| 55.59 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 135 | 51 | 15.7 | 4.4 | 48.8 | 100.0 | 52 | 9.72 | 7.57 |
| Total | 450 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 53 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 17 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 1,849 | 2.64 | 2.15 |

CURRENTLY MARRIED MEN

| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 3 | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-24 | 15.2 | 43.9 | 31.8 | 6.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1000 | 115 | 1.39 | 1.10 |
| 25-29 | 8.8 | 244 | 28.5 | 17.4 | 14.3 | 3.3 | 33 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 1000 | 174 | 2.27 | 1.85 |
| 30-34 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 15.2 | 18.3 | 18.9 | 15.8 | 9.9 | 52 | 28 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 190 | 3.74 | 301 |
| 35-39 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 57 | 161 | 14.8 | 18.8 | 16.5 | 9.3 | 55 | 2.6 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 161 | 5.44 | 451 |
| 40-44 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 10.7 | 116 | 167 | 13.7 | 11.3 | 66 | 144 | 100.0 | 113 | 6.47 | 5.48 |
| 45-49 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 00 | 6.5 | 9.3 | 11.8 | 13.1 | 130 | 12.3 | 303 | 100.0 | 77 | 8.07 | 638 |
| 50-54 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 40 | 00 | 34 | 70 | 7.1 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 499 | 100.0 | 61 | 9.64 | 792 |
| 55-59 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 55 | 14.4 | 5.5 | 16.8 | 1.7 | 483 | 100.0 | 49 | 9.73 | 763 |
| Total | 5.1 | 12.4 | 14.0 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 98 | 9.6 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 32 | 113 | 100.0 | 944 | 4.84 | 3.94 |

Note: An astensk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed

## Table 3.7 Birthintervals

Percent distribution of non-first births in the five years preceding the survey by number of months since previous birth, according to demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Characteristic | Number of months since previous birh |  |  |  |  | Total | Median number of months since previous birth | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { birhs } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7-17 | 18-23 | 24-35 | 36-47 | $48+$ |  |  |  |
| Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.3 | 34.5 | 39.9 | 9.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 24.2 | 88 |
| 20-29 | 8.2 | 14.4 | 48.7 | 17.8 | 10.9 | 100.0 | 30.4 | 2,924 |
| 30-39 | 4.4 | 10.6 | 41.7 | 22.2 | 211 | 100.0 | 33.8 | 2,106 |
| $40+$ | 4.9 | 73 | 32.4 | 22.1 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 38.5 | 460 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 | 7.7 | 13.6 | 44.9 | 18.0 | 159 | 100.0 | 31.3 | 2,422 |
| 4-6 | 61 | 127 | 45.6 | 20.2 | 15.3 | 1000 | 31.7 | 2,010 |
| $7+$ | 5.1 | 10.7 | 41.9 | 22.4 | 199 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 1,145 |
| Sex of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 7.1 | 13.2 | 417 | 20.4 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 323 | 2.710 |
| Female | 6.1 | 12.1 | 472 | 19.0 | 15.5 | 100.0 | 31.6 | 2,868 |
| Survival of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living | 3.5 | 113 | 47.0 | 21.1 | 17.2 | 1000 | 32.7 | 4,485 |
| Dead | 19.5 | 183 | 34.6 | 14.1 | 135 | 1000 | 26.7 | 1,093 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.9 | 129 | 43.8 | 19.2 | 17.2 | 1000 | 32.0 | 2,165 |
| Rural | 64 | 12.6 | 45.0 | 20.0 | 16.1 | 100.0 | 31.8 | 3,413 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 7.0 | 99 | 451 | 20.1 | 17.8 | 1000 | 32.1 | 457 |
| Copperbelt | 7.4 | 15.6 | 450 | 15.9 | 16.2 | 100.0 | 31.0 | 1,042 |
| Eastern | 5.8 | 12.5 | 46.2 | 187 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 885 |
| Luapula | 8.3 | 13.3 | 460 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 100.0 | 30.8 | 540 |
| Lusaka | 6.4 | 12.0 | 43.3 | 20.8 | 17.6 | 100.0 | 32.2 | 808 |
| Northern | 70 | 15.3 | 40.2 | 229 | 14.7 | 100.0 | 32.3 | 684 |
| Norh-Western | 6.1 | 7.9 | 46.1 | 215 | 18.4 | 100.0 | 32.5 | 225 |
| Southern | 5.5 | 12.4 | 48.9 | 207 | 125 | 100.0 | 31.8 | 607 |
| Western | 4.9 | 70 | 38.6 | 26.6 | 229 | 100.0 | 35.8 | 329 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 61 | 12.3 | 425 | 20.1 | 19.1 | 1000 | 32.6 | 806 |
| Primary | 6.7 | 130 | 46.0 | 19.1 | 152 | 100.0 | 31.5 | 3,674 |
| Secondary | 63 | 12.5 | 42.7 | 21.5 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 32.8 | 1,003 |
| Higher | 9.1 | 6.5 | 261 | 19.1 | 39.3 | 100.0 | 39.2 | 94 |
| Total | 6.6 | 12.7 | 44.5 | 19.7 | 16.5 | 100.0 | 31.9 | 5,578 |

Note: First births are excluded The interval for multiple births is the number of months since the preceding pregnancy that ended in a live birth

### 3.5 Teenage Fertility

Fertility among teenagers (women under age 20 ) has received increasing attention from various institutions concerned with their welfare. Table 3.10 shows the percentage of women aged $15-19$ years who have become mothers or are pregnant with their first child. The sum of these two percentages represents the proportion of young women who have begun childbearing.

Table 3.8 Age at first birth
Percent distribution of women 15-49 by age at first birth, according to current age, Zambia 1996

| Current age | Women with no births | Age at first birth |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Median age at first birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <15 | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-24 | $25+$ |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 76.1 | 1.5 | 16.4 | 5.9 | NA | NA | NA | 100.0 | 2,003 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 20-24 | 21.2 | 4.5 | 30.8 | 28.1 | 12.4 | 3.1 | NA | 100.0 | 1,830 | 19.0 |
| 25-29 | 8.1 | 5.2 | 30.1 | 27.0 | 15.9 | 10.1 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1,286 | 19.0 |
| 30-34 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 35.0 | 23.9 | 16.2 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 1,081 | 18.7 |
| 35-39 | 1.4 | 8.8 | 36.9 | 26.4 | 14.0 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 758 | 18.3 |
| 40-44 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 36.6 | 27.4 | 14.2 | 8.8 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 568 | 18.4 |
| 45-49 | 0.9 | 10.5 | 36.9 | 21.1 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 494 | 18.2 |

$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable
Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ have had a birth by age $x$

## Table 3.9 Median age at first birth

Median age at first birth among women age 20-49 years, by current age and selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ 20-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ 25-49 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.4 | 19.6 | 19.3 | 18.5 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 19.1 | 19.0 |
| Rural | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.4 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.4 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 19.4 | 18.9 | 18.8 | (18.3) | (18.5) | (18.6) | 18.9 | 18.7 |
| Copperbelt | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.1 | 18.3 | (17.7) | (17.1) | 18.7 | 18.5 |
| Eastern | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 19.0 | (18.9) | 18.5 | 18.4 |
| Luapula | 19.1 | 18.8 | 18.0 | 18.2 | (17.8) | (17.8) | 18.4 | 18.2 |
| Lusaka | 19.3 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 18.5 | (19.3) | (17.9) | 19.3 | 19.3 |
| Northern | 18.8 | 19.2 | 18.8 | (18.2) | (18.9) | (18.8) | 18.8 | 18.8 |
| North-Western | 19.0 | 18.8 | 18.2 | (16.7) | \% | * | 18.4 | 18.1 |
| Southern | 18.6 | 18.8 | 18.0 | 18.1 | (18.2) | * | 18.5 | 18.4 |
| Western | 18.8 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 18.8 | (18.2) | (18.0) | 18.8 | 18.7 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.6 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 17.7 | 18.3 | 18.5 | 18.3 | 18.2 |
| Primary | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 17.9 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 18.3 | 18.2 |
| Secondary+ | a | 20.8 | * | * | 19.7 | * | a | 20.4 |
| Total | 19.0 | 19.0 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 18.2 | 18.7 | 18.6 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 women, while an asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ have had a birth by age $x$

Table 3.10 Adolescent pregnancy and motherhood
Percentage of women 15-19 who are mothers or pregnant with their first child, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage who are: |  | Percentage who have begun childbearing | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mothers | Pregnant with first child |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 398 |
| 16 | 10.7 | 4.6 | 15.3 | 419 |
| 17 | 20.3 | 8.0 | 28.3 | 379 |
| 18 | 34.4 | 11.8 | 46.1 | 406 |
| 19 | 52.1 | 7.4 | 59.4 | 401 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 20.4 | 6.2 | 26.6 | 956 |
| Rural | 27.0 | 7.5 | 34.4 | 1,048 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 24.3 | 8.0 | 32.3 | 151 |
| Copperbelt | 22.9 | 7.0 | 29.9 | 430 |
| Eastern | 28.1 | 6.9 | 35.0 | 235 |
| Luapula | 16.8 | 5.8 | 22.6 | 196 |
| Lusaka | 20.4 | 8.3 | 28.6 | 358 |
| Northem | 25.1 | 6.3 | 31.4 | 227 |
| North-Western | 30.9 | 7.2 | 38.0 | 58 |
| Southem | 27.1 | 6.4 | 33.5 | 195 |
| Westem | 28.2 | 4.5 | 32.7 | 154 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 36.9 | 11.1 | 48.1 | 168 |
| Primary | 25.9 | 7.1 | 33.1 | 1,234 |
| Secondary+ | 15.9 | 5.2 | 21.1 | 601 |
| Total | 23.9 | 6.8 | 30.7 | 2,003 |

Three of ten teenagers in Zambia have either already had a child ( 24 percent) or are pregnant with their first child ( 7 percent). Urban and better educated women tend to start childbearing later than rural women and women with less education. There is some variation between provinces. While in most provinces, one in four teenagers has become a mother, the proportion in Luapula is 17 percent and in North-Western almost twice as high ( 31 percent).

Whereas most teenage women who have begun childbearing have given birth only once, a small proportion have had two births. Table 3.11 shows the distribution of women age 15-19 by number of children ever born. Overall, three in four have not given birth, one in five has had one child, and 4 percent have two or more children.

## Table 3.11 Children born to adolescent women

Percent distribution of women 15-19 by number of children ever born (CEB), according to single years of age, Zambia 1996

|  | Number of <br> children ever born |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> number <br> of <br> Age |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | $2+$ | Total | Number <br> of <br> women |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.02 | 398 |
| 16 | 79.3 | 10.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.11 | 419 |
| 17 | 65.6 | 30.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 0.21 | 379 |
| 18 | 47.9 | 36.9 | 15.1 | 100.0 | 0.39 | 406 |
| 19 | 76.1 | 19.8 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 0.28 | 2.003 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER 4

## FERTILITY REGULATION

### 4.1 Knowledge of Contraception

Determining the level of knowledge of contraceptive methods and services was a major objective of the Zambia Demographic and Health Survey (ZDHS), since knowledge of specific methods, places where they can be obtained and the general accessibility of the methods and services is a precondition for their use. Information about knowledge of contraceptive methods was collected by asking both women and men to name ways or methods by which a couple or an individual could delay or avoid pregnancy. If the respondent failed to mention a particular method spontaneously, the interviewer described the method and asked if he/she recognised it. Eight modem methods-the pill, IUCD, injectables, implants, vaginal methods (foaming tablets, jelly, sponge, and diaphragm), condom, female sterilisation, and male sterilisation were described as well as two traditional methods--natural family planning (periodic abstinence or the rhythm method) and withdrawal. Any other methods mentioned by the respondent, such as herbs, strings, beads, roots, or breastfeeding, were also recorded.

Data in Table 4.1 indicate that knowledge of any contraceptive method among women age 15-49 and men age $15-59$ is almost universal; only 4 percent of women and men reported that they did not know any method of family planning. Except for condom, male sterilisation, and natural family planning, knowledge of individual methods is slightly higher among women than men. It is also higher among currently married women and men than among all women and men. Since it is currently married women and men who are at greatest risk of pregnancy, this chapter focuses primarily on them.

## Table 4.1 Knowledge of contraceptive methods

Percentage of all women $15-49$, of currently married women, of sexually active unmarried women, and of women with no sexual experience, and the percentage of all men 15-59, of currently married men, and of sexually active unmarried men who know specific contraceptive methods, by specific methods, Zambia 1996

| Contraceptive method | Women who know method |  |  |  | Men who know method |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All women | Currently married women | Sexually active unmarried women | No sexual experience | All men | Currently married men | Sexually active unmarried men |
| Any method | 95.8 | 98.4 | 96.9 | 80.7 | 96.2 | 99.0 | 99.2 |
| Any modern method | 95.1 | 97.7 | 96.6 | 80.3 | 96.0 | 98.6 | 99.0 |
| Pıll | 86.4 | 92.6 | 84.5 | 52.2 | 75.0 | 88.9 | 71.1 |
| IUCD | 42.4 | 47.9 | 41.0 | 13.1 | 27.5 | 33.8 | 24.2 |
| Injectables | 53.2 | 60.1 | 47.4 | 20.0 | 46.0 | 54.3 | 43.5 |
| Implants | 10.3 | 11.5 | 10.6 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 11.7 | 9.0 |
| Diaphragm/foam/jelly | 26.2 | 29.9 | 27.8 | 7.8 | 22.8 | 25.6 | 23.1 |
| Condom | 91.5 | 93.8 | 93.3 | 77.1 | 95.3 | 97.6 | 99.0 |
| Female sterilisation | 66.1 | 72.9 | 59.1 | 31.7 | 63.5 | 75.2 | 58.4 |
| Male sterilisation | 18.4 | 20.3 | 15.4 | 7.8 | 27.2 | 31.9 | 25.9 |
| Any traditional method | 64.8 | 74.6 | 59.4 | 21.6 | 70.7 | 83.6 | 67.2 |
| Natural family planning | 43.8 | 48.2 | 43.9 | 18.5 | 60.3 | 70.7 | 58.9 |
| Withdrawal | 53.8 | 64.9 | 47.5 | 6.7 | 53.6 | 68.5 | 45.7 |
| Other | 38.2 | 47.2 | 32.0 | 5.1 | 22.1 | 35.8 | 11.0 |
| Number of womenfmen | 8,021 | 4,902 | 492 | 944 | 1,849 | 944 | 303 |
| Mean number of methods | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 4.7 |

In general, women and men are more knowledgable about modern contraceptives than about traditional methods. Three in four married women and four in five married men indicated that they have some knowledge about a traditional method. The most widely known methods by both women and men are the pill and condoms, known by about 90 percent or more of married women and men, female sterilisation, known by more than seven in ten women and men, and injectables, known by 60 percent of married women and 54 percent of married men (see Figure 4.1). The IUCD is known by about half of married women and one-third of married men. Much less widely known among the modern methods are diaphragm/foam jelly (known by 30 percent of married women and 26 percent of married men) and male sterilisation, known by one-fifth of women and one-third of men. The least known method is implants ( 12 percent). Men are more likely to know about traditional methods than women; knowledge of withdrawal is 65 percent among married women and 69 percent among married men, while 48 percent of women and 71 percent of men know about natural family planning. Knowledge of many methods-the condom in particular-is almost as high among sexually active unmarried people as among married women and men.


Knowledge of contraceptive methods among women has increased over time. The proportion of all women who know at least one method has increased from 89 percent in 1992 to 96 percent in 1996, while the proportion of currently married women who know a method has increased from 94 to 98 over the same time frame. Knowledge of some specific methods shows a more dramatic increase. For example, knowledge of injectables increased from 43 percent of married women in 1992 to 60 percent in 1996 and knowledge of condoms increased from 73 to 94 percent of married women over the same period (Gaisie et al., 1993:37).

### 4.2 Knowledge of Methods by Couples

Because the ZDHS involved interviewing men living in a subsample of the households in which individual women were interviewed, it is possible to match women and men who were married or living together. Table 4.2 shows the distribution of these 822 couples according to the correspondence between husbands and wives about contraceptive knowledge. In 72 percent of the couples, both the husband and wife know at least one method of contraception; in 16 percent of couples, only the husband knows a method, in 10 percent, only the wife knows a method; and in 2 percent, neither the wife nor the husband knows a method of contraception. The pill and condom are commonly known among couples ( 85 percent or more) as opposed to implant, diaphragm/foam/jelly and male sterilisation (11 percent or less). Injectables and the IUCD are more commonly known to wives than their husbands, while male sterilisation and natural family planning are more known among husbands than their wives.

| Table 4.2 Knowledge of contracentive methods amone couples |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of couples by contraceptive knowledge, according to specific methods, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contraceptive method | $\begin{gathered} \text { Both } \\ \text { know } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Only husband knows method | Only wife knows method | Neither knows method | Total |
| Any method | 71.7 | 16.1 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 |
| Any modern method | 96.9 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Pill | 84.9 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| IUCD | 23.7 | 10.2 | 24.9 | 41.2 | 100.0 |
| Injectables | 35.2 | 18.7 | 25.4 | 20.7 | 100.0 |
| Implants | 2.6 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 78.8 | 100.0 |
| Diaphragm/Foam/Jelly | 10.8 | 14.9 | 19.3 | 55.0 | 100.0 |
| Condom | 93.5 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilisation | 58.3 | 18.2 | 15.4 | 8.1 | 100.0 |
| Male sterilisation | 8.4 | 23.3 | 11.1 | 57.2 | 100.0 |
| Any traditional method | 71.7 | 16.1 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 |
| Natural family planning | 37.6 | 33.2 | 10.5 | 18.8 | 100.0 |
| Other | 8.7 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 73.1 | 100.0 |
| Note: Figures are based on 822 couples. |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3 Ever Use of Contraception

All women and men interviewed in the ZDHS who said that they had heard of a method of family planning were asked if they had ever used it. The results are presented in Table 4.3.1 for women and Table 4.3.2 for men.

Overall, 49 percent of Zambian women of reproductive age have used a method of family planning at some time. This compares with 58 percent of men age 15-59. The majority of these women and men have used a modern method ( 33 percent of women and 46 percent of men).

The proportions are higher for currently married women, 59 percent of whom have ever used a modern method of family planning. Among these women, the pill was the most widely used method ( 26 percent), followed by condom ( 19 percent). A large proportion of married women have used traditional family planning methods, including natural family planning ( 13 percent), withdrawal ( 26 percent), and other methods ( 16 percent). Forty percent of sexually active unmarried women have used a modern method, and 23 percent have used a traditional method.


## Table 4.3.2 Ever use of contraception: men

Percentage of ail men, currently married men, and sexually active unmarried men who have ever used any contraceptive method, by specific method and age, Zambia 1996

| Age | Any method | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any modern method | Pill | IUCD | Injectables | Diaphragm Foam/ Jelly | Condom | Female sterilisation | Male sterilisation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { traditional } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Natural } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { planning } \end{aligned}$ | Withdrawal | Other methods |  |
| ALL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 26.2 | 23.9 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 61.3 | 54.8 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 51.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 19.3 | 11.4 | 4.4 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 73.6 | 60.9 | 18.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 57.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 48.4 | 27.2 | 28.6 | 8.0 | 255 |
| 30-34 | 72.6 | 51.6 | 25.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 45.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 46.6 | 33.7 | 30.4 | 10.6 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 76.6 | 58.9 | 36.6 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 42.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 54.7 | 37.4 | 33.8 | 13.2 | 184 |
| 40-44 | 73.2 | 51.3 | 33.6 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 29.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 49.4 | 35.3 | 32.9 | 16.7 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 72.5 | 42.8 | 27.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 18.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 29.4 | 35.6 | 16.8 | 83 |
| 50-54 | 66.8 | 38.0 | 24.4 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 47.0 | 30.0 | 20.9 | 23.7 | 65 |
| 55-59 | 45.2 | 16.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 37.3 | 28.6 | 9.6 | 15.5 | 52 |
| Total | 58.2 | 45.5 | 16.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 38.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 34.4 | 22.5 | 19.5 | 8.0 | 1,849 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 3 |
| 20-24 | 69.4 | 58.9 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.3 | 24.4 | 19.6 | 7.4 | 115 |
| 25-29 | 77.7 | 61.8 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 56.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 27.1 | 33.0 | 10.4 | 174 |
| 30-34 | 74.2 | 52.7 | 27.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 46.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 49.0 | 34.4 | 32.5 | 12.1 | 190 |
| 35-39 | 76.2 | 56.7 | 37.1 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 39.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 35.0 | 34.2 | 13.7 | 161 |
| 40-44 | 75.0 | 52.1 | 35.3 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 29.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 51.5 | 37.7 | 34.5 | 17.2 | 113 |
| 45-49 | 73.6 | 43.3 | 29.2 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 19.2 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 31.0 | 36.7 | 16.3 | 77 |
| 50-54 | 65.4 | 35.9 | 21.4 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 44.3 | 28.6 | 18.7 | 23.2 | 61 |
| 55-59 | 44.5 | 17.1 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 26.7 | 10.3 | 12.7 | 49 |
| Total | 72.5 | 52.1 | 26.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 39.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 48.8 | 31.2 | 29.7 | 13.2 | 944 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 70.3 | 66.3 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 64.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 26.6 | 17.6 | 12.3 | 3.9 | 303 |
| Note: An asterisk indicates the rate is based on fewer than 25 men and has been suppressed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Among married men, 39 percent have ever used condoms, 26 percent reported having a partner who used the pill, and 49 percent have used a traditional method. Seven in ten sexually active unmarried men have used a method of family planning. The most commonly used method among this group is condom ( 64 percent), followed by natural family planning ( 18 percent) and withdrawal ( 12 percent).

Trends in levels of ever use among women can be obtained by comparing the 1992 and 1996 ZDHS surveys. In 1992, 49 percent of married women said they had used a method of family planning at some time; by 1996, this figure had increased to 59 percent. Since the 1992 survey did not interview men, there are no comparable trends for men.

### 4.4 Current Use of Contraception

The level of current use of contraception is the most widely used and valuable measure of the success of a family planning programme. Furthermore, it can be used to estimate the reduction in fertility attributable to contraceptive use.

Current use of contraception is shown in Tables 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 for women and men, respectively. Although 98 percent of married women in Zambia have heard of family planning and nearly 60 percent have used a family planning method, only 26 percent reported that they were using a method at the time of the survey. Fourteen percent of married women are using modern methods, while 12 percent are using traditional methods. The most popular contraceptive methods are the pill ( 7 percent), withdrawal ( 5 percent), and condom (4 percent) (see Figure 4.2). Nineteen percent of all women interviewed and 24 percent of sexually active unmarried women are using some method of contraception.

Contraceptive use among men is more widespread than among women. Overall, 37 percent of married men are currently using a family planning method. The majority of these men use modern contraception, mainly the pill ( 11 percent) and condoms ( 8 percent). The latter method is by far the most widely used method among sexually active unmarried men ( 36 percent).

An inverted U-shaped pattern of prevalence by age is observed for all women and men and those who are currently married. Use is lower among younger persons, who tend to be in an early stage of family building, and among older persons, some of whom are no longer fecund and/or sexually active. The mix of methods used also varies according to the person's age. As expected, younger women are more likely to use non-permanent methods such as condoms, while older women tend to use permanent methods such as female sterilisation.

Almost one in four unmarried sexually active women uses some family planning method; 18 percent are using modern methods and 6 percent traditional methods. Sexually active unmarried men are more likely to use contraception than women. Four in ten of these men use modern methods, most often condoms (36 percent).

There has been a marked increase in contraceptive use since 1992. The contraceptive prevalence rate has increased from 15 to 26 percent of married women, a rise of 70 percent in 4 years (see Table 4.5). Most of the increase is the result of increased use of the pill and condoms; use of the pill jumped from 4 to 7 percent of married women, while use of condoms increased from 2 to 4 percent of married women.

## Table 4.4.1 Current use of contraception women

Percent distribution of all women, currently married women, and sexually active unmarried women who are currently using a contraceptive method by specific method, according to age, Zambia 1996

| Age | Any method | Modera method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any modern method | Pill | IUCD | Injectables | Diaphragm/ Foarn/ Jelly | Condom | Female sterilisation | Male sterilisation | Any traditional method | Natural family planning | With drawal | Other |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.4 | 47 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 92.6 | 100.0 | 2,003 |
| 20-24 | 20.3 | 12.3 | 64 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 79.7 | 100.0 | 1,830 |
| 25-29 | 25.7 | 15.5 | 9.1 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 74.3 | 100.0 | 1,286 |
| 30-34 | 24.4 | 12.7 | 77 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 2.1 | 50 | 4.6 | 75.6 | 100.0 | 1,081 |
| 35-39 | 26.6 | 15.2 | 6.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 11.3 | 2.1 | 41 | 5.1 | 73.4 | 100.0 | 758 |
| 40-44 | 26.0 | 15.8 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 16 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 74.0 | 100.0 | 568 |
| 45-49 | 15.2 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 84.8 | 100.0 | 494 |
| Total | 19.2 | 11.2 | 5.2 | 03 | 0.7 | 01 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 80.8 | 100.0 | 8,021 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 16.9 | 88 | 2.8 | 00 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81 | 00 | 4.0 | 41 | 83.1 | 100.0 | 498 |
| 20-24 | 24.6 | 14.7 | 8.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 75.4 | 100.0 | 1,207 |
| 25-29 | 28.3 | 16.0 | 10.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 18 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 71.7 | 100.0 | 969 |
| 30-34 | 27.9 | 14.2 | 87 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 23 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 72.1 | 100.0 | 857 |
| 35-39 | 31.2 | 17.2 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 14.0 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 68.8 | 1000 | 586 |
| 40-44 | 30.0 | 18.1 | 36 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 119 | 35 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 70.0 | 100.0 | 419 |
| 45-49 | 17.8 | 8.5 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 82.2 | 1000 | 367 |
| Total | 25.9 | 14.4 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 1.9 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 74.1 | 100.0 | 4,902 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 24.0 | 17.9 | 5.1 | 00 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 76.0 | 1000 | 492 |


| Table 4.4.2 Current use of contraception: men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of all men, currently married men, and sexually active unmarried men who are currently using a contraceptive method by specific method, according to age, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Modem method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { correnty } \\ & \text { using } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Number of men |
| Age | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUCD | Injectables | Diaphragm/ Foam/ Jelly | Condom | Female sterilisation | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Maic } \\ \text { sterili- } \\ \text { sation } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Any Uraditional method | Natural family planning | Withdrawal | Other |  |  |  |
| ALL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.9 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 00 | 1.7 | 10 | 07 | 0.0 | 86.1 | 100.0 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 30.4 | 236 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 03 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 34 | 1.8 | 16 | 69.6 | 100.0 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 38.7 | 247 | 10.5 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 14.0 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 61.3 | 100.0 | 255 |
| 30-34 | 38.9 | 22.5 | 10.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 00 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 61.1 | 100.0 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 37.9 | 25.7 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 8.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 62.1 | 100.0 | 184 |
| 40-44 | 40.7 | 26.0 | 15.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 147 | 8.2 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 59.3 | 100.0 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 41.3 | 25.0 | 10.2 | 3.4 | 00 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 3.8 | 7.9 | 4.6 | 58.7 | 100.0 | 83 |
| 50-54 | 24.4 | 12.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 7.0 | 75.6 | 100.0 | 65 |
| 55-59 | 13.4 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 00 | 10.1 | 7.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 86.6 | 100.0 | 52 |
| Total | 29.7 | 20.2 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 02 | 12.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 25 | 70.3 | 100.0 | 1,849 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | - | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 3 |
| 20-24 | 29.7 | 13.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 00 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 6.7 | 51 | 4.4 | 70.3 | 100.0 | 115 |
| 25-29 | 40.8 | 207 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 7.5 | 00 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 4.4 | 59.2 | 100.0 | 174 |
| 30-34 | 41.6 | 23.0 | 115 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 53 | 58.4 | 100.0 | 190 |
| 35-39 | 38.0 | 266 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 09 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 62.0 | 100.0 | 161 |
| 40-44 | 42.9 | 27.2 | 16.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 57 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 157 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 57.1 | 100.0 | 113 |
| 45-49 | 42.8 | 25.1 | 11.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 4.1 | 8.6 | 5.0 | 57.2 | 100.0 | 77 |
| 50-54 | 26.1 | 13.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 3.7 | 12 | 7.5 | 73.9 | 100.0 | 61 |
| 55-59 | 14.3 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 00 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 49 |
| Total | 371 | 21.0 | 10.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 02 | 7.7 | 14 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 6.9 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 62.9 | 100.0 | 944 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 43.3 | 39.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 35.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 04 | 0.5 | 56.7 | 100.0 | 303 |
| Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 4.2
Percentage of Currently Married Women Age 15-49 Using Specific Contraceptive Methods


## Table 4.5 Trends in family planning use

Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 who are currently using specific family planning methods, Zambia 1992 and 1996

| Method | ZDHS <br> 1992 | ZDHS <br> 1996 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Any method | 15.2 | 25.9 |
| Any modern method | 8.9 | 14.4 |
| Pill | 4.3 | 7.2 |
| IUCD | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Injectables | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| Diaphragm/foam/jelly | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Condom | 1.8 | 3.5 |
| Female Sterilisation | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Any traditional method | 6.3 | 11.5 |
| Natural family planning | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| Withdrawal | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| Oher | 2.2 | 5.2 |
| Number of women | 4.457 | 4,902 |

### 4.5 Current Use by Background Characteristics

While overall only about one in four married women is using contraception, the ZDHS data show that some women are more likely to be using than others (Table 4.6.1). Women most likely to be using contraception are those living in urban areas as well as those in North-Western, Lusaka, Northern and Copperbelt Provinces, women who have higher education, and women with three or more children. Urban women are three times more likely to use modern methods than their rural counterparts ( 24 percent and 8 percent, respectively) (Figure 4.3). Urban women are more likely to depend on methods such as the pill ( 12 percent), condom ( 5 percent), and female sterilisation, whilst rural women depend on withdrawal (6 percent) and the pill ( 4 percent). Contraceptive prevalence among married women also varies widely by province, from a low of 11 percent in Luapula Province to 42 percent in North-Western Province. In NorthWestem, Northern, Southern, and Western Provinces, traditional methods predominate over modern methods and are used by 10 percent or more of women. In Lusaka, Copperbelt, and Central Provinces, on the other hand, modern methods are far more likely to be used than traditional methods. In Eastern and Luapula Provinces, use of modern and traditional methods is more or less the same.

## Table 4.6.1 Current use of contraception by background charactenstics. women

Percent distribution of currently marned women by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background charactenstics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Any method | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any modern method | Pill | IUCD | Injectables | Diaphragm Foam/ Jelly | Condom | Female sterilisation | Male stenlisation | Any traditional method | Natural family planning | Withdrawal | Other |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 33.3 | 23.6 | 12.2 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 47 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 97 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 1,972 |
| Rural | 20.9 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 01 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 00 | 127 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 79.1 | 100.0 | 2,930 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 17.4 | 13.0 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 00 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 07 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 826 | 100.0 | 419 |
| Copperbek | 29.8 | 20.1 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 70.2 | 100.0 | 910 |
| Eastern | 21.1 | 10.6 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 764 |
| Luapula | 10.9 | 6.7 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 00 | 02 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 448 |
| Lusaka | 35.3 | 274 | 13.9 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 20 | 0.2 | 8.0 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 64.7 | 100.0 | 796 |
| Northern | 33.0 | 8.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.8 | 12.6 | 11.5 | 67.0 | 100.0 | 552 |
| North-Western | 41.7 | 11.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 30.4 | 1.8 | 71 | 21.5 | 58.3 | 100.0 | 197 |
| Southern | 21.9 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 00 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 12.9 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 78.1 | 1000 | 518 |
| Western | 18.7 | 8.7 | 4.7 | 02 | 0.0 | 00 | 19 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.9 | 51 | 40 | 81.3 | 100.0 | 298 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 171 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 00 | 11.4 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 82.9 | 100.0 | 803 |
| Primary | 23.4 | 11.4 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 32 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 76.6 | 100.0 | 3,053 |
| Secondary | 37.9 | 27.1 | 14.4 | 10 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 60 | 3.4 | 01 | 107 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 62.1 | 100.0 | 924 |
| Higher | 554 | 48.4 | 210 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 81 | 7.2 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 44.6 | 100.0 | 122 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 22 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.8 | 100.0 | 536 |
| 1 | 21.4 | 13.7 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 78.6 | 100.0 | 870 |
| 2 | 266 | 16.8 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 9.8 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 73.4 | 100.0 | 861 |
| 3 | 32.7 | 17.4 | 10.5 | 06 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 1.9 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 67.3 | 100.0 | 709 |
| 4+ | 31.7 | 15.9 | 7.4 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 43 | 0.1 | 15.7 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 68.3 | 100.0 | 1,925 |
| Total | 25.9 | 14.4 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 1.9 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 74.1 | 1000 | 4,902 |

## Table 46.2 Current use of contraception by background characteristucs: men

Percent distribution of currendy married men by contraceprive method currendy used, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Any method | Modem method |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional meshod |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any modern method | Pill | [UCD | Injectables | Diaphragm Foam/ Jelly | Condom | Female steritisation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { traditional } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Natural family planning | Withdrawal | Oher |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 47.5 | 30.3 | 17.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 1.7 | 17.2 | 9.6 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 52.5 | 100.0 | 401 |
| Rural | 29.4 | 14.2 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 15.2 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 54 | 70.6 | 100.0 | 543 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 39.1 | 22.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 60.9 | 100.0 | 83 |
| Copperbett | 39.6 | 298 | 17.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 9.8 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 60.4 | 100.0 | 174 |
| Eastern | 44.3 | 23.6 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 00 | 13.7 | 1.2 | 20.7 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 7.2 | 55.7 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Luapula | 26.8 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 19.9 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 10.9 | 73.2 | 100.0 | 78 |
| Lusaka | 50.5 | 25.4 | 13.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 7.2 | 1.6 | 25.1 | 12.1 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 49.5 | 100.0 | 160 |
| Northern | 17.6 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 82.4 | 100.0 | 112 |
| North-Westem | 52.6 | 25.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 1.4 | 27.6 | 1.4 | 11.2 | 15.1 | 47.4 | 100.0 | 36 |
| Southern | 29.6 | 17.8 | 11.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 11.8 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 70.4 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Western | 250 | 22.6 | 14.1 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 24 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 56 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No educatiom | 23.1 | 8.3 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 9.7 | 76.9 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Primary | 27.8 | 13.4 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 1.3 | 14.4 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 72.2 | 100.0 | 454 |
| Secondary | 49.6 | 29.4 | 16.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 92 | 1.0 | 20.2 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 3.7 | 50.4 | 100.0 | 345 |
| Higher | 493 | 41.1 | 19.3 | 00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 4.8 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 50.7 | 100.0 | 73 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 93.2 | 100.0 | 72 |
| 1 | 38.7 | 22.2 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 61.3 | 100.0 | 138 |
| 2 | 43.2 | 21.3 | 11.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 4.1 | 56.8 | 100.0 | 151 |
| 3 | 36.7 | 21.5 | 11.2 | 00 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 15.2 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 63.3 | 100.0 | 137 |
| 4+ | 39.5 | 23.0 | 12.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 2.8 | 16.5 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 60.5 | 100.0 | 446 |
| Total | 37.1 | 21.0 | 10.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 7.7 | 1.4 | 16.1 | 6.9 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 629 | 100.0 | 944 |

Figure 4.3
Percentage of Currently Married Women Using a Contraceptive Method by Background Characteristics


The largest differentials in current use of contraception are found among educational groups. Contraceptive use increases steadily with increasing level of education, from 17 percent among married women with no education to 55 percent of women with secondary or higher education. Better educated women are also more likely to use modern methods; women with secondary or higher education are eight times more likely to use modern methods than women with no education. Women with no education mostly use traditional methods such as withdrawal, while those with higher education are more likely to use the pill, condom, or female sterilisation. These women hardly use any traditional methods except for natural family planning.

Childless women seldom use any contraception, which suggests that they would like to have a child before regulating their childbearing. However, once they begin childbearing, regardless of the number of living children, there is little variation in the proportion of women who are using a method of family planning.

Data for men shown in Table 4.6.2 demonstrate basically the same pattern as that for women. Urban men, those living in North-Western and Lusaka Provinces, those who are better educated, and those who have had children are more likely than other men to be using contraception.

### 4.6 Number of Children at First Use of Contraception

In many cultures, family planning is used only when couples have already had as many children as they want. However, as the concept of family planning gains acceptance, couples may begin to use contraception for spacing births as well as for limiting family size. Moreover, unmarried young women may be particularly motivated to use family planning to avoid an unwanted pregnancy.

Table 4.7 shows the number of children ever-married respondents had when they first used contraception. In general, younger women are more likely to have started using contraception at lower parities than older women. For example, less than 20 percent of women in their 40 s started to use contraception when they had either no children or only one child, compared to around 40 percent of women age 15-24. As a matter of interest, one observes that 12 percent of women 15-19 started using contraception before they had a child.

## Table 4. 7 Number of children at first use of contraception

Percent distribution of ever-married women by number of living children at the time of first use of contraception, and median number of children at first use, according to current age, Zambia 1996

| Current age | Never used contraception | Number of living children at time of first use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Median number of children at first use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ | Missing |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 61.5 | 12.1 | 25.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 546 | 1.3 |
| 20-24 | 43.7 | 7.6 | 33.9 | 11.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,428 | 1.6 |
| 25-29 | 36.6 | 4.2 | 30.4 | 16.0 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,163 | 1.9 |
| 30-34 | 36.0 | 2.6 | 24.9 | 14.9 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,051 | 2.3 |
| 35-39 | 38.1 | 2.3 | 21.6 | 9.5 | 8.6 | 19.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 748 | 2.7 |
| 40-44 | 35.0 | 1.7 | 17.5 | 9.3 | 10.8 | 24.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 561 | 3.3 |
| 45-49 | 48.7 | 0.5 | 17.2 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 21.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 491 | 3.3 |
| Total | 41.5 | 4.7 | 26.4 | 11.1 | 6.4 | 9.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5,988 | 1.9 |

### 4.7 Knowledge of Fertile Period

A basic knowledge of reproductive physiology is useful for the successful practice of coitus- dependent methods such as withdrawal, condoms, or barrier methods, but it is especially important for users of natural family planning (also called periodic abstinence or the rhythm method). The successful practice of natural family planning depends on an understanding of when during the ovulatory cycle a women is most likely to conceive. Table 4.8 presents the percent distribution of all women respondents and those who have ever used natural family planning by reported knowledge of the fertile period in the ovulatory cycle.

Of all the women interviewed in the survey, 29 did not know when the fertile period occurs within the monthly cycle and

## Table 4.8 Knowledge of fertile period

Percent distribution of all women and of those who currently use natural family planning or the calendar rhythm method, by knowledge of the fertile period during the ovulatory cycle, Zambia 1996

|  |  | Current users of: |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Natural <br> family <br> planning | Calendar <br> rhythm |
| Perceived <br> fertile period | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| During menstrual period | 20.9 | 18.1 |  |
| women | All <br> Right after period has ended | 20.1 | 40.6 |
| In the middle of the cycle | 13.3 | 45.9 |  |
| Just before period begins | 9.1 | 13.8 | 14.9 |
| At any time | 26.5 | 18.9 | 17.3 |
| Other | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Don't know | 29.4 | 5.1 | 3.8 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 8,021 | 124 | 75 |

Note: Users of calendar rhythm are a subset of all natural family planning users. 27 percent said that there is no particular time within the cycle when women are more likely to get pregnant. Only 13 percent of respondents gave the correct response, i.e., that a woman is most likely to conceive in the middle of her ovulatory cycle. Users of natural family planning are considerably more knowledgeable about their ovulatory cycle: 41 percent correctly identified the middle of the cycle as the fertile time. There is little difference in knowledge of the fertile period between all natural family planning users and the subset of these users who are using the calendar rhythm method.

### 4.8 Contraceptive Effects of Breastfeeding

Knowledge of the effect of breastfeeding on the risk of pregnancy is important for post-partum contraceptive programmes and programmes that promote the use of the lactational amenorrhoeic method (LAM). The effective use of breastfeeding as a contraceptive method depends on being able to satisfy several criteria: that the woman is post-partum amenorrhoeic (menstruation has not returned since the last birth), that she is exclusively or almost exclusively breastfeeding and that less than six months has passed since the birth. To satisfy the full criteria of the lactational amenorrhoeic method, a woman should also know that if any of the preceding criteria no longer hold, then she is at increased risk of a pregnancy and should no longer rely on breastfeeding. Because questions are not asked on knowledge of the full LAM criteria, true use of LAM is not ascertained. Women may be amenorthoeic for periods longer than six months but they are at substantially increased risk of becoming pregnant before the return of menstrual bleeding.

Table 4.9 shows the distribution of currently married women by their perception of the effect of breastfeeding on the risk of pregnancy and the percentage of women who have ever relied on or are currently relying on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy and those who meet the LAM criteria, according to selected background characteristics.

About half of currently married women say that breastfeeding has no impact on the risk of pregnancy. There is only slight variation according to the woman's age, urban-rural residence, and education. However, better educated women are more likely to know that breastfeeding decreases the chances of becoming pregnant. There are significant differentials in the perceived effect of breastfeeding on fertility by province. While only 6 percent of women in Central Province believe that breastfeeding can decrease the risk of pregnancy, the proportion in Copperbelt Province is 25 percent.

Older women tend to have relied on breastfeeding to delay or avoid pregnancy more than younger women. While 5 percent or less of women age 15-24 have used breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy, the corresponding proportions for older women (age 30 and above) is 10 percent or higher. Urban women, women in Copperbelt Province and those with secondary or higher education are more likely to rely on breastfeeding as a method to avoid pregnancy than other women. Only 6 percent of women meet the LAM criteria. ${ }^{\text {' }}$

### 4.9 Source of Family Planning Methods

All current users of modern methods of family planning were asked to report the source from which they most recently obtained their methods. Such information is important to family planning programme officials. Since women often do not know exactly which category the source they use falls into (e.g. govemment hospital, mission health centre, etc.), interviewers were instructed to write the name of the source. Supervisors and field editors were to verify that the name and the type of sources were consistent, asking cluster informants for the names of local family planning sources if necessary. This practice was designed to improve the reporting of data on sources of family planning.

[^0]| Table 4.9 Percejved contraceptive effect of breastfeeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women by perceived risk of pregnancy associated with breastfeeding, percentage who rely on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy, and percentage who meet lactational amenorthoeic method (LAM) criteria, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characternstic | Perceived risk of pregnancy associated with breastfeeding |  |  |  |  | Total | Reli breas <br> to pre | ce on <br> eeding <br> oid <br> ancy | Meet LAM criteria | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Unchanged | $\begin{gathered} \text { In- } \\ \text { creased } \end{gathered}$ | Decreased | Depends | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know/ } \\ & \text { Missing } \end{aligned}$ |  | Previously | Currently |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 46.4 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 6.1 | 24.0 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 7.8 | 498 |
| 20-24 | 48.1 | 18.1 | 14.8 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 1,207 |
| 25-29 | 48.6 | 17.6 | 17.3 | 9.2 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 3.9 | 7.0 | 969 |
| 30-34 | 50.6 | 18.3 | 15.0 | 11.5 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 10.2 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 857 |
| 35-39 | 47.4 | 17.5 | 16.6 | 13.9 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 11.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 586 |
| 40-44 | 49.4 | 16.9 | 15.7 | 13.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 419 |
| 45-49 | 50.9 | 16.8 | 14.2 | 15.1 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 367 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 46.0 | 15.2 | 19.2 | 12.7 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 1,972 |
| Rural | 50.5 | 18.5 | 12.5 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 6.5 | 2,930 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 61.4 | 18.6 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 8.9 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 8.2 | 419 |
| Copperbelt | 36.4 | 14.1 | 25.4 | 17.6 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 14.6 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 910 |
| Eastern | 35.5 | 29.9 | 13.0 | 7.3 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 764 |
| Luapula | 26.7 | 25.1 | 15.0 | 25.3 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 448 |
| Lusaka | 53.3 | 14.0 | 15.1 | 7.6 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 796 |
| Northern | 49.0 | 22.5 | 10.9 | 11.9 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 552 |
| North-Western | 68.1 | 9.6 | 19.2 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 7.3 | 197 |
| Southem | 73.5 | 4.0 | 10.6 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 3.8 | 9.7 | 518 |
| Western | 66.6 | 6.8 | 16.4 | 2.6 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 298 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 48.4 | 17.3 | 13.5 | 9.0 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 803 |
| Primary | 50.3 | 17.3 | 13.3 | 11.2 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 8.1 | 2.7 | 6.7 | 3,053 |
| Secondary | 44.5 | 17.7 | 20.7 | 10.7 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 924 |
| Higher | 43.2 | 8.8 | 32.2 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 122 |
| Total | 48.7 | 17.2 | 15.2 | 10.7 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 4,902 |

Table 4.10 and Figure 4.4 show the sources of supply for contraception methods. The data indicate that most users of modern methods ( 60 percent) obtain their methods from public (government) sources, while 24 percent rely on private medical sources and 13 percent use other private sources such as shops, friends, and relatives. Government health centres are the single most frequently reported source, serving 41 percent of users, followed by government hospitals (16 percent) and private hospitals and clinics (11 percent). Shops also provide for 11 percent of the users.

The source a woman uses to obtain contraceptive methods depends on many things, one of which is the type of method she has chosen. Most pill users obtain their method from public sources ( 75 percent), 59 percent from govemment health centres and 13 percent from government hospitals. Private medical sources provide for 20 percent of current pill users. Injectables users are also likely to obtain their method from public sources ( 71 percent), followed by private medical ( 25 percent). As with pill users, govemment healthcentres

Table 4.10 Source of supoly for modern contraceptive methods
Percent distribution of women currently using modern contraceptive methods by most recent source of supply, according to specific methods, Zambia 1996

| Source of supply | Contraceptive method |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pill | Injectables | Condom | Female sterilisation |  |
| Public | 74.9 | 70.9 | 39.6 | 48.8 | 59.9 |
| Government hospital | 12.6 | 12.3 | 8.3 | 47.7 | 16.2 |
| Government health centre | 58.5 | 47.0 | 29.6 | 1.0 | 40.6 |
| Government field worker | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Other public | 2.2 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Medical private | 20.0 | 24.8 | 17.1 | 50.6 | 23.8 |
| Private hospita/clinic | 8.9 | t3.6 | 2.7 | 34.7 | 10.8 |
| Mission hospita/clinic | 3.4 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 15.9 | 5.0 |
| Pharmacy | 4.1 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| Private doctor | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Private mobile clinic | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Private field worker | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Other private | 0.3 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Other private | 3.4 | 2.3 | 35.6 | 0.0 | 13.0 |
| Shop | 2.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 10.6 |
| Friend/relative | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| Other | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Don't know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Missing | 1.6 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 2.1 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of users | 416 | 59 | 282 | 112 | 901 |

${ }^{1}$ Total includes 21 IUCD users, four condom users, and two users of male sterlisation.
are the single most important supplier for injectables users ( 47 percent). Condom users are about equally likely to use government health centres and private shops to obtain their method (about 30 percent each). Almost half of women who have been sterilised had the operation at a government hospital, while about one-third used a private hospital or clinic.

In the past few years, there has been a slight shift away from reliance on private medical sources towards greater use of other private sources for family planning methods. Since 1992, the proportion of users who get their methods from private medical sources has declined from 36 to 24 percent, while the proportion who obtain methods from other private sources has increased from 7 to 13 percent (Gaisie et al., 1993:46). This shift is due in part to increased use of condoms, many of which are purchased in shops. Public sources supply a slightly greater share of users now than in 1992 ( 60 vs. 56 percent).

### 4.10 Future Use of Contraception

Intention to use contraception in the future provides a forecast of potential demand for services and acts as a convenient summary indicator of disposition towards contraception among current nonusers. Table 4.11 shows the distribution of married women and men who are not currently using contraception by whether they intend to use family planning in the future. The distinction between intended use in the next 12 months and later on provides a more refined indication of demand in the near future.

More than half of married women who are currently not using contraception intend to use in the next I2 months, while 13 percent say that they will use contraception some time later. For men, the corresponding proportions are 33 percent and 23 percent, respectively. Three in ten married women and one in three men do not intend to use any contraception at all. The intention to use contraception is lowest among childless women and highest among women with two children.

Figure 4.4
Distribution of Current Users of Contraception by Source of Supply


### 4.11 Reason For Nonuse of Contraception

It is crucial for the purpose of designing intervention mechanisms to identify reasons for nonuse of contraception. Table 4.12 presents the main reasons for not using contraception given by married women who are not using any contraceptive method and do not intend to use it in the future. Thirty-two percent of all women say they do not intend to use because they want children, while over one-third ( 38 percent) cite inability to conceive (either infecundity or menopause/hysterectomy) as the reason. Other reasons given by women include respondent opposed (7 percent), side effects (7 percent) and opposition of her husband or partner ( 3 percent).

Men cite similar reasons for nonuse as women, namely that they want more children (38 percent), or that infecundity or menopause obviates the need for contraception ( 29 percent). Contrary to popular belief, only 9 percent of men say that the reason they do not intend to use family planning is that they are opposed to it.

The main difference in the reasons for nonuse cited by younger and older respondents is that a much larger proportion of the former say they want to have more children, while the latter are more likely to cite infecundity as the reason for nonuse.

### 4.12 Preferred Method

Nonusers who said they intended to use family planning in the future were asked which method they preferred. The data are presented in Table 4.13. Most of these women say they would prefer to use the pill ( 55 percent), followed by injections ( 12 percent) and female sterilisation ( 6 percent). The same pattern of method preference is noted among women regardless of whether they intend to use in the next 12 months or later.

Table 4.11- Future use of contraception
Percent distribution of currently married women and men who are not currently using a contraceptive method, by intention to use in the future, according to number of living children, Zambia 1996

| Future intentions | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Total for women | Total for men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ |  |  |
| Intend to use in next 12 months | 26.1 | 51.2 | 56.4 | 56.7 | 54.8 | 52.1 | 33.2 |
| Intend to use later | 27.6 | 13.9 | 15.4 | 12.2 | 7.8 | 12.8 | 23.2 |
| Unsure as to timing | 1.1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| Unsure as to intention | 9.3 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 6.8 |
| Do not intend to use | 35.8 | 27.1 | 24.1 | 25.6 | 32.6 | 29.3 | 33.3 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 2.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women/men | 331 | 697 | 652 | 540 | 1,415 | 3,633 | 594 |

[^1]
## Table 4.12 Reasons for not using contraception

Percent distribution of currently martied women and men who are not using a contraceptive method and who do not intend to use in the future, by main reason for not using, according to age, Zambia 1996

| Reason for not using contraception | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age |  | Total | Age |  | Total |
|  | $<30$ | 30-49 |  | $<30$ | 30-59 |  |
| Want children | 53.0 | 21.3 | 31.9 | (76.4) | 27.2 | 37.6 |
| Side effects | 11.2 | 4.6 | 6.8 | (0.0) | 6.1 | 4.9 |
| Health concerns | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.8 | (2.8) | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| Interferes with body | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | (0.0) | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| Knows no method | 5.7 | 1.5 | 2.9 | (2.8) | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Knows no source | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | (2.8) | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Hard to get | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Religion | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | (1.6) | 4.3 | 3.7 |
| Respondent opposed | 9.7 | 5.3 | 6.8 | (3.3) | 11.0 | 9.4 |
| Partner opposed | 4.5 | 2.4 | 3.1 | (0.0) | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Others opposed | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | (0.0) | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Up to woman to use | NA | NA | NA | (1.8) | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Infrequent sex | 0.7 | 2.6 | 2.0 | (6.2) | 0.5 | 1.7 |
| Menopausa//hysterectomy | 0.1 | 23.2 | 15.6 | (0.0) | 24.3 | 19.2 |
| Subfecund/infecund | 7.5 | 29.8 | 22.4 | (0.0) | 12.3 | 9.7 |
| Inconvenient | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | (2.2) | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Other | 1.0 | 3.1 | 2.4 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Don't know | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.0 | (0.0) | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | (0.0) | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women/men | 354 | 710 | 1,064 | 42 | 157 | 198 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 men.
NA $=$ Not applicable

## Table 4.13 Preferred method of contraception for future use

Percent distribution of currently married women who are not using a contraceptive method but who intend to use in the future by preferred method, according to timing of intended use, Zambia 1996

| Preferred method of contraception | Intend to use |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In next 12 months | After 12 months | Unsure about timing |  |
| Pill | 55.5 | 52.2 | (46.2) | 54.7 |
| IUCD | 1.5 | 1.3 | (0.0) | 1.4 |
| Injectables | 12.1 | 10.6 | (14.9) | 11.9 |
| Diaphragm/Foam/Jelly | 0.4 | 0.4 | (0.0) | 0.4 |
| Condom | 4.8 | 7.3 | (10.7) | 5.4 |
| Female sterilisation | 5.6 | 6.0 | (9.3) | 5.7 |
| Periodic abstinence | 1.9 | 2.4 | (0.0) | 2.0 |
| Withdrawal | 3.2 | 1.5 | (0.0) | 2.8 |
| Norplant | 0.5 | 1.8 | (0.0) | 0.7 |
| Other | 3.6 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 4.1 |
| Don't know/Missing | 10.9 | 10.1 | (16.3) | 10.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1,892 | 464 | 36 | 2,393 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 women.

### 4.13 Family Planning Communications

It is important to assess the coverage of radio and television messages so that the right channels of communication in population matters are utilised. Table 4.14 presents data on the proportion of women and men who have heard family planning messages on radio or television in the few months preceding the survey, according to selected background characteristics.

Fifteen percent of women reported that they heard a family planning message on both the radio and television, while 59 percent had not heard a message on either radio or television. In general, messages broadcast on radio are more commonly heard than those on television; 39 percent of womert heard a message about family planning on the radio, compared to only 18 percent who heard a message on the television. Except at ages $15-19$, there are only slight variations by age group in the exposure of women to family planning messages. Exposure to mass media is limited in rural areas; for example, 30 percent of women in urban areas have heard about family planning on both radio and television, compared to 4 percent of women in rural areas.

In the more urbanised provinces in the country-Central, Copperbelt, and Lusaka-women are much more likely to have access to mass media through radio and television than women in other provinces. However, in all provinces, the role of radio as a media for disseminating information is significant. Wormen with higher education are much more likely to have heard a family planning message on radio and television than those with less education.

Men who were interviewed show a pattern similar to women: family planning messages in the mass media are heard less commonly by male teenagers, by men in rural areas, and by less educated men.

Respondents were asked whether they consider it acceptable or not acceptable to air family planning messages over radio or television. The response is used to assess the level of popular support for family planning education and advertising on the radio or television.

Table 4.14 Exposure to family planning messages through the media
Percent distribution of women and men by whether they have heard a radio or television message about family planning in the months prior to the interview, according to selected background characterstics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Heard about family planning on radio or television |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Heard on both | Radio only | Television only | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heard } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \text { neither } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Number of women ${ }^{1}$ | Heard on both | Radio only | Television only | $\begin{gathered} \text { Heard } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { neither } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.9 | 16.4 | 2.7 | 69.7 | 100.0 | 2,003 | 142 | 21.0 | 4.0 | 609 | 100.0 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 15.3 | 25.3 | 2.6 | 56.8 | 100.0 | 1,830 | 20.6 | 28.9 | 4.8 | 45.7 | 100.0 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 20.0 | 26.4 | 1.9 | 51.6 | 100.0 | 1,286 | 23.6 | 41.0 | 1.6 | 33.8 | 100.0 | 255 |
| 30-34 | 19.6 | 29.9 | 1.2 | 49.1 | 100.0 | 1,081 | 25.0 | 37.9 | 1.7 | 35.4 | 100.0 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 16.0 | 25.3 | 3.3 | 55.3 | 100.0 | 758 | 27.3 | 32.2 | 4.8 | 357 | 100.0 | 184 |
| 40-44 | 15.7 | 23.4 | 2.3 | 58.4 | 100.0 | 568 | 22.2 | 39.2 | 4.3 | 34.3 | 100.0 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 9.9 | 20.0 | 1.0 | 69.0 | 100.0 | 494 | 21.6 | 35.9 | 4.1 | 38.5 | 100.0 | 83 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 29.3 | 32.7 | 2.6 | 35.4 | 100.0 | 65 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 9.3 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 62.4 | 100.0 | 52 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 29.7 | 24.4 | 4.4 | 41.5 | 100.0 | 3,604 | 37.9 | 26.7 | 6.8 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 852 |
| Rural | 3.6 | 22.7 | 0.6 | 73.1 | 100.0 | 4,417 | 6.1 | 34.8 | 0.7 | 58.3 | 100.0 | 997 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 13.3 | 20.3 | 2.6 | 63.8 | 100.0 | 653 | 16.3 | 29.3 | 5.3 | 49.0 | 100.0 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 36.5 | 23.8 | 4.8 | 34.8 | 100.0 | 1,588 | 41.3 | 22.6 | 7.1 | 28.9 | 100.0 | 396 |
| Eastern | 1.2 | 24.6 | 0.3 | 73.8 | 100.0 | 1,075 | 4.6 | 48.7 | 0.7 | 46.1 | 100.0 | 254 |
| Luapula | 4.4 | 30.6 | 0.9 | 64.1 | 100.0 | 726 | 5.2 | 41.9 | 0.5 | 52.4 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Lusaka | 27.1 | 24.1 | 4.1 | 44.6 | 100.0 | 1,403 | 43.0 | 24.6 | 7.1 | 25.3 | 100.0 | 316 |
| Northern | 3.9 | 25.3 | 0.5 | 70.3 | 100.0 | 872 | 4.5 | 23.6 | 0.3 | 71.6 | 100.0 | 221 |
| North-Western | 2.9 | 23.4 | 0.3 | 73.2 | 100.0 | 288 | 16.5 | 64.8 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 48 |
| Southern | 9.5 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 68.1 | 100.0 | 816 | 7.6 | 26.4 | 1.4 | 64.6 | 100.0 | 173 |
| Western | 3.0 | 15.2 | 0.4 | 81.5 | 100.0 | 600 | 5.9 | 34.7 | 0.0 | 59.4 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.3 | 16.7 | 0.4 | 79.4 | 100.0 | 1,067 | 5.5 | 35.2 | 1.6 | 57.7 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Primary | 9.9 | 24.3 | 1.5 | 64.2 | 100.0 | 4,721 | 11.6 | 30.7 | 1.8 | 55.8 | 100.0 | 938 |
| Secondary | 30.2 | 25.4 | 4.6 | 39.8 | 100.0 | 2,007 | 32.0 | 30.9 | 5.8 | 31.3 | 100.0 | 686 |
| Higher | 52.9 | 19.7 | 6.4 | 21.1 | 100.0 | 226 | 48.9 | 31.1 | 5.7 | 14.4 | 100.0 | 98 |
| All women/men | 15.3 | 23.5 | 2.3 | 58.9 | 100.0 | 8,021 | 20.7 | 31.1 | 3.5 | 44.6 | 100.0 | 1,849 |

Note: Figures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding and/or missing data.
NA = Not applicable
' Includes one woman with missing information on education

Eighty-seven percent of women and 82 percent of men report that such messages are acceptable to them (Table 4.15 ). Women in the oldest age group (45-49 years) are the least likely to find media messages on family planning acceptable. Acceptability is highest among women with higher education ( 95 percent) and lowest among women with no education ( 78 percent). The same is true for men ( 94 percent and 79 percent, respectively).

## Table 4.15 Acceptability of media messages on family planning

Percentage of women and men who believe that it is acceptable to have messages about family planning on the radio or television, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Acceptability of family planning messages on radio or television |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acceptable | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { accept- } \end{gathered}$ able | Unsure | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | Acceptable | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { accept- } \end{gathered}$ able | Unsure | Total | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 81.3 | 11.8 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 2,003 | 70.5 | 7.4 | 22.1 | 100.0 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 91.3 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 1,830 | 83.2 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 92.7 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,286 | 90.8 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 255 |
| 30-34 | 89.3 | 9.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,081 | 92.0 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 88.6 | 10.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 758 | 85.2 | 10.4 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 184 |
| 40-44 | 82.3 | 16.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 568 | 90.4 | 8.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 74.0 | 21.6 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 494 | 81.6 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 66.4 | 21.5 | 10.4 | 100.0 | 65 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 71.3 | 15.5 | 13.3 | 100.0 | 52 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.4 | 10.5 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 3,604 | 85.5 | 11.5 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 852 |
| Rural | 86.3 | 9.6 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 4,417 | 78.7 | 6.6 | 14.6 | 100.0 | 997 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 85.7 | 12.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 653 | 92.1 | 5.3 | 26 | 100.0 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 90.3 | 8.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,588 | 83.7 | 12.9 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 396 |
| Eastern | 80.6 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 1,075 | 90.3 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 254 |
| Luapula | 90.4 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 726 | 89.8 | 4.1 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Lusaka | 82.6 | 14.1 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 1,403 | 85.6 | 12.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 316 |
| Northern | 88.4 | 9.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 872 | 56.2 | 10.3 | 33.4 | 100.0 | 22. |
| North-Western | 96.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 288 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 48 |
| Southern | 90.1 | 9.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 816 | 69.1 | 4.2 | 26.7 | 100.0 | 173 |
| Western | 83.8 | 10.7 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 600 | 82.7 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 77.9 | 14.2 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 1,067 | 78.9 | 12.8 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Primary | 86.5 | 10.4 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 4,721 | 75.5 | 9.1 | 15.3 | 100.0 | 938 |
| Secondary | 91.3 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 2,007 | 89.3 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 686 |
| Higher | 95.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 226 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98 |
| Total women/men | 86.8 | 10.0 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 8,021 | 81.8 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 1,849 |

Note: Figures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.
NA = Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ Includes one woman with no information on education

Women were asked whether they had received a message about family planning from print media in the few months prior to the survey. The results are presented in Table 4.16. More than one-third of the women received family planning messages from print media. Posters are the most likely source for women to receive such messages ( 29 percent), followed by newspapers or magazines ( 17 percent) and leaflets or brochures ( 11 percent). The proportion of women who receive messages through print media increases with age until the 30 s and declines among older women. Half of urban women saw a message in print, compared to less than one-quarter of their rural counterparts. Women in Eastern Province, as well as those with no education are the least likely to receive any family planning messages in print.

| Table 4,16 Famuly planning messages in print |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women who received a message about family planning through the print media in the months prior to the interview, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Type of print media containing family planning message |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
|  | Any source | Newspaper/ magazıne | Poster | Leaflev/ brochure | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { source } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 28.5 | 14.6 | 20.2 | 7.7 | 71.5 | 2,003 |
| 20-24 | 38.9 | 18.3 | 32.2 | 12.1 | 61.1 | 1,830 |
| 25-29 | 43.5 | 20.6 | 37.4 | 15.1 | 56.5 | 1,286 |
| 30-34 | 41.0 | 18.7 | 36.2 | 13.7 | 59.0 | 1,081 |
| 35-39 | 36.2 | 18.1 | 31.7 | 13.1 | 63.8 | 758 |
| 40-44 | 34.6 | 17.8 | 28.8 | 13.3 | 65.4 | 568 |
| 45-49 | 19.7 | 7.9 | 17.6 | 4.9 | 80.3 | 494 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 51.6 | 30.1 | 41.3 | 18.3 | 48.4 | 3,604 |
| Rural | 22.5 | 6.5 | 19.7 | 59 | 77.5 | 4,417 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 31.3 | 18.8 | 21.6 | 14.6 | 68.7 | 653 |
| Copperbelt | 54.3 | 28.9 | 45.3 | 19.9 | 45.7 | 1,588 |
| Eastern | 7.4 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 92.6 | 1,075 |
| Luapula | 35.9 | 9.4 | 34.3 | 5.5 | 64.1 | 726 |
| Lusaka | 45.5 | 31.1 | 34.1 | 17.0 | 54.5 | 1,403 |
| Northem | 31.2 | 11.0 | 27.9 | 8.0 | 68.8 | 872 |
| North-Western | 29.8 | 5.0 | 28.4 | 2.9 | 70.2 | 288 |
| Southern | 37.3 | 14.2 | 309 | 10.8 | 62.7 | 816 |
| Western | 24.5 | 6.7 | 21.0 | 8.2 | 75.5 | 600 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 8.4 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 0.8 | 91.6 | 1,067 |
| Primary | 27.2 | 8.1 | 23.7 | 5.6 | 72.8 | 4,721 |
| Secondary | 63.5 | 40.4 | 48.5 | 25.5 | 36.5 | 2,007 |
| Higher | 92.0 | 75.5 | 80.4 | 58.6 | 8.0 | 226 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 35.6 | 17.1 | 29.4 | 11.4 | 64.4 | 8,021 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Total includes one woman with no information on education. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.14 Contact of Nonusers with Family Planning Providers

One way to increase the level of knowledge about family planning and perhaps to increase use as well is to take advantage of "lost opportunities," instances in which health professionals make contact with women and men but neglect to discuss family planning. To assess the extent to which information is transferred through the health system, women interviewed in the ZDHS who were not currently using contraception were asked if they had contact with a family planning field worker in the previous 12 months or, if they had visited a health facility in the previous 12 months, whether any staff at the facility had spoken to them about family planning. Table 4.17 shows that only a small proportion of women (4 percent) were visited by a family planning worker. Of the 62 percent of women who visited a health facility in the 12 months before the survey, only about one-third (or 21 percent of nonusers) discussed family planning with a staff person at the facility. Overall, more than three-quarters of nonusers had no family planning contact in the 12 months preceding the survey. The lack of contact spreads across all categories-in uban and rural areas, at all ages, in all provinces and at all educational levels.

## Table 4.17 Contact of nonusers with family planning providers

Percent distribution of nonusers of family planning by whether they were visited by a family planning field worker or spoke with a health facility staff member about family planning (FP) methods during the 12 months prior to interview, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Nonusers of family planning |  |  |  |  |  | Missing | Total | No FP services or Number information of nonprovided users |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Visited by family planning field worker |  |  | Not visited by workcr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Visited health facility |  | Did not visit health facility | Visitedhealth facility |  | Did not visit health facility |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { cussed } \\ & \text { FP } \end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{FP}$ | Did not discuss FP |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { cussed } \\ & \text { FP } \end{aligned}$ | Did not discuss FP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 7.1 | 41.2 | 49.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 90.7 | 1,855 |
| 20-24 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 23.1 | 42.2 | 29.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 71.7 | 1,459 |
| 25-29 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 28.5 | 38.5 | 26.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 64.9 | 956 |
| 30-34 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 27.9 | 39.7 | 27.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 67.5 | 818 |
| 35-39 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 28.2 | 34.4 | 31.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 66.0 | 557 |
| 40-44 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 18.0 | 38.3 | 39.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 77.5 | 420 |
| 45-49 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 12.0 | 32.2 | 51.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83.7 | 419 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 18.0 | 41.5 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 78.8 | 2,751 |
| Rural | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 20.2 | 38.0 | 36.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 74.4 | 3,733 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 12.7 | 29.1 | 52.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 81.2 | 563 |
| Copperbelt | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 22.5 | 48.5 | 26.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 75.1 | 1,261 |
| Eastern | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 33.5 | 28.7 | 33.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 62.4 | 895 |
| Luapula | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 13.0 | 44.2 | 38.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82.3 | 674 |
| Lusaka | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 14.8 | 32.6 | 47.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 80.4 | 1,035 |
| Northern | 3.4 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 14.6 | 37.3 | 40.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 77.9 | 682 |
| North-Western | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 48.8 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 72.9 | 192 |
| Southern | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 20.5 | 47.0 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 75.1 | 672 |
| Western | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 13.1 | 45.0 | 37.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82.6 | 510 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 18.3 | 36.0 | 42.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 78.2 | 926 |
| Primary | 2.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 20.3 | 37.8 | 37.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 74.8 | 3,894 |
| Secondary | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 17.4 | 45.4 | 33.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79.2 | 1,546 |
| Higher | 3.9 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 19.4 | 46.6 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 71.0 | 117 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 19.3 | 39.5 | 36.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 76.3 | 6,483 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes one woman with no information on education

### 4.15 Discussion of Family Planning with Husband

While husband-wife discussion about family planning and an agreement to use contraception is not a necessary precondition for adoption of certain methods, its absence may be a serious impediment. Interspousal communication is therefore an important intermediate step along the path to eventual adoption and sustained use. Lack of discussion may reflect a lack of personal interest, hostility to the subject or a customary reticence in talking about sex-related matters. Information is presented in Table 4.18 on the number of times married women discussed family planning matters with their husbands in the year preceding the survey.

| Table 4.18 Discussion of family planning by couples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women who know a contraceptive method by the number of times family planning was discussed with their husband in the year preceding the survey, according to current age, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | amily pla | of times discu |  |  |  |
| Age | Never | Once or twice | More often | Nothing ascertained | Total | of women |
| 15-19 | 44.0 | 35.9 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 467 |
| 20-24 | 34.6 | 34.7 | 30.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,191 |
| 25-29 | 27.5 | 35.1 | 37.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 959 |
| 30-34 | 29.6 | 34.0 | 36.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 842 |
| 35-39 | 31.4 | 33.8 | 34.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 558 |
| 40-44 | 43.5 | 25.6 | 30.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 372 |
| 45-49 | 62.6 | 25.2 | 12.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 333 |
| Total | 35.5 | 33.3 | 31.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,720 |
| Note: Figures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

More than one-third of women said they never discussed family planning with their partners in the previous year, while one-third discussed the subject once or twice, and 31 percent discussed it more often. Women in the oldest age group (45-49) are the least likely to discuss family planning with their spouses.

### 4.16 Attitudes of Couples Toward Family Planning

In countries where contraceptive use is limited, widespread disapproval of contraception may act as a major barrier to adoption of methods. In the ZDHS, women were asked if they approved of a couple using family planning and, if they were married, whether they thought their husband approved of the use of family planning. With regard to the information on the husband's attitude, the wife may be wrong about her spouse's opinion. Her perception is important, however, since it may be a factor in shaping her behaviour.

In addition to concern about the data on husband's attitude, the attitudinal information is not suitable for precise or detailed interpretation because no attempt is made to uncover the depth of feeling or its origin. Nevertheless, the data portray the general climate of opinion. This information will be useful in the formation of family planning policies, by indicating the extent to which further education and publicity is needed to gain acceptance of the principle of contraception.

Table 4.19 shows that 56 percent of married women say that not only do they approve of family planning use, but that their husband approves as well. Sixteen percent of married women report that they approve of family planning but their husband does not. A very small percentage of women said the opposite-that they disapprove while their husband approves. In general, opposition to contraceptive use is not widespread: 84 percent of married women approve, I0 percent disapprove, and 5 percent are unsure. Fiftyeight percent of women say their husband approves of family planning use, while about 22 percent say their husband disapproves, and roughly 15 percent are unsure of their husband's attitude.

Table 4.19 Wives' perceptions of their husbands' attitudes toward family planning
Percent distribution of currently married women who know of a contraceptive method by wife's attitude toward family planning, and wife's perception of her husband's attutude toward family planning, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Both approve | Wife approves, husband disapproves | Wife approves, husband's attitude unknown | Wife disapproves, husband approves | Both disapprove | Wife disapproves, husband's attitude unknown | Wife unsure | Missing | Total | Wife approves | Husband approves | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\text { Age }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 49.3 | 10.7 | 21.5 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 81.5 | 514 | 467 |
| 20-24 | 584 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 87.8 | 606 | 1,191 |
| 25-29 | 62.8 | 16.3 | 8.9 | 0.7 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 00 | 100.0 | 880 | 638 | 959 |
| 30-34 | 60.8 | 16.6 | 10.5 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 44 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 879 | 62.9 | 842 |
| 35-39 | 55.8 | 17.2 | 9.0 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 820 | 58.8 | 558 |
| 40-44 | 46.4 | 18.3 | 14.0 | 1.3 | 11.4 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 78.8 | 497 | 372 |
| 45-49 | 35.1 | 17.1 | 14.6 | 2.5 | 11.6 | 5.6 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 40.1 | 333 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 64.6 | 16.2 | 10.6 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 10 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 91.5 | 66.2 | 1,888 |
| Rural | 50.1 | 15.4 | 14.2 | 1.6 | 7.6 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 796 | 52.9 | 2,833 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 48.4 | 17.7 | 9.9 | 2.3 | 10.8 | 3.8 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 76.0 | 522 | 414 |
| Copperbelt | 636 | 14.3 | 14.6 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 06 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 1000 | 927 | 64.1 | 859 |
| Eastern | 573 | 13.7 | 10.9 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 12 | 9.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 818 | 60.5 | 724 |
| Luapula | 426 | 12.8 | 18.7 | 1.3 | 8.7 | 59 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 742 | 45.4 | 440 |
| Lusaka | 63.4 | 16.9 | 11.1 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 00 | 100.0 | 91.5 | 653 | 765 |
| Northern | 55.1 | 16.7 | 9.6 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 81.3 | 59.7 | 547 |
| North-Western | 76.0 | 16.8 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 00 | 03 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 763 | 185 |
| Southern | 54.1 | 19.8 | 12.9 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 24 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 86.8 | 553 | 502 |
| Western | 32.4 | 13.2 | 23.7 | 2.2 | 13.9 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 69.2 | 351 | 285 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 38.0 | 15.7 | 16.7 | 1.1 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 133 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 70.4 | 411 | 750 |
| Primary | 53.7 | 16.8 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 50 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 841 | 56.2 | 2,970 |
| Secondary | 74.2 | 13.2 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 15 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 953 | 753 | 888 |
| Higher | 89.8 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 06 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 904 | 112 |
| Total | 55.9 | 15.7 | 12.8 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 844 | 58.2 | 4,720 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes cases in which the wife is unsure about her own attitude, but knows her husband's

The proportion of wives and husbands who approve of family planning use is slightly lower at the younger and older age groups. Urban residents are more likely to approve of contraceptive use than their rural counterparts. Approval of family planning use is highest in Copperbelt, Lusaka, and North-Western Provinces, as well as among those with the highest education.

The fact that both women and men in the same households were interviewed provides an opportunity to compare responses obtained from currently married women with those obtained from their husbands. Table 4.20 shows the percent distribution of the 822 matched couples by their perception of their spouse's attitudes, according to the spouse's actual attitude toward family planning.

The first thing to note in the table is the fact that 85 percent of both husbands and wives say they approve of family planning, while about 10 percent do not approve, and 5 percent are unsure. Generally speaking, when wives and husbands believe that their spouse approves of family planning they are correct; however, when they believe that their spouse disapproves, they are wrong. For example, among husbands whose wives perceived them as approving of family planning, 88 percent did indced approve. However, among husbands whose wives believe them to disapprove of family planning, 76 percent say they actually
approve. These results imply that greater communication about family planning could improve these false preceptions. An alternative explanation is that respondents who disapprove of family planning are reluctant to report this view to interviewers.

Table 4.20 Spouse's perception of spouse's approval of family planning
Percent distribution of couples by husband's and wife's actual attitude towards family planning, according to their spouse's perception of their attitude, Zambia 1996

| Perception | Spouse's actual attitude |  |  | Total | Number of couples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approves | Disapapproves | Unsure |  |  |
| Wife's perception of husband's attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approves | 87.7 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 514 |
| Disapproves | 76.3 | 18.4 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 152 |
| Don't know | 82.6 | 10.9 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Total | 84.6 | 10.3 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 822 |
| Husband's perception of wife's attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approves | 88.6 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 623 |
| Disapproves | 80.0 | 15.1 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 87 |
| Don't know | 69.4 | 18.4 | 12.2 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Total | 85.1 | 9.3 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 822 |

## CHAPTER 5

## OTHER PROXIMATE DETERMINANTS OF FERTILITY

This chapter addresses the principal factors, other than contraception, which affect a woman's risk of becoming pregnant: nuptiality and sexual intercourse, post-partum amenorrhoea and abstinence from sexual relations, and secondary infertility.

While it is by no means exact, marriage is an indicator of exposure of women to the risk of pregnancy, and is therefore important for the understanding of fertility. Populations in which age at marriage is low also tend to experience early childbearing and high fertility; hence the motivation to examine trends in age at marriage.

This chapter also includes more direct measures of the beginning of exposure to pregnancy and the level of exposure: age at first sexual intercourse and the frequency of intercourse. Measures of other proximate determinants of fertility are the durations of post-partum amenorrhoea and post-partum abstinence and the level of secondary infertility.

### 5.1 Marital Status

Table 5.1 shows data on the marital status of female and male respondents at the time of the survey. In this table, the term "married" is intended to mean legal or formal marriage, while "living together" designates an informal union. In subsequent tables, these two categories are combined and referred to collectively as "currently married" or "currently in union." The widowed, divorced, and no longer living together (separated) make up the remainder of the "ever-married" or "ever in union" category.

Six in ten Zambian women of reproductive age are currently in a marital union. The 1992 ZDHS reported a similar figure ( 61 percent); the same is true for the proportion never married ( 25 percent). The proportion of women who have never married drops drastically from 73 percent among women in their teens to 22 percent among women in their early twenties. On the other hand, the proportion divorced rises steeply after age group 15-19, where it stays relatively constant at about $7-12$ percent among women 20 years and older. The proportion widowed rises gradually, and reaches 14 percent for women aged 45-49. The proportion of women living together with a man and no longer living together (separated) varies little across age groups.

In the case of men, overall, 44 percent have never married, more than half are married, and a small percentage are either living together or no longer in union. Very few men have been married at age 15-19, but by age 30 only 8 percent are still single. It is interesting to note that even in the oldest age group (55-59), nine in ten men are currently married, compared to only three-quarters of women age 45-49.

| Table 5.1_Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women and men by current marital status, according to age, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of men/ women |
| Age | Never married | Married | Living together | Widowed | Divorced | No longer living together | Total |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 72.7 | 24.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2,003 |
| 20-24 | 22.0 | 65.2 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 1,830 |
| 25-29 | 9.5 | 74.3 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 9.3 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 1,286 |
| 30-34 | 2.8 | 78.3 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 9.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 1,081 |
| 35-39 | 1.3 | 75.7 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 10.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 758 |
| 40-44 | 1.2 | 73.0 | 0.7 | 10.9 | 12.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 568 |
| 45-49 | 0.7 | 74.2 | 0.1 | 13.8 | 9.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 494 |
| Total | 25.3 | 60.3 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 8,021 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 68.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 23.2 | 68.3 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 38 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 255 |
| 30-34 | 8.1 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 1.8 | 87.3 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 184 |
| 40-44 | 0.7 | 93.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 1.1 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83 |
| 50-54 | 0.0 | 93.7 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 65 |
| 55-59 | 0.0 | 92.4 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 52 |
| Total | 44.0 | 51.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,849 |

Note: Figures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.

### 5.2 Polygyny

In Zambia, current marriages can be subdivided into polygynous and monogamous unions. This being the case, married women were asked in the ZDHS whether their husbands had other wives, and if so, how many.

Seventeen percent of the currently married women are in polygynous unions. At all age groups, polygyny is more common in rural than in urban areas. Polygyny varies by province (Figure 5.1). The rate is between 11 percent to 20 percent in five of the nine provinces in Zambia, 22 percent or more in Northern and Eastern Provinces, while Southern Province has the largest percentage of women in polygynous unions ( 32 percent). On the other extreme, women in Copperbelt Province are the least likely to have co-wives. Among women age 20 and 44 years, polygyny decreases with increasing level of education (Table 5.2).

The overall level of polygyny has changed little over the past few years. The proportion of currently married women in polygynous unions in 1992 was the same ( 17 percent) as in 1996.

Figure 5.1
Percentage of Currentiy Married Women in a Polygynous Union


ZDHS 1996

### 5.3 Age at First Marriage

ZDHS data show that half the women in Zambia marry before they reach age 18, and four in five marry before age 22 . Cohort trends in age at marriage can also be described by comparing the distribution for successive age groups, as shown in Table 5.3. ${ }^{1}$ The proportion of women married by age 15 decreased systematically from 26 percent among women age $45-49$ to 4 percent among those 15-19. The reported median age at first union is 18.0 years for women age 20-49 years. The age at marriage appears to have increased over time from about 17 years among women now in their 40 s to over 18 among women in their 20 s . Another indication of the trend towards later age at marriage is the fact that the median age at first marriage among women age 20-49 has risen from 17.7 in 1992 to 18.0 in 1996.

Men marry considerably later than women. While 70 percent of women have been married by age 20, only 16 percent of men $25-59$ were married at the same age. Among men age $25-59$, one in three marry before they are 22 years old and 62 percent were married before age 25 years.

Table 5.4 presents a more detailed picture of the trends in the median age at marriage. The changes observed at the national level have been achieved primarily through changes in the behaviour of women in urban areas, where the median age at marriage has increased by 3 years between cohorts of women age 20-24 and 45-49. Increases of 2 years or more have taken place among women in Copperbelt, Lusaka, NorthWestern, and Western Provinces, whilst the increases in the median age at marriage among women in other

[^2]provinces are less pronounced. Level of education attained is directly related to age at first marriage: the median age at first marriage is 16.7 years among women with no education compared with 20.8 years for women with secondary or higher schooling.

| Table 5.2 Polygyny |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of currently married women and men in a polygynous union, by age and selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { men } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50+ |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.8 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 12.2 | 12.7 | 13.0 | 15.9 | NA | 9.4 | 2.4 |
| Rural | 7.2 | 13.0 | 22.8 | 30.0 | 33.5 | 30.5 | 29.9 | NA | 22.3 | 13.4 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 0.0 | 6.3 | 15.5 | 14.6 | 12.5 | (13.8) | (20.4) | NA | 11.2 | 8.6 |
| Copperbelt | 0.0 | 4.3 | 8.9 | 11.0 | 16.6 | 9.4 | (11.6) | NA | 8.5 | 1.1 |
| Eastern | 6.7 | 13.2 | 26.0 | 34.1 | 32.9 | 33.2 | 37.2 | NA | 24.3 | 16.9 |
| Luapula | (4.3) | 11.8 | 8.3 | 22.1 | 29.3 | (21.7) | 13.0 | NA | 15.0 | 6.0 |
| Lusaka | 4.0 | 5.2 | 10.9 | 17.4 | 14.2 | 15.3 | (23.9) | NA | 11.5 | 1.6 |
| Northern | 6.9 | 13.2 | 20.5 | 27.3 | 33.5 | (36.9) | (39.2) | NA | 22.2 | 14.5 |
| Nort-Western | 2.8 | 6.0 | 13.1 | 14.3 | 19.6 | (18.4) | (18.0) | NA | 12.0 | 6.9 |
| Southern | 23.5 | 20.9 | 29.9 | 38.1 | 49.0 | (40.1) | (40.1) | NA | 32.2 | 17.8 |
| Western | (5.4) | 11.1 | 20.6 | 22.3 | 20.8 | 33.3 | (25.0) | NA | 19.6 | 8.5 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.2 | 17.7 | 23.6 | 30.9 | 29.7 | 26.1 | 25.5 | NA | 23.5 | 19.5 |
| Primary | 5.2 | 10.8 | 18.1 | 23.8 | 27.6 | 23.1 | 27.8 | NA | 18.0 | 11.1 |
| Secondary+ | 6.4 | 4.2 | 9.5 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 18.4 | (7.9) | NA | 9.7 | 4.3 |
| All women | 6.0 | 10.0 | 16.6 | 22.5 | 25.1 | 22.8 | 25.6 | NA | 17.1 | NA |
| All men | 0.0 | 7.3 | 4.5 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 11.9 | 16.2 | NA | 8.7 |
| Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. NA = Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.4 and Figure 5.2 show that men marry at a later age than women. Data in the last column in Table 5.4 also indicate that men marry on average 6 years later than women. There are slight variations in the median age at first marriage among men by residence and education.

### 5.4 Age at First Sexual Intercourse

While age at first marriage is often used as a proxy for first exposure to intercourse, the two events do not necessarily occur at the same time. Women may engage in sexual relations prior to marriage, especially if they are postponing the age at which they marry. The ZDHS asked women the age at which they first had sexual intercourse (see Tables 5.5 and 5.6). (Note that the information on age at first sexual intercourse in Tables 5.5 and 5.6 parallels the information on age at first marriage in Tables 5.3 and 5.4).

## Table 5.3 Age at first marriage

Percentage of women and men who were first married by specific exact age and median age at first marriage, according to current age, Zambia 1996

| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who were first married by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who have never married | Number of women | Median age at first marriage |
| Current age | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 72.7 | 2,003 | a |
| 20-24 | 8.9 | 44.2 | 64.3 | NA | NA | 22.0 | 1,830 | 18.5 |
| 25-29 | 11.6 | 45.5 | 65.2 | 77.2 | 87.1 | 9.5 | 1,286 | 18.4 |
| 30-34 | 14.5 | 51.7 | 69.8 | 83.0 | 90.7 | 2.8 | 1,081 | 17.9 |
| 35-39 | 17.6 | 57.4 | 74.8 | 84.6 | 91.7 | 1.3 | 758 | 17.4 |
| 40-44 | 17.4 | 57.8 | 76.4 | 87.1 | 94.4 | 1.2 | 568 | 17.4 |
| 45-49 | 25.5 | 63.8 | 81.6 | 91.4 | 95.5 | 0.7 | 494 | 16.8 |
| Women 20-49 | 13.7 | 50.4 | 69.4 | 80.6 | 87.0 | 9.5 | 6,018 | 18.0 |
| Women 25-49 | 15.9 | 53.1 | 71.6 | 83.0 | 90.9 | 4.1 | 4,187 | 17.7 |
|  |  |  |  | MEN |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | tage w ied by |  |  | Percentage who have | Number | Median age at |
| Current age | 20 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 30 | married | men | marriage |
| 25-29 | 14.3 | 37.4 | 62.1 | NA | NA | 23.2 | 255 | 23.4 |
| 30-34 | 15.7 | 36.5 | 61.8 | 77.3 | 86.4 | 8.1 | 225 | 23.3 |
| 35-39 | 16.1 | 34.5 | 64.3 | 79.8 | 86.7 | 1.8 | 184 | 23.5 |
| 40-44 | 20.3 | 33.1 | 57.1 | 80.7 | 86.7 | 0.7 | 121 | 23.8 |
| 45-49 | 13.7 | 27.6 | 62.8 | 83.4 | 88.7 | 1.1 | 83 | 23.6 |
| 50-54 | 13.2 | 23.9 | 67.4 | 85.2 | 91.3 | 0.0 | 65 | 23.6 |
| 55-59 | 20.2 | 37.5 | 52.7 | 72.6 | 76.4 | 0.0 | 52 | 24.6 |
| Men 25-59 | 15.9 | 34.4 | 61.7 | 78.4 | 84.0 | 8.4 | 986 | 23.5 |
| NA = Not applicable <br> ${ }^{2}$ Omitted because les |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In many cases, sexual activity precedes marriage. By age 18, 70 percent of the women age 20-49 have had sexual intercourse, whereas only 50 percent have been married. Similarly, by age 20,85 percent of women had intercourse, while 70 percent have married (see Table 5.5). Overall, the median age at first sexual intercourse is about 16 years, which is about 2 years earlier than the median age at first marriage of about 18. Analysis of cohorts indicates that there has been little change in the median age at first sexual intercourse over time. This is corroborated by data from the 1992 ZDHS which showed a median age at first sexual intercourse of 16.3 among women age 20-49.

In the case of men, by age 15 only one in four ( 24 percent) men age $15-59$ years have had sexual intercourse compared to 90 percent by age 22 years. Like women, the percentage of men who have had sexual intercourse at different ages varies little by age group.

## Table 5.4 Median age at first mannage

Median age at first marriage among women 20-49 years by current age, and selected background characteristics, and among men age $25-59$ by background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | Women age 20-49 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 25-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { age } \\ 25-59 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.7 | 19.5 | 18.6 | 17.6 | 17.9 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 24.4 |
| Rural | 17.8 | 17.7 | 17.5 | 17.3 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 22.8 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 18.7 | 18.4 | 18.6 | (18.1) | (17.3) | (17.0) | 18.3 | 18.1 | 23.1 |
| Copperbelt | 18.8 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 17.7 | 17.1 | (16.1) | 18.2 | 17.9 | 24.0 |
| Eastern | 17.6 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 17.1 | (17.4) | (16.9) | 17.2 | 17.0 | 22.0 |
| Luapula | 17.7 | 17.6 | 16.8 | 16.7 | (16.7) | (16.5) | 17.2 | 16.9 | 22.8 |
| Lusaka | a | 20.2 | 19.1 | 17.7 | (18.2) | (17.2) | 19.1 | 18.7 | 24.7 |
| Northern | 17.7 | 17.9 | 17.7 | (17.1) | (18.0) | (17.2) | 17.7 | 17.6 | 23.9 |
| North-Westem | 18.1 | 17.5 | 17.0 | (15.9) | (16.1) | * | 17.2 | 16.7 | 22.8 |
| Southern | 18.4 | 18.5 | 17.7 | 17.2 | (17.9) | (17.5) | 18.0 | 17.9 | 23.0 |
| Western | a | 20.2 | (19.5) | 18.3 | (16.9) | (16.9) | 19.0 | 18.5 | 24.9 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 17.4 | 17.0 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 16.7 | 22.4 |
| Primary | 17.7 | 17.6 | 17.3 | 16.8 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 17.3 | 17.2 | 22.9 |
| Secondary+ | a | 21.4 | 20.9 | * | (20.2) | * | a | 20.8 | 24.2 |
| All women | 18.5 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 16.8 | 18.0 | 17.7 | 23.5 |

Note: The medran age for women $15-19$ could not be determined because some women may still get married before reaching age 20. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed, while figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ women.
Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ were first married by age $x$

If women do not wait for marriage to become sexually active, has the increasing age at marriage among women in urban areas and in Central, Copperbelt, and Luapula Provinces had any effect on delaying exposure to intercourse? Table 5.6 indicates some trend toward later initiation of sexual intercourse among younger urban women. While age at marriage has been increasing, the age of initiating sexual activity has remained almost unchanged, especially in Lusaka and Luapula Provinces. The median age at first sexual intercourse is lowest among women in North-Western Province ( 15.1 years for women $25-49$ years), and in Southern and Western Provinces ( 16.0 years or younger). Women with secondary or higher education start having sexual intercourse later than women with less than secondary education.

Like women, urban men initiate sexual intercourse at a later age than rural men. Regional variations in this median are minimal. Overall, the median age at first sexual intercourse for men increases with increasing level of education.

Figure 5.2 Median Age at Marriage by Current Age


### 5.5 Recent Sexual Activity

In the absence of contraception, the probability of pregnancy is related to the frequency of intercourse. Thus, information on sexual activity can be used to refine measures of exposure to pregnancy. Only 12 percent of women interviewed in the ZDHS had never had sexual intercourse. But not all women who have ever had intercourse are currently sexually active. Table 5.7 .1 presents data on sexual activity among women in the four weeks preceding the survey by background characteristics and the length of abstinence among sexually inactive women.

Women are considered to be sexually active if they had intercourse at least once in the four weeks preceding the survey. Women who are not sexually active may be abstaining in the period following a birth, or may be abstaining for various other reasons. Just over half of women ( 52 percent) were sexually active in the month prior to the interview, while 13 percent were abstaining for post-partum reasons and 22 percent were abstaining for other reasons. Teenagers and women who have never been in a union are less likely to be sexually active than older women and women who are in a union. There are slight variations in the proportion of women who are sexually active according to various background characteristics such as marriage duration and residence. As expected, women who are using a method of family planining are more likely to be sexually active than those who are not.

Table 5.5 Age at first sexual intercourse
Percentage of women and men who had first sexual intercourse by exact age $15,18,20,22$, and 25 , and median age at first intercourse, according to current age, Zambia 1996

| Current age | Percentage who had first intercourse by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who never had intercourse | Number of women/ men | Median age at first intercourse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 21.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 41.7 | 2,003 | a |
| 20-24 | 21.7 | 69.1 | 86.2 | NA | NA | 5.2 | 1,830 | a |
| 25-29 | 24.6 | 69.4 | 84.4 | 90.4 | 94.4 | 0.5 | 1,286 | 16.4 |
| 30-34 | 25.4 | 68.6 | 83.2 | 90.3 | 93.3 | 0.5 | 1,081 | 16.4 |
| 35-39 | 26.9 | 71.0 | 84.4 | 90.1 | 93.1 | 0.1 | 758 | 16.3 |
| 40-44 | 23.7 | 69.5 | 84.2 | 89.7 | 93.7 | 0.0 | 568 | 16.6 |
| 45-49 | 28.7 | 71.5 | 85.8 | 91.2 | 94.0 | 0.0 | 494 | 16.3 |
| Women 20-49 | 24.4 | 69.5 | 84.8 | 90.7 | 93.3 | 1.8 | 6,018 | 16.4 |
| Women 25-49 | 25.6 | 69.7 | 84.2 | 90.3 | 93.7 | 0.3 | 4,187 | 16.4 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 39.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 33.8 | 460 | a |
| 20-24 | 31.9 | 70.2 | 82.6 | NA | NA | 10.6 | 404 | 16.0 |
| 25-29 | 28.7 | 69.4 | 83.0 | 91.5 | 98.1 | 0.9 | 255 | 16.4 |
| 30-34 | 28.4 | 69.9 | 80.1 | 90.6 | 93.0 | 1.4 | 225 | 16.0 |
| 35-39 | 24.7 | 64.4 | 83.7 | 92.4 | 96.1 | 0.0 | 184 | 16.6 |
| 40-44 | 13.0 | 59.2 | 80.0 | 90.8 | 96.3 | 0.0 | 121 | 17.3 |
| 45-49 | 15.6 | 42.9 | 65.6 | 83.9 | 92.7 | 0.0 | 83 | 18.6 |
| 50-54 | 20.8 | 50.4 | 67.1 | 87.0 | 94.5 | 0.0 | 65 | 18.0 |
| 55-59 | 17.5 | 65.9 | 84.4 | 89.0 | 924 | 0.0 | 52 | 16.6 |
| Men 25-59 | 23.7 | 63.7 | 79.7 | 90.3 | 95.3 | 0.6 | 986 | 16.7 |

NA = Not applicable
Omitted because less than 50 percent in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ had had intercourse by age $x$

Among men age $15-59$ years, 56 percent were sexually active in the four weeks preceding the survey, 33 percent were not sexually active in the same period, and 11 percent had never had sexual intercourse (Table 5.7.2). Sexual activity varies with age, marital status, level of education, and residence. Men in polygynous unions, rural men, and better educated men are more sexually active than other men.

| Table 5.6 Median age at first intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median age at first intercourse among women 20-49 years by current age and selected background characteristics, and among men age $25-59$ by background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 20-49 \end{gathered}$ | Women age <br> 25-49 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { age } \\ 25-59 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 17.0 | 17.1 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 17.4 |
| Rural | 16.2 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.0 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 16.7 | 16.3 | 16.7 | (16.7) | (16.4) | (16.2) | 16.5 | 16.4 | 17.6 |
| Copperbelt | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 16.8 | (16.5) | (15.7) | 16.8 | 16.7 | 17.3 |
| Eastern | 16.6 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 16.5 | (16.9) | (16.2) | 16.4 | 16.2 | 15.8 |
| Luapula | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 16.4 | (16.7) | (16.3) | 16.3 | 16.3 | 15.9 |
| Lusaka | 17.0 | 16.9 | 17.4 | 16.5 | (17.0) | (16.8) | 16.9 | 16.9 | 17.8 |
| Northern | 16.7 | 17.0 | 17.1 | (16.3) | (17.6) | (16.9) | 16.9 | 17.0 | 15.1 |
| North-Western | 15.7 | 15.2 | 15.3 | (14.7) | * | * | 15.3 | 15.1 | 15.8 |
| Southern | 15.9 | 15.8 | 16.0 | (15.7) | (16.0) | (16.4) | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.6 |
| Western | 15.7 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 16.2 | (15.7) | 15.9 | 16.0 | 17.9 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 16.4 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 16.3 |
| Primary | 16.0 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.2 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 15.9 | 16.4 |
| Secondary+ | 17.9 | 18.0 | 18.5 | 18.0 | 17.7 | * | 18.0 | 18.1 | 17.0 |
| All women/men | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.7 |
| Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed, while figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.6 Post-partum Amenorrhoea, Abstinence, and Insusceptibility

Post-partum protection from conception can be prolonged by breastfeeding, which can lengthen the duration of amenorrhoea (the period following a birth, but prior to the return of menses). Protection can also be prolonged by delaying the resumption of sexual relations. Table 5.8 presents the percentage of births whose mothers are post-partum amenorrhoeic and abstaining, as well as the percentage of births whose mothers are classified as still post-partum insusceptible to pregnancy for either reason; data are presented by months since the birth. On average, women are amenorrhoeic for more than 1 year ( 12.5 months) and abstain from sexual relations following a birth for 8.3 months. The average duration of the post-partum insusceptible period is 14.7 months.

Half of women in Zambia remain amenorrhoeic for at least 10 months following a birth; but only 22 percent abstain from sexual intercourse for this duration. The proportion remaining amenorrhoeic 18 months after birth drops significantly to 25 percent, and those still abstaining to 20 percent. Overall, three-quarters of women become susceptible to pregnancy within 20-21 months of giving birth.

The median durations of post-partum amenorrhoea, abstinence, and insusceptibility are presented in Table 5.9 by background characteristics of the mothers. Post-partum amenorrhoea generally lasts slightly longer among older (age 30 and above) than younger mothers ( 14 months compared to 11 months), and among

## Table 5.7.1 Recent sexual activity: women

Percent distribution of women by sexual activity in the four weeks preceding the survey, and among those not sexually active, the length of time they have been abstaining and whether post-partum or not post-partum, according to selected background characteristics and contraceptive method currently used, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic/ contraceptive method | Sexually active in last 4 weeks | Not sexually active in last 4 weeks |  |  |  | Missing | Never had sex | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Abstaining (post-partum) |  | Abstaining(not post-partum) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0-1 years | $2+$ years | 0-1 years | $2+$ years |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 26.9 | 8.8 | 0.6 | 18.7 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 41.7 | 100.0 | 2,003 |
| 20-24 | 53.5 | 17.1 | 3.2 | 17.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 1,830 |
| 25-29 | 62.3 | 12.7 | 2.2 | 18.1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,286 |
| 30-34 | 65.4 | 11.9 | 1.7 | 16.6 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,081 |
| 35-39 | 62.9 | 12.9 | 1.9 | 14.7 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 758 |
| 40-44 | 61.7 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 18.5 | 8.9 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 568 |
| 45-49 | 60.6 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 18.6 | 17.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 494 |
| Duration of union (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 14.7 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 24.3 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 46.5 | 1000 | 2,032 |
| 0-4 | 64.1 | 19.1 | 0.9 | 13.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,463 |
| 5-9 | 62.7 | 14.8 | 1.7 | 16.4 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,357 |
| 10-14 | 68.2 | 11.7 | 2.0 | 14.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 976 |
| 15-19 | 66.6 | 13.0 | 1.6 | 13.7 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 787 |
| 20-24 | 63.1 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 15.4 | 6.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 625 |
| 25-29 | 63.6 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 17.3 | 11.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 482 |
| 30+ | 58.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 20.9 | 16.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1000 | 300 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 49.0 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 19.6 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 14.8 | 100.0 | 3,604 |
| Rural | 54.1 | 13.8 | 2.1 | 16.0 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 4,417 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 56.3 | 9.0 | 2.4 | 16.8 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 9.4 | 1000 | 653 |
| Copperbelt | 48.9 | 7.4 | 1.9 | 21.5 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 14.6 | 100.0 | 1,588 |
| Eastern | 55.5 | 12.5 | 1.3 | 16.8 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 1,075 |
| Luapula | 53.2 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 17.5 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 726 |
| Lusaka | 49.8 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 18.6 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 14.8 | 100.0 | 1,403 |
| Northern | 40.8 | 19.3 | 4.0 | 16.1 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 13.1 | 100.0 | 872 |
| North-Westem | 54.7 | 21.9 | 1.8 | 10.9 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 288 |
| Southern | 61.4 | 11.4 | 1.0 | 14.3 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 87 | 100.0 | 816 |
| Western | 52.0 | 16.7 | 4.5 | 17.1 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 600 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 56.3 | 12.0 | 1.9 | 17.4 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 1,067 |
| Primary | 54.2 | 12.8 | 1.7 | 15.8 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 4,721 |
| Secondary | 43.7 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 21.4 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 18.5 | 100.0 | 2,007 |
| Higher | 52.0 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 24.2 | 9.0 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Contraceptive method ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No method | 46.5 | 11.6 | 2.2 | 18.6 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 14.5 | 100.0 | 6,483 |
| Pill | 84.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 416 |
| Female sterhisation | 81.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 114 |
| Natural family planning | 79.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 124 |
| Other | 66.8 | 16.6 | 1.4 | 14.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 858 |
| Total | 51.8 | 11.3 | 1.9 | 17.6 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 8,02] |

[^3]rural ( 14 months) compared to urban mothers ( 8 months). Mothers in Western, North-Western, Luapula, and Eastern Provinces show a particularly long median duration of amenorrhoea ( 13.7 months or longer), while those in Westem, North-Western, and Northern Provinces tend to abstain for considerably longer periods ( $9-13$ months) after a birth than women in other provinces. Despite the long amenorrhoeic and abstinence periods in Northem and NorthWestern Provinces, fertility is high (see Chapter 3).

| Table 5,7.2 Recent sexual activity: men |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of men by sexual activity in the four weeks preceding the survey, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Sexually active in last 4 weeks | Not sexually active in last 4 weeks | Never had sex | Total | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 27.9 | 38.3 | 33.8 | 100.0 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 49.5 | 39.9 | 10.6 | 100.0 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 66.1 | 33.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 255 |
| 30-34 | 71.0 | 27.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 225 |
| 35-39 | 76.4 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 184 |
| 40.44 | 75.3 | 24.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 121 |
| 45-49 | 70.2 | 29.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83 |
| 50-54 | 77.1 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 65 |
| 55-59 | 64.9 | 35.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 52 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 32.9 | 42.1 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 814 |
| Polygynous union | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Monogamous union | 76.3 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 862 |
| Formerly marred | 38.4 | 61.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 91 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 53.8 | 36.5 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 852 |
| Rural | 57.4 | 30.5 | 12.1 | 100.0 | 997 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 55.6 | 37.7 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Prımary | 53.5 | 31.5 | 15.1 | 100.0 | 938 |
| Secondary | 57.1 | 35.5 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 686 |
| Higher | 68.6 | 28.6 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 98 |
| Total | 55.8 | 33.2 | 11.0 | 100.0 | 1,849 |

Mother's education has a direct relationship with the duration of amenorrhoea; the higher the level of education of the mother, the shorter the median duration of amenorrhoea. The median duration of amenorrhoea decreases from 14 months for women with no education to 8 months for women with secondary education and to 4 percent for women with higher education.

Table 5.8 Post-partum amenorrhoea, abstinence, and insusceptibility
Percentage of births in the three years preceding the survey for which mothers are post-partum amenorrhoeic, abstaining, and insusceptible, by number of months since birth, and median and mean durations, Zambia 1996

| Months since birh | Amenorrhoeic | Abstaining | Insusceptible | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<2$ | 96.6 | 92.9 | 97.8 | 193 |
| 2-3 | 92.0 | 70.3 | 94.8 | 267 |
| 4-5 | 81.1 | 46.5 | 85.0 | 236 |
| 6-7 | 68.7 | 32.9 | 75.4 | 210 |
| 8-9 | 65.5 | 32.3 | 71.6 | 223 |
| 10-11 | 50.7 | 22.1 | 58.4 | 270 |
| 12-13 | 47.9 | 22.7 | 55.7 | 288 |
| 14-15 | 39.8 | 21.3 | 49.6 | 251 |
| 16-17 | 33.6 | 14.6 | 40.2 | 247 |
| 18-19 | 25.1 | 202 | 35.9 | 219 |
| 20-21 | 19.1 | 13.8 | 25.3 | 246 |
| 22-23 | 10.1 | 9.2 | 15.7 | 255 |
| 24-25 | 6.0 | 9.1 | 13.6 | 294 |
| 26-27 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 237 |
| 28-29 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 241 |
| 30-31 | 3.7 | 7.6 | 10.6 | 191 |
| 32-33 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 243 |
| 34-35 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 251 |
| Total | 35.2 | 23.5 | 41.3 | 4,362 |
| Median | 11.5 | 4.7 | 14.1 | - |
| Mean | 13.2 | 9.0 | 15.3 | - |
| Prevalence/ Incidence mean ${ }^{1}$ | 12.5 | 8.3 | 14.7 | . |

${ }^{1}$ The prevalence-incidence mean is borrowed from epidemiology and is defined as the number of chlldren whose mothers are amenorrhoeic (prevalence) divided by the average number of births per month (incidence).

### 5.7 Termination of Exposure to Pregnancy

Later in life, the risk of pregnancy begins to decline with age, typically beginning around age 30 . While the onset of infecundity is difficult to determine for any individual woman, there are ways of estimating it for a population. Table 5.10 presents an indicator of decreasing exposure to the risk of pregnancy for women age 30 and above, the prevalence of menopause, which includes women who are neither pregnant nor post-partum amenorrhoeic, but have not had a menstrual period in the six months preceding the survey. Overall, 8 percent of women 30-49 are menopausal according to this indicator. While the proportion is 2 percent or less among women in their 30 s , it increases to 16 percent among women 44-45 years. By age 48-49, half of women have stopped menstruating.

## Table 5.9 Median duration of post-partum insusceptibility by background characteristics

Median number of months of post-partum amenorrhoea, post-partum abstinence, and post-partum insusceptibility, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background <br> characteristic | Post-partum <br> amenorrhoea | Post-partum <br> abstinence | Post-partum <br> insuscep- <br> tibility | Number <br> of <br> women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 10.5 | 4.8 | 13.4 | 2,957 |
| $<30$ | 13.8 | 4.5 | 15.0 | 1,404 |
| $30+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Residence | 8.4 | 4.3 | 9.5 | 1,682 |
| Urban | 13.9 | 5.0 | 15.8 | 2,680 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |
| Province | 8.3 | 3.9 | 9.5 | 363 |
| Central | 9.4 | 3.1 | 10.8 | 797 |
| Copperbelt | 13.7 | 5.0 | 15.4 | 677 |
| Eastern | 14.0 | 2.6 | 15.1 | 411 |
| Luapula | 8.7 | 4.5 | 9.5 | 638 |
| Lusaka | 12.9 | 8.7 | 16.3 | 536 |
| Northern | 14.1 | 9.8 | 18.9 | 177 |
| North-Western | 12.3 | 4.3 | 15.8 | 477 |
| Southern |  | 13.1 | 18.3 | 286 |
| Western |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 13.7 | 5.3 | 15.5 | 609 |
| No education | 12.3 | 4.7 | 14.5 | 2,798 |
| Primary | 3.9 | 4.4 | 9.0 | 878 |
| Secondary | 3.8 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 76 |
| Higher | 11.5 | 4.7 | 14.1 | 4,362 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Note: Medians are based on current status. Total includes one woman for whom information on education is missing.

| Table 5.10 Termination of exposure to the risk of pregnancy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Indicators of menopause among currently married women age 30-49, Zambia 1996 |  |  |
| Age | Menopause ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | Percent | Number |
| 30-34 | 0.6 | 857 |
| 35-39 | 1.8 | 586 |
| 40-41 | 4.5 | 183 |
| 42-43 | 10.8 | 168 |
| 44-45 | 15.6 | 134 |
| 46-47 | 26.9 | 137 |
| 48-49 | 52.1 | 163 |
| Total | 8.3 | 2,228 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Percentage of currently married women who are not pregnant, not post-partum amenorrhoeic, and whose last menstrual period occurred six or more months preceding the survey or who report that they are menopausal. |  |  |

## CHAPTER 6

## FERTILITY PREFERENCES

Three questions were asked in the 1996 ZDHS which allow an assessment of the need for contraception and of levels of unwanted fertility. First, women and men were asked whether they wanted to have another child. Respondents who wanted more children were asked how long they would prefer to wait before having the next child. Finally, women and men were asked how many children they would like to have if they could start afresh.

Interpretation of data on fertility preferences has always been the subject of controversy. Survey questions have been criticised on the grounds that answers are misleading because:

- They reflect unformed ephemeral views, which are held with weak intensity and little conviction; and
- They do not take into account the effect of social pressures or the attitudes of other family members, particularly the husband who may exert a major influence on reproductive decisions. Also, women need the means to fulfill their desires.

The inclusion of women who are currently pregnant complicates the measurement of views on future childbearing. For these women, the question on desire for more children is rephrased to refer to desire for another child, after the one that they are expecting. To take into account the way in which the preference variable is defined for pregnant women, the results are classified by number of living children, including the current pregnancy as equivalent to a living child. Women who have been sterilised for contraceptive purposes are treated as wanting no more children.

### 6.1 Fertility Preferences

In the 1992 and 1996 ZDHS surveys, currently married women were asked "Would you like to have (a/another) child or would you prefer not to have any (more) children?" Interviewers were instructed to alter the wording depending on whether the respondent already had children or not. If the woman was pregnant, she was asked if she wanted to have another child after the one she was expecting. Women who said they did want to have another child were then asked how long they would like to wait before the birth of the next child.

Two-thirds of married women in Zambia want to have another child; however most of these women (39 percent of all married women in 1996) want to wait two or more years before having their next birth (Figure 6.1). The percentage of women who want no more children or have been sterilised has increased from 24 percent in 1992 to 28 percent in 1996. The majority of married women want either to space their next birth (want a child later) or to limit childbearing altogether (want no more children). These women are potentially in need of family planning services.

Table 6.1 shows that the desire for more children declines as the number of living children increases. There is a sizeable disparity in the fertility preferences of men and women; women want to limit births earlier than men. Whereas 62 percent of women with six or more children want no more, the corresponding percentage of men is 41 percent (Figure 6.2). Women would rather have their first child sooner than men; nine in ten women with no children want to have a child within two years, while among men the proportion is 58 percent. Figure 6.2 also shows there was little overall change in fertility preferences between 1992 and 1996.

## Table 6.1 Fertility preferences by number of living children

Percent distribution of currently married women and men by desire for more children, according to number of living children, Zambia 1996

| Desire for children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 86.3 | 36.7 | 28.0 | 20.3 | 18.0 | 11.5 | 5.9 | 25.2 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 3.4 | 53.6 | 54.9 | 50.8 | 44.9 | 29.7 | 15.3 | 38.8 |
| Have another, undecided when | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| Undecided | 0.7 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| Want no more | 1.5 | 2.9 | 11.5 | 22.1 | 28.7 | 45.6 | 61.9 | 26.4 |
| Sterilised | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 6.5 | 2.1 |
| Declared infecund | 6.3 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 3.6 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 343 | 883 | 881 | 771 | 552 | 476 | 996 | 4,902 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 57.5 | 42.5 | 35.2 | 35.8 | 31.2 | 20.4 | 18.8 | 31.7 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 32.4 | 51.8 | 49.5 | 47.6 | 32.2 | 31.8 | 22.0 | 37.3 |
| Have another, undecided when | 5.6 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Undecided | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| Want no more | 1.0 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 23.7 | 36.8 | 40.5 | 19.8 |
| Sterilised | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 1.4 |
| Declared infecund | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 4.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of men | 72 | 138 | 151 | 137 | 97 | 98 | 252 | 944 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy
Want next birth within two years
${ }^{3}$ Want to delay next birth for two or more years

Figure 6.1
Fertility Preferences among Currently Married Women 15-49


Figure 6.2
Percentage of Currently Married Women and Currently Married Men Who Want No More Children by Number of Living Children


Note: Includes sterillsed women and men

Table 6.2 shows the fertility preferences of women by age. The desire to have another child within two years declines with age, while the desire to limit childbearing increases with age. The proportion of women who want to have another child soon declines from 34 percent among women 15-19 years to 7 percent among women $45-49$ years. Conversely, the percentage of women who do not want to have another child increases from 3 percent among women age 15-19 years to 61 percent among women age 45-49 years.

## Table 6.2 Fertility preferences by age

Percent distribution of currently married women by desire for more children, according to age, Zambia 1996

|  | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Desire for <br> children | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40-44$ | $45-49$ | Total |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{1}$ | 34.0 | 28.9 | 28.3 | 27.8 | 20.9 | 13.9 | 7.1 | 25.2 |  |
| Have another later |  | 57.9 | 59.2 | 50.3 | 32.8 | 17.8 | 5.7 | 0.8 | 38.8 |
| Have another, undecided when | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 |  |
| Undecided | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 2.6 |  |
| Want no more | 2.6 | 7.9 | 18.1 | 30.8 | 48.7 | 56.9 | 61.1 | 26.4 |  |
| Sterilised | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 10.1 | 6.2 | 2.1 |  |
| Declared infecund | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 8.8 | 23.7 | 3.6 |  |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 586 | 419 | 367 | 4,902 |

[^4]The fact that the 1996 ZDHS included interviews of men allows the linking of data from women and their husbands or partners. These data can be useful in comparing the fertility desires of couples. Table 6.3 shows the distribution of monogamous couples by desire for more children. In 60 percent of couples, both spouses want more children. The percentage of couples in which husbands want more children but wives do not ( 10 percent) is more than twice as high as the percentage of couples in which wives want more children but husbands do not (4 percent). Seventeen percent of couples agree on their desire not to have any more children. Not surprisingly, the highest level of agreement occurs among couples with no children, 96 percent of whom agree that they want a child.

Table 6.3 Desire for more children among monogamous couples
Percent distribution of monogamously married couples by desire for more children, according to number of living chlldren, Zambia 1996

| Number of <br> living <br> children | Both <br> want <br> more | Husband <br> more/ <br> wife <br> no more | Wife <br> more/ <br> husband <br> no more | Both <br> want <br> no <br> more | Husband/ <br> wife <br> infecund | Other | Total | Number <br> of <br> couples |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Same number | 95.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 57 |
| 0 | 79.3 | 6.9 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 242 |
| $1-3$ | 28.6 | 15.1 | 5.0 | 37.5 | 1.5 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 115 |
| $4-6$ | $(7.5)$ | $(10.1)$ | $(2.8)$ | $(58.0)$ | $(9.7)$ | $(11.9)$ | 100.0 | 41 |
| $7+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Different number <br> Husband $>$ wife | 56.5 | 11.7 | 5.9 | 15.5 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 213 |
| Wife $>$ husband | 50.8 | 15.0 | 0.9 | 17.0 | 5.5 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Total | 59.6 | 9.9 | 3.6 | 16.7 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 722 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
Undecided or missing

Women with different background characteristics differ as to their fertility preferences. Table 6.4 shows that urban women are more likely to want no more children than rural women, regardless of the number of children they already have. For instance, among women with two children, the proportion who want no more is twice as high in urban areas than in rural areas ( 18 percent vs. 7 percent). There are significant variations among provinces in the desire to stop childbearing. Overall, the percentage of women who want no more children is 30 percent or higher in Copperbelt, Lusaka, and Central Provinces, which may account in part for the fact that the latter two provinces have the lowest fertility in the country. There is a negative relationship between fertility preferences and level of education; women with no education are least likely to want to limit births, while those with higher levels of education are most likely to want no more children.

### 6.2 Need for Family Planning Services

Unmet need for family planning is derived by comparing current use of contraceptive methods with the desire to have no more children or to delay the next pregnancy. Women who are fecund and who either wish to postpone the next birth or who wish to stop childbearing altogether but are not using contraception are classified as having an unmet need for family planning. Pregnant women are considered to have an unmet need for spacing or limiting if their pregnancy was mistimed or unwanted, respectively. Similarly, amenorthoeic non-contracepting women are classified as having unmet need if their last birth was mistimed or unwanted. Women who are using family planning methods constitute met need for family planning services. Women with unmet and met need constitute the total demand for family planning. Demand for family planning and the percentage of demand that is satisfied differs among women of different backgrounds.

## Table 6.4 Desire to limit childbearing

Percentage of currently married women who want no more children, by number of living children and selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.1 | 4.3 | 18.4 | 32.5 | 44.4 | 66.1 | 82.4 | 36.5 |
| Rural | 1.9 | 2.6 | 7.2 | 16.5 | 21.2 | 36.6 | 59.9 | 23.1 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 0.0 | 3.1 | 15.4 | 30.5 | 36.0 | 53.2 | 67.3 | 31.9 |
| Copperbelt | 2.2 | 5.2 | 18.3 | 34.6 | 47.2 | 51.9 | 81.4 | 37.7 |
| Eastern | 0.0 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 14.2 | 18.0 | 44.5 | 63.6 | 22.3 |
| Luapula | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 7.2 | 16.4 | 30.6 | 64.3 | 20.4 |
| Lusaka | 0.0 | 4.0 | 18.3 | 34.8 | 54.1 | 62.9 | 84.2 | 35.0 |
| Northern | 4.1 | 1.1 | 7.3 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 33.7 | 48.9 | 21.1 |
| North-Western | 10.4 | 8.8 | 5.8 | 15.6 | 26.6 | 51.4 | 63.4 | 26.6 |
| Southern | 0.0 | 2.4 | 16.7 | 13.2 | 9.4 | 45.4 | 67.7 | 25.5 |
| Western | 2.1 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 22.4 | 40.4 | 50.1 | 59.4 | 26.4 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.6 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 14.9 | 27.7 | 40.1 | 65.3 | 28.7 |
| Primary | 1.1 | 3.6 | 8.2 | 19.6 | 24.0 | 43.8 | 66.9 | 26.4 |
| Secondary | 1.8 | 4.0 | 21.5 | 31.1 | 51.4 | 67.8 | 79.3 | 32.1 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.2 | 71.3 | 85.5 | 80.8 | 100.0 | 52.2 |
| Total | 1.6 | 3.3 | 12.1 | 23.2 | 30.6 | 47.5 | 68.4 | 28.5 |

Note: Women who have been sterilised are considered to want no more children.
Includes current pregnancy

Table 6.5 shows that 27 percent of currently married women have an unmet need for family planning services, 19 percent for spacing purposes and 8 percent for limiting child bearing. There has been some reduction in the level of unmet need since 1992, when unmet need for family planning constituted 33 percent of married women, 21 percent for spacing purposes and 12 percent for limiting births (Figure 6.3) (Gaisie et al., 1993:73).

Unmet need for limiting generally increases with age, while unmet need for spacing decreases with age, resulting in a rather uniform level of total unmet need by age. Unmet need is almost identical among urban and rural women, while it is highest among women in Southern Province and lowest among women in NorthWestern and Western Provinces. As expected, women with higher education have lower unmet need than less educated women.

If all unmet need were to be satisfied, more than half of currently married women ( 52 percent) would be using family planning (total demand). Just under half of total demand is currently being satisfied. Women in North-Western and Lusaka Provinces have the highest percentage of demand for family planning satisfied. On the other hand, demand for family planning services is least satisfied in Luapula Province ( 29 percent).

Table 6.5 shows that unmet need, met need, and total demand for family planning services are lower among both unmarried women and all women than among married women. However, the percentage of demand satisfied is higher among unmarried women and all women than among married women.

## Table 6.5 Need for family planning services

Percentage of currently married women with unmet need for family planning, met need for family planning, and the total demand for famıly planning services, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Unmet need for family planning ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Met need for family planning ${ }_{2}$ (currently using) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Total demand for famıly planning |  |  | Percentage of demand satisfied | Numbe of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { Imiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limitıng } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 244 | 0.8 | 25.2 | 167 | 0.2 | 16.9 | 41.1 | 1.0 | 42.1 | 40 1 | 498 |
| 20.24 | 26.2 | 1.3 | 27.5 | 229 | 1.7 | 24.6 | 49.2 | 3.0 | 52.1 | 47.2 | 1,207 |
| 25-29 | 23.6 | 2.6 | 262 | 22.1 | 6.3 | 28.3 | 45.6 | 8.9 | 546 | 51.9 | 969 |
| 30-34 | 18.8 | 7.8 | 26.7 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 27.9 | 33.5 | 21.1 | 546 | 51.2 | 857 |
| $35 \cdot 39$ | 11.6 | 196 | 31.2 | 10.1 | 21.1 | 31.2 | 217 | 40.7 | 62.4 | 500 | 586 |
| 40.44 | 4.4 | 243 | 28.7 | 3.6 | 26.5 | 30.0 | 80 | 50.7 | 58.7 | 51.1 | 419 |
| 45-49 | 0.4 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 0.8 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 11 | 32.1 | 33.2 | 53.6 | 367 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 16.9 | 9.7 | 26.6 | 181 | 15.2 | 33.3 | 35.0 | 24.9 | 59.9 | 556 | 1,972 |
| Rural | 199 | 6.6 | 26.5 | 143 | 6.6 | 20.9 | 34.2 | 13.2 | 47.4 | 441 | 2,930 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 19.4 | 10.5 | 29.9 | 9.8 | 7.7 | 17.4 | 29.2 | 18.1 | 47.3 | 36.8 | 419 |
| Copperbelt | 18.2 | 10.2 | 28.4 | 15.2 | 14.6 | 29.8 | 334 | 24.8 | 58.2 | 51.1 | 910 |
| Eastern | 21.8 | 7.1 | 28.9 | 15.7 | 5.5 | 211 | 37.5 | 125 | 50.0 | 42.3 | 764 |
| Luapula | 20.9 | 5.2 | 26.2 | 5.5 | 54 | 10.9 | 26.4 | 106 | 37.1 | 29.4 | 448 |
| Lusaka | 15.1 | 8.4 | 23.5 | 19.4 | 15.9 | 35.3 | 34.5 | 243 | 58.9 | 60.0 | 796 |
| Northern | 18.5 | 6.6 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 7.9 | 33.0 | 43.6 | 14.4 | 58.1 | 568 | 552 |
| North-Western | 13.2 | 4.7 | 17.9 | 28.5 | 13.2 | 41.7 | 41.7 | 17.8 | 59.6 | 70.0 | 197 |
| Southern | 24.6 | 69 | 31.5 | 13.4 | 8.5 | 21.9 | 381 | 15.3 | 53.4 | 41.0 | 518 |
| Western | 10.8 | 7.5 | 18.2 | 11.5 | 7.3 | 18.7 | 22.2 | 14.7 | 37.0 | 50.7 | 298 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.2 | 92 | 24.4 | 10.3 | 6.8 | 17.1 | 255 | 16.0 | 41.5 | 41.2 | 803 |
| Primary | 20.4 | 7.6 | 28.1 | 14.8 | 86 | 23.4 | 35.2 | 16.2 | 51.4 | 45.5 | 3,053 |
| Secondary | 171 | 7.4 | 24.5 | 238 | 14.1 | 37.9 | 40.9 | 21.5 | 62.4 | 60.7 | 924 |
| Higher | 105 | 7.0 | 17.4 | 180 | 37.4 | 55.4 | 28.4 | 44.4 | 72.8 | 76.1 | 122 |
| Currently married women | 18.7 | 7.8 | 26.5 | 15.8 | 10.0 | 25.9 | 34.5 | 17.9 | 52.4 | 49.4 | 4,902 |
| Unmarrled women | 4.5 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 86 | 10.7 | 3.4 | 14.1 | 61.4 | 3,119 |
| All women | 13.2 | 5.1 | 18.3 | 121 | 71 | 19.2 | 25.2 | 12.2 | 375 | 511 | 8,021 |

${ }^{1}$ Unmet need for spacing includes pregnant women whose pregnancy was mistimed, amenorrhoerc women whose last birth was mistimed, and women who are nether pregnant nor amenorrhoeic and who are not using any method of farrily planning but say they want to wait two or more years for their next birth. Also included in unmet need for spacing are women who are unsure whether they want another child or who want another child but are unsure when to have the birth Unmet need for limtting refers to pregnant women whose pregnancy was unwanted, amenorthoeic women whose last child was unwanted, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrhoelc and who are not using any method of family planning but want no more children. Excluded from the unmet need category are menopausal or infecund women and unmarried women who have not had sexual intercourse in the four weeks prior to the interview.
${ }^{2}$ Using for spacing is defined as women who are using some method of famuly planning and say they want to have another child or are undecided whether to have another. Using for limiting is defined as women who are using and who want no more children. Note that the specific methods used are not taken into account here.

Figure 6.3
Percentage of Currently Married Women by Status of Family Planning Needs


### 6.3 Ideal Number of Children

In the ZDHS, information on what women consider the ideal family size was elicited through two questions. Women who had no children were asked "If you could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" For women who had children, the question was rephrased as follows: "If you could go back to the time you did not have any children and would choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" The data obtained from these questions is shown in Table 6.6.

The data indicate that the vast majority of women were able to give a numeric response to the question. Only 5 percent of all women gave a non-numeric response such as "it is up to God," "any number," or "don't know." Those who gave numeric responses generally want large families. Almost 40 percent of women say they want at least six children, while 23 percent cite four children as ideal, and only 8 percent want two children or fewer. Preference for large families increases with increasing number of surviving children. For example, the percentage of women who want to have six or more children is 29 percent among those with two children, 56 percent among those with four children, and 71 percent among those who already have six or more children.

The mean ideal number of children is 5.3 children among all women. This ranges from 4.5 for women with no children to 7.1 among those with six or more children. Moreover, the data show that men are generally more pronatalist than women. Not only is the overall mean ideal family size higher among men than women ( 5.9 vs. 5.3 ), but it is also about half a child higher with each additional living child. These levels of ideal family size exceed the four children espoused as the ideal family size in the National Population Policy and imply that efforts to encourage smaller family size norms might be warranted. Nevertheless, comparison with data from the 1992 ZDHS suggest that there has been at least some reduction in ideal family size among women over time, from a mean of 5.8 children in 1992 to 5.3 children in 1996 (Gaisie et al., 1993:75).

| Table 6.6 Ideal and actual number of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of all women and men by ideal number of children, and mean ideal number of children for all women and men and for currently married women and men, according to number of living children, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ideal number of children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ | Total |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 1 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| 2 | 13.4 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 7.0 |
| 3 | 12.0 | 13.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 7.8 |
| 4 | 26.8 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 21.7 | 21.5 | 8.0 | 11.4 | 22.9 |
| 5 | 17.3 | 18.9 | 21.5 | 22.5 | 12.8 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 17.1 |
| 6+ | 23.2 | 25.0 | 29.2 | 40.6 | 55.9 | 62.4 | 70.6 | 38.9 |
| Non-numeric response | 5.2 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 5.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 2,074 | 1,448 | 1,129 | 938 | 682 | 597 | 1,154 | 8,021 |
| Mean ideal number for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All women | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 5.3 |
| Number of women | 1,966 | 1,387 | 1,068 | 893 | 649 | 568 | 1,071 | 7,602 |
| Currently married women | 5.2 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 5.7 |
| Number of women | 319 | 846 | 833 | 732 | 528 | 456 | 925 | 4,640 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.3 |
| 1 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 2 | 7.0 | 3.2 | 7.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 4.7 |
| 3 | 11.9 | 12.9 | 10.2 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 8.8 |
| 4 | 25.5 | 30.0 | 28.5 | 21.7 | 16.3 | 12.8 | 7.5 | 22.2 |
| 5 | 18.6 | 17.2 | 14.6 | 19.4 | 15.4 | 11.7 | 6.5 | 15.9 |
| $6+$ | 33.3 | 34.6 | 37.8 | 55.7 | 61.5 | 67.6 | 74.4 | 44.9 |
| Non-numeric response | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 7.1 | 3.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of men | 872 | 188 | 173 | 150 | 104 | 104 | 259 | 1,849 |
| Mean ideal number for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All men | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 8.6 | 5.9 |
| Number of men | 844 | 184 | 171 | 149 | 102 | 102 | 241 | 1,793 |
| Currently married men | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 6.6 |
| Number of men | 68 | 134 | 149 | 137 | 95 | 97 | 233 | 914 |

Note: The means exclude respondents who gave non-numeric responses.
Includes current pregnancy

Table 6.7 presents data on the mean ideal number of children for women and men by background characteristics. The data show that women have consistently lower ideal family sizes than men, regardless of the background characteristic. It can be observed from the data in the table that the ideal family size in Western Province ( 6.3 for women and 7.2 for men) is the highest in Zambia, despite the fact that the fertility level in this province is not the highest. This suggests, as other research has pointed out, that there is an infertility problem in the province (CSO, 1985b and CSO, 1995). Lusaka and Copperbelt Provinces have the lowest ideal family size, along with lowest fertility rates and the highest contraceptive prevalence rates. Ideal family size decreases as the level of education increases.

Table 6.7 Mean ideal number of children by background characteristics
Mean ideal number of children for all women by age and selected background characteristics and for all men by age, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  | All women | All men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20.24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 5.1 |
| Rural | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 5.9 | 6.6 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 6.1 |
| Copperbelt | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 5.2 |
| Eastern | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 6.0 |
| Luapula | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 6.1 |
| Lusaka | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 5.0 |
| Northem | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 5.7 | 6.8 |
| North-Western | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| Southem | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 6.1 |
| Western | 5.3 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 6.3 | 7.2 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 7.0 |
| Primary | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 6.4 |
| Secondary+ | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 5.1 |
| Total women/men | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 5.9 |

Note: Men age $50-59$ have been omitted.

### 6.4 Fertility Planning

There are two ways of estimating levels of unwanted fertility from the ZDHS data. One is based on responses to a question as to whether each birth in the five years before the survey was planned (wanted then), mistimed (wanted, but at a later time), or unwanted (wanted no more children). These data are likely to result in underestimates of unplanned childbearing, since women may rationalise unplanned births and declare them as planned once they are bom. Another way of measuring unwanted fertility utilises the data on ideal family size to calculate what the total fertility rate would be if all unwanted births were avoided. This measure may also suffer from underestimation to the extent that women are unwilling to report an ideal family size lower than their actual family size. Data using these two approaches are presented below.

Table 6.8 shows the distribution of births in the five years before the survey by whether they were wanted at the time of conception, wanted later, or not wanted at all. The data show that 36 percent of these births were unplanned, of which 29 percent were mistimed and 7 percent were unwanted. The proportion of births that were wanted at the time decreases with birth order and mother's age, while the proportion of births that were not wanted at all increases with birth order and age. Compared with 1992 ZDHS data (not shown), changes in levels of mistimed and unwanted births have been minimal since 1992.

Table 6.9 presents "wanted" fertility rates. The wanted fertility rate is calculated in the same manner as the total fertility rate, but unwanted births are excluded from the numerator. For this purpose, unwanted births are defined as those which exceed the number considered ideal by the respondent. (Women who did not report a numeric ideal family size were assumed to want all their births.) This rate represents the level of fertility that would have prevailed in the three years preceding the survey if all unwanted bitths had been prevented. A comparison of the total wanted fertility rate and the actual total fertility rate suggests the potential demographic impact of the elimination of unwanted births.

| Table 6.8 Fertility planning status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of births in the three years preceding the survey and current pregnancies, by fertlity planning status, according to birth order and mother's age, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth order and mother's age | Planning status of birth |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { births } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wanted } \\ \text { then } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wanted } \\ \text { later } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { wanted } \end{gathered}$ | Missıng |  |  |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 67.9 | 26.9 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,765 |
| 2 | 66.5 | 30.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,522 |
| 3 | 63.8 | 33.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| 4+ | 58.1 | 28.6 | 11.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 3,542 |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 62.2 | 33.0 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,698 |
| 20-24 | 65.2 | 31.3 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2,516 |
| 25-29 | 64.6 | 30.1 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1,801 |
| 30-34 | 61.5 | 26.3 | 10.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,163 |
| 35-39 | 57.4 | 20.8 | 20.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 | +648 |
| 40-44 | 48.3 | 12.4 | 38.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 214 |
| 45-49 | (39.1) | (18.9) | (39.9) | (2.1) | 1000 | 38 |
| Total | 62.7 | 29.2 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 8,078 |

Note: Birth order includes current pregnancy. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

| Table 6.9 Wanted fertility rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total wanted fertility rates and total fertility rates for the three years preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Total wanted fertility rate | Total fertility rate |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| Rural | 6.1 | 6.9 |
| Province |  |  |
| Central | 4.8 | 6.3 |
| Copperbelt | 4.4 | 5.6 |
| Eastern | 6.6 | 7.1 |
| Luapula | 5.9 | 6.8 |
| Lusaka | 4.0 | 4.9 |
| Northern | 6.5 | 7.2 |
| North-Western | 5.8 | 6.2 |
| Southern | 5.0 | 6.2 |
| Western | 5.1 | 5.5 |
| Education |  |  |
| No education | 6.0 | 6.8 |
| Primary | 5.7 | 6.7 |
| Secondary+ | 3.9 | 4.5 |
| Total | 5.2 | 6.1 |

Note: Rates are based on buths to women 15-49 in the period 1-36 months preceding the survey. The total fertility rates are the same as those presented in Table 3.3.

The wanted fertility rate is 5.2 births per woman for all of Zambia, a slight decline from 5.4 in 1992 (Gaisie, et al., 1993:78). The gap between actual and wanted fertility for urban women is one child. If the desired fertility level were realised, the total fertility rate for urban women would be 4.1 births per woman, two births fewer than that wanted by women in rural areas. Women in Lusaka Province have the lowest wanted as well as actual fertility rates ( 4.0 births and 4.9 births per woman). On the other hand, women in Eastern and Northern Provinces show the highest wanted and actual fertility rates (a total wanted fertility rate of about 6.5 and a total fertility rate of about 7.1). Women's education has a negative relationship with the level of wanted fertility; women with no education have the highest wanted fertility, while women with secondary or higher education have the lowest wanted fertility ( 6.0 vs 3.9 births per woman).

## CHAPTER 7

## INFANT AND CHILD MORTALITY

### 7.1 Introduction

This chapter presents information on mortality under age five in Zambia. Specifically, estimates of levels, trends and differentials in neonatal, postneonatal, infant, and child mortality are provided, as well as data on high-risk fertility behaviour. The data are disaggregated by sex, socioeconomic, and demographic characteristics, province, and other factors to identify segments of the population requiring special attention. Further, this information is useful for monitoring and evaluating population and health programmes and policies. Infant and child mortality rates are basic indicators of a country's socioeconomic situation and quality of life.

Estimates of childhood mortality are based on information from the birth history section of the questionnaire administered to individual women. The section began with questions about the aggregate childbearing experience of respondents (i.e., the number of sons and daughters who live with the mother, the number who live elsewhere and the number who have died). For each of these births, information was then collected on sex, month and year of birth, survivorship status, and current age, or, if the child had died, age at death.

This information is used to directly estimate mortality rates. In this report, mortality in early childhood is described using the following five rates:

Neonatal mortality: the probability of dying within the first month of life;
Postneonatal mortality: the difference between infant and neonatal mortality;
Infant mortality: the probability of dying before the first birthday;
Child mortality: the probability of dying between the first and fifth birthday;
Under-five mortality: the probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday.
All rates are expressed per 1,000 live births, except for child mortality, which is expressed per 1,000 children surviving to 12 months of age.

Examination of data relating to childhood mortality does not indicate that there are any serious biases in reporting (see Appendix C for details).

### 7.2 Levels and Trends in Infant and Child Mortality

Neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child, and under-five mortality rates are shown in Table 7.1 for fiveyear periods preceding the survey. Under-five mortality for the most recent five-year period (which roughly corresponds to the years mid-1992-mid-1996) is 197 per 1,000 births. This means that almost one in five children born in Zambia dies before reaching the fifth birthday. Half of the deaths under age five occur in the first year of life; the infant mortality rate is 109 deaths per 1,000 births and the child mortality rate is 98 per 1,000 children reaching one year of age. During infancy, the risk of death in the first month of life ( 35 per 1,000 ) is about half of that in the next 11 months ( 74 per 1,000 ).

| Table 7.1 Infant and child mortality |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant and child mortality rates by five-year periods preceding the survey, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years preceding survey | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Infant } \\ \text { mortality } \\ \left.{ }_{(1} q_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Child mortality $\left({ }_{4} q_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality ${ }_{(5} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ) |
| 0-4 | 35.4 | 73.5 | 108.9 | 98.4 | 196.6 |
| 5-9 | 37.0 | 69.2 | 106.2 | 89.9 | 186.5 |
| 10-14 | 36.9 | 55.3 | 92.2 | 90.2 | 174.1 |

The estimates in Table 7.1 and Figure 7.1 indicate that child survival in Zambia has deteriorated since the mid-1980s. Under-five mortality has increased from 174 deaths per 1,000 births in the period $10-14$ years before the survey (approximately 1982-86) to 197 for the period $0-4$ years before the survey, an increase of 13 percent. The pace of increase was faster for infant mortality than for child mortality; the infant mortality rate increased by 18 percent (from 92 per 1,000 births 10-14 years before the survey to 109 per 1,000 births $0-4$ years before the survey), while the child mortality rate increased by 9 percent over the same period (from 90 to 98 per 1,000 births). It is interesting to note that, while postneonatal mortality rates have increased in the recent past, neonatal mortality rates show little change.

Figure 7.1
Trends in Infant and Child Mortality Zambia, 1982-86 to 1992-1996


[^5]Table 7.2 Trends in childhood mortality
Infant and under-five mortality rates from various sources, Zambia 1969-96

| Indicator (Reference period) | 1969 Census (1962) | 1980 Census $(1973)$ | 1990 Census (1984) | $\begin{gathered} 1992 \\ \text { ZDHS } \\ (1987- \\ 1991) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \\ \text { ZDHS } \\ (1992- \\ 1996) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant mortality rate | 141 | 97 | 90 | 107 | 109 |
| Under-five mortality | NA | 179 | 167 | 191 | 197 |

Note: Estımates from the census data were obtained using indirect estimation methods and refer to a point some seven years prior to the census year. Data from the ZDHS surveys refer to the five-year period prior to the surveys.
NA = Not applicable
Source: CSO, 1995:4; Gaisie et al., 1993:81; Nsemukila, 1994:32

Further evidence that childhood mortality has either increased or remained at a high level comes from a comparison of data from the 1996 ZDHS with previous data sources. Table 7.2 and Figure 7.2 show infant and child mortality rates from various censuses as well as the 1992 ZDHS. The rates show a decline during the 1970s and 1980s, followed by an increase in the late 1980s and a levelling off in the early 1990s. The infant mortality rate for the five years before the 1992 ZDHS was 107 deaths per 1,000 live births, almost indistinguishable from the rate of 109 deaths per 1,000 live births as measured for the five years preceding the 1996 ZDHS.

Figure 7.2
Infant and Under-Five Mortality Rates, From Selected Sources, Zambia, 1969-1996


### 7.3 Socioeconomic Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality

Differentials in the various mortality rates by selected background characteristics are presented in Table 7.3. The table focuses on basic socioeconomic characteristics, including urban-rural residence, province, and mother's educational level. A ten-year period is used to calculate the mortality estimates in order to have a sufficient number of cases in each category.

Table 7.3 Infant and child mortality by background characteristics
Infant and child mortality rates for the 10 -year period preceding the survey, by selected socioeconomic characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | Infant mortality $\left({ }_{1} q_{0}\right)$ | Child mortality $\left(4 q_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality $\left({ }_{5} \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 32.3 | 59.7 | 91.9 | 89.6 | 173.3 |
| Rural | 38.6 | 79.3 | 117.9 | 98.1 | 204.5 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 29.6 | 64.9 | 94.6 | 77.3 | 164.5 |
| Copperbelt | 28.7 | 53.3 | 81.9 | 101.8 | 175.4 |
| Eastern | 49.7 | 81.4 | 131.1 | 120.0 | 235.4 |
| Luapula | 32.9 | 124.8 | 157.8 | 114.5 | 254.2 |
| Lusaka | 35.5 | 64.8 | 100.3 | 82.1 | 174.1 |
| Northern | 36.5 | 88.8 | 125.3 | 85.7 | 200.2 |
| North-Western | 32.8 | 58.3 | 91.1 | 68.1 | 153.0 |
| Southern | 26.0 | 40.2 | 66.2 | 87.9 | 148.3 |
| Western | 55.8 | 73.3 | 129.1 | 82.8 | 201.2 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 48.3 | 84.6 | 132.9 | 102.4 | 221.7 |
| Primary | 36.1 | 74.0 | 110.2 | 102.3 | 201.2 |
| Secondary+ | 27.2 | 54.5 | 81.7 | 65.6 | 142.0 |
| Medical maternity care ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No antenatal or delivery care | (55.6) | 104.0 | 159.5 | NA | NA |
| Either antenatal or delivery care | 37.1 | 77.1 | 114.3 | NA | NA |
| Both antenatal and delivery care | 31.1 | 67.3 | 98.4 | NA | NA |
| Total | 36.1 | 71.6 | 107.7 | 94.6 | 192.1 |

Note. Rates based on $\mathbf{2 5 0 - 4 9 9}$ cases (exposed children) are enclosed in parentheses.
NA = Not applicable
Refers to births in the five years before the survey

Children in the rural areas of Zambia experience a higher risk of dying before age five than urban children ( 205 compared to 173 deaths per 1,000 births, respectively) (Figure 7.3). The urban-rural differential in mortality exists at every age group but is more notable during the postneonatal period. Postneonatal rates are 79 per 1,000 births in rural areas and 60 in urban areas.

Figure 7.3 Under-Five Mortality by Background Characteristics


Note: Rates are for the 10 -year period preceding survey

Differences in mortality by province are also quite marked. Childhood mortality is highest in Luapula and Eastern Provinces where almost one in four children do not reach their fifth birthday. It is only slightly lower in Western and Northern Provinces with 20 percent mortality before age five. On the other hand, underfive mortality is lowest in Southern and North-Western Provinces (around 150 per 1,000 births). These provincial differentials are roughly consistent with data from the 1990 census which shows the highest levels of under-five mortality in Eastern, Luapula, and Western Provinces; however, unlike the 1996 ZDHS, census data show the lowest levels in Copperbelt and Lusaka Provinces (Nsemukila, 1994:32).

Differences in early childhood mortality by education of the mother are large. Children born to mothers who have had no education are about one and a half times as likely to die before their fifth birthday as those born to mothers who have at least some secondary education ( 222 compared to 142 per 1,000 births, respectively). This educational advantage is apparent for the mortality rates in every age group; for example, infant mortality rates are 133 per 1,000 births to women with no education, compared to only 82 per 1,000 births to women with at least some secondary education.

Mortality rates in childhood also vary according to whether the mother received antenatal care or care during delivery or both. For example, the infant mortality rate for children born to women who received both antenatal and delivery care is 98 per 1,000 births, compared to 160 for children born to mothers who received neither antenatal nor delivery care.

### 7.4 Demographic Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality

The relationship between early childhood mortality and various demographic variables is examined in Table 7.4 and Figure 7.4 for the ten-year period preceding the survey. The results show that, as expected, male children have higher mortality rates than females at every age. The difference is especially pronounced in the neonatal period.

## Table 7.4 Infant and child mortality by demographic characternstics

Infant and child mortality rates for the 10 -year period preceding the survey, by selected demographic charactenstics. Zambia 1996

| Demographic characteristic | Neonatal mortality ( NN ) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | Infant mortality $\left({ }_{1} \mathbf{q}_{0}\right)$ | Child mortality $\left(4 \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality ${ }_{5} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 42.5 | 73.8 | 116.3 | 96.5 | 201.6 |
| Female | 29.8 | 69.4 | 99.3 | 92.9 | 182.9 |
| Age of mother at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 47.9 | 93.5 | 141.3 | 107.9 | 234.0 |
| 20-29 | 32.6 | 69.3 | 101.9 | 94.4 | 186.7 |
| 30-39 | 29.3 | 54.3 | 83.6 | 84.4 | 161.0 |
| 40-49 | (59.6) | 77.9 | 137.5 | 76.5 | 203.5 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 43.7 | 77.5 | 121.2 | 89.9 | 200.3 |
| 2-3 | 33.1 | 81.0 | 114.1 | 100.6 | 203.2 |
| 4-6 | 31.7 | 64.2 | 95.9 | 96.3 | 183.0 |
| $7+$ | 39.2 | 57.9 | 97.1 | 86.5 | 175.2 |
| Previous birth interval |  |  |  |  |  |
| <2 yrs | 57.1 | 107.4 | 164.5 | 128.4 | 271.8 |
| 2-3 yrs | 27.4 | 59.8 | 87.2 | 92.3 | 171.4 |
| 4 yrs + | 22.5 | 54.3 | 76.7 | 641 | 135.9 |
| Size at birth ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small/very small | 76.8 | 81.3 | 158.1 | - | - |
| Average or larger | 27.8 | 71.3 | 992 | - | - |

Figures in parentheses are based on 250-499 births.
Refers to births in the five years before the survey

The relationship between childhood mortality and mother's age at birth generally shows the expected U-shaped pattern with higher mortality for children of younger (less than age 20) and older (40-49 years old) mothers. For example, the infant mortality rate for children of mothers who were less than age 20 at the time of the child's birth ( 141 per 1,000 ) is 70 percent higher than the rate for children whose mothers were 30-39 at the time they gave birth ( 84 per 1,000). Among the children of mothers age $40-49$, the infant mortality rate is again high ( 138 per 1,000 ). An exception to the $U$-shaped pattern is child mortality which decreases with the age of the mother. There is some consistency in the relationship between mortality rates and birth order. In general, higher order children tend to have lower mortality.


The most consistent findings can be seen in the relationship between mortality rates and the length of the interval between births. The data show that short birth intervals significantly reduce a child's chances of survival. Children born less than two years after a previous birth are twice as likely to die in infancy than those born after an interval of four years or more ( 165 compared to 77 per 1,000). This relationship persists in all the age groups examined. This finding supports the importance of child spacing practices as a means of reducing mortality of young children.

As expected, a child's size at birth is related to his/her chances of survival. Children whose mothers say they were either small or very small at birth have considerably higher mortality rates than those who were of average or larger size.

### 7.5 High-Risk Fertility Behaviour

Previous research has shown strong relationships between fertility patterns and children's survival chances. Typically, infants and children have a greater probability of dying early if they are born to mothers who are especially young or old, if they are born after a short birth interval, or if they are of high birth order. Data to examine these relationships are presented in Table 7.5, which shows the distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey and of currently married women according to these categories of increased risk. In this analysis, a mother is classified as "too young" if she is less than 18 years of age and "too old" if she is over 34 years of age. A "short birth interval" is defined by a birth occurring less than 24 months after a previous birth, and a child is of "high birth order" if the mother had previously given birth to three or more children (i.e., if the child is of birth order 4 or higher). First births, although often at increased risk, are classified in the "not in any high-risk category," because they are considered an unavoidable risk.

## Table 7.5 High-risk fertility behaviour

Percent distrabution of children bom in the five years preceding the survey by category of elevated risk of mortality, and the percent distribution of currently married women at risk of conceiving a child with an elevated risk of mortality, by category of increased risk, Zambia 1996

| Risk category | Births in 5 years preceding the survey |  | Percentage of currently married women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of births | Risk ratio |  |
| Not in any high-risk category | 26.3 | 1.00 | $19.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Unavoidable risk: first births | 14.2 | 1.13 | 6.7 |
| Single high-risk category |  |  |  |
| Mother's age < 18 | 8.1 | 1.28 | 0.9 |
| Mother's age > 34 | 0.2 | * | 2.5 |
| Birth interval < 24 months | 6.8 | (1.28) | 10.2 |
| Binh order > 3 | 26.4 | 0.85 | 18.7 |
| Subtotal | 55.7 | 1.04 | 39.0 |
| Multiple high-risk category |  |  |  |
| Age $<18 \&$ birth interval $<24^{\text {c }}$ mo | 0.4 | * | 0.9 |
| Age $>34$ \& birth interval < 24 mo | 0.0 | NA | 0.0 |
| Age $>34 \&$ birth order $>3$ | 9.8 | 0.57 | 21.0 |
| Age $>34 \&$ birth interval $<24$ \& birth order >3 | 1.4 | * | 4.9 |
| Birth interval < 24 \& birth order > 3 | 6.4 | (1.17) | 15.2 |
| Subtotal | 18.0 | 0.88 | 42.0 |
| In any high-risk category | 73.7 | 1.00 | 81.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | NA | 100.0 |
| Number | 7,159 | NA | 4,902 |

Note: Risk ratio is the ratio of the proportion dead of births in a specific high-risk category to the proportion dead of births not in any high-risk category. Figures in parentheses are based on 250-499 cases. An asterisk means the data are based on fewer than 250 cases and have been suppressed.
NA = Not applicable
" Women were assigned to risk categories according to the status they would have at the birth of a child, if the child were conceived at the time of the survey: age less than 17 years and 3 months, age older than 34 years and 2 months, latest birth fess than 15 months ago, and latest birth of order 3 or higher.
c Includes sterilised women
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes the combined categories Age $<18$ and birth order $>3$

Table 7.5 is further divided into two categories, with births falling into either single high-risk categories (such as those born to mothers below the age of 18 or over the age of 34 , those born after an interval of less than 24 months and those of birth order higher than three) or multiple high-risk categories (such as those born after an interval of less than 24 months to mothers below the age of 18 , children of birth order 4 or higher who are bom to mothers over 34 years, etc.).

The results indicate that only one in four births in the five years prior to the survey are free from any high mortality risk due to their mother's fertility behaviour; more than half ( 56 percent) of children fall into at least one high-risk category; and almost one in five births is characterised by two or more high-risk factors. The most common high-risk category is high birth order ( 44 percent of all births).

Table 7.5 also indicates the relative risk of dying for children born in the five years before the survey by comparing the proportion dead in each high-risk category with the proportion dead among children not in any high-risk category. These risk ratios are presented in column 2. Births to mothers under age 18 and children born less than 24 months after the birth of their older sibling have a 28 percent higher risk of dying than those who are not in any risk category.

Column 3 of Table 7.5 shows the proportion of currently married women who fall into the various risk categories. Overall, four in five married women, if they became pregnant today, would conceive a child that would fall into a high-risk category. It is interesting to note that this percentage is higher than that for births ( 74 percent). To reduce the number of high-risk births, therefore, there is need for women to limit births.

## CHAPTER 8

## MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

This chapter covers findings in the three main areas of maternal and child health. They are maternal and newbom care, immunisation, and common childhood illnesses and their treatment. The information is vital in identifying categories of mothers and their babies who are at risk and in providing information for planning appropriate improvements in services. The data presented in this chapter refer to all live births which occurred during the five years before the survey to women who were interviewed.

### 8.1 Antenatal Care and Delivery Assistance

Table 8.1 shows the percentage of live births in the five years preceding the survey by source of antenatal care, according to maternal and background characteristics. Interviewers were instructed to record all persons a woman had seen for care during pregnancy. In case more than one provider was seen, only the one with the highest qualification is represented in the table. For virtually all births ( 96 percent), mothers received antenatal care from a doctor, trained nurse, or midwife (Figure 8.1). Most women rely on a nurse or trained midwife ( 93 percent). Women received antenatal care from a traditional birth attendant (TBA) for less than 1 percent of births; no antenatal care was received for 4 percent of births. The Ministry of Health, in its health reform programme encourages community-based services provision within the framework of Primary Health Care. The findings of this survey, however, show that TBAs are little utilised as a source of antenatal care.

There are few differentials in the sources of antenatal services. Whereas nearly all the births to urban women receive antenatal care from medically trained providers ( 99 percent), about 6 percent of the births in rural areas do not benefit from any antenatal care at all. Pregnant women in urban areas have a higher chance of being attended to by a doctor than those in rural areas. Although almost all the women surveyed are likely to receive antenatal services from a health worker, women from Central, Copperbelt, and Lusaka Provinces are most likely to receive antenatal services from a doctor, in contrast to women in Eastern, Luapula, NorthWestern, and Western Provinces.

There is a strong relationship between education levels and use of antenatal services. Pregnant women with no education are less likely to seek antenatal services, whereas woman with secondary or higher education are more likely to receive antenatal care from either a doctor or a nurse/midwife. The main reason for this could be that mothers with no education are more likely to live in rural areas where access to information and services is more difficult. As the mother's level of education increases, so does the likelihood that she will be seen by a doctor during pregnancy; only 1 percent of births to mothers with no education receive antenatal care from a doctor, compared to 22 percent of births to mothers with higher than secondary education.

Antenatal care can be more effective when it is sought early in the pregnancy and continues through parturition. Obstetricians generally recommend that antenatal visits be made on a monthly basis to the 28th week (7th month), fortnightly to the 36th week (8th month) and then weekly until birth. Regular visits allow proper monitoring of the mother and the child throughout the pregnancy. If the first antenatal visit is made at the third month of pregnancy, this schedule translates to a total of 12 to 13 visits during the pregnancy.

Information about the number and timing of antenatal care visits is presented in Table 8.2. In 7 out of 10 births, women made four or more antenatal care visits. However, for a substantial number of births ( 23 percent), mothers made fewer than four visits. The median number of antenatal care visits was 5.2, implying that women are aware of the importance of frequent visits. However, this is far fewer than the recommended 12 visits.

## Table 8.1 Antenatal care

Percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by source of antenatal care during pregnancy, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Antenatal care provider ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ Trained midwife | Traditional birth attendant (TBA) | No one | Missing |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 2.1 | 92.9 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,525 |
| 20-34 | 2.6 | 93.5 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,819 |
| 35+ | 4.3 | 89.3 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 815 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.8 | 92.7 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,566 |
| 2-3 | 2.6 | 93.7 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,438 |
| 4-5 | 2.4 | 93.3 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,512 |
| $6+$ | 2.8 | 91.4 | 1.0 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,643 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.9 | 93.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,858 |
| Rural | 1.2 | 92.3 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,301 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 5.4 | 88.7 | 0.4 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 587 |
| Copperbelt | 5.1 | 93.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,347 |
| Eastern | 0.5 | 96.4 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,103 |
| Luapula | 0.4 | 91.3 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 671 |
| Lusaka | 4.8 | 93.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,076 |
| Northern | 2.4 | 84.8 | 1.8 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 863 |
| North-Western | 0.5 | 95.5 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 287 |
| Southern | 1.0 | 97.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 764 |
| Western | 0.3 | 95.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 460 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.3 | 88.1 | 0.9 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 982 |
| Primary | 1.9 | 93.8 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,604 |
| Secondary | 4.4 | 94.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,437 |
| Higher | 22.1 | 77.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 135 |
| Total | 2.7 | 92.9 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 7,159 |

Note: Figures are for births in the period $0-59$ months preceding the survey.
If the respondent mentioned more than one provider, only the most qualified provider is considered.

For 6 in 10 births, the first antenatal check-up was received before the sixth month of gestation, while for 1 in 3 births, services were not received until the sixth month or later. The median number of months pregnant at first visit was 5.6 , rather late if mothers are to receive the major benefits of antenatal care. Early identification of risk factors and medical conditions and initiation of prophylaxis where needed (e.g., against malaria or anaemia) is vital for prevention and early management of complications.

In response to the 1994 International Conference for Population and Development (ICPD) Action Resolutions, the government of the Republic of Zambia recognised the need to ensure the reproductive health of individuals. One important means of doing so is immunisation against neonatal tetanus. The recommended schedule to insure protection is for women to receive two or more doses of tetanus toxoid before the first birth, with three subsequent injections. Table 8.3 and Figure 8.1 present data on tetanus toxoid coverage during pregnancy for all births in the five years before the survey.

Figure 8.1

## Percent Distribution of Births by Antenatal Care and Delivery Characteristics



Note: Based on births in the five years preceding the survey.

Table 8.2 Number of antenatal care visits and stage of pregnancy

Percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by number of antenatal care visits, and by the stage of pregnancy at the time of the first visit, Zambia 1996

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Characteristic | All <br> births |
| Number of visits |  |
| 0 | 3.7 |
| 1 | 1.8 |
| $2-3$ | 20.7 |
| $4+$ | 2.3 |
| Don't know/missing | 100.0 |
| Total | 5.2 |
| Median |  |
| Number of months pregnant |  |
| at time of first visit | 3.7 |
| No antenatal care | 60.7 |
| <6 months | 33.0 |
| 6-7 months | 2.0 |
| $8+$ months | 0.7 |
| Don't know/missing | 100.0 |
| Total | 5.6 |
| Median | 7,159 |
| Number of births |  |

[^6]For 4 in 10 births, the mother received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid during pregnancy, while for 48 percent, the mother received only one dose and for 15 percent, the mother did not receive any tetanus toxoid injection during that pregnancy. The higher the age of the woman at the time of birth, the higher the likeliness that she has not received two or more tetanus injections, increasing the risk of neonatal tetanus. A mother 35 years or older is twice as likely to have not received a tetanus toxoid injection as a mother less than 20 years of age. Similarly, births of higher order are less likely to be protected against tetanus than first or second births. The relationship between urban-rural residence and tetanus injections is not straightforward. While a higher percentage of women in rural than urban areas had received no tetanus injection during the pregnancy, a higher percentage had received two or more injections.

Tetanus toxoid coverage varies from one province to another. Births in Central, Eastern, Northern and Westem Provinces are more likely to be protected against neonatal tetanus than those in the remaining provinces. At the same time, pregnant women in Northem Province have the highest chance of not receiving any tetanus toxoid injection. These women also have the lowest level of antenatal care coverage (Table 8.1). Women in Copperbelt, Luapula, and Southem Provinces have the lowest chance of receiving two or more doses of tetanus toxoid during a recent pregnancy.

## Table 8.3 Tetanus toxoid vaccinations

Percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by number of tetanus toxoid injections during pregnancy, according to background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Number of tetanus toxoid injections |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | One dose | $\begin{gathered} \text { Two } \\ \text { doses } \\ \text { or more } \end{gathered}$ | Don't know/ Missing |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 12.2 | 42.3 | 44.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1.525 |
| 20-34 | 13.7 | 50.2 | 35.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 4,819 |
| 35+ | 23.7 | 45.2 | 30.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 815 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10.5 | 37.0 | 51.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,566 |
| 2-3 | 10.8 | 54.1 | 34.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,438 |
| 4-5 | 14.4 | 51.6 | 32.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,512 |
| $6+$ | 24.1 | 45.9 | 28.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,643 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.8 | 55.0 | 33.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 2,858 |
| Rural | 17.0 | 43.3 | 38.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4,301 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 15.1 | 39.4 | 43.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 587 |
| Copperbelt | 10.5 | 60.5 | 28.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,347 |
| Eastern | 12.5 | 36.5 | 499 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,103 |
| Luapula | 14.5 | 58.0 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 671 |
| Lusaka | 12.8 | 51.3 | 34.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1,076 |
| Northern | 23.4 | 30.8 | 45.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 863 |
| North-Western | 18.1 | 48.0 | 33.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 287 |
| Southern | 16.4 | 56.0 | 26.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 764 |
| Western | 12.3 | 45.8 | 40.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 460 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 21.5 | 44.8 | 32.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 982 |
| Prımary | 14.8 | 47.6 | 36.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 4,604 |
| Secondary | 9.4 | 51.8 | 37.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,437 |
| Higher | 7.8 | 43.0 | 48.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 135 |
| Total | 14.5 | 48.0 | 36.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 7,159 |

Note: Figures are for births in the period 0-59 months preceding the survey.

The survey reveals a strong relationship between education and tetanus toxoid vaccinations. The chances of being protected against tetanus increase with the level of education of the mother. Children of better educated women are more likely to have been protected against tetanus than children whose mothers have less education.

In the provision of the basic heatth package, the Ministry of Health, through the Central Board of Health, recognises child health and reproductive health as two of the six major thrusts in health provision. Matemal care has been identified as one area where support is most needed because of its effects on the health of both the mother and child. One aspect of maternal care is the place of delivery, as a woman is more at risk of dying when complications arise while delivering at home. Table 8.4 shows the distribution of births occurring in the five years before the survey according to place of delivery.

## Table 8.4 Place of delivery

Percent distribution of binhs in the five years preceding the survey by place of delivery, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Place of delivery |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health facility | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{At}} \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't know/ } \\ & \text { Missing } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 48.9 | 50.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,525 |
| 20-34 | 47.3 | 52.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4,819 |
| 35+ | 37.4 | 62.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 815 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 55.6 | 44.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,566 |
| 2-3 | 48.4 | 51.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,438 |
| 4-5 | 43.7 | 55.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,512 |
| $6+$ | 37.8 | 61.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,643 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 76.7 | 22.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,858 |
| Rural | 26.5 | 73.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,301 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 37.0 | 62.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 587 |
| Copperbelt | 75.2 | 24.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,347 |
| Eastern | 33.3 | 66.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,103 |
| Luapula | 27.7 | 72.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 671 |
| Lusaka | 73.8 | 25.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,076 |
| Northern | 24.3 | 75.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 863 |
| North-Western | 56.5 | 43.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 287 |
| Southern | 27.7 | 72.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 764 |
| Westem | 37.3 | 62.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 460 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 24.0 | 75.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 982 |
| Primary | 40.7 | 58.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 4,604 |
| Secondary | 76.2 | 23.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,437 |
| Higher | 93.5 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 135 |
| Antenatal care visits |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 4.0 | 96.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 262 |
| $1-3$ visits | 31.9 | 68.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1.611 |
| 4 or more vists | 52.9 | 47.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 5,105 |
| Don't know/Missing | 58.2 | 32.3 | 9.5 | 100.0 | 181 |
| Total | 46.5 | 53.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 7,159 |

Note: Figures are for binhs in the period 0-59 months preceding the survey.

More than half of births in Zambia are delivered at home ( 53 percent), while 47 percent take place in health facilities (Figure 8.1). Survey findings show a relationship between the place of delivery and both the birth order and the mother's age. Younger mothers and mothers of lower parity have a higher chance of delivering in health facilities than older ones and those of higher parities. A birth to a woman in an urban area is three times more likely to have been delivered in a health institution than a birth in a rural area. This situation calls for concern because fertility levels are higher in rural areas.

There are marked differentials from one province to another regarding the proportion of deliveries taking place in health facilities. Approximately one in four births in Northem Province takes place in a health facility, compared to more than 70 percent of the births in Copperbelt and Lusaka Provinces. The regional differences reflect the expected pattem-the more rural provinces have fewer births delivered in health institutions than the more urbanised provinces.

There is a positive relationship between delivering in a health facility and the education level of the mother. A woman with higher than secondary education is about four times more likely to deliver in a health institution than a woman with no education. As education also correlates positively with having attended antenatal care facilities and having received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid, better educated women are more likely to have developed a relationship with the health workers and are aware of the advantages of delivering in a health facility. These women are also more likely to be better off economically than women with less education.

Delivery in a health facility is one aspect of safe motherhood, but also important are the qualifications of the person who attended to that delivery. Table 8.5 and Figure 8.1 show the distribution of live births in the five years before the survey by type of assistance during delivery. Just under half of births were assisted by medically trained personnel, of which about 4 percent were assisted by a doctor and 43 percent by a nurse or midwife. The others were mainly assisted by a relative ( 41 percent). Only a small number of births ( 5 percent) were assisted by traditional birth attendants. Assuming that relatives have not received any training in delivery care and given the fact that home deliveries are at higher risk of having a poor outcome for the mother and the child, the findings are a source of concem. There is a tendency among women, as they grow older and with higher parity, to deliver at home without any assistance.

Births to urban women are considerably more likely than those to rural women to be delivered with medically trained assistance, especially from a nurse or midwife. Rural women are most likely to be assisted by a relative during delivery. There are marked variations among the provinces regarding the type of assistance during the delivery. Births in the most urbanised provinces in the country-Lusaka and Copperbelt Provinces-are more likely than those in other provinces to be assisted by a doctor or a nurse or midwife during delivery. This is most likely due to variations in health care seeking behaviour, as well as to the availability of medical personnel. Although utilisation of traditional birth attendants is generally low ( 5 percent), their usage is more prominent in Luapula ( 12 percent) and Northem (11 percent) Provinces.

Mother's education has a very strong relationship with the probability of being attended to by a trained health worker during delivery. More than 90 percent of births to mothers with higher than secondary education are assisted by trained personnel during delivery, compared to only about one in four births to women without education, most of whom are assisted at delivery by relatives.

In line with the relation between number of antenatal visits and the place of delivery, the number of antenatal check-ups also has a positive relationship with assistance during delivery. The higher the number of visits a woman makes during her pregnancy, the more likely she will be assisted by trained personnel during the delivery.

Women who had a live birth in the five years preceding the survey were asked whether these children were delivered by caesarean section and what the birth weight was. Overall, 2 percent of babies were delivered by caesarean section. First births, births in urban areas, and births to women who have higher than secondary education have a higher chance of being delivered by caesarean section.

| Table 8.5 Assistance during delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by type of assistance during delivery, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Assistance during delivery |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ Trained midwife | Traditional birth attendant ${ }^{1}$ | Relative/ Other | No one | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know/ } \\ & \text { Missing } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 3.7 | 45.2 | 4.3 | 44.9 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,525 |
| 20-34 | 3.5 | 43.8 | 5.6 | 40.7 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 4,819 |
| 35+ | 3.9 | 33.6 | 5.8 | 36.6 | 19.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 815 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6.0 | 49.5 | 5.0 | 38.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,566 |
| 2-3 | 3.0 | 45.5 | 5.3 | 43.1 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,438 |
| 4-5 | 2.3 | 41.2 | 5.0 | 43.3 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,512 |
| 6+ | 3.4 | 34.4 | 6.2 | 38.9 | 16.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,643 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.5 | 69.4 | 1.4 | 17.4 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,858 |
| Rural | 1.0 | 25.4 | 8.0 | 56.9 | 8.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,301 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 3.1 | 34.8 | 1.7 | 49.0 | 11.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 587 |
| Copperbelt | 7.1 | 68.1 | 2.2 | 18.1 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,347 |
| Eastern | 1.2 | 31.8 | 8.6 | 49.6 | 8.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,103 |
| Luapula | 0.5 | 26.5 | 11.7 | 52.1 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 671 |
| Lusaka | 9.6 | 64.5 | 0.7 | 20.6 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,076 |
| Northern | 0.5 | 23.5 | 10.5 | 55.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 863 |
| North-Western | 1.4 | 54.9 | 8.6 | 32.5 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 287 |
| Southern | 0.9 | 26.9 | 5.2 | 61.7 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 764 |
| Western | 1.8 | 35.5 | 1.8 | 54.8 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 460 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.2 | 22.8 | 8.4 | 58.6 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 982 |
| Primary | 2.7 | 38.1 | 5.5 | 45.7 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 4,604 |
| Secondary | 6.5 | 69.6 | 3.2 | 18.1 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,437 |
| Higher | 22.0 | 71.5 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 135 |
| Antenatal care visits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 0.0 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 72.1 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 262 |
| $1-3$ visits | 2.2 | 29.8 | 5.8 | 52.7 | 9.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,611 |
| 4 or more visits | 4.1 | 48.8 | 5.2 | 36.3 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5,105 |
| Don't know/Missing | 6.7 | 52.2 | 2.0 | 29.1 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 181 |
| Tutal | 3.6 | 42.9 | 5.4 | 41.1 | 6.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 7,159 |

Note: Figures are for births in the period 0-59 months preceding the survey. If the respondent mentioned more than one attendant, only the most qualified attendant was considered in this table.
Traditional midwife

Due to the large proportion of births that take place at home (see Table 8.6), birth weight records were unavailable for almost 60 percent of births that occurred in the five years before the survey. Of those babies with known birth weights according to health cards, only 5 percent had a birth weight less than 2.5 kilograms. Mothers were also asked about the size of these children at the time of birth; 14 percent of the babies were reported by their mothers to be small or very small, while 86 percent were repored to be of average or larger size.

Table 8.6 Delivery characteristics; caesarean section, birth welaht, and size
Among live births in the five years preceding the survey, the percentage of deliveries by caesarean section, and the percent distribution by birt weight and the mother's estimate of baby's size at birth, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Delivery by C-section | Birth weight |  |  | Size of child at birth |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less } \\ \text { than } \\ 2.5 \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \mathrm{~kg} \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | Don't <br> know/ <br> Missing | Very small | Smaller than average | Average or larger | Don't know/ Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<20$ | 2.1 | 6.3 | 37.4 | 563 | 4.5 | 139 | 812 | 0.4 | 1000 | 1,525 |
| 20-34 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 39.7 | 55.5 | 2.8 | 97 | 87.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4.819 |
| $35+$ | 27 | 2.6 | 297 | 67.7 | 35 | 60 | 90.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 815 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 43.8 | 49.0 | 4.5 | 13.7 | 815 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,566 |
| $2 \cdot 3$ | 1.1 | 4.6 | 40.9 | 54.6 | 3.2 | 97 | 86.8 | 03 | 100.0 | 2,438 |
| 4-5 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 35.6 | 59.7 | 2.8 | 9.3 | 87.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,512 |
| $6+$ | 2.3 | 3.1 | 30.7 | 662 | 2.6 | 8.2 | 88.9 | 0.4 | 1000 | 1,643 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 34 | 8.0 | 65.3 | 267 | 3.2 | 113 | 848 | 0.6 | 1000 | 2,858 |
| Rural | 0.8 | 27 | 200 | 77.3 | 3.3 | 94 | 87.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,301 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 2.0 | 3.8 | 28.2 | 68.0 | 5.7 | 14.6 | 79.4 | 0.3 | 1000 | 587 |
| Copperbelt | 3.2 | 74 | 64.8 | 27.7 | 22 | 10.9 | 86.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,347 |
| Eastern | 1.5 | 34 | 24.3 | 72.3 | 02 | 4.8 | 94.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,103 |
| Luapula | 04 | 3.3 | 23.7 | 730 | 2.2 | 94 | 88.5 | 0.0 | 1000 | 671 |
| Lusaka | 32 | 8.5 | 603 | 31.2 | 3.7 | 134 | 82.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,076 |
| Northern | 0.4 | 2.7 | 180 | 79.3 | 5.6 | 7.0 | 872 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 863 |
| North-Western | 23 | 4.8 | 53.7 | 41.6 | 2.9 | 15.6 | 81.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 287 |
| Southern | 12 | 2.2 | 22.4 | 75.4 | 3.5 | 12.7 | 83.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 764 |
| Western | 1.1 | 4.3 | 28.2 | 67.5 | 70 | 7.1 | 85.7 | 02 | 100.0 | 460 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.9 | 12 | 16.6 | 82.2 | 2.9 | 8.4 | 886 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 982 |
| Primary | 16 | 4.6 | 319 | 63.5 | 3.2 | 104 | 86.0 | 04 | 100.0 | 4,604 |
| Secondary | 2.9 | 7.4 | 686 | 23.9 | 3.5 | 10.3 | 861 | 02 | 100.0 | 1,437 |
| Higher | 8.3 | 11.0 | 79.8 | 9.2 | 60 | 12.3 | 811 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 135 |
| Total | 1.9 | 4.8 | 38.1 | 57.1 | 3.3 | 10.2 | 86.3 | 03 | 100.0 | 7,159 |

Note: Figures are for births in the period 0-59 months preceding the survey.

### 8.2 Childhood Immunisation

The 1996 ZDHS collected information on vaccination coverage for all children born in the five years preceding the survey. According to the World Health Organisation (WHO), for a child to be fully vaccinated, he or she should have received BCG, measles, and three doses each of DPT and polio vaccines. BCG protects against tuberculosis and DPT protects against diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus. DPT is usually given with the polio vaccine at 4 -week intervals starting from age 6 weeks. The measles vaccine is given at 9 months of age. The government of Zambia has adopted the WHO goal to ensure completion of vaccinations by 12 months of age; the target is to vaccinate 80 percent of all children fully by that age by the year 2000 .

Table 8.7 Vaccinations by source of information
Percentage of children 12-23 months who had received specific vaccines at any time before the survey, by whether the information was from a vaccination card or from the mother, and the percentage vaccinated by 12 months of age, Zambia 1996

| Source of information | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DPT |  |  | Polio |  |  | Measles | $\mathrm{All}^{1}$ | None |  |  |
|  | BCG | 1 | 2 | $3+$ | 1 | 2 | $3+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccinated at any before the survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccination card | 81.6 | 81.2 | 79.1 | 76.1 | 81.4 | 79.2 | 76.5 | 73.9 | 71.7 | 0.1 | 818 | 1,102 |
| Mother's report | 15.8 | 15.5 | 13.3 | 9.6 | 16.0 | 14.6 | 7.9 | 12.7 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 18.2 | 245 |
| Either source | 97.4 | 96.7 | 92.5 | 85.7 | 97.3 | 93.8 | 84.4 | 86.5 | 78.3 | 1.9 | 1000 | 1,347 |
| Vaccinated by 12 months of age | 96.7 | 95.8 | 90.5 | 80.0 | 96.5 | 91.8 | 78.7 | 75.8 | 668 | 2.8 | - | 1,347 |

Note: For children whose information was based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as for children with a written record of vaccination.
Children who are fully vaccinated (i.e., those who have received BCG, measles, and three doses of DPT and polio).

Information presented in Table 8.7 was collected in two ways-from vaccination cards (under-five cards) and mothers' verbal reports. Most health institutions in the country provide under-five cards on which information on vaccination is recorded. When a card was presented to the interviewer, it was used as the source of information by directly transferring dates of vaccination on to the questionnaire. Besides collecting vaccination information from cards, there were two ways of collecting information from the mother herself. If a card was presented, but a vaccine was not recorded as having been given, the mother was asked to recall whether that particular vaccine had been given. In a situation where a mother was not able to present a card for a child at all, she was asked to recall whether the child had received BCG, polio, DPT, or measles vaccinations and the number of doses of polio and DPT.

Take 8.7 presents information on vaccination coverage according to the sources of information. The data presented are for children aged 12-23 months. This, therefore, includes only those children who have reached the age by which they should be fully vaccinated. Vaccination cards were available for 82 percent of children age 12-23 months; information for these children is shown on the first line in Table 8.7. Data based on mothers' reports for the 18 percent of children without cards are given on the second line. According to information from both the vaccination card and the mothers' reports, 97 percent of children 12-23 months have been vaccinated against tuberculosis and have received the first doses of DPT and polio. Coverage declines for subsequent doses of DPT and polio, so that only 84 to 86 percent of children receive the third doses of these vaccines. These figures represent a dropout rate of about 12 percent for DPT and polio. The coverage rate for measles ( 87 percent) is similar to that for the third doses of DPT and polio.

Overall, 78 percent of children 12-23 months are fully vaccinated, which means that the national target of 80 percent coverage by the year 2000 is very close to being realised. Only 3 percent of children have not received any vaccines.

As mentioned earlier, it is recommended that children complete the schedule of immunisations during their first year of life, i.e., by 12 months of age. Table 8.7 shows that, among children age 12-23 months at the time of interview, 67 percent had been fully vaccinated before their first birthday.

Table 8.8 shows the distribution of children who had received specific vaccines by the time of the survey. There is no significant difference in vaccination status by sex of child. Birth order, however, does influence immunisation rates, with first-bom children more likely to be fully vaccinated than those that are sixth or higher in the family ( 84 percent compared to 71 percent, respectively). As expected, vaccination coverage is higher in urban than rural areas (Figure 8.2). The highest proportion of children who are fully vaccinated is in Southern Province ( 91 percent), while the lowest proportion is among children in Northern Province ( 59 percent). Education of the mother is associated with higher immunisation coverage.

## Table 8.8 Vaccinations by background characteristucs

Percentage of children $12-23$ months who had received specific vaccines by the tume of the survey (according to the vaccination card or the mother's report) and the percentage with a vaccination card, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage of children who received |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent with vaccination card | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DPT |  |  |  | Polio |  |  | Measles | All ${ }^{1}$ | None |  |  |
|  | BCG | 1 | 2 | $3+$ | 1 | 2 | $3+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 97.4 | 96.4 | 91.9 | 84.6 | 97.0 | 92.8 | 83.4 | 86.2 | 78.2 | 2.1 | 81.9 | 640 |
| Fermale | 97.4 | 97.0 | 93.0 | 867 | 97.6 | 94.8 | 85.2 | 86.8 | 78.4 | 17 | 81.7 | 707 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 980 | 97.7 | 94.2 | 882 | 98.4 | 96.7 | 873 | 92.2 | 83.6 | 1.2 | 811 | 299 |
| 2-3 | 975 | 97.2 | 92.9 | 86.6 | 98.2 | 94.2 | 852 | 88.7 | 81.0 | 1.8 | 81.3 | 442 |
| 4-5 | 97.8 | 97.0 | 93.5 | 85.7 | 98.2 | 93.6 | 84.6 | 84.8 | 76.7 | 1.0 | 83.3 | 281 |
| $6+$ | 96.3 | 94.7 | 894 | 82.0 | 94.5 | 90.9 | 80.2 | 80.0 | 712 | 3.5 | 81.9 | 324 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.4 | 98.4 | 95.4 | 90.2 | 98.7 | 97.3 | 89.2 | 89.7 | 83.4 | 0.5 | 82.1 | 532 |
| Rural | 96.1 | 95.6 | 90.5 | 82.7 | 96.4 | 91.6 | 81.2 | 84.5 | 750 | 2.8 | 81.6 | 814 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 97.4 | 974 | 94.0 | 81.6 | 983 | 93.2 | 76.1 | 86.3 | 718 | 1.7 | 72.2 | 88 |
| Copperbelt | 995 | 98.4 | 95.7 | 91.6 | 99.5 | 98.4 | 916 | 895 | 84.1 | 0.5 | 859 | 247 |
| Eastern | 97.5 | 963 | 92.5 | 84.5 | 958 | 93.8 | 83.7 | 86.6 | 773 | 2.5 | 81.4 | 226 |
| Luapula | 98.7 | 98.7 | 95.4 | 90.8 | 98.7 | 95.4 | 90.1 | 90.7 | 88.1 | 1.3 | 84.7 | 121 |
| Lusaka | 100.0 | 98.7 | 941 | 87.7 | 98.0 | 94.9 | 83.7 | 88.2 | 79.0 | 00 | 80.8 | 200 |
| Northern | 88.7 | 87.4 | 77.3 | 69.5 | 91.2 | 81.2 | 67.8 | 71.4 | 58.5 | 7.5 | 71.6 | 183 |
| North-Western | 99.0 | 99.0 | 93.5 | 83.7 | 99.0 | 94.6 | 86.9 | 90.3 | 80.5 | 1.0 | 82.9 | 47 |
| Southern | 99.4 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 942 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 94.8 | 96.8 | 91.0 | 0.0 | 90.7 | 153 |
| Western | 97.4 | 97.4 | 93.9 | 84.2 | 98.3 | 948 | 825 | 79.9 | 73.7 | 1.7 | 84.2 | 80 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 96.7 | 949 | 88.6 | 79.5 | 955 | 90.3 | 77.9 | 84.3 | 73.2 | 2.9 | 82.4 | 189 |
| Primary | 96.7 | 96.1 | 91.3 | 83.6 | 968 | 92.8 | 82.4 | 841 | 754 | 2.3 | 81.0 | 867 |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 99.5 | 984 | 96.8 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 94.0 | 95.4 | 90.4 | 00 | 85.4 | 271 |
| Higher | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| All children | 97.4 | 96.7 | 92.5 | 85.7 | 97.3 | 93.8 | 84.4 | 86.5 | 78.3 | 1.9 | 818 | 1,347 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that the rate is based on fewer than 25 children and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Children who are fully vaccinated (i e, those who have received BCG, measles and three doses of DPT and polio)

Trends in vaccination coverage can be assessed by comparing coverage among children of different ages, since those age 24-35 months received their vaccinations roughly one year prior to those age 12-23 months, etc. To be comparable, the data refer only to vaccinations received before age 12 months. Table 8.9 shows information on the proportion of children age 12-59 months who had been vaccinated by 12 months
of age by their current age. The coverage figures are based on both the card and mothers' reports. Vaccination cards were seen by interviewers for 69 percent of the children aged 12-59 months. The proportion of children with cards decreases with increasing age, from 82 percent for children age 12-23 months to 55 percent of children age $48-59$ months. This decline may be due to many reasons: unavailability of cards in health institutions in the past, genuine loss due to time, or the increase over time in coverage of immunisation services.

Figure 8.2
Percentage of Children Age 12-23 Months Who Have Received All Vaccinations by Background Characteristics


Comparing vaccination coverage among different age groups of children shows that the proportion who were fully immunised by their first birthday rose gradually from 55 percent of those who were four years old at the time of the survey to 67 percent of those age one year. This analysis implies that immunisation coverage has been increasing over the past four years.

Another way to assess trends in immunisation coverage is to compare data from the 1992 and 1996 ZDHS surveys (Figure 8.3). This comparison shows that coverage has improved, from 67 percent of children age 12-23 months fully vaccinated in 1992 to 78 percent in 1996 (Gaisie et al., 1993:98).

The fact that there is a general increase in coverage indicates that the immunisation programme is increasingly achieving its goals to reach children. To meet the goal of 80 percent coverage in the next four years, more effort will be needed to encourage mothers to bring back their children for all the recommended vaccines and doses, especially for rural mothers and those in Northerm Province.

## Table 8.9 Vaccinations in first vear of life

Percentage of children one to five years of age for whom a vaccination card was seen by the interviewer and the percentage vaccinated for BCG, DPT, polio, and measles during the first year of life, by current age of the child, Zambia 1996

| Vaccine | Current age of child in months |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All children } \\ & 12.59 \\ & \text { months } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12-23 | 24-35 | 36-47 | 48-59 |  |
| Vaccination card seen by interviewer | 81.8 | 72.0 | 64.0 | 54.7 | 69.0 |
| Percent vaccinated at 0-11 months |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCG | 96.7 | 96.2 | 93.2 | 93.2 | 95.0 |
| DPT 1 | 95.8 | 94.8 | 89.7 | 90.6 | 92.9 |
| DPT 2 | 90.5 | 88.4 | 84.8 | 84.9 | 87.3 |
| DPT 3 | 80.0 | 76.3 | 71.8 | 73.2 | 75.6 |
| Polio 1 | 96.5 | 95.4 | 91.0 | 92.1 | 93.9 |
| Polio 2 | 91.8 | 90.5 | 85.9 | 85.8 | 88.7 |
| Polio 3 | 78.7 | 77.5 | 70.3 | 71.5 | 74.8 |
| Measles | 75.8 | 71.4 | 69.7 | 67.8 | 71.4 |
| All vaccinations ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 66.8 | 60.9 | 55.6 | 54.9 | 60.0 |
| No vaccinations | 2.8 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 5.0 |
| Number of children | 1,347 | 1,239 | 1,112 | 1,091 | 4,789 |

$\overline{{ }^{\text {a }} \text { Information was obtained either from a vaccination card or from the mother if there was }}$ no written record. For children whose information was based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as fhat for children with a written vaccination record.

Children who have received BCG, measles, and three doses each of DPT and polio vaccines

Figure 8.3
Trends in Vaccination Coverage Among Children Age 12-23 Months


[^7]
### 8.3 Acute Respiratory Infection

Medical records show that pneumonia is among the top three causes of hospital admissions and among the top five causes of infant mortality in Zambia. The ZDHS estimated the prevalence of respiratory infection by asking mothers if their children under the age of five had been ill in the two weeks before the survey with coughing accompanied by short, rapid breathing. Early diagnosis and treatment with antibiotics can prevent most deaths due to pneumonia. It should be noted that prevalence of respiratory illness as measured by the ZDHS, is based on a mother's subjective assessment of her child's symptoms.

Table 8.10 shows that 13 percent of children under five years of age were ill with a cough and rapid breathing during the two weeks before the survey. Seventy-one percent of these children were taken to a health facility or provider for treatment. The reported prevalence of symptoms suggestive of pneumonia peak in the first two years of life. The prevalence is similar for most background characteristics, except that it is lower for children in Luapula Province and children whose mothers have higher than secondary education.

Children in Copperbelt and Eastern Provinces with pneumonia symptoms are much more likely to be taken for treatment than their counterparts in other provinces; more than 80 percent are taken for treatment, compared to only about half of children in Central, Northern, and Western Provinces. Higher-order children, rural children, and those whose mothers have no education are less likely to be taken to a health provider when ill with respiratory problems.

### 8.4 Fever

Malaria is the major cause of hospital admissions and the number one killer among all age groups in Zambia. To assess the prevalence of malaria among children, mothers were asked whether their children under age five had a fever in the two weeks preceding the survey. Whereas fever is the primary symptom of malaria, fever can be a symptom of a large variety of diseases, including pneumonia, common colds/coughs, flu, etc.

Table 8.10 shows that 40 percent of children under five years of age were reported to have had fever in the two weeks preceding the survey. Fever is more common among children aged $6-11$ months ( 56 percent) and decreases with age. Prevalence of fever is similar in the different sexes, birth order, and residence groups. It ranges from 27 percent of children in North-Western Province to 55 percent in Western Province. Fever becomes less common among children as mother's education increases.

### 8.5 Diarrhoea

Dehydration caused by severe diarrhoea is a major cause of morbidity and mortality among Zambian children. The most important role that caretakers in the home can play in the prevention of dehydration is giving a child with diarrhoea an increased amount of fluids, possibly in the form of solution prepared from oral rehydration salts (ORS) or water, juices, soups, etc.; and preventing malnutrition (from diarrhoea) by continuing and increasing the feeding of children with diarrhoea. Dehydration can be treated by the use of ORS, or if dehydration is severe, intravenous fluids.

The most common treatment for dehydration is oral rehydration therapy (ORT)—solution prepared from commercially produced packets of oral rehydration salts (ORS, locally known as madzi a moyo), or a home-made solution of sugar, salt, and water. ORS is usually distributed through health facilities and pharmacies, while proper preparation of home-made solutions is taught in health centres.

## Table 8.10 Prevalence and treatment of acute respiratory infection and prevalence of fever

Percentage of children under five years who were ill with a cough accompanied by short, rapid breathing (acute respiratory infection) during the two weeks preceding the survey, the percentage of ill children who were taken to a health facility, and the percentage of children with fever during the two weeks preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage of children with cough and rapid breathing | Percentage of children with cough and rapid breathing who were taken to a health facility or provider ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of children with a fever | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |
| $<6$ months | 16.0 | 70.1 | 31.2 | 673 |
| 6-11 months | 16.3 | 82.5 | 56.3 | 647 |
| 12-23 months | 15.1 | 72.9 | 50.9 | 1,347 |
| 24-35 months | 12.2 | 69.8 | 42.3 | 1,239 |
| 36-47 months | 10.6 | 65.9 | 33.1 | 1,112 |
| 48.59 months | 8.1 | 60.1 | 27.0 | 1,091 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 12.2 | 67.7 | 39.3 | 2,989 |
| Female | 13.1 | 73.4 | 40.8 | 3,120 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11.5 | 71.5 | 38.0 | 1,292 |
| 2-3 | 13.3 | 73.5 | 40.5 | 2,057 |
| 4-5 | 12.7 | 73.6 | 40.6 | 1,306 |
| $6+$ | 12.7 | 63.3 | 40.8 | 1,454 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 12.6 | 78.4 | 38.1 | 2,445 |
| Rural | 12.7 | 65.6 | 41.4 | 3,664 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 17.0 | 51.1 | 40.6 | 508 |
| Copperbelt | 11.1 | 84.1 | 36.2 | 1.171 |
| Eastern | 18.7 | 81.1 | 41.6 | 941 |
| Luapula | 5.2 | (71.3) | 39.5 | 545 |
| Lusaka | 12.5 | 70.0 | 38.8 | 909 |
| Northern | 9.7 | 53.7 | 44.5 | 744 |
| North-Western | 7.3 | (86.1) | 26.9 | 252 |
| Southern | 13.5 | 72.8 | 38.0 | 657 |
| Western | 15.6 | 52.9 | 54.7 | 381 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 13.3 | 57.9 | 44.1 | 829 |
| Primary | 12.5 | 71.3 | 40.8 | 3,897 |
| Secondary | 13.6 | 78.0 | 36.2 | 1,263 |
| Higher | 4.4 | * | 29.7 | 118 |
| Total | 12.7 | 70.7 | 40.1 | 6,109 |

Note: Figures are for children born in the period 0-59 months preceding the survey. Figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ children. An asterisk indicates that the rate is based on fewer than 25 children and has been suppressed.
Includes health centre, hospital, clinic, and private doctor

Table 8.11 shows the prevalence of diarrhoea among children under the age of five. Nearly one in every four children had diarrhoea at some time during the two weeks before the survey and about 4 percent had diarrhoea with blood in the stool, generally an indication of dysentery. The peak of diarrhoea prevalence is in the weaning period, $6-23$ months ( 40 percent and over).

Only small variations are found in the prevalence of diarrhoea by sex, birth order, and type of residence. Diarrhoea is more common among children whose mothers have less education than those whose mothers have higher education. Diarrhoea is more prevalent in Lusaka and Eastern Provinces, with the latter having the highest prevalence of bloody diarrhoea.

Table 8.12 shows data on knowledge among mothers of children under five about caring for a child with diarrhoea. Knowledge of ORS packets is nearly universal among Zambian mothers; 94 percent of women who had a birth in the five years preceding the survey had heard of or know about ORS packets. There were no marked differences in knowledge across different age groups of mothers or by urban-rural residence; but among provinces, mothers in Western Province are by far the least likely to know about ORS packets ( 74 percent, compared with 90 percent or more in the other provinces). Knowledge of ORS packets increases with increasing level of education of the mother.

Table 8.12 also shows that threequarters of Zambian mothers know that they should increase the quantity of liquids given to children when they have diarrhoea. Thirteen percent of mothers erroneously believe that the quantity of liquids should be decreased. This misperception is particularly common among younger mothers, those who live in Eastern Province, and those with no education. Sixty-two percent of mothers know that it is best to increase the amount of solid food given to children when they have diarrhoea, while 16 percent believe it is better to decrease the amount. Variations by background characteristics in beliefs about feeding practices during diarrhoea are less pronounced than for beliefs about liquid intake.

## Table 8.12 Knowledge of diarrhoea care

Percentage of mothers with births in the last five years who know about the use of oral rehydration salts (ORS) for treatment of diarrhoea and the percent distribution by knowledge of appropriate feeding during diarrhoea, according to background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Know about ORS for treatment of diarthoea | Quantities that should be given during diarrhoea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of mothers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Liquids |  |  |  | Solid foods |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Less | Same | More | Don't <br> know/ Missing | Less | Same | More | Don't <br> know/ Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 91.5 | 22.2 | 137 | 61.5 | 2.7 | 176 | 19.8 | 60.2 | 2.3 | 1000 | 451 |
| 20-24 | 94.8 | 145 | 14.8 | 68.4 | 2.3 | 161 | 21.7 | 60.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,225 |
| 25-29 | 956 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 79.1 | 1.9 | 15.9 | 17.8 | 64.3 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 853 |
| 30-34 | 952 | 97 | 10.6 | 784 | 1.3 | 148 | 19.5 | 63.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 663 |
| 35+ | 93.4 | 9.2 | 112 | 78.5 | 1.1 | 164 | 20.8 | 62.0 | 0.7 | 1000 | 598 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.7 | 79 | 12.8 | 78.8 | 0.5 | 13.5 | 22.5 | 63.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,470 |
| Rural | 91.8 | 15.5 | 11.8 | 69.9 | 2.8 | 17.6 | 186 | 614 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 2,320 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 95.3 | 140 | 131 | 70.2 | 26 | 156 | 21.2 | 61.5 | 16 | 100.0 | 305 |
| Copperbelt | 98.9 | 6.4 | 17.9 | 758 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 31.7 | 54.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 681 |
| Eastern | 98.6 | 254 | 7.4 | 631 | 4.2 | 21.0 | 86 | 66.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 589 |
| Luapula | 92.5 | 5.9 | 12.5 | 812 | 04 | 10.5 | 23.2 | 652 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Lusaka | 99.6 | 9.3 | 6.9 | 82.6 | 11 | 13.7 | 16.3 | 69.3 | 07 | 100.0 | 560 |
| Northern | 90.4 | 10.2 | 14.6 | 73.2 | 1.9 | 16.8 | 20.2 | 606 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 470 |
| North-Western | 92.5 | 6.9 | 95 | 83.6 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 203 | 68.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Southern | 93.8 | 15.7 | 8.1 | 73.1 | 3.1 | 21.5 | 166 | 58.6 | 3.2 | 1000 | 411 |
| Western | 73.9 | 17.2 | 217 | 57.5 | 3.7 | 15.6 | 235 | 58.8 | 2.1 | 1000 | 264 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 891 | 21.5 | 13.3 | 59.8 | 54 | 22.6 | 20.8 | 52.8 | 3.7 | 1000 | 524 |
| Prımary | 94.1 | 13.1 | 131 | 72.2 | 1.7 | 164 | 20.1 | 61.9 | 17 | 100.0 | 2,419 |
| Secondary | 98.8 | 6.3 | 9.8 | 83.5 | 0.5 | 10.6 | 200 | 68.6 | 08 | 100.0 | 778 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 15.1 | 69.2 | 00 | 100.0 | 68 |
| Total | 94.4 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 73.3 | 1.9 | 160 | 201 | 62.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 3,790 |

Table 8.13 shows the percentage of children with recent episodes of diarthoea who received various treatments. About 4 in 10 children with diarrhoea are taken to a health facility or provider. Children in Southern, Western, and North-Western Provinces are more likely to be taken to a facility for treatment when they have diarrhoea than children in other provinces.

Overall, 54 percent of children with diarrhoea are treated with a solution made from ORS packets, while 4 percent are given home-made solutions of sugar, salt, and water. Variations in the use of either ORS or home-made solutions by background characteristics are not large, except that the chances of receiving either of these treatments increase with the education level of the mother.

Thirteen percent of children with diarhoea are treated with antibiotics, while 25 percent were given home-made remedies other than sugar-salt-water solution. These remedies were more likely to be given to older children, children in rural areas, especially in Central, Eastern, and Western Provinces, and children whose mothers have less education.

## Table 8.13 Treatment of diarrhoea

Among children under five years who had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey, the percentage taken for treatment to a health facility or provider, the percentage who received oral rehydration therapy (either solution prepared from ORS packets or recommended home fluids) and increased fluids, the percentage who received neither oral rehydration therapy nor increased fluids, and the percentage receiving other treatments, by background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage taken to a health facility or provider | Oral rehydration therapy (ORT) |  |  | Percentage receiving increased flurds | Percentage receiving neither ORS nor RHF nor increased fluids | Other treatments |  |  |  |  | Number <br> of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ORS packet | $\begin{gathered} \text { RHF } \\ \text { at } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Either } \\ & \text { ORS or } \end{aligned}$ RHF |  |  | biotics, pill or syrup | Injection | Home remedy/ Other | No treatment | Missing |  |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 41.0 | 48.9 | 2.5 | 51.5 | 44.4 | 38.9 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 73 |
| 6-11 months | 50.3 | 62.6 | 5.1 | 64.8 | 58.5 | 23.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 285 |
| 12-23 months | 47.3 | 57.0 | 3.4 | 59.6 | 56.1 | 23.5 | 12.9 | 0.6 | 22.7 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 537 |
| 24.35 months | 37.5 | 46.6 | 4.3 | 49.8 | 61.2 | 23.2 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 11.8 | 0.2 | 303 |
| 36-47 months | 39.4 | 49.3 | 1.6 | 50.9 | 60.1 | 26.6 | 16.0 | 2.3 | 26.7 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 144 |
| 48.59 months | 36.3 | 44.6 | 2.4 | 47.0 | 58.0 | 25.8 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 35.6 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 93 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 45.0 | 56.0 | 4.1 | 58.6 | 57.1 | 25.0 | 14.1 | 0.8 | 25.6 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 721 |
| Female | 43.0 | 51.8 | 3.2 | 54.4 | 58.1 | 24.2 | 11.0 | 0.1 | 24.8 | 14.9 | 0.1 | 714 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 43.6 | 52.7 | 1.3 | 53.9 | 53.4 | 25.2 | 9.9 | 0.8 | 22.8 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 302 |
| 2-3 | 42.5 | 53.0 | 3.9 | 56.1 | 58.4 | 25.7 | 13.0 | 0.6 | 24.1 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 493 |
| 4-5 | 48.8 | 58.2 | 5.5 | 61.1 | 61.4 | 21.6 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 308 |
| $6+$ | 42.1 | 52.5 | 3.7 | 55.0 | 56.5 | 25.3 | 12.6 | 0.2 | 27.7 | 14.5 | 0.2 | 332 |
| Kesidence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 45.3 | 58.4 | 3.8 | 61.7 | 65.4 | 19.9 | 18.9 | 0.7 | 19.2 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 581 |
| Rural | 43.1 | 50.9 | 3.5 | 53.0 | 52.3 | 27.8 | 8.3 | 0.3 | 29.3 | 15.5 | 0.1 | 854 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 19.8 | 49.0 | 5.7 | 53.1 | 53.5 | 30.2 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 30.8 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 120 |
| Copperbelt | 30.7 | 55.1 | 2.2 | 57.3 | 56.7 | 23.8 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 244 |
| Eastern | 52.9 | 48.7 | 3.1 | 50.9 | 44.4 | 29.3 | 11.8 | 0.5 | 33.6 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 254 |
| Luapula | 26.2 | 54.8 | 2.2 | 56.9 | 55.3 | 28.3 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 114 |
| Lusaka | 55.2 | 56.6 | 5.4 | 61.1 | 70.5 | 18.8 | 21.1 | 0.5 | 19.5 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 261 |
| Northern | 21.2 | 46.8 | 5.0 | 47.5 | 52.5 | 29.0 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 27.0 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 162 |
| North-Western | 61.9 | 59.5 | 4.7 | 61.9 | 60.4 | 21.9 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 44 |
| Southern | 72.2 | 64.9 | 1.8 | 66.7 | 76.4 | 13.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 147 |
| Western | 63.8 | 55.9 | 3.2 | 57.5 | 44.9 | 30.7 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 29.9 | 18.1 | 0.8 | 89 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 37.0 | 45.6 | 2.1 | 47.5 | 46.7 | 33.6 | 9.1 | 0.3 | 32.6 | 18.1 | 0.3 | 201 |
| Primary | 43.5 | 52.7 | 4.0 | 55.3 | 56.1 | 25.0 | 10.2 | 0.3 | 26.6 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 945 |
| Secondary | 49.7 | 62.8 | 3.7 | 65.8 | 69.2 | 17.5 | 22.5 | 0.7 | 15.3 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 275 |
| Higher | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 |
| Total | 44.0 | 53.9 | 3.6 | 56.5 | 57.6 | 24.6 | 12.6 | 0.4 | 25.2 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 1,435 |

[^8]To gauge the knowledge about drinking and eating standards for a child with diarrhoea, mothers with children under five who had had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey were asked about the drinking and eating patterns of these children. Table 8.14 shows that almost 6 in 10 children with diarrhoea were given more to drink than usual and 32 percent were given more to eat than usual (Table 8.14). However, 21 percent of children were given less fluids and 43 percent of children were given less solid food. These figures indicate that there is a need for further health education efforts to decrease the number of children becoming dehydrated or malnourished due to diarrhoea.

| Table 8.14__Feeding practices |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| during diarrhoea |  |
| Percent distribution under five who had the past two weeks by solid foods given and fluids given, Zambia 1 | children rrhoca in mount of mount of 6 |
| Feeding practices | Total |
| Amount of fluids |  |
| Same | 21.0 |
| Increase | 57.6 |
| Decrease | 21.2 |
| Don't know/missing | 0.3 |
| Amount of solid food |  |
| Same | 25.2 |
| Increase | 31.6 |
| Decrease | 42.9 |
| Don't know/missing | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 |
| Number of children | 1,435 |
| Note: Figures are for children born in the period 0-59 months preceding the survey. |  |

## CHAPTER 9

## INFANT FEEDING, CHILDHOOD AND MATERNAL NUTRITION

This chapter covers two related topics: infant feeding (including breastfeeding practices, introduction of complementary weaning foods, and use of feeding bottles) and nutritional status of young children and their mothers. Height and weight measurements of the respondent's children under the age of five years and those of the mother were taken to determine their nutritional status.

### 9.1 Breastfeeding and Supplementation

Infant feeding has an impact on both the child and the mother. Feeding practices are important determinants of the child's nutritional status, which in tum influences the risk of dying. Frequent breastfeeding affects the mother through the biological suppression of the return to fertile status, thereby affecting the interbirth interval and pregnancy outcome. These effects are influenced by both the duration and intensity of breastfeeding, and by the age at which the child receives foods and liquids.

The data presented in Table 9.1 show the percentage of children who were ever breastfed as well as the timing of breastfeeding initiation for all children who were ever breastfed. The data indicate that almost all Zambian children ( 98 percent) are breastfed for some period of time. More than half ( 58 percent) of children are put to the breast within an hour of birth and 91 percent within the first day. This is an improvement since 1992 when only 40 percent and 87 percent of the children were put to the breast within one hour and one day, respectively. There are few marked differences in timing of breastfeeding initiation except by province. While in Eastern and North-Westem Provinces, 82 percent or more of children are put to the breast within the first hour of birth, less than half the children ( 41 percent) in Luapula Province are breastfed within the first hour.

Breast milk is safe, convenient, and contains all the nutrients needed by children in the first six months of life. In addition, it provides immunity to disease through the mother's antibodies. The percent distribution of children under age three years by breastfeeding status at the time of the survey is presented in Table 9.2, based on information about feeding practices in the 24 hours preceding the survey. Almost all children are breastfed for at least one year, with only 4 percent of children age 12-13 months not breastfed. By age 16-17 months, 13 percent of children are no longer being breastfed.

Children who are exclusively breastfed receive nothing apart from breast milk, not even plain water. The recommended exclusive breastfeeding duration is 6 months. Early supplementation is unnecessary and discouraged since the likelihood of contamination and the resulting risk of diarrhoea are high. Early supplementation also reduces breast milk output since the production and release of milk is modulated by the frequency and intensity of suckling.

Exclusive breastfeeding has improved in Zambia since 1992. For example, whereas in 1992 only 16 percent of children under 2 months of age were fed only breast milk (Gaisie et al., 1993:111), the figure had increased to 35 percent in 1996 (Table 9.2). The ZDHS results also show that the proportion of children below the age of 2 months receiving water in addition to breast milk has declined from 68 percent in 1992 to 54 percent in 1996. These results may be attributed to the intensive breastfeeding campaign implemented by the National Food and Nutrition Commission (NFNC) through the support of UNICEF in which hospitals have been made more baby friendly, campaigns to promote breastfeeding have been initiated, and staff were trained to counsel on breastfeeding.

## Table 9.1 Initial breastfeeding

Pcrcentage of children born in the five years preceding the survey who were ever breastfed, and the percentage who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characternstic | Percentage ever breastfed | Percentage who started breastfeeding: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within 1 hour of birth | $\begin{gathered} \text { Within } \\ \text { 1 day } \\ \text { of birh } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 98.3 | 57.3 | 90.6 | 3,542 |
| Female | 98.1 | 59.0 | 92.2 | 3,617 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.1 | 53.5 | 90.7 | 2,858 |
| Rural | 98.2 | 61.2 | 91.9 | 4,301 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 98.8 | 46.7 | 88.4 | 587 |
| Copperbelt | 98.2 | 48.9 | 91.3 | 1,347 |
| Eastern | 97.1 | 87.3 | 95.7 | 1,103 |
| Luapula | 99.6 | 40.9 | 97.6 | 671 |
| Lusaka | 97.7 | 60.4 | 91.2 | 1,076 |
| Northern | 98.7 | 46.8 | 86.0 | 863 |
| North-Western | 98.8 | 82.0 | 98.2 | 287 |
| Southern | 98.6 | 62.5 | 94.2 | 764 |
| Western | 97.3 | 50.1 | 78.1 | 460 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 97.2 | 67.4 | 93.2 | 982 |
| Primary | 98.4 | 57.7 | 91.4 | 4,604 |
| Secondary | 98.4 | 53.7 | 90.5 | 1,437 |
| Higher | 96.3 | 53.1 | 90.3 | 135 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Health professional | 98.5 | 58.8 | 91.9 | 3,332 |
| Traditional midwife | 98.5 | 57.3 | 92.5 | 384 |
| Other or none | 97.8 | 57.8 | 91.2 | 3,416 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 98.5 | 58.7 | 91.8 | 3,333 |
| At home | 97.9 | 57.8 | 91.4 | 3,803 |
| All chuldren | 98.2 | 58.1 | 91.4 | 7,159 |

Note: Total includes 2 children for whose mother's education was missing, 27 children for whom data on assistance at delivery are missing and 24 children for whom data on place of delivery are missing.

As many as 46 percent children age 2-3 months and 77 percent of children $4-5$ months are receiving supplements, in addition to breast milk. This implies that a greater number below the age of 6 months are at risk of being contaminated by low quality foods even though they started well. By age 8-9 months, almost all children ( 97 percent) are given complementary foods.

Table 9.2 Breastfeeding status
Percent distribution of living children by current breastfeeding status, according to child's current age in months, Zambia 1996

| Age in months | Percentage of living children who are: |  |  |  | Total | Number of living children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not breastfeeding | Exclusivelybreast-fed | Breastfeeding and: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Plain water only | Complements |  |  |
| <2 | 0.5 | 34.5 | 54.3 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 190 |
| 2-3 | 0.4 | 20.2 | 33.7 | 45.7 | 100.0 | 259 |
| 4-5 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 16.4 | 77.3 | 100.0 | 223 |
| 6-7 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 93.3 | 100.0 | $19]$ |
| 8-9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 96.7 | 100.0 | 206 |
| 10-11 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 94.5 | 100.0 | 250 |
| 12-13 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 270 |
| 14-15 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 93.7 | 100.0 | 226 |
| 16-17 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 86.2 | 100.0 | 221 |
| 18-19 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 75.9 | 100.0 | 186 |
| 20-21 | 44.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 100.0 | 223 |
| 22-23 | 69.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 100.0 | 220 |
| 24-25 | 82.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 17.7 | 100.0 | 253 |
| 26-27 | 91.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 209 |
| 28-29 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 204 |
| 30-31 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 161 |
| 32-33 | 95.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 208 |
| 34-35 | 99.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 205 |
| 0-3 months | 0.4 | 26.3 | 42.4 | 30.9 | 100.0 | 449 |
| 4-6 months | 1.2 | 4.2 | 13.1 | 81.6 | 100.0 | 321 |
| $7-9$ months | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 300 |

Note: Breastfeeding status refers to preceding 24 hours. Children classified as breastfeeding and plain water only receive no complements.

Complementary foods are important as frequency of breastfeeding declines or stops altogether as the child gets older (Table 9.2). In Zambia, the percent of children receiving complementary foods drops by the time the children are 18-19 months old. This drop is due to the fact that children are fully weaned on an adult diet. This is the age at which malnutrition--particularly wasting and stunting-peaks as shown by previous studies (Gaisie et al., 1993; CSO, 1994). Breast milk plays a major role in complementing the nutrient content of bulky diets that are often inadequate to promote growth. Whilst most children are breastfed for a full year, 5 percent of those aged 12-13 months are reportedly not yet receiving supplements to their diet of breast milk and water.

Complementary foods are foods eaten by most family members and are often solid or mushy. These foods are introduced into the diet as early as one month after birth to 11 percent of breastfeeding children (Table 9.2). By age $4-5$ months, 7 out of 10 breastfeeding children have complementary food introduced into their diets. By the time they are one and a half years old, over 75 percent are receiving supplements. Compared to the 1992 ZDHS, the number of children receiving complementary foods has declined. This may perhaps be due to rising household food insecurity for most Zambian households (CSO, 1994) and consequent reduction in number of meals consumed (NFNC/BASICS, 1995).

The median duration of breastfeeding is 20 months (Table 9.3 and Figure 9.1). Differentials in median duration of breastfeeding are minimal except that children are breastfed the longest in Western and Northern Provinces ( 22 months or longer).

## Table 2.3 Median duration and frequency of breastfeeding

Median duration of any breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding, and full breastfeeding among children under three years of age, and the percentage of children under six months of age who were breastfed six or more times in the 24 hours preceding the survey, according to background characteristıcs, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Median duration in months ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Number of children under 3 years of age | Children under 6 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Breastfed |  |
|  | Any breastfeeding | Exclusive breastfeeding |  |  | in preceding 24 hours | Number of children |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 19.9 | 0.5 | 2.4 |  | 2,199 | 94.4 | 356 |
| Female | 20.2 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2,250 | 94.3 | 317 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.1 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 1,719 | 93.1 | 262 |
| Rural | 20.7 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 2,730 | 95.1 | 411 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 19.2 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 368 | 86.6 | 65 |
| Copperbelt | 19.0 | 1.0 | 27 | 815 | 91.2 | 114 |
| Eastern | 21.2 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 690 | 93.4 | 103 |
| Luapula | 19.6 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 416 | 97.5 | 63 |
| Lusaka | 18.1 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 657 | 96.0 | 100 |
| Northern | 21.9 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 553 | 96.9 | 75 |
| North-Western | 21.1 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 179 | 100.0 | 31 |
| Southern | 20.3 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 480 | 97.7 | 77 |
| Western | 24.2 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 293 | 93.7 | 45 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 21.3 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 622 | 95.7 | 82 |
| Primary | 20.1 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 2,856 | 94.5 | 439 |
| Secondary+ | 19.3 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 971 | 93.0 | 150 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health professional | 19.3 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1,995 | 93.5 | 284 |
| Traditional midwife | 20.1 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 255 | 98.3 | 40 |
| Other or none | 20.7 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 2,191 | 94.5 | 348 |
| Total | 20.0 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 4,449 | 94.3 | 673 |
| Mean | 19.7 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 98.3 | - | - |
| Prevalence/Incidence ${ }^{3}$ | 19.1 | 1.1 | 3.1 | - | - |  |

Note: Total includes one child for whom data on mother's education are missing, and one child for whom data on assistance at delivery are missing.
Medians and means are based on current status
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Either exclusive breastfeeding or breastfeeding and plain water only
${ }^{3}$ Prevalence-incidence mean

Children are classified as fully breastfed if they are either exclusively breastfed or are receiving breast milk and water. The median duration of full breastfeeding is 3 months (Table 9.3). There is little variation in median duration of full breastfeeding.

Frequency of breastfeeding influences the production of milk and nutrition status of the baby. The delayed return to fertility is also enhanced by the frequency of breastfeeding. The data in Table 9.3 indicate that almost all children ( 94 percent), under the age of 6 months were fed six times or more in the 24 hours prior to the survey.

Figure 9.1
Median Duration of Breastfeeding


In addition to questions about breastfeeding, the ZDHS also included questions about the types of foods given to children during the 24 hours preceding the survey. The results in Table 9.4 show that two-thirds of children below the age of 3 years were fed grain, flour, or cereal, while about half received meat, poultry, fish, or eggs, and about one in three were given liquids other than breast milk, infant formula, and other milk (Table 9.4). There appears to be no age-related preference for foods, although preparation methods for these foods for the different age groups may differ. The bottom part of Table 9.4 presents the feeding pattern of children who are not breast fed. These children are much more likely to have started receiving supplements at an earlier age than children who are breastfed.

Bottle feeding is uncommon in Zambia (Table 9.4). Only 3 percent of babies age $0-1$ months are being given a bottle and teat (nipple) in addition to being breastfed. However, the proportion of children who are bottle fed almost doubles at age 6-7 months. This follows a pattern of return to work by mothers after the maternity break of 3 months, which often is extended to about 5-6 months. Nevertheless, the practice reduces to the initial 3 percent by the age of one year and above, presumably since older children are more likely to feed on solid foods and require less bottle feeding. These findings are encouraging, since infants are particularly vulnerable to infections and use of unsterilised bottles with nipples is a prime source of infections.

Table 9.4 Types of food received by children in preceding 24 hours
Percentage of children under three years of age who received specific types of food in the 24 hours preceding the survey, and the percentage using a bottle with a nipple, by breastfeeding status, and child's age in months, Zambia 1996

| Age (in months) | Breast milk only | Infant formula | Other milk | Other liquid | Meat poultry/ fish/ eggs | Grain/ flour! cereal | Tubers/ plantains | Other | Using bottle with a nipple | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BREASTFEEDING CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 347 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 189 |
| 2-3 | 20.3 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 21.0 | 3.8 | 18.8 | 4.4 | 258 |
| 4-5 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 12.8 | 14.1 | 53.8 | 5.8 | 32.1 | 3.1 | 221 |
| 6.7 | 1.4 | 6.2 | 8.9 | 30.9 | 42.0 | 73.4 | 14.6 | 56.1 | 5.9 | 190 |
| 8-9 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 14.1 | 43.0 | 52.6 | 86.0 | 21.8 | 67.0 | 2.1 | 202 |
| 10-11 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 16.3 | 46.7 | 65.2 | 83.6 | 26.8 | 685 | 3.2 | 241 |
| 12-13 | 03 | 1.7 | 17.8 | 52.6 | 63.7 | 82.9 | 27.9 | 70.1 | 2.8 | 260 |
| 14-15 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 15.9 | 50.3 | 62.0 | 83.1 | 31.4 | 72.9 | 1.9 | 214 |
| 16-17 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 166 | 581 | 70.8 | 84.2 | 40.9 | 77.5 | 2.9 | 192 |
| 18-23 | 04 | 0.0 | 143 | 50.2 | 62.3 | 81.6 | 36.3 | 69.4 | 1.4 | 337 |
| 24-29 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 202 | 38.0 | 67.8 | 91.2 | 39.9 | 72.2 | 0.0 | 75 |
| 30.35 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20 |
| 0-3 months | 264 | 2.4 | 31 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 132 | 23 | 11.7 | 39 | 448 |
| 4-6 months | 42 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 18.1 | 20.5 | 58.2 | 8.4 | 39.3 | 2.6 | 317 |
| 7.9 months | 0.3 | 4.1 | 13.0 | 39.4 | 51.5 | 83.6 | 19.6 | 63.7 | 4.7 | 295 |
| Total | 56 | 23 | 124 | 362 | 45.2 | 67.0 | 22.4 | 55.0 | 2.9 | 2.397 |
| NON-BREASTFEEDING CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-23 | 00 | 00 | 219 | 691 | 76.1 | 77.3 | 33.0 | 69.8 | 2.7 | 292 |
| 24-29 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 201 | 62.0 | 674 | 80.4 | 37.9 | 70.8 | 2.2 | 591 |
| 30-35 | 0.0 | 07 | 167 | 60.6 | 66.1 | 80.9 | 36.2 | 68.9 | 0.8 | 554 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

### 9.2 Nutritional Status of Children

The study of child health status in the ZDHS included body measurements for children under age five. These data known as anthropometric measurements are used to derive three indicators characterising the nutritional status of children. These indicators are important because children's nutritional status influences their susceptibility to disease and untimely death. Children's nutritional status reflects infant and child feeding practices as well as acute and chronic or recurrent infections. In the ZDHS, all children born since January 1991 were eligible for anthropometric measurement as were their mothers. Both the height and weight were measured; these data were used to construct the following indices for children:

- Height-for-age (stunting)
- Weight-for-height (wasting)
- Weight-for-age (underweight).

Not all children eligible to be weighed and measured are included in the results presented here; the height and weight measurements are missing for 8 percent of eligible children, and one or both of the measurements are grossly improbable in 3 percent of the cases. The month and year of birth is not known for a small number of children, which renders two of the indices (height-for-age, and weight-for-age) incalculable. Hence, height and weight data are shown for 89 percent of the eligible children. Although the term "height"
is used here, children younger than 24 months were measured lying down on a measuring board (recumbent length), while standing height was measured for older children.

As recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO), the nutritional status of children in the survey is compared with an intemational reference population defined by the U.S. National Centre for Health Statistics (NCHS) and accepted by the U.S. Centres for Disease Control (CDC). Each of the three nutritional status indicators described below are expressed in standard deviation units ( $\mathbf{z}$-scores) from the median for the reference population. The use of this reference population is based on the finding that well-nourished young children of all population groups (for which data exist) follow very similar growth patterns. The reference population serves as a point of comparison, facilitating the examination of differences in the anthropometric status of subgroups in a population and of changes in nutritional status over time. In any large population, there is variation in height and weight; this variation approximates a normal distribution.

The height-for-age index is an indicator of linear growth retardation. Children whose height-for-age $z$-score is below minus two standard deviations ( -2 SD ) from the median of the reference population are considered short for their age, stunted, and are chronically malnourished. Children who are below minus three standard deviations ( -3 SD ) from the median of the reference population are considered severely stunted. Stunting reflects failure to receive adequate nutrition over a long period of time, and is also affected by recurrent and chronic illness. Height-for-age, therefore, represents a measure of the long-term effects of malnutrition in a population and does not vary appreciably according to season of data collection. Stunted children are not immediately obvious in a population; a stunted three-year-old child could look like a well-fed two-year-old.

The weight-for-height index measures body mass in relation to body length, and describes current nutritional status. Children whose $z$-scores are below minus two standard deviations ( -2 SD ) from the median of the reference population are considered thin, wasted, and are acutely malnourished. Wasting represents the failure to receive adequate nutrition in the period immediately preceding the survey and may be the result of inadequate food intake or recent episodes of illness, causing loss of weight and the onset of malnutrition. Children whose weight-for-height is below minus three standard deviations (-3 SD) from the median of the reference population are considered to be severely wasted.

Weight-for-age is a composite index of height-for-age and weight-for-height; it takes into account both acute and chronic malnutrition. It is a useful tool in clinical settings for continuous assessment of nutritional progress and growth. Children whose weight-for-age is below minus two standard deviations from the median of the reference population are classified as underweight. In the reference population only 2.3 percent of children fall below minus two ( -2 SD ) for each of the three indices.

Table 9.5 shows the percentage of children under five years of age classified as malnourished according to height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age indices, by the child's age and selected demographic characteristics.

Forty-two percent of the children are classified as stunted and 18 percent are severely stunted (<-3 SD). The figures are high and suggest chronic food insecurity and probably repeated illnesses. Stunting is evident even among children under age 6 months ( 10 percent). The prevalence of stunting increases as children get older. At age 6-11 months, 24 percent of the children are stunted, and twice as many ( 48 percent) are stunted by age 12-23 months. Currently, 5 out of 10 children who are two years and older in Zambia are stunted. The prevalence of stunting varies slightly by other demographic characteristics. Few differences exist according to the sex of the child, birth order, and interval since preceding birth.

| Table 9.5 Nutritional status of children by demographic characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children under five years who are classified as malnournshed according to three anthropometric indices of nutritional status: height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, by background characterıstics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Height-for-age (Stunting) |  | Weight-for-height (Wasting) |  | Weight-for-age (Underweight) |  | Number of children |
| Background characteristic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -3 \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S D^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & .3 \mathrm{SD} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -3 \mathrm{SD} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 \text { SD }^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 months | 1.5 | 10.4 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 597 |
| 6-11 months | 7.1 | 24.4 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 22.9 | 618 |
| 12-23 months | 20.2 | 47.7 | 1.0 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 34.7 | 1,257 |
| 24.35 months | 23.5 | 52.3 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 6.2 | 27.6 | 1.116 |
| 36-47 months | 22.1 | 50.4 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 21.4 | 963 |
| 48-59 months | 19.5 | 47.4 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 18.2 | 892 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 18.1 | 43.1 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 24.5 | 2,665 |
| Female | 17.0 | 41.7 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 22.5 | 2,778 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 17.4 | 45.2 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 24.4 | 1,074 |
| $2-3$ | 16.6 | 40.4 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 22.5 | 1,825 |
| 4-5 | 17.3 | 40.2 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 22.5 | 1,203 |
| $6+$ | 19.1 | 44.7 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 24.9 | 1,341 |
| Birth interval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First birth | 17.4 | 45.0 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 24.3 | 1,079 |
| $<24$ months | 21.1 | 43.6 | 0.6 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 24.3 | 784 |
| 24-47 months | 17.1 | 42.1 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 23.1 | 2,851 |
| $48+$ months | 15.6 | 38.2 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 22.8 | 729 |
| Total | 17.5 | 42.4 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 23.5 | 5.443 |

Note: Figures are for children bom in the penod 0-59 months preceding the survey. Each index is expressed in terms of the number of standard deviation (SD) units from the median of the NCHS/CDC/WHO international reference population. Children are classified as malnourished if their $z$-scores are below minus two or minus three standard deviations ( -2 SD or -3 SD ) from the median of the reference population. Includes children who are below - 3 SD

Four percent of the children are wasted and less than 1 percent are severely wasted ( <-3 SD). The weight-for-height indicator gives information about children's recent nutritional status. Wasting is highest for children between the age of 12-23 months. This is consistent with other study results in Zambia and elsewhere. Variations in the level of wasting by other demographic characteristics of the child are minimal.

Twenty-four percent of the children are classified as being underweight and 5 percent are severely underweight (i.e., below -3 SD). Weight-for-age is an index of chronic or acute malnutrition (or both), but does not distinguish between a child who is underweight because of stunting and one who is underweight because of wasting. Children under 6 months of age are the least likely to be stunted or wasted or underweight. This is most likely due to the positive effects of breastfeeding. As shown in Table 9.2, less than 1 percent of children of this age are not being breastfed. After 6 months of age, the percentage of children who are underweight rises substantially to 35 percent among those 12-23 months and remains relatively high. The likelihood of being underweight varies little by sex of the child, birth order, or interval between births.

The percentage of children under five years of age classified as malnourished according to the three anthropometric indices is presented in Table 9.6 by socio-economic characteristics. A much higher percentage of children in rural areas are stunted, wasted, and underweight than in urban areas. For example, 49 percent of rural children are stunted, compared to 33 percent of urban children. The percentage of children who are stunted is highest in the outlying provinces of Northern and Luapula Provinces ( 57 percent or higher). Lusaka and Copperbelt Provinces have the lowest percentages of stunted children. Stunting is inversely related to the level of education of the mother, ranging from one in two children among those whose mothers are uneducated to only 9 percent of children among those whose mothers have higher than secondary education. In general, the differentials in wasting and underweight by urban-rural residence and mother's education are the same as those for stunting. Luapula Province has the highest percentage of children who are stunted, wasted, and underweight. Figure 9.2 shows the percentage of children under five years of age who are stunted, by selected background characteristics of the mother.

Table 9.6 Nutritional status of children by background characteristics
Percentage of children under five years who are classified as malnourished according to three anthropometric indices of nutritional status: height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, by background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Height-for-age (Stunting) |  | Weight-for-height (Wasting) |  | Weight-for-age (Underweight) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -3 \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S D^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -3 \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S D^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -3 \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S^{1} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.1 | 32.7 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 16.5 | 2,159 |
| Rural | 22.4 | 48.7 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 28.0 | 3,284 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 12.6 | 38.1 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 19.9 | 458 |
| Copperbelt | 9.3 | 31.4 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 17.2 | 1,051 |
| Eastern | 22.7 | 48.1 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 26.0 | 866 |
| Luapula | 30.5 | 57.7 | 1.3 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 32.7 | 498 |
| Lusaka | 8.6 | 30.2 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 15.5 | 786 |
| Northern | 29.6 | 57.3 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 10.4 | 31.5 | 671 |
| North-Western | 19.3 | 47.4 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 27.1 | 224 |
| Southern | 13.6 | 39.5 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 21.1 | 564 |
| Westem | 19.5 | 44.9 | 0.6 | 5.3 | 6.8 | 32.1 | 326 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 23.1 | 50.1 | 0.8 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 30.9 | 757 |
| Primary | 19.3 | 44.7 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 24.8 | 3,472 |
| Secondary | 9.8 | 32.9 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 15.5 | 1,111 |
| Higher | 2.1 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 101 |
| Total | 17.5 | 42.4 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 23.5 | 5,443 |

Note: Figures are for children born in the period $0-59$ months preceding the survey. Each index is expressed in terms of the number of standard deviation (SD) units from the median of the NCHS/CDC/WHO international reference population. Children are classified as malnourished if their z-scores are below minus two or minus three standard deviations ( -2 SD or -3 SD ) from the median of the reference population.
Includes children who are below -3 SD

Figure 9.2
Percentage of Children under Five Who Are Stunted


### 9.3 Nutritional Status of Mothers

As mentioned above, all mothers of children born since January 1991, approximately the five years preceding the survey, were weighed and measured. The objective was to determine the nutritional status of women of reproductive age. However, since weighing and measuring all respondents would add considerably to the length and cost of the fieldwork, it was decided to limit the anthropometric section to women with young children who would be measured anyway. ${ }^{1}$ Women were weighed on an electronic bathroom-type scale which measured the weight to an accuracy of 100 grams. Their height was measured with an L -shaped wooden board that was specially designed for the DHS project. This information was used to construct the following indicators of mothers' nutritional status:

- Mean height (in centimetres)
- Mean weight (in kilograms)
- Body mass index.

Women who were pregnant at the time of the survey and those who had delivered within the two months before the interview day were excluded from the tables on weight and body mass index. Thus, data on height are available for 4,507 women, while data on weight are available for 3,677 women.

[^9]Table 9.7 presents the distribution of mothers by mean height and body mass index (BMI) by background characteristics. For each indicator, the mean is presented, as well as the proportion falling below certain arbitrary cut-off points. Height, as well as being a good indicator of socioeconomic status of the mother, is also used to identify mothers at nutritional risk. Height of mothers can be used to predict the risk of difficulty in delivering children, given the association between height and size of the pelvis. Also, the risk of giving birth to low-weight birth children is higher among women of small stature. Although the cut-off point at which the mother can be considered at risk varies between populations, it probably falls in the range of 140150 centimetres. Indices of body mass are used to assess thinness or obesity. The most commonly used index is the BMI (also referred to as the Quetelet index), which is defined as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in metres. The main advantage of the BMI is that it does not require a reference table from a well-nourished population. For the BMI, a cut off point of 18.5 has been recommended for defining thinness, or acute undemutrition. Obesity has not been defined clearly in terms of the scale, though a BMI of 25.0 and above is usually considered obese.

| Table 9.7 Nutritional stalus of mothers by backyround characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among mothers of children under five years, mean height, and percentage of women shorter than 145 centimetres, mean body mass index (BMI), and the percentage of women whose BMI is less than $18.5\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Height |  |  | BMI |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Mean | Percent $<145 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Number of women | Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & <185 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 157.1 | 1.5 | 473 | 21.1 | 11.0 | 387 |
| 20-24 | 157.4 | 1.4 | 1,357 | 21.5 | 9.5 | 1,044 |
| 25-29 | 158.7 | 0.6 | 1,010 | 22.0 | 8.2 | 797 |
| 30-34 | 158.6 | 1.1 | 817 | 22.2 | 8.0 | 671 |
| 35-49 | 158.4 | 1.5 | 850 | 22.7 | 9.6 | 766 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 159.0 | 0.5 | 1,851 | 22.6 | 7.8 | 1,543 |
| Rural | 1575 | 1.7 | 2,656 | 21.4 | 10.1 | 2,122 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 158.4 | 0.4 | 363 | 21.9 | 67 | 286 |
| Copperbelt | 1588 | 0.6 | 837 | 22.6 | 76 | 681 |
| Eastern | 156.9 | 2.2 | 679 | 21.9 | 6.6 | 536 |
| Luapula | 1557 | 2.9 | 412 | 21.6 | 9.3 | 331 |
| Lusaka | 159.5 | 1.1 | 705 | 22.9 | 65 | 590 |
| Northern | 157.3 | 1.1 | 530 | 21.4 | 10.4 | 433 |
| North-Western | 157.0 | 2.3 | 181 | 21.0 | 13.1 | 149 |
| Southern | 159.5 | 0.4 | 479 | 213 | 11.0 | 387 |
| Western | 158.3 | 0.4 | 320 | 20.6 | 19.0 | 271 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 156.5 | 2.2 | 624 | 21.5 | 9.5 | 499 |
| Primary | 157.8 | 1.4 | 2,820 | 21.9 | 8.8 | 2,245 |
| Secondary | 161.0 | 0.2 | 960 | 22.2 | 10.2 | 838 |
| Higher | 161.5 | 0.0 | 102 | 23.7 | 4.2 | 82 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 158.1 | 1.2 | 4,507 | 21.9 | 9.1 | 3,665 |
| Note- Table includes only women who had a birch in the five years preceding the survey. The BMI index excludes pregnant women and those who are less than two months post-partum. <br> ${ }^{1}$ Total includes one woman for whom information on education is missing |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The average height of the mothers measured in Zambia ( 158 centimetres) falls above the critical cutoff point of 145 centimetres. Only about one percent of mothers are shorter than 145 centimetres. Nine percent, or one in eleven mothers, are chronically undernourished (i.e., BMI under 18.5).

There are few differences among provinces for weight, height, and BMI of mothers. Teenage mothers are more likely to fall below the BMI cut off value of 18.5 , as are rural compared with urban mothers ( 8 and 10 percent, respectively). Among the provinces, the proportion of mothers whose BMI falls below the cut-off point is highest in Westem province (19 percent).

## CHAPTER 10

## MATERNAL MORTALITY

Although the level of matemal mortality is generally considered to be one of the most important indicators of Zambia's health, no reliable data are available at the national level and estimates vary widely. A study conducted in 1982-83 at the University Teaching Hospital in Lusaka estimated a maternal mortality ratio of 118 deaths per 100,000 biths, while a study in Mongu district in Western Province in 1991-92 produced a maternal mortality ratio of 889 (Nsemukila, 1994:10). National-level estimates vary from 202 (UNICEF, 1995) to 940 (WHO, 1996:15) maternal deaths per 100,000 births.

Data were collected in the ZDHS that allow estimation of matcrnal mortality using a direct estimation procedure, as well as overall adult male and female mortality. The information concerns the survivorship of all live births of the respondent's natural mother (siblings). The direct approach to estimating adult and maternal mortality maximises use of the available data, using information on the age of surviving siblings, the age at death of siblings who died, and the number of years ago the sibling died. This allows the data to be aggregated to determine the number of person-years of exposure to mortality risk and the number of sibling deaths occurring in defined calendar periods. Rates of maternal and adult mortality are obtained by dividing maternal (or all female or male adult) deaths by person-years of exposure (Rutenberg and Sullivan, 1991).

### 10.1 The Data

Each female respondent was first asked to give the total number of her mother's live births. Then she was asked to provide a list of the children bom to her mother starting with the first-bom, and whether or not each sibling was still alive at the survey date. For living siblings, current age was collected; for deceased siblings, age at death and years since death were collected. Interviewers were instructed that when a respondent could not provide precise information on ages or years ago, approximate answers were acceptable. For sisters who died at ages 10 years or older, three questions were used to determine if the death was maternity-related: "Was [NAME OF SISTER] pregnant when she died?" and if negative, "Did she die during childbirth?" and if negative, "Did she die within six weeks of the birth of a child or pregnancy termination?"

The estimation of adult and maternal mortality requires reasonably accurate reporting of the number of sisters and brothers the respondent ever had, the number who have died, and the number of sisters who have died of maternity-related causes. There is no definitive procedure for establishing the completeness or accuracy of retrospective data on sibling survivorship. Table 10.1 shows the number of siblings reported by the respondents and the completeness of the reported data on current age, age at death, and years since death.

The sex ratio of enumerated siblings (the ratio of brothers to sisters) is 0.99 , which is somewhat lower than the expected value of 1.02 or 1.03 and may indicate underreporting of brothers by respondents (see Table 10.1). In very few cases (one half of one percent), siblings' ages were not reported by the respondents. Respondents were highly knowledgeable about their siblings' survival status, with only 6 out of over 50,000 siblings missing this information. In the case of deceased siblings, complete reporting of age at death and years since death were also nearly universal; 96 percent of deceased siblings have both age at death and years since death reported. Rather than exclude the small number of siblings with missing data from further analysis, information on the birth order of siblings in conjunction with other information was used to impute the missing
data. ${ }^{1}$ The sibling survivorship data, including cases with imputed values, were used in the direct estimation of adult and maternal mortality.

| Table 10.1 Data onsiblings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of siblings reported by female survey respondents and completeness of reported data on sibling age, age at death (AD) and years since death (YSD), Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | sters |  | thers | All s | blings |
| Siblings | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| All siblings | 25,650 | 100.0 | 25,499 | 100.0 | 51,149 | 100.0 |
| Living | 20,851 | 81.3 | 20,233 | 79.3 | 41,083 | 80.3 |
| Dead | 4,796 | 18.7 | 5,264 | 20.6 | 10,060 | 19.7 |
| Missing survival information | 4 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Living siblings | 20,851 | 100.0 | 20,233 | 100.0 | 41,083 | 100.0 |
| Age reported | 20,750 | 99.5 | 20,146 | 99.6 | 40,896 | 99.5 |
| Age mussing | 100 | 0.5 | 87 | 04 | 187 | 0.5 |
| Dead siblings | 4,796 | 100.0 | 5,264 | 100.0 | 10,060 | 100.0 |
| AD and YSD reported | 4,588 | 95.7 | 5,025 | 95.5 | 9,613 | 95.6 |
| AD missing | 36 | 0.7 | 41 | 0.8 | 77 | 0.8 |
| YSD missing | 63 | 1.3 | 66 | 1.3 | 129 | 1.3 |
| Both AD and YSD missing | 108 | 2.3 | 132 | 2.5 | 241 | 2.4 |

### 10.2 Direct Estimates of Adult Mortality

Another way to assess the quality of data used to estimate maternal mortality is to evaluate the plausibility and stability of overall adult mortality. It is reasoned that if estimated rates of overall adult mortality are implausible, rates based on a subset of deaths, i.e., maternal deaths in particular, are unlikely to be free of serious problems.

Table 10.2 presents the age-specific rates of female and male mortality ( $15-49$ years) for the seven-year period before the survey. Since the number of deaths on which the rates are based is not large (only 1,128 female and 1,142 male deaths), the estimated five-year, age-specific rates are subject to considerable sampling variation. Generally, female mortality is higher than male mortality below age 30 and is lower than male mortality at ages 30 and above. As expected, mortality among both sexes increases with age, however the rates generally level off at age group 25-29 for women and age group 35-39 for men.

[^10]| Table 10.2 Adult mortality rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated adult mortality rates for women and men in the period 0-6 years before the survey, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |
| Age | Deaths | Exposure | Mortality rate |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 102 | 23,813 | 4.29 |
| 20-24 | 188 | 24,321 | 7.74 |
| 25-29 | 278 | 20,068 | 13.86 |
| 30-34 | 241 | 15,749 | 15.32 |
| 35-39 | 178 | 10,781 | 16.48 |
| 40-44 | 93 | 6,305 | 14.70 |
| 45-49 | 48 | 3,326 | 14.45 |
| 15-49 | 1,128 | 104,364 | $10.61{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| MEN |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 80 | 23,312 | 3.42 |
| 20-24 | 132 | 24,470 | 5.38 |
| 25-29 | 238 | 20,355 | 11.67 |
| 30-34 | 270 | 15,426 | 17.51 |
| 35-39 | 224 | 10,085 | 22.23 |
| 40-44 | 130 | 5,769 | 22.53 |
| 45-49 | 69 | 3,249 | 21.34 |
| 15-49 | 1,142 | 102,665 | $11.32^{\text {a }}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Age-adjusted rates |  |  |  |

10.2). Embodied in a model life table is a relationship between mortality during childhood and mortality during later years. Some models posit high child relative to adult mortality levels; others describe low child relative to adult mortality. So that by selecting model mortality schedules based on an observed under-five mortality level, one can assess whether adult rates are higher or lower than would be expected. Here, the ZDHS under-five mortality estimates of 183 per thousand for females and 202 per thousand for males (from Table 7.3) are used to enter the model mortality schedules: model North and South of the Coale-Demeny life tables.

The figures show that adult mortality in Zambia substantially exceeds rates described in the reference schedules for a given childhood mortality level. This is especially true for male mortality in age 35 and above. These findings indicate that underreporting of deceased siblings is unlikely to be a serious problem in the ZDHS data. The fact that the estimated rates exceed model rates may be realistically explained by the recent deterioration in adult survival prospects due in part to the AIDS problem. An analysis of trends in adult mortality would be a useful extension to this line of inquiry; unfortunately, the sparseness of the data will not support a statistically reliable look across the relevant calendar periods.

Figure 10.1
Female Adult Mortality in Zambia for the Period 0-6 Years Before the Survey, by Age, from Various Sources


Figure 10.2
Male Adult Mortality in Zambia for the Period 0-6 Years Before the Survey, by Age, from Various Sources


### 10.3 Estimates of Maternal Mortality

Direct age-specific estimates of maternal mortality from the reported survivorship of sisters are shown in Table 10.3 for the $0-6$ year period before the survey. The number of matemal deaths (147) is not large, so age-specific rates are subject to large sampling errors. However, the age-specific rates show a plausible pattern, being higher at the peak childbearing ages of the 20 s and 30 s than at the youngest and older age groups. For the entire childbearing period (15-49) for the seven-year period before the survey (1990-1996), the rate of mortality due to causes related to pregnancy and childbearing is 1.34 maternal deaths per 1,000 woman-years of exposure. Maternal deaths represent approximately 13 percent of all deaths to women aged 15-49.

The maternal mortality rate can be converted to a maternal mortality ratio and expressed per 100,000 live births by dividing the rate by the general fertility rate of 0.206 operating during the same time period. In this way, the obstetrical risk of pregnancy and childbearing is underlined. By direct estimation procedures, the maternal mortality ratio is estimated as 649 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births during 1990-1996.

## CHAPTER 11

## AIDS AND OTHER SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES

### 11.1 Background

HIV/AIDS remains a major concem in Zambia due to continuing high prevalence rates. Serosurveillance data from antenatal clinics from 1994 show a great diversity in the spread of HIV across the country, ranging from 2 percent to 32 percent (National AIDS/Sexually Transmitted Diseases/Tuberculosis and Leprosy Program (NASTLP, 1994). Sentinel survey data are collected every two years from a sample of women attending antenatal clinics. All provinces have sentinel sites, one each in rural and urban areas.

Since 1984, when AIDS was first recognised as a threat to public health, the Ministry of Health has spearheaded various strategies to contain and limit the spread of HIV infection. The strategies have ranged from protection of the blood supply to information dissemination and campaigns to change social attitudes and behaviour.

Information, Education and Communication (IEC) messages have been used to educate the population at large about sexually transmitted diseases (STDs). There is a correlation between STDs and vulnerability to HIV infection. AIDS control measures have been directed at sensitising the public that STDs are avoidable with the practice of responsible sexual behaviour and treatable once infected.

### 11.2 Sexual Behaviour

Messages advocating behavioural change have been an important strategy for containing the spread of HIV/AIDS and assisting those already infected to live positively. IEC programmes have encouraged women and men to practice safe sex, which has been interpreted as abstinence when not in a continuous union with a partner who can be trusted to remain faithful, and faithfulness to one partner. When this behaviour cannot be practiced, people are encouraged to use condoms.

Women and men interviewed in the ZDHS were asked about their sexual behaviour, the number of sexual partners in the 12 months preceding the survey, and receipt of payment for sexual relations. Data in Tables 11.1.1 and 11.1.2 show that virtually all married women have only one sex partner, their spouse or partner. Among unmarried women, six in ten do not have any sex partner and the majority of those who are sexually active have only one partner.

Men are much more active sexually than women; 14 percent of married men have two or three partners, and 4 percent had four or more partners in the 12 months preceding the survey. Unmarried men are also more active sexually than unmarried women ( 61 percent compared to 38 percent). Young married men are more likely to have multiple partners than older married men. For example, one in five married men 20-24 years of age reported having two or three partners, and 12 percent had four or more partners, including their wives, while among men age 50 and above, less than 3 percent have multiple partners.

Exchange of sex for money or gifts is a coping strategy for dealing with poverty and may not be perceived as commercial sex work. Nearly 11 percent of the women and 24 percent of the men interviewed reported exchanging sex for money or gifts during the 12 months prior to the survey (Table 11.2). Respondents who were not currently in union were much more likely to report this relationship. Unmarried young women (below age 25) and unmarried inen, particularly those age 25-39, were more likely to be involved in sex for money/gift relationships. Almost 40 percent of unmarried men of all ages indicated involvement in a money/material exchange. Women respondents were either less likely to have engaged in a commercial relationship or less likely to admit to it.

## Table 11.1.1 Number of recent sexual parners: women

Percent distribution of currently married women and of currently unmarried women by the number of sexual partners in the 12 months preceding the survey, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characterisuc | Currently marned women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unmarried women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of partners including spouse |  |  |  |  | Number of parners excluding spouse |  |  |  |  |  | Number of <br> Total Mean women |  |  | Number of partners |  |  |  |  | Total | Mean | Number of women |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | 4+ |  | Total | Mean | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | 4+ |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | $4+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know/ } \\ & \text { Missing } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 20 | 96.6 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 00 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.6 | 0.6 | 06 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 498 | 68.9 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 1,506 |
| 20-24 | 34 | 94.6 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1000 | 10 | 98.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,207 | 52.6 | 36.3 | 8.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 623 |
| 25-29 | 2.8 | 95.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 00 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.3 | 12 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 969 | 42.3 | 45.5 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 317 |
| $30 \cdot 39$ | 41 | 947 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.8 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1.443 | 50.4 | 40.4 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 397 |
| 40-49 | 5.0 | 93.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.5 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 786 | 67.3 | 25.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 276 |
| Marital duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 2.9 | 955 | 15 | 01 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,277 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| 5-9 | 3.7 | 944 | 17 | 01 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.2 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,077 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| 10-14 | 2.1 | 964 | 13 | 02 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 984 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 820 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| 15+ | 4.8 | 938 | 12 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1000 | 1.0 | 987 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 01 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,728 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.3 | 96.0 | 13 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1000 | 1.0 | 98.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 02 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,972 | 61.6 | 30.6 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 05 | 1,633 |
| Rural | 4.5 | 940 | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 00 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,930 | 59.2 | 32.2 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 1,486 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.7 | 92.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1000 | 10 | 98.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 803 | 62.7 | 30.3 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1000 | 04 | 264 |
| Primary | 37 | 94.6 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 00 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.4 | 11 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3,053 | 59.7 | 30.9 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 1,668 |
| Secondary | 19 | 96.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 00 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 924 | 62.2 | 31.2 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 1,082 |
| Higher | 1.1 | 96.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 122 | 48.0 | 43.4 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 104 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 36 | 94.8 | 14 | 01 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 4,902 | 60.4 | 31.4 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 3,119 |

## NA $=$ Not applicable

Includes one woman with no information on education


### 11.3 Knowledge About Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs)

Women and men were asked if they knew of diseases that are transmitted sexually. If so, the respondents were asked which ones they knew. Knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) other than AIDS is generally high among women and men ( 73 percent or higher) (Table 11.3). However, the knowledge level for STDs is usually not as high as that for AIDS ( 90 percent or higher). Men, on average, were more knowledgeable about STDs than women. Furthermore, men are more likely than women to identify AIDS as a sexually transmitted disease. The overall pattern of knowledge about STDs is similar for women and men. Older respondents, those who have ever been married, and those with more education are more likely to know about STDs than other respondents. The least knowledgeable group is adolescent girls and boys age 15-19, although boys tended to have more knowledge of STDs than girls.

Table 11.2 Payment for sexual relations
Among women and men who ever had sexual intercourse, percentage who have given money, gifts or favours in exchange for sex in the past 12 months, by marital status, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Currently married |  | Notcurrently married |  | All women |  | Currently married |  | Not currently married |  | All men |  |
|  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Pereent | Number | Percent | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.2 | 498 | 38.4 | 670 | 25.5 | 1,168 | * | 3 | 39.3 | 301 | 38.9 | 304 |
| 20-24 | 4.9 | 1,207 | 284 | 528 | 120 | 1,735 | 253 | 115 | 36.1 | 246 | 327 | 361 |
| 25-29 | 3.7 | 969 | 19.7 | 310 | 76 | 1,279 | 13.2 | 174 | 46.7 | 79 | 236 | 253 |
| 30-39 | 2.6 | 1,443 | 16.2 | 391 | 55 | 1,834 | 140 | 352 | 42.7 | 54 | 178 | 406 |
| 40-49 | 1.7 | 786 | 9.0 | 276 | 3.6 | 1,062 | 11.9 | 189 | * | 14 | 135 | 204 |
| 50-64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 4.4 | 110 | * | 8 | 41 | 118 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 34 | 1,972 | 23.7 | 1,100 | 10.7 | 3,071 | 14.8 | 401 | 308 | 369 | 22.5 | 769 |
| Rural | 4.0 | 2,930 | 27.5 | 1,075 | 10.3 | 4,006 | 12.8 | 543 | 47.5 | 333 | 26.0 | 876 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.2 | 803 | 183 | 212 | 64 | 1,015 | 8.9 | 71 | 502 | 47 | 255 | 118 |
| Primary | 4.2 | 3,053 | 298 | 1,160 | 112 | 4,213 | 14.9 | 454 | 482 | 342 | 292 | 796 |
| Secondary | 3.4 | 924 | 235 | 712 | 12.2 | 1,636 | 13.8 | 345 | 270 | 290 | 19.9 | 636 |
| Higher | 1.1 | 122 | 4.4 | 91 | 2.5 | 213 | 9.9 | 73 | * | 22 | 125 | 95 |
| Total | 3.8 | 4,902 | 25.6 | 2,175 | 10.5 | 7,077 | 136 | 944 | 38.7 | 702 | 243 | 1,646 |

Note: An asterısk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 men and has been suppressed
NA $=$ Not applicable

Place of residence seems to be more closely related to knowledge of STDs for women than for men. The gap in knowledge between urban and rural women is much larger than that between urban and rural men (Table 11.3). Knowledge of STDs among women is substantially lower in Eastern Province than in other areas of the country.

### 11.4 Health Seeking Behaviour for Treatment of STDs

Less than 3 percent of women and 7 percent of men reported that they had an STD in the 12 -month period prior to the survey. Among the women, syphilis and gonorrhoea (both approximately 1 percent) were the most commonly mentioned STDs, while among men, gonorrhoea, discharge from the penis, and genital sores or ulcers ( 4 percent, 4 percent, and 3 percent, respectively) were the most commonly reported ailments (Table 11.4). STDs are more common among women and men in their 20 s and 30 s , those who have been been married and those who are better educated.

Respondents reporting STD infections in the 12 months preceding the survey were asked about their behaviour in seeking treatment and informing sexual partners. They were also asked about measures taken to avoid infecting their partners. Women were slightly more likely to seek treatment than men ( 99 percent vs. 91 percent). Also, more than 91 percent of women said they had informed their partner(s) of their status. Approximately 66 percent of men indicated that they had informed their partner(s) of their status (Table 11.5.). There were differences in terms of marital status. A higher percentage of married women and men said they informed partner(s) compared to men and women not currently married or in union. More than half of women reported that their partner was already infected, hence they had taken no action to avoid infecting their partners.

### 11.5 Knowledge About AIDS

In addition to general questions on knowledge of STDs, respondents in the 1996 ZDHS were asked if they had ever heard about AIDS. Tables 11.6.1 and 11.6 .2 show the percentage of respondents who answered affirmatively, according to the sources of AIDS information. Results indicate that knowledge of AIDS is nearly universal among respondents (just under 100 percent). There is no significant difference between women and men in level of knowledge of AIDS, but sources of information vary. Over 60 percent of women and nearly the same percentage of men said they relied on friends or relatives for information. Overall, information disseminated through other sources was more likely to be received by men than women, except communications through health workers. Fifty-three percent of the women reported receiving information from this source compared to 26 percent of men.

There were differences according to background characteristics in source of knowledge of AIDS. Dependency on friends for information about AIDS was more apparent in rural areas compared to urban areas. The dominant sources of information for rural populations were friends ( 72 percent) and health workers ( 57 percent) compared to urban populations whose major sources of information were radio ( 66 percent) and friends ( 51 percent).

### 11.6 Knowledge of Ways to Avoid AIDS

Less than 10 percent of the respondents believe that AIDS is unavoidable (Tables 11.7.1 and 11.7.2). There were only slight variations between women and men in identifying methods to avoid AIDS. The majority of women and men cite faithfulness with one partner ( 49 percent for both women and men) and condom use ( 38 percent of women and 49 percent of men). More men ( 40 percent) than women ( 29 percent) identified abstinence as an option, irrespective of their background and residence.

Older and better educated persons are more likely to cite having one sexual partner as a means of avoiding AIDS, while younger men cite condoms. The responses of respondents from North-Western Province deserve mention; women in this province are especially likely to cite limiting to one sexual partner as a means of AIDS prevention ( 81 percent), while men are likely to say that avoiding sex with prostitutes is a good way to avoid the disease (70 percent).

| Table 11.3 Knowle | Table 11.3 Knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIV/ } \\ & \text { AIDS }^{\text {t }} \end{aligned}$ | Genital warts | Ohher | Don't know any | Number of women | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIV/ } \\ & \text { AIDS' } \end{aligned}$ | Genital warls | Other | Don't know any | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 56.4 | 57.6 | 85.8 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 9.1 | 2,003 | 61.9 | 63.3 | 92.8 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 73.5 | 76.1 | 88.5 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 1,830 | 85.6 | 87.9 | 94.8 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 76.2 | 78.4 | 89.9 | 6.4 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 1,286 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.9 | 11.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 255 |
| 30-39 | 78.6 | 79.1 | 91.3 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 1,840 | 89.8 | 91.7 | 92.9 | 10.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 409 |
| 40-49 | 74.2 | 76.6 | 87.9 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 1,062 | 85.5 | 89.8 | 93.3 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 204 |
| 50-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 90.0 | 90.6 | 95.2 | 12.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 118 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 61.3 | 62.6 | 87.5 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 6.8 | 2,032 | 72.0 | 74.6 | 93.9 | 6.6 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 814 |
| Had sex | 69.1 | 70.8 | 87.8 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 5.4 | 1,087 | 79.3 | 81.9 | 95.0 | 7.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 611 |
| Never had sex | 52.3 | 53.2 | 87.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 8.4 | 944 | 50.3 | 52.6 | 90.8 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 7.5 | 203 |
| Currently married | 73.5 | 75.3 | 88.9 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 4,902 | 89.8 | 91.2 | 93.1 | 9.9 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 944 |
| Formerly married | 77.3 | 79.3 | 89.4 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 1,086 | 88.6 | 87.8 | 95.9 | 13.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 91 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uban | 79.6 | 76.9 | 90.3 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 3,604 | 85.3 | 86.2 | 92.4 | 8.2 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 852 |
| Rural | 63.8 | 691 | 87.2 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 4,417 | 79.1 | 81.6 | 94.7 | 8.9 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 997 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 73.5 | 73.1 | 90.7 | 30.2 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 653 | 83.4 | 90.5 | 92.7 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 86.1 | 85.1 | 89.9 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.588 | 88.7 | 87.6 | 92.6 | 9.6 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 396 |
| Easterm | 34.6 | 56.8 | 81.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 1,075 | 83.4 | 92.6 | 97.1 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 254 |
| Luapula | 89.4 | 90.2 | 90.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 726 | 97.4 | 98.5 | 95.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 151 |
| Lusaka | 72.2 | 64.0 | 90.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 1,403 | 80.2 | 85.1 | 88.2 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 316 |
| Northern | 80.4 | 67.5 | 88.9 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 872 | 82.1 | 79.8 | 96.3 | 9.5 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 221 |
| North-Western | 64.5 | 67.6 | 81.7 | 6.7 | 16.3 | 14.4 | 288 | 71.7 | 82.0 | 88.2 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 9.6 | 48 |
| Southern | 68.6 | 72.8 | 95.5 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 816 | 80.7 | 49.0 | 96.4 | 21.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 173 |
| Western | 59.8 | 75.7 | 82.0 | 9.8 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 600 | 48.7 | 79.6 | 95.9 | 33.3 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 53.0 | 58.2 | 79.1 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 15.5 | 1,067 | 73.2 | 82.2 | 89.7 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 127 |
| Primary | 69.3 | 72.1 | 89.1 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 4,721 | 76.4 | 78.6 | 93.4 | 7.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 938 |
| Secondary Higher | 81.6 93.2 | 79.5 90.8 | 92.0 | 5.4 | 1.3 3.0 | 1.3 0.0 | 2,007 226 | 89.4 94.8 | 88.6 100.0 | 93.9 98.7 | 9.5 12.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 0.0 | 686 98 |
| Higher | 93.2 | 90.8 | 92.1 | 16.1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 226 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 12.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 98 |
| Total | 70.9 | 72.6 | 88.6 | 5.7 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 8,021 | 81.9 | 83.7 | 93.6 | 8.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1,849 |

Note: Figures are based on spontaneous knowledge of sexually transmutted diseases (i.e., without probing).
See Tables 11.6.1 and 11.6 .2 for level of knowledge of HIV/AIDS after probing.

## Table 11.4 Self-reporting of sexually transmitted diseases in the last year

Percentage of women and men who report having had specific sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) in the 12 months preceding the survey, by background characteristucs, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Any } \\ & \text { STD } \end{aligned}$ | Syphilis Gonorrhoea |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIV/ } \\ & \text { AIDS } \end{aligned}$ | Genital warts | Other | Number of women | Any STD | Syphils Gonorrhoea |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIV/ } \\ & \text { AIDS } \end{aligned}$ | Genital warts | Discharge from penis | Sore/ ulcer on penis | Other | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 06 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2,003 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 460 |
| 20-24 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1,830 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1,286 | 9.6 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 255 |
| 30-39 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1,840 | 9.1 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 409 |
| 40-49 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1,062 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 204 |
| 50-64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 118 |
| Marital duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2,032 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 01 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 814 |
| Currently married | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4,902 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 944 |
| Formerly married | 4.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1,086 | 14.3 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 91 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ustan | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3,604 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 852 |
| Rural | 2.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4,417 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 997 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 2.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 653 | 11.4 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 2.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1,588 | 6.9 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 396 |
| Eastern | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,075 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 254 |
| Luapula | 2.2 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 726 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 151 |
| Lusaka | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1,403 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 316 |
| Northern | 2.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 872 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 221 |
| North-Western | 2.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 288 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48 |
| Southern | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 816 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 173 |
| Western | 2.4 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 600 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 9.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1,067 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 12 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 127 |
| Primary | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4,721 | 6.5 | 12 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 938 |
| Secondary | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2,007 | 8.4 | 30 | 41 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 686 |
| Higher | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 226 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 98 |
| Total | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 8,021 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1,849 |

Note: Total includes one woman with missing information on education.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable

Table 11.5 Action taken by respondents who reported having had a sexually transmitted disease in the past year
Armong women and men who reported having had a sexually transmitted disease (STD) in the 12 months prior to the survey, the percentage who sought advice or treatment, the percentage who informed their partner(s), and the percentage who took measures to avoid infecting their partner(s), by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Among respondents who had an STD: |  | Percentage who took action to avoid infecting partner |  |  |  | Partner infected/ no measures taken | $\underset{\substack{\text { mo } \\ \text { measures } \\ \text { taken }}}{\text { No }}$ | Number of mer/ women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent who sought treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Avoid sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Used } \\ \text { condoms } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Took } \\ \text { medicine } \end{gathered}$ | Other |  |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <30 | 97.8 | 93.4 | 7.3 | 2.0 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 55.9 | 15.7 | 114 |
| 30+ | 100.0 | 87.7 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 30.1 | 0.0 | 51.6 | 15.2 | 67 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently martied | 98.9 | 94.0 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 57.3 | 13.9 | 122 |
| Not currently married | 98.0 | 85.6 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 48.1 | 18.9 | 58 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.4 | 95.5 | 9.3 | 1.6 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 57.2 | 15.1 | 82 |
| Rural | 98.8 | 87.7 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 15.9 | 99 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | * | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | * | 19 |
| Primary | 97.8 | 89.2 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 52.7 | 15.6 | 114 |
| Secondary | (100.0) | (94.2) | (9.7) | (2.9) | (15.0) | (00) | (54.5) | (20.5) | 46 |
| Higher | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Total | 98.6 | 91.2 | 6.6 | 1.2 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 54.3 | 15.5 | 181 |
|  |  |  |  | MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <30 | 862 | 57.0 | 44.3 | 6.9 | 33.2 | 1.7 | 12.6 | 21.0 | 70 |
| 30+ | 96.1 | 76.4 | 54.0 | 12.0 | 46.4 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 8.8 | 56 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 97.0 | 76.4 | 52.7 | 7.3 | 50.7 | 1.6 | 16.3 | 4.5 | 74 |
| Not currently married | 81.5 | 50.4 | 42.9 | 11.8 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 312 | 52 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 95.4 | 649 | 51.0 | 8.8 | 42.1 | 0.0 | 125 | 12.9 | 61 |
| Rural | 860 | 66.4 | 46.4 | 9.5 | 36.3 | 1.8 | 11.8 | 18.1 | 65 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 3 |
| Primary | 90.1 | 63.7 | 49.6 | 8.4 | 36.2 | 1.9 | 10.2 | 154 | 61 |
| Secondary | 911 | 710 | 47.2 | 7.8 | 40.2 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 13.4 | 58 |
| Higher | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 5 |
| Total | 90.6 | 65.6 | 48.6 | 9.1 | 39.1 | 0.9 | 12.1 | 15.5 | 127 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ women; an asterisk indicates a figure is based on fewer than 25 respondents and has been suppressed.

## Table 11.6 .1 Knowledge of AIDS and sources of AIDS information: women

Percentage of women who have ever heard of AIDS, percentage who have received information about AIDS from specific sources, and mean number of sources of information about AIDS, by background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Ever heard of AIDS | Sources of AIDS information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numher | Mean number of sources ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Radio | TV | Newspaper | Pamphlet | Health worker | Mosque/ church | School | Community meeting | Friend/ Relative | Work place | Live drama | Other source |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.3 | 46.8 | 22.0 | 9.0 | 6.7 | 33.1 | 3.6 | 31.0 | 4.0 | 62.2 | 0.1 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 2,003 | 2.3 |
| 20-24 | 99.8 | 55.8 | 22.7 | 12.3 | 8.4 | 57.1 | 5.1 | 9.8 | 5.8 | 60.2 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 1,830 | 2.4 |
| 25-29 | 99.6 | 60.4 | 24.1 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 65.8 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 60.2 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1,286 | 2.5 |
| 30-39 | 99.7 | 59.2 | 21.9 | 9.2 | 8.2 | 61.3 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 7.4 | 64.1 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 1,840 | 2.5 |
| 40-49 | 99.3 | 50.7 | 15.3 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 52.4 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 7.0 | 69.9 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1,062 | 2.3 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 99.1 | 492 | 28.0 | 14.7 | 8.4 | 32.2 | 4.3 | 33.5 | 4.2 | 55.6 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 0.9 | 2,032 | 2.4 |
| Currently in union | 99.7 | 56.6 | 18.8 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 60.5 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 6.4 | 65.7 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 4,902 | 2.4 |
| Formerly in union | 99.6 | 53.9 | 21.9 | 10.3 | 83 | 56.9 | 6.0 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 63.8 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 1,086 | 24 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.9 | 66.1 | 42.0 | 17.5 | 10.7 | 47.6 | 4.9 | 14.4 | 7.4 | 51.2 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 1.4 | 3,604 | 2.7 |
| Rural | 99.3 | 44.8 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 57.1 | 4.6 | 8.6 | 4.7 | 72.4 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 4,417 | 2.1 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 99.7 | 50.6 | 22.8 | 9.9 | 8.4 | 45.2 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 11.1 | 45.2 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 653 | 2.1 |
| Copperbelt | 100.0 | 72.1 | 47.3 | 13.9 | 8.8 | 45.0 | 6.0 | 14.9 | 9.3 | 53.0 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 1,588 | 2.8 |
| Eastern | 98.5 | 45.1 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 50.5 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 77.9 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 1,075 | 1.9 |
| Luapula | 99.9 | 75.7 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 8.7 | 57.7 | 5.2 | 11.4 | 4.2 | 82.0 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 726 | 2.6 |
| Lusaka | 99.8 | 63.0 | 42.4 | 20.9 | 11.3 | 44.4 | 3.4 | 12.3 | 6.7 | 47.3 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 1,403 | 2.6 |
| Northem | 99.6 | 524 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 61.6 | 12.7 | 8.7 | 4.3 | 78.7 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 16 | 872 | 2.5 |
| Nort-Western | 98.9 | 52.7 | 8.7 | 5.5 | 14.0 | 79.1 | 14.6 | 11.1 | 3.0 | 89.3 | 1.4 | 6.4 | 00 | 288 | 2.9 |
| Southem | 99.7 | 28.3 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 64.6 | 1.1 | 15.1 | 5.1 | 57.9 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 816 | 2.0 |
| Western | 99.3 | 21.6 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 58.7 | 2.3 | 12.8 | 54 | 65.6 | 0.6 | 11.8 | 0.7 | 600 | 1.9 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 98.1 | 38.9 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 46.1 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 80.3 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1,067 | 1.9 |
| Primary | 99.7 | 51.2 | 13.6 | 3.5 | 5.5 | $55.1$ | 4.6 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 68.2 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 4,721 | 2.2 |
| Secondary | 99.9 | 67.7 | 44.4 | 25.0 | 13.5 | 52.0 | 5.3 | 24.9 | 6.8 | 44.3 | 1.7 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 2,007 | 3.0 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 75.4 | 69.3 | 57.4 | 29.6 | 44.9 | 5.3 | 17.5 | 8.2 | 34.0 | 18.9 | 13.0 | 2.7 | 226 | 3.8 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 99.6 | 54.4 | 21.6 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 528 | 47 | 11.2 | 5.9 | 62.9 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 8,021 | 2.4 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Mean number of sources is based on respondents who have heard of AIDS. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Total includes one woman with missing information on education. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 11.6.2. Knowledge of AIDS and sources of AIDS informationi men

Percentage of men who have ever heard of AIDS, percentage who have received information about AIDS from specific sources, and mean number of sources of information about AIDS, by background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristuc | Ever heard of AIDS | Sources of AIDS information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> numberNum- of <br> ber <br> of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Radio | TV | Newspaper | Pamphlet | Health worker | Mosquel church | School | Community meeting | Friend/ Relative | Work place | Live drama | Other source |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 98.5 | 64.9 | 30.8 | 20.1 | 17.8 | 10.5 | 5.9 | 37.8 | 5.2 | 58.2 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 460 | 2.6 |
| 20-24 | 100.0 | 76.9 | 29.7 | 26.4 | 23.4 | 26.0 | 5.7 | 22.7 | 5.6 | 63.1 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 404 | 2.9 |
| 25-29 | 99.7 | 83.7 | 37.8 | 36.5 | 33.3 | 32.7 | 3.6 | 12.5 | 4.1 | 54.9 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 255 | 3.1 |
| 30-39 | 99.8 | 82.3 | 34.8 | 34.3 | 27.7 | 35.7 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 8.1 | 55.5 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 409 | 3.0 |
| 40-49 | 99.7 | 82.0 | 35.0 | 39.5 | 27.6 | 32.1 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 8.5 | 59.5 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 5.3 | 204 | 3.0 |
| 50-64 | 99.6 | 70.3 | 26.3 | 30.0 | 26.2 | 30.5 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 10.2 | 62.0 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 118 | 2.8 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 99.1 | 70.4 | 32.0 | 25.7 | 21.2 | 16.3 | 5.5 | 32.8 | 5.7 | 60.6 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 814 | 2.8 |
| Currently in union | 998 | 81.1 | 32.1 | 32.6 | 27.6 | 34.4 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 56.8 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 38 | 944 | 3.0 |
| Formerly in union | 100.0 | 76.9 | 42.8 | 34.6 | 31.0 | 29.2 | 8.7 | 5.6 | 8.6 | 59.6 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 91 | 3.1 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.8 | 84.5 | 60.5 | 46.0 | 342 | 27.5 | 7.7 | 17.7 | 7.6 | 56.4 | 8.6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 852 | 3.6 |
| Rural | 99.2 | 69.1 | 8.7 | 15.7 | 171 | 25.1 | 3.3 | 17.4 | 5.4 | 60.6 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 997 | 2.3 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 100.0 | 71.8 | 289 | 24.8 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 8.6 | 48.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 157 | 23 |
| Copperbelt | 100.0 | 83.1 | 65.9 | 41.9 | 22.9 | 30.2 | 9.0 | 15.9 | 11.1 | 57.6 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 396 | 35 |
| Eastern | 100.0 | 90.7 | 5.2 | 12.5 | 7.7 | 17.7 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 48.8 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 254 | 20 |
| Luapula | 99.5 | 84.7 | 16.0 | 18.5 | 42.5 | 33.7 | 5.6 | 19.4 | 1.0 | 62.7 | 3.0 | 10 | 0.5 | 151 | 2.9 |
| Lusaka | 99.2 | 86.5 | 69.0 | 59.3 | 53.8 | 30.7 | 6.1 | 18.5 | 4.7 | 49.4 | 11.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 316 | 3.9 |
| Northern | 98.4 | 74.7 | 7.3 | 28.6 | 27.8 | 22.1 | 1.2 | 37.3 | 5.1 | 72.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 221 | 2.9 |
| North-Western | 97.8 | 80.8 | 11.9 | 8.0 | 16.1 | 26.4 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 13.4 | 72.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48 | 2.4 |
| Southern | 99.0 | 41.9 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 15.4 | 398 | 12.6 | 20.4 | 8.9 | 70.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 173 | 2.3 |
| Western | 100.0 | 44.2 | 4.9 | 10.5 | 5.6 | 21.0 | 2.1 | 19.3 | 2.5 | 67.2 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 82 | 132 | 1.9 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 985 | 67.0 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 17.1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 127 | 1.9 |
| Primary | 99.2 | 70.1 | 17.2 | 16.3 | 17.9 | 25.1 | 4.7 | 16.5 | 4.8 | 66.0 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 30 | 938 | 2.5 |
| Secondary | 99.9 | 84.7 | 52.9 | 47.4 | 34.4 | 29.5 | 6.2 | 22.2 | 8.8 | 49.5 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 686 | 3.5 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 86.5 | 68.4 | 64.3 | 50.0 | 25.9 | 8.9 | 13.7 | 12.2 | 39.4 | 14.6 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 98 | 3.9 |
| Total | 99.5 | 76.2 | 32.6 | 29.7 | 250 | 26.2 | 5.3 | 17.5 | 6.4 | 58.6 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 1.849 | 29 |
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## Table 11.7.1 Knowledge of ways to avoid AIDS: women

Percentage of women who have heard of AIDS and who know of specific ways to avoid AIDS and percentage with misinformation, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

|  |  | Ways to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage with misinformation ${ }^{1}$ | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | No way to avoid AIDS | Abstain from sex | Use condoms | Have only one sexual partner | Avoid sex with prostitutes | Avoid homosexuals | Avoid transfusions | Avoid injectons | Avord kıssing | Avord mosquito bites | Avoid traditional healers | Other ways' | Don't know any way |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.9 | 35.4 | 35.0 | 34.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 22.6 | 5.5 | 1,989 |
| 20-24 | 8.6 | 26.6 | 42.8 | 49.3 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 14.3 | 5.7 | 1,826 |
| 25-29 | 7.9 | 23.7 | 46.1 | 55.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 7.4 | 0.2 | 01 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 13.3 | 5.2 | 1,282 |
| 30-39 | 7.9 | 26.4 | 38.9 | 56.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 12.9 | 5.4 | 1,834 |
| 40-49 | 7.9 | 29.1 | 27.3 | 53.9 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 17.2 | 5.4 | 1,055 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 8.6 | 38.6 | 40.6 | 34.6 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 19.1 | 6.1 | 2,014 |
| Currently married | 8.4 | 23.6 | 36.3 | 55.5 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 15.2 | 5.3 | 4,888 |
| Formerly married | 9.3 | 32.6 | 44.2 | 44.4 | 37 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 15.8 | 5.2 | 1,081 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.1 | 32.5 | 50.3 | 50.8 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 10.1 | 4.6 | 3,599 |
| Rural | 10.5 | 25.4 | 28.7 | 47.0 | 38 | 00 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 21.3 | 6.1 | 4,386 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 17.1 | 17.6 | 34.8 | 33.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 27.8 | 3.7 | 651 |
| Copperbelt | 4.8 | 28.7 | 47.7 | 47.9 | 2.3 | 01 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 5.5 | 1,588 |
| Eastern | 10.3 | 13.9 | 21.5 | 45.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 29.0 | 2.5 | 1,059 |
| Luapula | 15.7 | 37.3 | 33.1 | 31.6 | 0.7 | 00 | 3.2 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 25.7 | 7.6 | 725 |
| Lusaka | 5.3 | 36.3 | 54.0 | 57.9 | 8.6 | 0.1 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 9.7 | 2.6 | 1,400 |
| Northern | 3.0 | 53.0 | 18.9 | 55.9 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 868 |
| North-Western | 9.1 | 14.1 | 329 | 81.1 | 24.6 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 11.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 29.0 | 9.1 | 31.1 | 285 |
| Southern | 7.6 | 22.0 | 48.1 | 55.4 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 12.7 | 3.5 | 813 |
| Western | 14.1 | 18.2 | 358 | 38.1 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 33 | 27.2 | 3.8 | 596 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 13.8 | 21.4 | 20.3 | 45.6 | 4.4 | 01 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 28.1 | 4.7 | 1,047 |
| Pnmary | 9.4 | 27.4 | 349 | 46.9 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 44 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 18.4 | 4.8 | 4,707 |
| Secondary | 4.6 | 34.1 | 537 | 51.3 | 4.3 | 01 | 5.9 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 2,005 |
| Higher | 1.7 | 38.9 | 62.0 | 77.5 | 4.6 | 00 | 22.5 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.2 | 12.] | 2.2 | 12.9 | 226 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 8.6 | 28.6 | 384 | 48.7 | 4.2 | 01 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 16.3 | 5.5 | 7,986 |

[^12]
## Table 11.7.2 Knowledge of ways to avoid AIDS: men

Percentage of men who have heard of AIDS and who know of specific ways to avoid AIDS and percentage with misinformation, by selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background charactenstic | No way to avord AIDS | Abstan from sex | Use condoms | Have only one sexual partner | Ways to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage with musinformation ${ }^{3}$ | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avord sex with prostitutes | Avoid homosexuals | Avord transfusions | Avoid injections | Avord kissing | Avoid mosquito bites | Avoid traditional healers | Other ways | Don't know any way |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.7 | 43.4 | 54.5 | 29.0 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 453 |
| 20-24 | 4.0 | 44.9 | 54.6 | 42.6 | 105 | 0.2 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 1.4 | 39.7 | 48.8 | 59.3 | 105 | 0.4 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 9.2 | 3.0 | 11.1 | 254 |
| 30-39 | 2.1 | 33.1 | 49.0 | 59.3 | 15.2 | 0.3 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 87 | 3.6 | 99 | 408 |
| 40-49 | 09 | 32.9 | 41.8 | 64.4 | 12.4 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 7.5 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 203 |
| 50-59 | 0.0 | 40.4 | 26.1 | 57.8 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 7.9 | 117 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 3.2 | 47.6 | 54.7 | 31.7 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 806 |
| Currently married | 1.4 | 32.4 | 43.4 | 629 | 14.1 | 0.3 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 80 | 2.8 | 9.5 | 942 |
| Formerly married | 34 | 42.7 | 62.5 | 50.4 | 13.6 | 1.4 | 10.1 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 8.0 | 5.9 | 9.5 | 91 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uban | 13 | 42.5 | 57.8 | 547 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 12.1 | 8.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 850 |
| Rural | 32 | 370 | 42.0 | 434 | 13.6 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 8.9 | 7.6 | 95 | 990 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 72 | 288 | 58.5 | 39.4 | 0.5 | 05 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 98 | 60 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 11 | 561 | 56.5 | 43.0 | 11.6 | 07 | 58 | 6.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 8.4 | 396 |
| Eastern | 11 | 431 | 36.9 | 59.8 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 07 | 43 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 8.1 | 254 |
| Luapula | 21 | 221 | 57.5 | 65.1 | 3.6 | 00 | 82 | 72 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 3.6 | 31.3 | 150 |
| Lusaka | 05 | 237 | 60.5 | 75.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 114 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 0.5 | 7.9 | 314 |
| Northern | 0.0 | 51.6 | 27.7 | 487 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 9.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 218 |
| North-Western | 0.0 | 3.2 | 15.1 | 379 | 69.6 | 2.3 | 12.1 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 67 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 47 |
| Southem | 8.8 | 38.6 | 50.7 | 167 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 49 | 12.4 | 5.4 | 172 |
| Western | 3.1 | 47.8 | 50.9 | 17.2 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31 | 11.8 | 3.1 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.8 | 31.8 | 34.7 | 45.8 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 125 |
| Prmary | 2.7 | 38.1 | 44.5 | 43.4 | 125 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 59 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 931 |
| Secondary | 1.7 | 41.5 | 56.5 | 54.0 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 12.6 | 11.2 | 11 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 9.3 | 2.2 | 11.0 | 686 |
| Higher | 1.1 | 50.1 | 63.7 | 64.8 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 16.7 | 08 | 00 | 13 | 13.4 | 1.1 | 15.5 | 98 |
| Total | 2.3 | 39.6 | 49.3 | 48.6 | 11.2 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 0.9 | 02 | 0.7 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 90 | 1,839 |
| Includes avoiding mosquito bites, kissing, and seekıng protection from a tradıtional healer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 11.7 Perceptions of Risks of AIDS

Women's and men's knowledge of AIDS transmission, symptoms and chances for cure is similar (Tables 11.8.1 and 11.8.2). Over 80 percent of women and men know that a healthy-looking person can have the HIV virus and that AIDS is almost always fatal.

Between 10 to 19 percent of women and 4 to 11 percent of men in all age categories are ignorant of the risk of HIV transmission from healthy-looking people. Although this is a small number compared to those who do know, it is potentially consequential in AIDS control measures. Even a small percentage of the population who do not adopt behavioural change measures because of ignorance can remain a vector for infecting the larger population.

More than 90 percent of respondents realise that AIDS is incurable and over 80 percent know that it can be transmitted from mother to newborn baby. A higher percentage of women than men are aware of the latter means of transmission. Over two-thirds of respondents say they know someone who either has AIDS or has died of AIDS.

### 11.8 Perceptions of the Risk of AIDS

Respondents were asked questions to assess their perception of the risk of contracting AIDS. Men were more confident than women about avoiding AIDS ( 57 percent of men reporting no risk at all, compared to 45 percent of women) (Table 11.9). Thirty-seven percent of married women said they were not at risk of acquiring AIDS, whilst 12 percent perceived themselves to be at great risk. Rural men and those who live in Northem Province are most likely to say that they have no chance of getting AIDS. In addition, unmarried respondents and men who had sex only with their wives tend to say that their chances of getting AIDS are limited.

### 11.9 Reasons for Perceptions of AIDS Risk

Respondents were asked to explain why they think their risk of getting AIDS is nil or small, moderate or great. The results are presented in Tables 11.10.1 and 11.10.2. Limiting one's sexual partners was indicated most commonly as a reason why respondents believe themselves to be at low risk of getting AIDS. Abstaining from sex and using condoms were also cited.

Less confidence was expressed in the faithfulness of the partner, however, as 83 percent of women and 27 percent of men expressed this as a reason for their being at high risk (Table 11.10.2). Women's vulnerability to AIDS was perceived as due to the spouse being unfaithful, while men who feel themselves to be vulnerable to AIDS cite having more than one partner as the cause of their high risk.

### 11.10 AIDS Prevention Behaviour

ZDHS respondents were asked whether they had changed their behaviour in any way to avoid acquiring the AIDS virus. Results are shown in Tables 11.11.1 and 11.11.2. Twenty percent of women and 6 percent of men said they had not changed their behaviour. Both women ( 57 percent) and men ( 48 percent) indicated that they had restricted themselves to one partner. Roughly 10 percent of both women and men said they decided to maintain their virginity to avoid AIDS, while the same proportion said they stopped having sex altogether to reduce their risk. Ten percent of women and men said that they asked their spouses to be faithful. One in five men said they started using condoms, compared to 2 percent of women. Age, residence, and level of education have little effect on behavioural change. Marital status is, however an important factor. Restricting themselves to one sexual partner is the most often cited preventive measure among married persons, while among those never married, 40 percent of women and 22 percent of men said they would retain their virginity.

## Table 11.8.1 Awareness of AIDS-related health issues women

Percent distribution of women who have heard of AIDS by responses to questions on AIDS-related health issues, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Can a healthy-looking person have the AIDS virus' |  |  | Is AlDS a fatal disease? |  |  | Can AIDS be cured? |  |  | Can AIDS be transmitted from mother to child? |  |  | Do you know someone with AIDS? |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No | Don't know |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 750 | 190 | 5.9 | 84.9 | 13.4 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 93.2 | 2.0 | 82.5 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 59.0 | 38.7 | 2.4 | 1,989 |
| 20-24 | 82.7 | 13.6 | 3.7 | 87.9 | 11.3 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 96.1 | 0.9 | 90.2 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 65.1 | 33.3 | 1.5 | 1,826 |
| 25-29 | 86.3 | 10.9 | 2.8 | 87.4 | 11.5 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 95.2 | 1.3 | 91.6 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 70.8 | 27.8 | 1.4 | 1,282 |
| 30-39 | 86.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 87.3 | 11.9 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 94.9 | 1.5 | 91.8 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 71.6 | 27.1 | 1.2 | 1,834 |
| 40-49 | 80.3 | 13.0 | 6.7 | 88.0 | 10.4 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 93.6 | 2.3 | 89.7 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 72.9 | 25.5 | 1.5 | 1,055 |
| Marital duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 78.0 | 17.1 | 4.9 | 85.3 | 13.3 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 93.5 | 1.4 | 84.2 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 60.9 | 37.0 | 2.0 | 2,014 |
| Curently married | 83.2 | 12.6 | 4.2 | 87.7 | 11.3 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 95.3 | 1.6 | 90.3 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 69.4 | 29.0 | 1.5 | 4,888 |
| Formerly married | 82.6 | 11.9 | 5.5 | 86.7 | 12.0 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 93.6 | 1.9 | 90.4 | 51 | 4.1 | 67.4 | 31.0 | 1.6 | 1,081 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uban | 89.8 | 8.3 | 1.9 | 85.9 | 13.2 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 93.9 | 1.2 | 92.0 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 68.3 | 30.3 | 1.4 | 3,599 |
| Rural | 75.2 | 18.0 | 6.8 | 87.8 | 10.8 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 95.2 | 1.9 | 86.2 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 65.9 | 32.2 | 1.9 | 4,386 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 78.4 | 18.1 | 3.5 | 81.0 | 16.8 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 93.7 | 3.1 | 90.4 | 52 | 4.0 | 74.3 | 24.9 | 0.6 | 651 |
| Copperbelt | 88.9 | 8.8 | 2.3 | 81.2 | 17.8 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 93.8 | 0.9 | 91.0 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 71.0 | 27.3 | 1.5 | 1,588 |
| Eastern | 80.5 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 98.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 95.9 | 1.2 | 86.9 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 43.8 | 2.4 | 1,059 |
| Luapula | 73.0 | 24.4 | 2.6 | 95.3 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 97.9 | 0.8 | 85.8 | 10.1 | 3.8 | 82.8 | 15.2 | 2.0 | 725 |
| Lusaka | 92.8 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 89.7 | 9.3 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 94.4 | 14 | 92.3 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 60.4 | 38.4 | 1.2 | 1,400 |
| Northerm | 78.6 | 15.8 | 5.6 | 83.7 | 14.3 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 93.9 | 2.4 | 87.2 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 68.2 | 28.0 | 3.8 | 868 |
| North-Western | 66.4 | 33.6 | 0.0 | 94.2 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 94.5 | 0.0 | 95.2 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 83.7 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 285 |
| Southem | 82.7 | 12.4 | 5.0 | 814 | 17.7 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 94.6 | 1.4 | 87.4 | 7.4 | 4.7 | 57.7 | 41.9 | 0.4 | 813 |
| Western | 64.6 | 23.2 | 12.3 | 811 | 16.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 93.2 | 3.6 | 81.4 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 70.8 | 27.6 | 1.5 | 596 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 70.4 | 18.0 | 11.6 | 88.5 | 9.4 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 93.0 | 3.3 | 80.8 | 9.1 | 10.0 | 56.7 | 40.0 | 3.3 | 1,047 |
| Primary | 79.0 | 16.2 | 4.8 | 86.8 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 95.1 | 1.6 | 88.3 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 67.3 | 31.0 | 1.7 | 4,707 |
| Secondary | 92.4 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 87.1 | 12.3 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 94.2 | 08 | 93.4 | 4.3 | 19 | 70.4 | 28.7 | 0.8 | 2,005 |
| Higher | 98.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 17.9 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 94.8 | 0.5 | 96.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 79.4 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 226 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | 81.8 | 13.6 | 4.6 | 87.0 | 11.9 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 94.6 | 1.6 | 88.8 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 67.0 | 31.3 | 1.6 | 7,986 |

Note: Ftgures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding and missing data
${ }^{1}$ Total includes one woman with missing information on education.

Table 11.8.2 Awareness of AIDS-related health issues: men
Percent distribution of men who have heard of AIDS by responses to questions on AIDS-related health issues, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Can a healthy-looking person have the AIDS virus? |  |  | Is AIDS a fatal disease? |  |  | Can AIDS be cured ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Can AIDS be transmitted from mother to child? |  |  | Do you know someone with AIDS? |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No | Don't know |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 80.5 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 88.6 | 9.0 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 86.9 | 9.4 | 70.6 | 11.1 | 17.4 | 54.1 | 40.6 | 5.3 | 453 |
| 20-24 | 89.1 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 89.5 | 8.4 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 91.6 | 4.2 | 79.3 | 6.6 | 13.9 | 67.1 | 29.2 | 3.7 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 94.6 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 88.4 | 11.3 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 92.6 | 1.6 | 88.3 | 4.1 | 7.7 | 77.0 | 21.3 | 1.8 | 254 |
| 30-39 | 90.8 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 91.5 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 93.1 | 1.8 | 87.8 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 80.4 | 17.6 | 2.0 | 408 |
| 40-49 | 88.2 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 91.0 | 8.3 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 94.0 | 3.2 | 90.3 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 77.5 | 21.1 | 1.5 | 203 |
| 50-64 | 83.4 | 11.0 | 5.6 | 92.7 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 6.5 | 86.0 | 6.2 | 79.1 | 7.7 | 13.2 | 72.0 | 26.0 | 2.0 | 117 |
| Marital duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 83.5 | 8.9 | 7.6 | 89.7 | 8.5 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 88.1 | 7.5 | 74.3 | 8.9 | 16.1 | 59.5 | 36.0 | 4.5 | 806 |
| Currently married | 91.4 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 90.2 | 9.0 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 93.9 | 2.0 | 87.9 | 4.6 | 7.2 | 77.1 | 20.9 | 2.0 | 942 |
| Formerly married | 86.2 | 8.6 | 5.2 | 89.2 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 83.6 | 5.0 | 78.4 | 8.7 | 13.0 | 83.5 | 14.8 | 1.6 | 91 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.5 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 87.9 | 11.8 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 93.6 | 1.4 | 91.4 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 67.4 | 29.5 | 3.1 | 850 |
| Rural | 84.3 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 91.7 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 88.4 | 7.3 | 72.9 | 8.6 | 17.9 | 71.6 | 25.3 | 3.1 | 990 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 88.8 | 8.6 | 2.6 | 93.3 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 91.1 | 6.8 | 90.9 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 73.8 | 25.0 | 1.2 | 157 |
| Coppertelt | 91.3 | 7.7 | 1.0 | 78.7 | 19.6 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 91.0 | 0.3 | 92.8 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 72.6 | 23.8 | 3.6 | 396 |
| Eastern | 92.4 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 98.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 97.5 | 0.7 | 88.9 | 7.2 | 2.9 | 74.9 | 23.3 | 1.8 | 254 |
| Luapula | 86.2 | 9.7 | 4.1 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 95.4 | 2.5 | 84.7 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 81.5 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 150 |
| Lusaka | 91.8 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 95.0 | 1.4 | 93.0 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 54.6 | 43.5 | 1.9 | 314 |
| Northern | 75.0 | 6.6 | 18.4 | 92.5 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 77.2 | 20.0 | 63.2 | 3.7 | 32.0 | 67.7 | 30.4 | 2.0 | 218 |
| North-Western | 98.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 97.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 62.7 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 47 |
| Southern | 94.1 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 90.3 | 9.2 | 0.5 | 8.6 | 87.1 | 4.3 | 59.8 | 7.6 | 32.6 | 74.8 | 19.6 | 5.6 | 172 |
| Western | 66.7 | 19.9 | 13.4 | 84.4 | 11.6 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 85.8 | 8.6 | 43.6 | 28.1 | 27.3 | 67.3 | 30.1 | 2.6 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 74.4 | 15.0 | 10.5 | 93.3 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 91.1 | 5.6 | 70.4 | 12.5 | 16.4 | 53.1 | 43.2 | 3.7 | 125 |
| Primary | 84.5 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 90.3 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 89.1 | 6.5 | 74.3 | 8.6 | 16.4 | 68.7 | 27.9 | 3.5 | 931 |
| Secondary | 93.6 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 89.1 | 10.2 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 93.0 | 2.1 | 91.6 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 71.7 | 25.6 | 2.6 | 686 |
| Higher | 93.5 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 87.7 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 91.2 | 2.1 | 93.6 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 85.7 | 12.1 | 2.2 | 98 |
| Total | 87.7 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 89.9 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 90.8 | 4.6 | 81.5 | 6.7 | 11.4 | 69.7 | 27.2 | 3.1 | 1,839 |

Table 11.9 Perception of the risk of getting AIDS
Percent distribution of women and men who know about AIDS by their perception of the risk of getting AIDS, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Perceived risk of getting AIDS: women |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women | Perceived risk of getting AIDS: men |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No risk at all | Small | Moderate | Great | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Has } \\ & \text { AlDS } \end{aligned}$ | Don't know | Total |  | No risk at all | Small | Moderate | Great | Don't know |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 64.4 | 22.0 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,989 | 69.7 | 22.2 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 453 |
| 20-24 | 40.5 | 26.1 | 24.0 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,826 | 57.0 | 29.5 | 9.0 | 46 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 37.9 | 26.3 | 25.3 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,282 | 44.7 | 35.9 | 13.3 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 254 |
| 30-39 | 34.9 | 24.5 | 27.8 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,834 | 52.4 | 33.9 | 10.9 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 408 |
| 40-49 | 43.9 | 22.8 | 22.1 | 11.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,055 | 50.0 | 32.6 | 15.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 203 |
| 50-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 64.4 | 26.2 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 65.3 | 22.9 | 8.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,014 | 644 | 25.7 | 70 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 806 |
| Currently married | 36.9 | 24.4 | 26.8 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4,888 | 52.0 | 33.2 | 12.0 | 2.7 | 01 | 100.0 | 942 |
| Formerly married | 45.7 | 26.5 | 18.8 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,081 | 44.9 | 29.7 | 113 | 14.2 | 00 | 100.0 | 91 |
| No. of sexual partners other than spouse in last 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 46.3 | 23.6 | 20.6 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6,679 | 64.7 | 27.8 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,110 |
| 1 | 41.6 | 30.0 | 20.8 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,027 | 48.5 | 35.7 | 11.0 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 363 |
| $2-3$ | 30.4 | 19.0 | 35.4 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 201 | 45.1 | 30.8 | 18.3 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 246 |
| 4+ | (30.6) | (13.7) | (32.7) | (23.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 29 | 35.0 | 28.0 | 24.2 | 12.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 46.9 | 27.0 | 19.3 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3,599 | 49.6 | 34.5 | 11.6 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 850 |
| Rural | 43.8 | 22.1 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4,386 | 63.6 | 25.6 | 8.2 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 1000 | 990 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 51.2 | 13.0 | 29.0 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 651 | 50.5 | 35.5 | 9.8 | 4.2 | 00 | 100.0 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 58.5 | 10.7 | 27.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,588 | 59.4 | 21.7 | 15.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 396 |
| Eastern | 568 | 11.9 | 22.8 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,059 | 58.2 | 35.5 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 254 |
| Luapula | 41.6 | 27.4 | 7.0 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 725 | 60.0 | 36.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Lusaka | 36.2 | 46.7 | 10.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,400 | 38.1 | 49.7 | 9.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 314 |
| Northern | 41.4 | 27.5 | 19.0 | 11.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 868 | 88.3 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1000 | 218 |
| North-Western | 28.2 | 32.0 | 33.3 | 66 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 285 | 69.0 | 20.5 | 9.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1000 | 47 |
| Southern | 38.3 | 29.8 | 21.5 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 813 | 36.9 | 39.1 | 18.5 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 1000 | 172 |
| Western | 31.5 | 22.8 | 29.6 | 15.8 | 0.1 | 01 | 100.0 | 596 | 68.4 | 10.7 | 12.9 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 45.9 | 228 | 20.2 | 111 | 0.0 | 00 | 100.0 | 1,047 | 52.4 | 34.7 | 7.3 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 125 |
| Primary | 44.3 | 23.4 | 22.2 | 10.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4,707 | 61.9 | 27.2 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 931 |
| Secondary | 49.6 | 25.1 | 18.6 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,005 | 55.2 | 30.4 | 11.0 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 1000 | 686 |
| Higher | 23.4 | 44.0 | 22.1 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 226 | 31.3 | 41.7 | 22.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 1000 | 98 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 45.2 | 24.3 | 21.1 | 9.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7,986 | 57.1 | 29.7 | 98 | 33 | 0.1 | 1000 | 1,839 |

[^13]
## Table 1 1.10.1_Reason for perception of small/no risk of petting AIDS

Among women and men who think they have small or no risk of getting AIDS, the percentage giving specific reasons for that perception, by marital status, Zambia 1996

| Marital status | Abstain from sex | Use condoms | One sex partner/ limit partners | Spouse has no other partner | Avoid prostititutes | No homosexual contact | No blood transfusions | No injections | Other | Number of men/ women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 68.8 | 6.2 | 25.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 1,776 |
| Currently married | 1.7 | 1.4 | 92.7 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 2,995 |
| Formerly married | 67.7 | 6.8 | 26.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 780 |
| Total | 32.4 | 3.7 | 61.8 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 31 | 5,552 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 53.8 | 24.0 | 34.2 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 726 |
| Currently marned | 5.3 | 8.2 | 93.3 | 13.9 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 802 |
| Formerly married | 36.8 | 16.7 | 48.6 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 9.8 | 68 |
| Total | 28.7 | 15.7 | 64.5 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 1,597 |

## Table ل1.10.2 Reason for perception of moderate/great risk of petting AIDS

Among women and men who think they have moderate or great risk of getting AIDS, the percentage giving specific reasons for that perception, by marital status, Zambia 1996

| Marital status | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't } \\ \text { use } \\ \text { condom } \end{gathered}$ | Multiple sex partners | Spouse has multiple partners | Have sex with prostitutes | Had blood transfusion | $\underset{\text { injections }}{\text { Had }}$ | Other | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 14.5 | 22.1 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 12.4 | 236 |
| Currently married | 5.2 | 5.5 | 91.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1,893 |
| Formerly married | 15.7 | 21.6 | 55.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 14.8 | 297 |
| Total | 7.4 | 9.1 | 83.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 2,426 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 29.5 | 60.9 | 17.9 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 7.8 | 78 |
| Currently married | 8.5 | 61.4 | 31.8 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 10.8 | 6.9 | 139 |
| Formerly married | * | . | * | * | . | * | * | 23 |
| Total | 15.1 | 60.6 | 27.4 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 240 |

[^14]
## Table 1111 AIDS prevention behaviour: women

Percentage of women who have heard of AIDS by changes in behaviour in order to avoid AIDS, according to perception of AIDS risk and selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | No change in behaviour | Change in behaviour to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numberofwomen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kept } \\ \text { virginity } \end{gathered}$ | Stopped sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Began } \\ \text { using } \\ \text { condoms } \end{gathered}$ | Restricted to one partner | Fewer partners | Asked spouse to be fathful | Other sexual behaviour | Nonsexual behaviour |  |
| Perception of AIDS risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smal/no nsk | 19.8 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 2.3 | 51.9 | 1.6 | 8.1 | 0.2 | 21.3 | 4,796 |
| Moderate risk | 21.0 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 67.5 | 3.8 | 15.3 | 0.4 | 22.2 | 1,489 |
| Great risk/has AIDS | 23.7 | 0.7 | 7.8 | 2.8 | 61.2 | 4.6 | 11.2 | 0.2 | 24.5 | 658 |
| Among those who do not believe AIDS always fatal. or don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small/no risk | 15.8 | 17.3 | 11.9 | 3.0 | 55.1 | 1.5 | 8.7 | 0.4 | 17.1 | 755 |
| Moderate risk | 17.8 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 67.7 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 192 |
| Great risk/has AIDS | 14.7 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 75.5 | 9.6 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 93 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 19.2 | 35.6 | 9.5 | 2.7 | 34.8 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 20.9 | 1,989 |
| 20-24 | 18.2 | 4.9 | 10.2 | 3.2 | 62.2 | 2.7 | 11.8 | 0.3 | 19.2 | 1,826 |
| 25-29 | 18.8 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 2.8 | 67.0 | 2.8 | 12.7 | 0.4 | 20.3 | 1,282 |
| 30-39 | 20.2 | 0.3 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 66.2 | 2.3 | 13.4 | 0.3 | 21.5 | 1,834 |
| 40-49 | 24.5 | 0.2 | 14.6 | 1.2 | 58.1 | 1.6 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 25.7 | 1,055 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 17.2 | 40.0 | 16.5 | 4.5 | 25.0 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 18.9 | 2,014 |
| Currently married | 21.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 73.5 | 1.6 | 15.3 | 0.2 | 22.8 | 4.888 |
| Formerly married | 16.4 | 0.4 | 39.3 | 5.3 | 38.4 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 18.2 | 1,081 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.0 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 3.8 | 54.7 | 2.9 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 15.4 | 3,599 |
| Rural | 24.7 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 58.0 | 2.2 | 9.5 | 0.2 | 25.9 | 4,386 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 14.9 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 2.3 | 66.1 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 16.3 | 651 |
| Copperbelt | 12.2 | 13.4 | 12.6 | 3.8 | 54.6 | 4.1 | 12.5 | 0.4 | 14.1 | 1,588 |
| Eastern | 56.1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 34.2 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 1,059 |
| Luapula | 9.7 | 15.0 | 10.3 | 0.6 | 68.7 | 0.8 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 725 |
| Lusaka | 15.0 | 13.6 | 11.4 | 4.0 | 53.8 | 1.2 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 1,400 |
| Northern | 13.1 | 12.2 | 15.8 | 1.2 | 56.1 | 1.8 | 18.0 | 0.4 | 14.3 | 868 |
| North-Western | 17.0 | 4.6 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 68.3 | 7.4 | 48.6 | 0.9 | 23.4 | 285 |
| Southern | 17.1 | 7.5 | 6.0 | 1.6 | 67.1 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 17.3 | 813 |
| Western | 20.5 | 4.8 | 8.4 | 4.1 | 62.9 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 21.5 | 596 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 31.9 | 3.5 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 54.9 | 1.6 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 32.6 | 1,047 |
| Primary | 20.5 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 1.8 | 58.5 | 2.5 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 21.4 | 4,707 |
| Secondary | 12.3 | 16.6 | 13.6 | 4.1 | 52.4 | 3.1 | 10.2 | 0.3 | 14.6 | 2,005 |
| Higher | 19.4 | 5.8 | 14.7 | 9.0 | 59.8 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 0.6 | 22.7 | 226 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 19.9 | 10.2 | 9.9 | 2.4 | 56.5 | 2.5 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 21.2 | 7.986 |

[^15]
## Table 11,112_AIDS prevention behaviour men

Percentage of men who have heard of AIDS by changes in behaviour in order to avoid AIDS, according to perception of AIDS risk and selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | No change in behaviour | Change in behaviour to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kept } \\ \text { virginity } \end{gathered}$ | Stopped sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Began } \\ \text { using } \\ \text { condoms } \end{gathered}$ | Restricted to one partner | Fewer partners | Asked spouse to be faithful | Avoid sex with prostititutes | Other <br> sexual behaviour | Nonsexual behaviour |  |
| Perception of AIDS risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Among those who believe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIDS always fatal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small/no risk | 5.0 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 18.0 | 51.3 | 16.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 4.4 | 20.1 | 1,447 |
| Moderate risk | 6.7 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 33.0 | 40.9 | 38.1 | 6.1 | 16.7 | 4.9 | 16.4 | 152 |
| Great risk/has AIDS | 5.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 29.4 | 34.2 | 36.6 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 21.7 | 53 |
| Among those who do not believe AIDS always fatal, or don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small/no risk | 13.8 | 12.3 | 8.4 | 11.2 | 39.6 | 14.8 | 7.3 | 12.9 | 8.4 | 14.7 | 149 |
| Moderate risk | 7.1 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 44.3 | 14.1 | 49.8 | 13.5 | 9.7 | 3.0 | 11.1 | 28 |
| Great nsk/has AIDS | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 7 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.3 | 29.9 | 20.2 | 17.6 | 18.0 | 16.3 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 17.9 | 453 |
| 20-24 | 4.6 | 10.0 | 12.7 | 28.6 | 38.1 | 25.3 | 5.7 | 10.5 | 3.9 | 19.3 | 404 |
| 25-29 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 6.7 | 28.6 | 58.0 | 26.2 | 11.7 | 10.5 | 5.8 | 18.5 | 254 |
| 30-39 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 16.5 | 69.5 | 17.0 | 15.0 | 15.2 | 6.0 | 22.7 | 408 |
| 40-49 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 8.8 | 68.9 | 18.6 | 20.4 | 12.4 | 5.3 | 16.9 | 203 |
| 50-64 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 70.2 | 7.2 | 19.5 | 17.8 | 2.1 | 18.5 | 117 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 6.2 | 22.3 | 20.2 | 25.0 | 21.6 | 20.6 | 1.6 | 7.6 | 3.7 | 16.8 | 806 |
| Currently married | 6.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 13.4 | 71.8 | 17.7 | 17.9 | 14.1 | 5.5 | 22.1 | 942 |
| Formerly married | 2.3 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 34.3 | 41.0 | 27.1 | 1.4 | 13.6 | 4.2 | 12.6 | 91 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.8 | 8.3 | 14.8 | 23.5 | 48.5 | 17.0 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 12.3 | 850 |
| Rural | 5.1 | 11.0 | 7.3 | 16.0 | 48.2 | 21.5 | 9.7 | 13.6 | 3.7 | 25.3 | 990 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 10.6 | 1.5 | 12.3 | 23.2 | 38.2 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 12.4 | 157 |
| Copperbelt | 8.5 | 7.6 | 20.7 | 19.3 | 46.3 | 18.6 | 4.4 | 11.6 | 8.9 | 12.9 | 396 |
| Eastern | 1.8 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 21.3 | 51.6 | 31.1 | 1.1 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 58.6 | 254 |
| Luapula | 2.0 | 9.2 | 10.3 | 20.0 | 62.0 | 19.5 | 8.8 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 9.7 | 150 |
| Lusaka | 4.7 | 10.8 | 8.3 | 29.7 | 56.3 | 15.5 | 18.8 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 314 |
| Northern | 1.0 | 27.8 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 45.6 | 17.4 | 21.2 | 13.1 | 3.0 | 14.4 | 218 |
| North-Western | 0.0 | 14.2 | 3.5 | 8.6 | 71.1 | 4.2 | 19.3 | 69.6 | 2.1 | 26.0 | 47 |
| Southern | 2.2 | 10.5 | 5.2 | 22.9 | 35.5 | 23.1 | 9.5 | 33.0 | 2.2 | 17.8 | 172 |
| Western | 22.1 | 0.5 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 38.3 | 18.4 | 14.2 | 11.3 | 1.0 | 19.9 | 132 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.2 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 15.9 | 43.6 | 26.1 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 2.1 | 31.3 | 125 |
| Primary | 5.6 | 13.5 | 8.7 | 15.7 | 46.2 | 20.3 | 9.6 | 12.5 | 3.2 | 20.1 | 931 |
| Secondary | 4.3 | 6.5 | 14.7 | 24.5 | 50.6 | 17.9 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 7.0 | 16.0 | 686 |
| Higher | 14.0 | 1.5 | 9.7 | 24.5 | 58.4 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 19.8 | 98 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 5.9 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 19.5 | 48.3 | 19.4 | 9.9 | 11.2 | 4.7 | 19.3 | 1,839 |

[^16]Data regarding knowledge of condoms as a disease-prevention measure are presented in Table 11.12. Knowledge of condoms by women and men is nearly universal. Women are more likely to obtain information about condoms through public sources ( 54 percent) than men ( 38 percent). Over 25 percent of women and 17 percent of men had knowledge of condoms but could not identify a source for them.

ZDHS respondents who had had sexual intercourse in the 12 months preceding the survey were asked if they had used a condom for family planning, for disease prevention, or both and whether they had used a condom the last time they had sex (Tables 11.3.1 and 11.13.2). The results show that condoms are used both for family planning and as a prophylactic against disease. More men ( 46 percent) had used condoms as a form of family planning than women ( 21 percent). This suggests that men may use condoms with partners apart from their spouse to avoid unwanted pregnancies as well as STDs. However, when asked if a condom was used during the last intercourse, less than 5 percent of women and 6 percent of men stated that they used it. It is interesting to note that women and men are more likely to have used condoms with their spouses than with other partners.

## Table 11.12 Knowledge of condoms

Among women and men who have heard of AIDS and who have had sexual intercourse, the percentage who know about condoms and the percent distribution by knowledge of a source for condoms, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Know about condoms ${ }^{1}$ | Source for condoms: women |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of wormen | Know about condoms | Source for condoms: men |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public sector | Private medical sector | Private pharmacy | Other source | Don't know a source/ missing |  |  |  | Public sector | Private medical sector | Private pharmacy | Other source | Don't know a source/ mussing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 94.2 | 41.3 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 17.0 | 33.0 | 100.0 | 1,162 | 99.1 | 32.4 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 42.4 | 18.4 | 100.0 | 301 |
| 20-24 | 97.6 | 55.9 | 10.0 | 1.9 | 12.0 | 20.2 | 100.0 | 1,732 | 1000 | 42.2 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 35.1 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 361 |
| 25-29 | 98.6 | 60.9 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 16.6 | 100.0 | 1,275 | 99.5 | 45.9 | 8.0 | 4.4 | 34.7 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 253 |
| 30-39 | 97.2 | 58.9 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 8.4 | 22.0 | 100.0 | 1,829 | 99.5 | 38.1 | 10.6 | 5.1 | 31.4 | 14.7 | 100.0 | 405 |
| 40-49 | 89.8 | 45.0 | 6.9 | 1.4 | 6.6 | 40.1 | 100.0 | 1,055 | 98.7 | 37.9 | 12.3 | 5.2 | 21.2 | 23.3 | 100.0 | 203 |
| 50-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 100.0 |  | 96.0 | 24.9 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 16.9 | 45.0 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 95.3 | 42.6 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 19.9 | 27.5 | 100.0 | 1,081 | 99.4 | 32.1 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 43.1 | 14.7 | 100.0 | 607 |
| Currently married | 96.1 | 55.9 | 9.8 | 1.3 | 8.7 | 24.2 | 100.0 | 4,888 | 99.1 | 42.2 | 10.3 | 4.4 | 25.1 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 942 |
| Formerly married | 95.6 | 53.7 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 11.0 | 26.7 | 100.0 | 1,081 | 99.1 | 37.7 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 37.3 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 91 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.3 | 51.8 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 17.1 | 20.5 | 100.0 | 3,069 | 99.8 | 25.9 | 5.9 | 9.3 | 48.2 | 10.6 | 100.0 | 767 |
| Rural | 94.1 | 54.9 | 10.0 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 3,984 | 98.6 | 49.0 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 18.6 | 21.8 | 100.0 | 873 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 97.9 | 52.7 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 11.0 | 28.1 | 100.0 | 591 | 100.0 | 30.0 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 44.7 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Copperbelt | 98.0 | 36.8 | 13.5 | 2.0 | 22.4 | 25.2 | 100.0 | 1,356 | 100.0 | 22.3 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 41.3 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 357 |
| Eastern | 90.6 | 52.7 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 34.2 | 100.0 | 973 | 98.5 | 64.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 13.7 | 100.0 | 240 |
| Luapula | 96.3 | 53.2 | 16.7 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 24.6 | 100.0 | 614 | 100.0 | 48.5 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 25.6 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Lusaka | 97.8 | 60.6 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 9.6 | 21.7 | 100.0 | 1,196 | 99.5 | 26.5 | 1.1 | 7.5 | 54.5 | 10.4 | 100.0 | 277 |
| Northern | 95.0 | 52.8 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 32.7 | 100.0 | 754 | 98.5 | 40.1 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 14.1 | 37.8 | 100.0 | 156 |
| North-Western | 96.1 | 61.2 | 18.6 | 10 | 4.2 | 15.0 | 100.0 | 266 | 100.0 | 79.8 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 40 |
| Southern | 96.3 | 58.4 | 12.3 | 1.1 | 9.0 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 744 | 98.4 | 38.6 | 14.8 | 3.1 | 24.1 | 19.4 | 100.0 | 154 |
| Western | 94.5 | 72.6 | 5.9 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 16.1 | 100.0 | 558 | 97.4 | 42.0 | 12.0 | 1.0 | 16.7 | 28.2 | 100.0 | 130 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 87.1 | 43.6 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 44.0 | 100.0 | 1,001 | 95.8 | 38.4 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 15.7 | 36.9 | 100.0 | 116 |
| Primary | 96.4 | 54.3 | 8.3 | 1.0 | 9.8 | 26.5 | 100.0 | 4,203 | 991 | 42.0 | 80 | 1.7 | 26.0 | 223 | 100.0 | 794 |
| Secondary | 99.7 | 56.6 | 10.7 | 3.6 | 16.2 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 1,635 | 99.8 | 33.9 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 42.0 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 635 |
| Higher | 99.4 | 61.0 | 11.7 | 7.5 | 16.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 213 | 100.0 | 35.3 | 11.4 | 6.5 | 42.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 95 |
| Total | 95.9 | 53.5 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 10.8 | 25.1 | 100.0 | 7,052 | 99.2 | 382 | 8.3 | 4.5 | 32.5 | 16.6 | 100.0 | 1,640 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes knowledge of condoms for either family planning or disease prevention

## Table 11.13.1 Use of condoms: women

Among women who had sexual intercourse in the 12 months preceding the survey, the percentage who have ever used condoms for family planning or to avoid STDs, and the percentage who used a condom during last sexual intercourse with a spouse/partner in the four weeks preceding the survey, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Ever used condom for: |  |  |  | Used condom during last sexual intercourse with: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Family planning | $\begin{gathered} \text { To } \\ \text { avoid } \\ \text { STDs } \end{gathered}$ | Either reason | Number of women | Spouse | Number of women | Other | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { partner } \end{gathered}$ | Number of women |
| Perception of AIDS risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Among those who believe AIDS always fatal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small/no risk | 20.2 | 15.8 | 26.6 | 3,244 | 4.9 | 2,502 | 1.4 | 767 | 4.1 | 3,244 |
| Moderate risk | 24.4 | 18.8 | 30.9 | 1,366 | 5.5 | 1,138 | 3.2 | 256 | 5.2 | 1,366 |
| Great risk/has AIDS | 16.2 | 13.4 | 22.0 | 581 | 5.0 | 487 | 2.0 | 104 | 4.5 | 581 |
| Among those who do not believe AIDS always fatal, or don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small/no risk | 18.7 | 16.7 | 26.0 | 488 | 6.5 | 374 | 1.1 | 117 | 5.3 | 488 |
| Moderate risk | 22.8 | 18.1 | 31.2 | 179 | 3.1 | 139 | (4.3) | 47 | 3.5 | 179 |
| Great risk/has AIDS | 17.8 | 14.5 | 23.0 | 83 | 3.0 | 69 | * | 16 | 5.4 | 83 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 21.9 | 23.1 | 30.5 | 954 | 7.6 | 487 | 0.8 | 474 | 4.1 | 954 |
| 20-24 | 28.0 | 21.1 | 36.2 | 1,459 | 7.0 | 1,165 | 2.4 | 318 | 6.1 | 1,459 |
| 25-29 | 27.1 | 17.9 | 32.7 | 1,121 | 4.8 | 939 | 2.4 | 198 | 4.4 | 1,121 |
| 30-39 | 16.5 | 12.6 | 22.6 | 1,577 | 5.2 | 1,380 | 2.9 | 215 | 5.0 | 1,577 |
| 40-49 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 830 | 0.7 | 738 | 4.7 | 102 | 1.2 | 830 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 29.5 | 38.6 | 43.3 | 717 | * | 0 | 0.0 | 717 | 0.0 | 717 |
| Currently married | 19.0 | 11.7 | 23.9 | 4,711 | 5.1 | 4,709 | 35.6 | 76 | 5.6 | 4,711 |
| Formerly married | 23.9 | 28.5 | 34.7 | 513 | * | 0 | 0.0 | 513 | 0.0 | 513 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 28.7 | 22.7 | 36.6 | 2,549 | 6.9 | 1,921 | 2.6 | 658 | 5.8 | 2,549 |
| Rural | 14.6 | 11.7 | 20.1 | 3,392 | 3.8 | 2,788 | 1.6 | 649 | 3.5 | 3,392 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 23.7 | 16.0 | 29.5 | 515 | 5.5 | 412 | 4.2 | 116 | 5.1 | 515 |
| Copperbelt | 25.5 | 18.6 | 32.4 | 1,132 | 5.6 | 888 | 3.8 | 263 | 5.3 | 1,132 |
| Eastern | 17.9 | 16.9 | 25.6 | 847 | 4.2 | 724 | 2.2 | 129 | 3.9 | 847 |
| Luapula | 5.0 | 7.3 | 10.5 | 522 | 2.5 | 445 | 1.0 | 81 | 2.3 | 522 |
| Lusaka | 28.6 | 23.3 | 36.2 | 1,000 | 7.8 | 779 | 1.2 | 231 | 6.4 | 1,000 |
| Northern | 18.8 | 6.0 | 22.1 | 585 | 4.5 | 497 | 0.0 | 88 | 3.8 | 585 |
| North-Western | 17.0 | 8.0 | 19.0 | 219 | 4.4 | 182 | 0.0 | 41 | 3.7 | 219 |
| Southern | 18.1 | 18.6 | 27.8 | 670 | 5.2 | 505 | 1.8 | 172 | 4.4 | 670 |
| Western | 18.7 | 19.8 | 23.2 | 452 | 3.3 | 277 | 1.5 | 185 | 2.6 | 452 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.3 | 7.2 | 11.7 | 847 | 2.2 | 751 | 2.1 | 108 | 2.2 | 847 |
| Primary | 16.8 | 13.4 | 23.0 | 3,606 | 4.3 | 2,932 | 2.7 | 722 | 4.0 | 3,606 |
| Secondary | 35.9 | 28.0 | 448 | 1,315 | 9.4 | 907 | 0.9 | 420 | 6.7 | 1,315 |
| Higher | 50.7 | 35.0 | 55.2 | 173 | 11.1 | 119 | 2.4 | 55 | 8.4 | 173 |
| Change in sexual behaviour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No change | 16.0 | 7.6 | 18.9 | 1,238 | 3.6 | 1,024 | 2.7 | 228 | 3.5 | 1,238 |
| Kept virginity | 13.2 | 27.5 | * | 5 | * | 2 | * | 3 | * | 5 |
| Stopped sex | 16.7 | 22.5 | 27.7 | 191 | (8.6) | 27 | 0.8 | 166 | 1.9 | 191 |
| Began using condoms 77.5 100.0 100.0 170 $(41.1)$ 42 3.6 133 13.0 170 <br> Restricted self to 2.1          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fewer partners | 25.3 | 38.1 | 44.5 | 182 | 2.8 | 75 | 5.4 | 131 | 4.4 | 182 |
| Asked spouse to be faithful | 23.6 | 8.3 | 26.1 | 750 | 5.2 | 718 | (1.9) | 37 | 5.1 | 750 |
| Other sexual behaviour | 25.9 | 27.6 | * | 10 | * | 9 | * | 1 | * | 10 |
| Non-sexual behaviour | 16.2 | 7.7 | 19.2 | 1,301 | 3.7 | 1,072 | 2.5 | 245 | 3.5 | 1,301 |
| Total | 20.7 | 16.4 | 27.2 | 5,941 | 5.1 | 4,709 | 2.1 | 1,307 | 4.5 | 5,941 |

[^17]Among men who had sexual intercourse in the 12 months preceding the survey, the percentage who have ever used condoms for family planning or to avoid STDs, and the percentage who used a condom during last sexual intercourse with a spouse/pariner in the four weeks preceding the survey, according to selected background characteristics, Zambia 1996

| Background characteristic | Ever used condom for: |  |  |  | Used condom during last sexual intercourse with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Family planning | To avoid STDs | Either reason | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { men }\end{array}$ | Spouse | Number of men | Regular partner | Number of men | Someone else | Number of men | Any partner | Number of men |
| Perception of AIDS risk Among those who believe AIDS always fatal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smal/no risk | 44.3 | 46.8 | 53.1 | 1,117 | 7.2 | 694 | 2.7 | 149 | 3.4 | 274 | 5.7 | 1,117 |
| Moderate risk | 56.3 | 58.6 | 63.6 | 149 | 13.8 | 80 | 6.9 | 30 | 6.3 | 39 | 10.5 | 149 |
| Great risk/has AIDS | 46.2 | 60.5 | 63.0 | 52 | * | 20 | * | 21 | * | 12 | 1.3 | 52 |
| Among those who do not believe AIDS always fatal, or don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small/no risk | 42.4 | 39.5 | 51.0 | 113 | 12.0 | 69 | 9.7 | 19 | * | 24 | 9.0 | 113 |
| Moderate risk | 68.0 | 66.9 | 75.7 | 28 | 12.0 | 18 | 0.0 | 6 | * | 4 | . | 28 |
| Great risk/has AIDS | 80.5 | 91.2 | * | 7 | * | 2 | 0.0 |  | * | 3 | * | 7 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 44.0 | 50.1 | 51.2 | 231 | * | 5 | 0.0 | 68 | 0.0 | 158 | 0.0 | 231 |
| 20-24 | 61.0 | 65.3 | 69.9 | 314 | 17.5 | 103 | 0.8 | 101 | 1.3 | 110 | 6.4 | 314 |
| 25-29 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 67.7 | 243 | 7.3 | 163 | (5.8) | 33 | (9.2) | 48 | 7.5 | 243 |
| 30-39 | 46.2 | 47.3 | 56.8 | 384 | 8.5 | 331 | (21.3) | 25 | * | 28 | 10.2 | 384 |
| 40-49 | 26.5 | 28.0 | 35.9 | 192 | 4.2 | 177 | 0.0 | 2 | * | 13 | 4.3 | 192 |
| 50-64 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 17.2 | 106 | 4.9 | 105 | * | 0 | * | 1 | 4.9 | 106 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 55.8 | 62.0 | 64.0 | 475 | * | 2 | 0.0 | 182 | 0.0 | 291 | 0.0 | 475 |
| Currently married | 40.4 | 40.3 | 49.4 | 916 | 8.2 | 866 |  | 17 | (38.2) | 33 | 9.9 | 916 |
| Formerly married | 54.7 | 62.9 | 65.7 | 78 | * | 16 | (0.0) | 28 | (0.0) | 34 | 0.0 | 78 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 56.1 | 59.0 | 66.2 | 674 | 10.5 | 379 | 4.0 | 130 | 1.8 | 165 | 7.1 | 674 |
| Rural | 37.7 | 39.7 | 45.5 | 795 | 6.1 | 506 | 2.7 | 98 | 4.9 | 192 | 5.4 | 795 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 51.9 | 58.5 | 66.8 | 135 | 7.8 | 78 | (2.1) | 36 | * | 21 | 5.9 | 135 |
| Copperbelt | 43.9 | 50.6 | 55.3 | 306 | 10.2 | 161 | (10.5) | 68 | 1.7 | 77 | 8.1 | 306 |
| Eastern | 50.0 | 43.5 | 51.3 | 223 | 9.0 | 142 | (0.0) | 30 | 3.5 | 51 | 6.5 | 223 |
| Luapula | 27.6 | 38.2 | 43.2 | 123 | 2.0 | 78 | * | 5 | 1.9 | 41 | 1.9 | 123 |
| Lusaka | 69.9 | 65.8 | 74.8 | 257 | 12.2 | 159 | (0.0) | 38 | (1.8) | 61 | 7.9 | 257 |
| Northem | 20.6 | 30.8 | 36.5 | 123 | 4.8 | 96 | (0) | 4 | * | 23 | 5.6 | 123 |
| North-Western | 24.2 | 35.4 | 42.5 | 37 | (6.4) | 32 | * | 1 | , | 4 | 8.3 | 37 |
| Southern | 48.6 | 46.7 | 53.0 | 143 | 4.3 | 89 | (0.0) | 26 | (9.1) | 27 | 4.4 | 143 |
| Western | 36.3 | 39.7 | 42.4 | 122 | (8.3) | 49 | (0.0) | 21 | 1.2 | 52 | 3.9 | 122 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 27.5 | 31.5 | 34.9 | 106 | 5.0 | 61 | * | 12 | (2.3) | 33 | 3.6 | 106 |
| Primary | 36.7 | 40.6 | 45.6 | 713 | 6.4 | 421 | 4.4 | 106 | 2.6 | 186 | 5.1 | 713 |
| Secondary | 59.8 | 61.0 | 68.4 | 560 | 9.0 | 328 | 3.0 | 107 | 5.5 | 125 | 7.1 | 560 |
| Higher | 57.9 | 54.3 | 68.6 | 89 | 14.9 | 74 | * | 2 | * | 13 | 12.3 | 89 |
| Change in sexual behaviour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No change | 34.5 | 25.7 | 39.2 | 81 | 7.2 | 51 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 | 21 | 4.5 | 81 |
| Kept virginity | 0.0 | 0.0 | * | 1 | * | 0 | * | 0 | * | 1 | * | 1 |
| Stopped sex | 40.0 | 44.7 | 51.2 | 84 | ${ }^{*}$ | 18 | * | 17 | (0.0) | 48 | 0.0 | 84 |
| Began using condoms <br> Restricted self to 89.2 100.0 100.0 342 17.0 110 3.9 101 5.5 131 8.7 342 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fewer partners | 54.2 | 60.3 | 63.5 | 348 | 7.2 | 138 | 7.0 | 75 | 3.4 | 134 | 5.7 | 348 |
| Asked spouse to be faithful | 36.8 | 36.9 | 45.5 | 177 | 6.8 | 157 | * | 10 | * | 11 | 8.0 | 177 |
| Avoid sex with prostitutes | 37.6 | 41.2 | 48.8 | 179 | 5.4 | 124 | 0.0 | 25 | 4.4 | 30 | 4.5 | 179 |
| Other sexual behaviour | 45.8 | 50.4 | 58.9 | 70 | 4.1 | 51 | * | 9 | * | 11 | 6.8 | 70 |
| Non-sexual behaviour | 43.6 | 45.9 | 51.2 | 294 | 9.2 | 190 | 3.4 | 39 | 4.8 | 65 | 7.5 | 294 |
| Total | 46.1 | 48.5 | 55.0 | 1,469 | 8.0 | 884 | 3.5 | 228 | 3.5 | 357 | 6.2 | 1,469 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 men; an asterisk indicates a figure is based on fewer than 25 men and has been suppressed.
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## APPENDIX A

## SURVEY DESIGN

## APPENDIX A

## SAMPLE DESIGN

## A. 1 Introduction

The 1996 ZDHS covered the population residing in private households in the country. The design for the ZDHS called for a representative probability sample of approximately 8,000 completed individual interviews with women between the ages of 15 and 49. It is designed principally to produce reliable estimates for the country as a whole, for the urban and the rural areas separately, and for each of the nine provinces in the country. In addition to the sample of women, a sub-sample of about 2,000 men between the ages of 15 and 59 was also designed and selected to allow for the study of AIDS knowledge and other topics.

## A. 2 Sampling Frame

Zambia is divided administratively into nine provinces and 57 districts. For the Census of Population, Housing and Agriculture of 1990, the whole country was demarcated into census supervisory areas (CSAs). Each CSA was in tum divided into standard enumeration areas (SEAs) of approximately equal size. For the 1992 ZDHS, this frame of about 4,200 CSAs and their corresponding SEAs served as the sampling frame. The measure of size was the number of households obtained during a quick count operation carried out in 1987. These same CSAs and SEAs were later updated with new measures of size which are the actual numbers of households and population figures obtained in the census. The sample for the 1996 ZDHS was selected from this updated CSA and SEA frame.

## A. 3 Characteristics of the ZDHS Sample

The sample for ZDHS was selected in three stages. At the first stage, 312 primary sampling units corresponding to the CSAs were selected from the frame of CSAs with probability proportional to size, the size being the number of households obtained from the 1990 census. At the second stage, one SEA was selected, again with probability proportional to size, within each selected CSA. An updating of the maps as well as a complete listing of the households in the selected SEAs was carried out. The list of households obtained was used as the frame for the third-stage sampling in which households were selected for interview. Women between the ages of 15 and 49 were identified in these households and interviewed. Men between the ages of 15 and 59 were also interviewed, but only in one-fourth of the households selected for the women's survey.

## A. 4 Sample Allocation

Table A. 1 and A. 2 show the distribution of the population in Zambia as projected to 1996 to the nine provinces and by urban and rural areas.

The provinces, stratified by uban and rural areas, were the sampling strata. There were thus 18 strata. A proportional allocation of the target number of 8,000 women to the 18 strata would yield the sample distribution presented in Table A. 3.

The proportional allocation would result in a completely self-weighting sample but would not allow for reliable estimates for at least three of the nine provinces, namely Luapula, North-Western and Western. Results of other demographic and health surveys show that a minimum sample of $800-1,000$ women is required in order to obtain estimates of fertility and childhood mortality rates at an acceptable level of sampling errors. It was decided to allocate a sample of 1,000 women to each of the three largest provinces, and a sample of 800
women to the two smallest provinces. The remaining provinces got samples of 850 women. Within each province, the sample was distributed approximately proportionally to the urban and rural areas. Table A. 4 shows the proposed sample allocation.

| Table A. 1 Projected population to 1996 |  |  |  | Table A. 2 Population distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province | Urban | Rural | Total | Province | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Central | 276,926 | 657,729 | 934,655 | Central | 29.6 | 70.4 | 10.1 |
| Copperbelt | 1,429,128 | 185,476 | 1,614,604 | Copperbelt | 88.5 | 11.5 | 17.4 |
| Easterm | 117,703 | 1,200,323 | 1,318,026 | Eastem | 8.9 | 91.1 | 14.2 |
| Luapula | 117,913 | 520,444 | 638,357 | Luapula | 18.5 | 81.5 | 6.9 |
| Lusaka | 1,191,573 | 163,985 | 1,355,558 | Lusaka | 87.9 | 12.1 | 14.6 |
| Northem | 126,197 | 928,510 | 1,054,707 | Northem | 12.0 | 88.0 | 11.4 |
| North-Westem | 75,686 | 407,365 | 483,051 | North-Westem | 15.7 | 84.3 | 5.2 |
| Southem | 269,465 | 882,334 | 1,151,799 | Southern | 23.4 | 76.6 | 12.4 |
| Westem | 78,363 | 652,775 | 731,138 | Westerm | 10.7 | 89.3 | 7.9 |
| Zambia | 3,682,954 | 5,598,941 | 9,281,895 | Zambia | 39.7 | 60.3 | 100.0 |


| Table A. 3 |  |  | Proportional sample allocation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Province | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Central | 239 | 567 | 806 |
| Copperbelt | 1,232 | 160 | 1,392 |
| Eastem | 101 | 1,035 | 1,136 |
| Luapula | 102 | 448 | 550 |
| Lusaka | 1,027 | 141 | 1,168 |
| Northern | 109 | 800 | 909 |
| North-Western | 65 | 351 | 416 |
| Southem | 232 | 761 | 993 |
| Western | 67 | 563 | 630 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Zambia | 3,174 | 4,826 | 8,000 |


| Table A.4 Proposed non-proportional sample allocation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Central | 252 | 598 | 850 |
| Copperbelt | 885 | 115 | 1,000 |
| Eastern | 89 | 911 | 1,000 |
| Luapula | 148 | 652 | 800 |
| Lusaka | 879 | 121 | 1,000 |
| Northern | 102 | 748 | 850 |
| North-Western | 126 | 674 | 800 |
| Southem | 199 | 651 | 850 |
| Western | 91 | 759 | 850 |
| Zambia | 2,771 | 5,229 | 8,000 |

The number of households that would yield the target number of 8,000 women with complete interviews was calculated as follows:

$$
\text { Number of HHs }=\frac{\text { Number of women }}{\text { Number of women per HH } \times \text { Overall response rate }}
$$

According to the results of the 1992 ZDHS, the number of women age 15-49 was estimated to be 1.4 per urban household, and 1.0 per rural household. The overall response rate found in the same survey was about 93 percent. A more conservative overall response rate of 90 percent ( 95 percent for households and 95 percent for women) would be more appropriate. Using these two parameters in the above equation, it was expected that approximately 8,000 households would be selected in order to yield the target sample of women, distributed as in Table A.5.

The number of sample points (or clusters) to be selected for each stratum was calculated by dividing the number of women in the stratum by the average take in the cluster. Analytical studies of surveys of the same nature suggest that the optimum number of women to be interviewed is around 20-25 in each urban
cluster and 30-35 in each rural cluster. If on average 20 women were to be selected in each urban cluster and 30 women in each rural cluster (i.e., selecting on average 16 households in each urban cluster and 34 households in each rural cluster), the distribution of sample points would be as in Table A.6.

| Table A. 5 Number of households to yield target of women |  |  |  | Table A. 6 Number of sample points |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province | Urban | Rural | Total | Province | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Central | 200 | 664 | 864 | Central | 13 | 20 | 33 |
| Copperbelt | 702 | 128 | 830 | Copperbelt | 44 | 4 | 48 |
| Eastern | 71 | 1,012 | 1,083 | Eastern | 4 | 30 | 34 |
| Luapula | 117 | 714 | 841 | Luapula | 7 | 21 | 28 |
| Lusaka | 698 | 134 | 832 | Lusaka | 44 | 4 | 48 |
| Northern | 81 | 831 | 912 | Northern | 5 | 24 | 29 |
| North-Western | 100 | 749 | 849 | North-Western | 6 | 22 | 28 |
| Southern | 158 | 723 | 881 | Southern | 10 | 21 | 31 |
| Western | 72 | 843 | 915 | Western | 5 | 25 | 30 |
| Zambia | 2,199 | 5,808 | 8,007 | Zambia | 138 | 171 | 309 |

While examining these figures, it was noticed that because of rounding errors, the number of clusters in some provinces would yield a slightly smaller number of women than expected. The number of clusters were then rearranged in each stratum so that (1) it was an even number, but in such a way that (2) the sample size in each province did not fall short of the recommended size. The even number of clusters is recommended for the purpose of calculating sampling errors in which the first step is to form pairs of homogeneous clusters (Table A.7).

| Table A.7 Proposed number of sample points |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Central | 12 | 20 | 32 |
| Copperbelt | 44 | 4 | 48 |
| Eastern | 4 | 30 | 34 |
| Luapula | 8 | 22 | 30 |
| Lusaka | 44 | 4 | 48 |
| Northern | 6 | 24 | 30 |
| North-Western | 8 | 22 | 30 |
| Southern | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| Western | 6 | 24 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Zambia | 142 | 170 | 312 |

Table A. 8 Number of households to be selected

| Province | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Central | 192 | 680 | 872 |
| Copperbelt | 704 | 136 | 840 |
| Eastern | 64 | 1,020 | 1,084 |
| Luapula | 128 | 748 | 876 |
| Lusaka | 704 | 136 | 840 |
| Northern | 96 | 816 | 912 |
| North-Western | 128 | 748 | 876 |
| Southern | 160 | 680 | 840 |
| Western | 96 | 816 | 912 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Zambia | 2,272 | 5,780 | 8,052 |

Tables A. 8 and A. 9 show the resulting number of households to be selected and the number of women with completed interviews when the number of clusters to be selected is as proposed in Table A.7.

| Table A.9 Expected number of women with completed <br> interviews |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Province | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Central | 242 | 612 | 854 |
| Copperbelt | 887 | 122 | 1,009 |
| Eastern | 82 | 918 | 1,000 |
| Lapula | 161 | 673 | 834 |
| Lusaka | 887 | 122 | 1,009 |
| Northerm | 121 | 734 | 855 |
| North-Western | 161 | 673 | 834 |
| Southern | 202 | 612 | 814 |
| Western | 121 | 734 | 855 |
| Zambia | 2,864 | 5,200 | 8,064 |

## A. 5 Stratification and Systematic Selection of Clusters

A cluster is the ultimate area unit retained in the survey. In the 1992 ZDHS and the 1996 ZDHS, the cluster corresponds exactly to an SEA selected from the CSA that contains it. In order to decrease sampling errors of comparisons over time-between 1992 and 1996-it was decided that as many as possible of the 1992 clusters be retained. After carefully examining the 262 CSAs that were included in the 1992 ZDHS, locating them in the updated frame and verifying their SEA composition, it was decided to retain 213 CSAs (and their corresponding SEAs) ${ }^{1}$. This amounted to almost 70 percent of the new sample. Only 99 new CSAs and their corresponding SEAs were selected.

As in the 1992 ZDHS, stratification of the CSAs was only geographic. In each stratum, the CSAs were listed by districts ordered geographically. The procedure for selecting CSAs in each stratum consisted of:
(1) calculating the sampling interval for the stratum:

$$
I=\frac{\sum_{i} M_{i}}{a}
$$

where $\Sigma M_{i}$ is the size of the stratum (total number of households in the stratum according to the sampling frame) and $a$ is the number of CSAs to be selected in the stratum;
(2) calculating the cumulated size of each CSA;
(3) calculating the series of sampling numbers $R, R+I, R+2 I, \ldots, R+(a-1) I$, where $R$ is a random number between 1 and $I$;
(4) comparing each sampling number with the cumulated sizes.

[^18]The CSA to be selected was the first CSA whose cumulated size was greater or equal to the sampling number.

In each CSA, only one SEA was selected at random (using a random number between 1 and the number of SEAs in the CSA.) The final sample of CSAs (and their corresponding SEAs) shows that of the 57 districts that exist in the country, 55 will be covered by ZDHS.

## A. 6 Sampling Probabilities

The sampling probabilities were calculated separately for each sampling stage, and independently for each stratum. We use the following notations:
$P_{1}: \quad$ first-stage sampling probability (CSAs)
$P_{2}$ : second-stage sampling probability (SEAs)
$P_{3}$ : third-stage sampling probability (households)
Let $a_{h}$ be the number of CSAs selected in stratum $h, M_{h i}$ the size (population according to the census frame) of the $i^{\text {th }}$ CSA in stratum $h$, and $\Sigma M_{h i}$ the total size of stratum $h$ (population according to the census frame). The probability of inclusion of the $i^{\text {th }}$ CSA in the sample is calculated as follows:

$$
P_{1 h i}=\frac{a_{h} M_{h i}}{\sum_{i} M_{h i}}
$$

In the second stage, we selected one SEA in each CSA. The probability of selection of the $j^{\text {th }}$ SEA in the $i^{\text {th }} \operatorname{CSA}$ is:

$$
P_{2 h j}=\frac{m_{h i j}}{\sum_{i} m_{h y}}
$$

where $m_{h j}$ is the size of the $j^{\text {th}}$ SEA according to the census frame.
In order for the sample to be self-weighting within stratum $h$, the overall probability $f_{h}=P_{l h r} P_{2 h i j} P_{3 h v}$ must be the same for each household within the stratum, where $f_{h}$ is the sampling fraction calculated separately for stratum $h$.

$$
f_{h}=\frac{n_{h}}{N_{h}}
$$

where $n_{h}$ is the number of households selected in the stratum, and $N_{h}$ is the estimated number of households that exist in the stratum in 1996, at the time of fieldwork.

The selection of the households is systematic with equal probability and the selection interval will be calculated as follows:

$$
I_{h i j}=\frac{1}{P_{3 h i j}}=\frac{P_{1 h i} \cdot P_{2 h i j}}{f_{h}}
$$

Because of the non-proportional distribution of the sampling to the different strata, sampling weights were required to ensure the actual representativity of the sample at the national level.

## A. 7 Sample Implementation

A team of 11 listers, 11 mappers and 9 supervisors, all were staff of the Central Statistical Office (CSO), were trained to conduct a mapping and household listing operation in all selected sample areas. One mapper, a lister and a supervisor were assigned to each province, except in Copperbelt and Lusaka Provinces where two mappers and two listers were assigned. Mapping and household listing was carried out in March through July 1996. Not more than fifty days were spent on mapping and households listing in each province. However, the starting times differed in each province, and in some provinces work was disrupted more often than in others. In Copperbelt, Eastem, Lusaka, Central and Northem Provinces, household mapping and listing was completed in May, in Luapula and North-Westem Provinces in June, and in Southem and Western Provinces, in July. Once the households in each selected cluster were mapped and listed, the maps and lists were sent to the CSO central office in Lusaka where they were checked for completion. Discrepancies between the actual and listed number of households were evaluated. In most of the clusters, the number of households listed was less than expected. After evaluation, households to be interviewed for the women's and men's surveys in each cluster were selected by two persons trained for the purpose.

Rcsults of the sample implementation by province and urban and rural residence are presented in Tables A. 10.1 and A.10.2. The results indicate that of the 8,016 households selected in the survey, 91 percent were successfully interviewed. Four percent of the dwellings were found vacant or destroyed, 4 percent of the households were not at home, and in one percent of the households there was no competent respondent. The response rate at the household level is 99 percent. In these households, there were 8,298 women age $15-49$, 97 percent of whom were successfully interviewed. The response rate for the women survey is 96 percent. There is some variation in response rate by province and urban/rural areas. The rate is 95 percent or lower in Northem, Copperbelt, North-Westem, Lusaka, and Central Provinces, and 98 percent or higher in Eastern and Luapula Provinces.

Table A.10.2 presents the results for the men's survey. The overall response rate is lower than that for women ( 90 percent). The rates range from 80 percent in Lusaka to 95 percent in Eastern province.

## A. 8 Fieldwork

All questionnaires were translated into seven major languages spoken in Zambia, namely Bemba, Kaonde, Lozi, Lunda, Luvale, Nyanja and Tonga. Pretest training and fieldwork took place from April 23 to May 17, 1996. During a three-week period, 12 male and 19 female interviewers were trained to carry out the pretest. Two teams were formed, each consisting of a supervisor, a field editor, four male interviewers and four female interviewers. The pretest fieldwork was conducted for eight days, during which approximately 150 interviewers were completed. Discussions with the pretest field staff were held, and necessary modifications to questionnaires were made based on the experience of the pretest exercise.

For the main survey fieldwork, 63 nurses/midwives were recruited through the Provincial Medical Officers. All of the 31 male field staff were from CSO. The survey field staff were selected based on, among others, their ability to conduct interviews in one or more major languages. Fourteen of the nurses/midwives participated in the 1992 ZDHS. Training of the field staff for the main survey took place for four weeks between June 18 and July 10, 1996. Following the DHS guidelines, the training course consisted of instructions regarding interviewing techniques and field procedures, a detailed review of the items on the questionnaires, instruction and practice in weighing and measuring children and women. Mock interviews were conducted among the trainees, and with men and women of eligible age in areas outside the ZDHS sample points. Interviewers were selected on the basis of their overall performance in class, scores on the tests given in class and performance during practice field interviews. From among those selected, candidates suitable as field editors and supervisors were selected. The supervisors and field editors were given additional training in coordination of fieldwork, methods of field editing and data quality control procedures.

## Table_A. 10.1 Sampleimplementation: women

Percent distribution of households and eligible women in the ZDHS sample by results of the interviews and household, eligible women, and overall response rates, according to region and residence, Zambia 1996

| Result | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Central | Copperbelt | Eastern | Luapula | Lusaka | Northern | NorthWestern | Southern | Westerm | Urban | Rural |  |
| Selected households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed © | 92.7 | 95.1 | 95.9 | 90.5 | 94.2 | 85.1 | 79.6 | 96.1 | 88.7 | 947 | 89.3 | 90.9 |
| Household present but no competent respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| at home (HP) | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 06 |
| Refused (R) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 02 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 03 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Household absent (HA) | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 14.3 | 06 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 3.6 |
| Dweiling vacant (DV) | 3.5 | 1.4 | 14 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 33 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 0.7 | 0.8 | 06 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Other ( O ) | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 02 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 02 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 03 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1000 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 861 | 845 | 1,154 | 866 | 867 | 941 | 861 | 711 | 910 | 2,329 | 5,687 | 8,016 |
| Household response rate (HRR) ${ }^{1}$ | 99.3 | 995 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 98.1 | 98.2 | 99.0 | 99.4 | 98.3 | 989 | 98.9 | 98.9 |
| Eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EWC) | 95.5 | 95.0 | 99.0 | 98.6 | 96.6 | 95.0 | 95.6 | 96.5 | 97.5 | 96.1 | 97.0 | 967 |
| Not at home (EWNH) | 2.9 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 38 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| Postponed (EWP) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Refused (EWR) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 01 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Partly completed (EWPC) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Incapacitated (EW) | 08 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 03 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 05 |
| Other (EWO) | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 02 | 0.1 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1000 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1000 | 100.0 |
| Number | 783 | 1,189 | 1,129 | 909 | 1.112 | 824 | 593 | 877 | 882 | 3,124 | 5,174 | 8,298 |
| Eligible woman response rate (EWRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 95.5 | 95.0 | 99.0 | 98.6 | 966 | 95.0 | 95.6 | 96.5 | 97.5 | 96.1 | 970 | 96.7 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 94.8 | 94.5 | 98.4 | 97.8 | 94.7 | 93.3 | 94.6 | 95.9 | 95.8 | 95.0 | 960 | 95.6 |

Note' The household response rate is calculated for completed households as a proportion of completed, no competent respondent, refused, and dwelling not found. The eligible woman response rate is calculated for completed interviews as a proportion of completed, not at home, postponed, refused, partaliy completed, incapaciated and "other." The overall response rate is the product of the household and woman response rates.
${ }^{1}$ Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:
C

$$
\overline{C+H P+R+D N F}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Using the number of eligible women falling into specific response categories, the eligible woman response rate (EWRR) is calculated as: EWC
$E W C+E W N H+E W P+E W R+E W P C+E W I+E W O$
${ }^{3}$ The overall response rate (ORR) is calculated as:
ORR $=$ HRR * EWRR

## Table A.10.2 Sample implementation:men

Percent distribution of households and eligible men in the ZDHS sample by results of the interviews and household, eligible men, and overall response rates, according to region and residence, Zambia 1996

| Result | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Central | Copperbelt | Eastem | Luapula | Lusaka | Northern | NorthWestern | Southerm | Western | Urban | Rural |  |
| Selected households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed © | 94.4 | 92.2 | 96.8 | 921 | 940 | 892 | 81.9 | 944 | 884 | 95.2 | 90.0 | 91.6 |
| Household present but no competent respondent at home (HP) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 09 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Refused (R) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 03 | 0.1 | 01 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 04 | 0.5 | 00 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 09 | 00 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Household absent (HA) | 23 | 3.2 | 11 | 2.8 | 05 | 3.3 | 139 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 05 | 4.4 | 3.3 |
| Dwelling vacant (DV) | 19 | 28 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 3.5 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 12 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 08 | 0.6 |
| Other (0) | 00 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 00 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total percent | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1000 | 100.0 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Number | 216 | 217 | 285 | 214 | 216 | 241 | 216 | 178 | 233 | 589 | 1,427 | 2,016 |
| Household response rate (HRR) ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 99.5 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 99.0 | 97.6 | 99.1 | 983 | 1000 | 98.6 | 98.8 | 99.2 | 99.0 |
| Eligible men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EMC) | 88.5 | 88.9 | 95.8 | 95.6 | 81.5 | 89.5 | 887 | 940 | 929 | 879 | 92.2 | 905 |
| Not at home (EMNH) | 8.1 | 8.6 | 3.5 | 29 | 126 | 10.5 | 66 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 83 | 6.1 | 70 |
| Postponed (EMP) | 00 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 05 | 00 | 01 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Refused (EMR) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 01 | 0.4 |
| Partly completed (EMPC) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Incapactated (EMI) | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 11 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 10 |
| Other (EMO) | 14 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 1000 | 1000 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Number | 209 | 324 | 288 | 205 | 270 | 229 | 106 | 201 | 211 | 794 | 1.249 | 2,043 |
| Eligible man response rate (EMRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 885 | 889 | 958 | 95.6 | 81.5 | 89.5 | 88.7 | 94.0 | 92.9 | 879 | 922 | 905 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 88.1 | 889 | 95.1 | 94.6 | 79.5 | 88.7 | 87.2 | 940 | 916 | 86.8 | 91.4 | 896 |

Note: The household response rate is calculated for completed households as a proportion of completed, no competent respondent, refused, and dwelling not found. The eligible man response rate is calculated for completed interviews as a proportion of completed, not at home, postponed, refused, partially completed, incapacitated and "other." The overall response rate is the product of the household and man response rates.
${ }^{1}$ Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:

$$
\frac{C}{C+H P+R+D N F}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Using the number of eligible men falling into specific response categones, the elıgible man response rate (EMRR) is calculated as:
EMC
$\mathrm{EMC}+\mathrm{EMNH}+\mathrm{EMP}+\mathrm{EMR}+\mathrm{EMPC}+\mathrm{EMI}+\mathrm{EMO}$
${ }^{3}$ The overall response rate (ORR) is calculated as:
ORR = HRR * EMRR

The ZDHS fieldwork was carried out by 11 teams, each consisting of one team leader, one female field editor, four female interviewers, one male interviewer and a driver. Data collection took place over a fivemonth period from July 15, 1996 to January 6, 1997.

## A. 9 Data Processing

The completed questionnaires were returned to the CSO headquarters for data processing. The data processing staff first checked whether all household and individual questionnaires for selected households and eligible women and men were indeed present for all clusters, along with field control forms. Missing information was relayed to the respective team. They then edited the questionnaires, coded open-ended questions, entered the data, and ran the secondary editing program. The data were processed by a team consisting of five data entry clerks, three office editors, and one data entry supervisor. Data processing was accomplished using a computer program developed for DHS surveys, Integrated System for Survey Analysis (ISSA).

## APPENDIX B

## ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

## APPENDIX B

## ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

The estimates from a sample survey are affected by two types of errors: (1) nonsampling errors, and (2) sampling errors. Nonsampling errors are the results of mistakes made in implementing data collection and data processing, such as failure to locate and interview the correct household, misunderstanding of the questions on the part of either the interviewer or the respondent, and data entry errors. Although numerous efforts were made during the implementation of the ZDHS to minimize this type of error, nonsampling errors are impossible to avoid and difficult to evaluate statistically.

Sampling errors, on the other hand, can be evaluated statistically. The sample of respondents selected in the ZDHS is only one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and expected size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. Although the degree of variability is not known exactly, it can be estimated from the survey results.

A sampling error is usually measured in terms of the standard error for a particular statistic (mean, percentage, etc.), which is the square root of the variance. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which the true value for the population can reasonably be assumed to fall. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

If the sample of respondents had been selected as a simple random sample, it would have been possible to use straightforward formulas for calculating sampling errors. However, the ZDHS sample is the result of a two-stage stratified design, and, consequently, it was necessary to use more complex formulae. The computer software used to calculate sampling errors for the ZDHS is the ISSA Sampling Error Module. This module used the Taylor linearization method of variance estimation for survey estimates that are means or proportions. The Jackknife repeated replication method is used for variance estimation of more complex statistics such as fertility and mortality rates.

The Taylor linearization method treats any percentage or average as a ratio estimate, $r=y / x$, where $y$ represents the total sample value for variable $y$, and $x$ represents the total number of cases in the group or subgroup under consideration. The variance of $r$ is computed using the formula given below, with the standard error being the square root of the variance:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1-f}{x^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{H}\left[\frac{m_{h}}{m_{h}-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} z_{h i}^{2}-\frac{z_{h}^{2}}{m_{h}}\right)\right]
$$

in which

$$
z_{h i}=y_{h i}-r \cdot x_{h i}, \text { and } \quad z_{h}=y_{h}-r \cdot x_{h}
$$

where $h$ represents the stratum which varies from 1 to $H$,
$m_{h} \quad$ is the total number of clusters selected in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$y_{h_{1}} \quad$ is the sum of the values of variable $y$ in the $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$x_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the number of cases in the $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum, and
$f \quad$ is the overall sampling fraction, which is so small that it is ignored.

The Jackknife repeated replication method derives estimates of complex rates from each of several replications of the parent sample, and calculates standard errors for these estimates using simple formulae. Each replication considers all but one clusters in the calculation of the estimates. Pseudo-independent replications are thus created. In the ZDHS , there were 312 non-empty clusters. Hence, 312 replications were created. The variance of a rate $r$ is calculated as follows:

$$
S E^{2}(R)=\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(r_{i}-r\right)^{2}
$$

in which

$$
r_{i}=k r-(k-1) r_{(i)}
$$

where $r$ is the estimate computed from the full sample of 312 clusters,
$r_{(l)} \quad$ is the estimate computed from the reduced sample of 311 clusters ( $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster excluded), and
$k \quad$ is the total number of clusters.

In addition to the standard error, ISSA computes the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate, which is defined as the ratio between the standard error using the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a value greater than 1.0 indicates the increase in the sampling error due to the use of a more complex and less statistically efficient design. ISSA also computes the relative error and confidence limits for the estimates.

Sampling errors for the ZDHS are calculated for selected variables considered to be of primary interest. The results are presented in this appendix for the country as a whole, for urban and rural areas, and for the nine provinces. For each variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion, or rate) and the base population are given in Table B.1. Tables B. 2 to B. 13 present the value of the statistic ( R ), its standard error (SE), the number of unweighted ( N ) and weighted (WN) cases, the design effect (DEFT), the relative standard error ( $\mathrm{SE} / \mathrm{R}$ ), and the 95 percent confidence limits ( $\mathrm{R} \pm 2 \mathrm{SE}$ ), for each variable. The DEFT is considered undefined when the standard error considering simple random sample is zero (when the estimate is close to 0 or 1 ). In the case of the total fertility rate, the number of unweighted cases is not relevant, as there is no known unweighted value for woman-years of exposure to child-bearing.

The confidence interval (e.g., as calculated for children ever born to women aged 15-49) can be interpreted as follows: the overall average from the national sample is 3.037 and its standard error is .038 . Therefore, to obtain the 95 percent confidence limits, one adds and subtracts twice the standard error to the sample estimate, i.e., $3.037 \pm 2 \times .038$. There is a high probability ( 95 percent) that the true average number of children ever bom to all women aged 15 to 49 is between 2.961 and 3.113 .

Sampling errors are analyzed for the national sample and for two separate groups of estimates: (1) means and proportions, and (2) complex demographic rates. The relative standard errors (SE/R) for the
means and proportions range between 0.2 percent and 20 percent with an average of 3.5 percent; the highest relative standard errors are for estimates of very low values (e.g., currently using injections among women who were currently using a contraceptive method). If estimates of very low values (less than 10 percent) were removed, than the average drops to 2.1 percent. So in general, the relative standard errors for most estimates for the country as a whole is small, except for estimates of very small proportions. The relative standard error for the total fertility rate is small, 2 percent. However, for the mortality rates, the average relative standard error is somewhat higher, 4.6 percent.

There are differentials in the relative standard error for the estimates of sub-populations. For example, for the variable secondary education or higher, the relative standard errors as a percent of the estimated mean for the whole country, for the rural areas, and for Northern Province are 4 percent, 7.8 percent, and 13.5 percent, respectively.

For the total sample, the value of the design effect (DEFT), averaged over all variables, is 1.27 which means that, due to multi-stage clustering of the sample, variance is increased by a factor of 1.6 over that in an equivalent simple random sample.

Finally, the 1996 ZDHS sample consisted mostly of the same enumeration areas selected for the 1992 ZDHS; therefore, there was a strong interest in the calculation of sampling errors for the change in rates between the two surveys. Because the two samples were not independent, it is possible to detect change in a particular rate during the period between the two surveys with a smaller sample than if the two samples had been independent. To obtain a measure of the sampling error of the difference in rates between the two surveys, say, for example, the contraceptive prevalence rate, it is necessary to calculate the correlation between the values of the contraceptive prevalence rate for the two surveys at the cluster level and then apply the following formula to calculate the coresponding sampling error:

$$
\operatorname{se}\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)=\sqrt{s e^{2}\left(p_{1}\right)+s e^{2}\left(p_{2}\right)-2 * \rho * \sqrt{s e^{2}\left(p_{1}\right) * s e^{2}\left(p_{2}\right)}} .
$$

Sampling errors of the difference in knowledge and use of contraception for married women interviewed in the 1992 and 1996 ZDHS surveys are given in Table B. 14 .

Table B. 1 _List of selected vanables for sampling errors. Zambia. 1996

| Variable | Description | Base population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |
| No education | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| With secondary education or higher | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Never married (in union) | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Currently married (in union) | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| Married before age 20 | Proportion | Women 25-49 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | Proportion | Women 25-49 |
| Children ever born | Mean | All women 15-49 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | Mean | Women aged 40-49 |
| Children surviving | Mean | All women 15-49 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using any method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using a modern method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using pill | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using injections | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using condom | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using periodic abstunence | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using withdrawal | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using public sector source | Proportion | Current users of modern method |
| Want no more children | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Ideal number of children | Mean | All women 15-49 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | Proportion | Births in last 5 years |
| Mothers received medical care at birth | Proportion | Births in last 5 years |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | Proportion | Children under 5 |
| Treated with ORS packets | Proportion | Children under 5 with diarrhoea in last 2 weeks |
| Consulted medical personnel | Proportion | Children under 5 with diarrhoea in last 2 weeks |
| Having health card, seen | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Recesved BCG vaccination | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received measles vaccination | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Fully immunised | Proportion | Chldren 12-23 months |
| Weight-for-height (<-2 SD) | Proportion | Children under 5 who were measured |
| Height-for-age ( $<-2$ SD) | Proportion | Children under 5 who were measured |
| Weight-for-age (<-2 SD) | Proportion | Children under 5 who were measured |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | Rate | Women-years of exposure to child-bearing |
| Neonatal mortality rate | Rate | Number of births exposed to risk of dying |
| Postneonatal mortality rate | Rate | Number of births exposed to risk of dying |
| Infant mortality rate | Rate | Number of births exposed to risk of dying |
| Child mortality rate | Rate | Number of births exposed to risk of dying |
| Under-five mortality rate | Rate | Number or births exposed to risk of dying |


|  | MEN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No education | Proportion | All men 15-59 |
| With secondary education or higher | Proportion | All men 15-59 |
| Never married (in union) | Proportion | All men 15-59 |
| Currently married (in union) | Proporion | All men 15-59 |
| Knowing any contraceptive inethod | Proportion | Currently married men 15-59 |
| Knowing any modern contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married men 15-59 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married men 15-59 |
| Currently using any method | Proporion | Currently marred men 15-59 |
| Currently using a modern method | Proportion | Currently marricd men 15-59 |
| Currently using pill | Proportion | Currently married men 15-59 |
| Currently using injections | Proporion | Currently maried men 15-59 |
| Currently using condom | Proportion | Currently married men 15-59 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | Proportion | Currently married men 15-59 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | Proportion | Currently marred men 15-59 |
| Currently using withdrawal | Proportion | Currently married men 15-49 |
| Want no more children | Proportion | Currently married men 15-59 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | Proportion | Currently married men 15-59 |
| Ideal number of children | Mean | All men 15-59 |

[^19]Table B. 2 Samoling errors - National sample: Zambia 1996

| Varrable | Volue <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative ептог (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.133 | 0.008 | 8021 | 8021 | 2.158 | 0.060 | 0117 | 0.149 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.278 | 0.011 | 8021 | 8021 | 2.125 | 0.040 | 0.256 | 0.300 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.253 | 0.006 | 8021 | 8021 | 1.168 | 0.024 | 0241 | 0.265 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.611 | 0.007 | 8021 | 8021 | 1.288 | 0.011 | 0.597 | 0625 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.694 | 0.008 | 6039 | 6018 | 1.388 | 0.012 | 0.678 | 0.710 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.695 | 0.008 | 6039 | 6018 | 1.344 | 0.012 | 0.679 | 0.711 |
| Children ever born | 3.037 | 0.038 | 8021 | 8021 | 1.119 | 0.013 | 2.961 | 3.113 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 7.314 | 0.097 | 1085 | 1062 | 1.062 | 0.013 | 7.120 | 7.508 |
| Children surviving | 2.465 | 0.030 | 8021 | 8021 | 1.070 | 0.012 | 2.405 | 2.525 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.984 | 0.002 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.016 | 0.002 | 0.980 | 0.988 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.977 | 0.002 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.062 | 0.002 | 0.973 | 0.981 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.594 | 0.011 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.630 | 0.019 | 0.572 | 0.616 |
| Currently using any method | 0.259 | 0.008 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.272 | 0.031 | 0.243 | 0.275 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.144 | 0.006 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.237 | 0.042 | 0.132 | 0.156 |
| Currently using pill | 0.072 | 0.005 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.237 | 0.069 | 0.062 | 0.082 |
| Currently using injections | 0.010 | 0.002 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.507 | 0.200 | 0.006 | 0.014 |
| Currently using condom | 0035 | 0.003 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.212 | 0.086 | 0.029 | 0.041 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.020 | 0.002 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.163 | 0.100 | 0.016 | 0.024 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.019 | 0002 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.167 | 0.105 | 0.015 | 0.023 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.045 | 0.003 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.184 | 0.067 | 0.039 | 0.051 |
| Using public sector source | 0.599 | 0024 | 830 | 901 | 1.431 | 0.040 | 0.551 | 0.647 |
| Want no more children | 0.264 | 0.008 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.254 | 0.030 | 0.248 | 0.280 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.388 | 0.008 | 4949 | 4902 | 1.137 | 0.021 | 0.372 | 0404 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.320 | 0.040 | 7603 | 7602 | 1.536 | 0.008 | 5240 | 5.400 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.845 | 0.008 | 7248 | 7159 | 1.597 | 0.009 | 0.829 | 0.861 |
| Mothers received medical care at birth | 0.465 | 0.015 | 7248 | 7159 | 2.009 | 0.032 | 0.435 | 0.495 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.235 | 0.006 | 6177 | 6109 | 1.113 | 0.026 | 0.223 | 0.247 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.539 | 0.014 | 1431 | 1435 | 1.032 | 0.026 | 0.511 | 0.567 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.440 | 0.018 | 1431 | 1435 | 1.272 | 0.041 | 0.404 | 0.476 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.818 | 0.011 | 1348 | 1347 | 1.018 | 0.013 | 0.796 | 0.840 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.974 | 0.005 | 1348 | 1347 | 1063 | 0.005 | 0.964 | 0.984 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.857 | 0.013 | 1348 | 1347 | 1.337 | 0.015 | 0.831 | 0.883 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.844 | 0.013 | 1348 | 1347 | 1.249 | 0.015 | 0.818 | 0.870 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.865 | 0.011 | 1348 | 1347 | 1163 | 0.013 | 0.843 | 0.887 |
| Fully 1 mmunised | 0.783 | 0.014 | 1348 | 1347 | 1.265 | 0.018 | 0.755 | 0.811 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.042 | 0.003 | 5503 | 5443 | 1.170 | 0071 | 0.036 | 0.048 |
| Height-for-age | 0.424 | 0.008 | 5503 | 5443 | 1.213 | 0.019 | 0.408 | 0.440 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.235 | 0.006 | 5503 | 5443 | 1.057 | 0.026 | 0.223 | 0247 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 6.080 | 0.119 | NA | 22190 | 1.278 | 0.020 | 5842 | 6.318 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-4 years) | 35.361 | 2.395 | 7387 | 7290 | 1.018 | 0.068 | 30.571 | 40.151 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate ( $0-4$ years) | 73.512 | 3.649 | 7426 | 7330 | 1.122 | 0.050 | 66.214 | 80.810 |
| Infant mortality rate ( $0-4$ years) | 108873 | 4.166 | 7428 | 7332 | 1.054 | 0.038 | 100.541 | 117.205 |
| Child mortality rate (0-4 years) | 98.423 | 4.307 | 7587 | 7491 | 1.092 | 0.044 | 89.809 | 107.037 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-4 years) | 196.580 | 5.465 | 76.30 | 75.36 | 1.081 | 0.028 | 185.650 | 207.510 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.069 | 0.007 | 1849 | 1849 | 1.246 | 0.101 | 0.055 | 0083 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.424 | 0.014 | 1849 | 1849 | 1.216 | 0.033 | 0.396 | 0452 |
| Never mamed (in union) | 0.440 | 0.013 | 1849 | 1849 | 1.122 | 0.030 | 0.414 | 0.466 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.510 | 0.013 | 1849 | 1849 | 1.083 | 0.025 | 0.484 | 0.536 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.990 | 0.003 | 964 | 944 | 1.018 | 0.003 | 0.984 | 0.996 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.986 | 0.004 | 964 | 944 | 1.012 | 0.004 | 0.978 | 0.994 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.725 | 0.014 | 964 | 944 | 0.967 | 0.019 | 0.697 | 0.753 |
| Currently using any method | 0.371 | 0.014 | 964 | 944 | 0.914 | 0.038 | 0.343 | 0.399 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.210 | 0.013 | 964 | 944 | 0.955 | 0.062 | 0.184 | 0.236 |
| Currently using pill | 0.109 | 0.009 | 964 | 944 | 0.908 | 0.083 | 0.091 | 0.127 |
| Currently using injections | 0.004 | 0.002 | 964 | 944 | 1.163 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
| Currently using condom | 0.077 | 0.009 | 964 | 944 | 1.017 | 0.117 | 0.059 | 0.095 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.014 | 0.004 | 964 | 944 | 0.936 | 0.286 | 0.006 | 0022 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.069 | 0.010 | 964 | 944 | 1.205 | 0.145 | 0049 | 0.089 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.047 | 0.007 | 964 | 944 | 1.010 | 0.149 | 0.033 | 0.061 |
| Want no more children | 0.198 | 0.014 | 964 | 944 | 1.075 | 0.071 | 0.170 | 0.226 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.373 | 0015 | 964 | 944 | 0.967 | 0040 | 0.343 | 0.403 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.865 | 0.084 | 1779 | 1793 | 1.168 | 0.014 | 5.697 | 6.033 |

[^20]Table B. 3 Sampling errors - Urban sample: Zambia 1996

| Vanable | Value <br> (R) | Standard entor (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limuts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unwerghted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.056 | 0.005 | 3001 | 3604 | 1248 | 0089 | 0046 | 0066 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.463 | 0.019 | 3001 | 3604 | 2.053 | 0.041 | 0.425 | 0.501 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.308 | 0.009 | 3001 | 3604 | 1.116 | 0.029 | 0.290 | 0.326 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.547 | 0.011 | 3001 | 3604 | 1.158 | 0.020 | 0.525 | 0.569 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.607 | 0.015 | 2202 | 2648 | 1.433 | 0025 | 0.577 | 0637 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.627 | 0.013 | 2202 | 2648 | 1.296 | 0.021 | 0601 | 0653 |
| Children ever born | 2656 | 0.060 | 3001 | 3604 | 1.137 | 0023 | 2536 | 2776 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 7108 | 0.153 | 352 | 424 | 0.934 | 0022 | 6.802 | 7414 |
| Chaldren surviving | 2.221 | 0.048 | 3001 | 3604 | 1.073 | 0022 | 2.125 | 2317 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.991 | 0.002 | 1634 | 1972 | 0.861 | 0002 | 0.987 | 0995 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.989 | 0.002 | 1634 | 1972 | 0.886 | 0.002 | 0.985 | 0993 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.709 | 0.015 | 1634 | 1972 | 1.319 | 0.021 | 0679 | 0.739 |
| Currently using any method | 0333 | 0.014 | 1634 | 1972 | 1.221 | 0.042 | 0.305 | 0361 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.236 | 0.011 | 1634 | 1972 | 1.065 | 0047 | 0214 | 0258 |
| Currently using pill | 0.122 | 0.009 | 1634 | 1972 | 1.114 | 0.074 | 0104 | 0140 |
| Currently using injections | 0.022 | 0.004 | 1634 | 1972 | 1.184 | 0.182 | 0014 | 0030 |
| Currently using condom | 0.047 | 0.006 | 1634 | 1972 | 1.139 | 0.128 | 0.035 | 0.059 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.033 | 0.005 | 1634 | 1972 | 1.120 | 0.152 | 0.023 | 0.043 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.030 | 0.005 | 1634 | 1972 | 1.178 | 0.167 | 0.020 | 0.040 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.030 | 0005 | 1634 | 1972 | 1.162 | 0.167 | 0020 | 0.040 |
| Using public sector source | 0.579 | 0.031 | 502 | 614 | 1.419 | 0.054 | 0.517 | 0.641 |
| Want no more children | 0.331 | 0.015 | 1634 | 1972 | 1285 | 0.045 | 0301 | 0.361 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.367 | 0.014 | 1634 | 1972 | 1. 142 | 0.038 | 0.339 | 0.395 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.579 | 0050 | 2885 | 3463 | 1351 | 0.011 | 4.479 | 4.679 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.880 | 0.008 | 2389 | 2858 | 1.134 | 0.009 | 0.864 | 0896 |
| Mothers received medical care at birth | 0.769 | 0016 | 2389 | 2858 | 1579 | 0021 | 0.737 | 0801 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.238 | 0.010 | 2045 | 2445 | 1009 | 0042 | 0.218 | 0258 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.584 | 0.023 | 478 | 581 | 0.979 | 0.039 | 0.538 | 0.630 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.453 | 0.029 | 478 | 581 | 1.215 | 0.064 | 0.395 | 0511 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.821 | 0.018 | 449 | 532 | 0.982 | 0.022 | 0.785 | 0.857 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.994 | 0.003 | 449 | 532 | 0905 | 0003 | 0988 | 1000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.902 | 0.017 | 449 | 532 | 1208 | 0019 | 0.868 | 0936 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.892 | 0.019 | 449 | 532 | 1.289 | 0.021 | 0.854 | 0930 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.897 | 0.015 | 449 | 532 | 1.015 | 0017 | 0.867 | 0927 |
| Fully immunised | 0.834 | 0.022 | 449 | 532 | 1.264 | 0.026 | 0.790 | 0878 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.031 | 0.004 | 1812 | 2159 | 0977 | 0129 | 0.023 | 0039 |
| Height-for-age | 0.327 | 0.013 | 1812 | 2159 | 1134 | 0040 | 0.301 | 0.353 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.165 | 0.008 | 1812 | 2159 | 0.853 | 0048 | 0149 | 0181 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 5.082 | 0.163 | NA | 9920 | 1.195 | 0032 | 4756 | 5408 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 32.252 | 2.705 | 4327 | 5172 | 0897 | 0.084 | 26.842 | 37.662 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 59.677 | 4.265 | 4334 | 5181 | 1.106 | 0.071 | 51.147 | 68.207 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 91.929 | 5.232 | 4335 | 5182 | 1.086 | 0.057 | 81.465 | 102.393 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 89.556 | 6.242 | 4366 | 5217 | 1.217 | 0070 | 77072 | 102.040 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | 173.252 | 7.830 | 4375 | 5228 | 1.183 | 0.045 | 157592 | 188.912 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.027 | 0.007 | 698 | 852 | 1.103 | 0259 | 0.013 | 0.041 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.624 | 0.022 | 698 | 852 | 1.174 | 0.035 | 0580 | 0668 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.467 | 0.018 | 698 | 852 | 0.970 | 0039 | 0.431 | 0.503 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.470 | 0.018 | 698 | 852 | 0.926 | 0038 | 0.434 | 0.506 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.996 | 0.004 | 327 | 401 | 1.107 | 0004 | 0988 | 1000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.993 | 0.005 | 327 | 401 | 1.077 | 0.005 | 0.983 | 1000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.854 | 0.021 | 327 | 401 | 1.067 | 0025 | 0.812 | 0.896 |
| Currently using any method | 0.475 | 0.025 | 327 | 401 | 0.910 | 0.053 | 0.425 | 0.525 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.303 | 0.023 | 327 | 401 | 0.901 | 0076 | 0.257 | 0.349 |
| Currently using pıll | 0.174 | 0.018 | 327 | 401 | 0.868 | 0103 | 0138 | 0.210 |
| Currently using injections | 0010 | 0.006 | 327 | 401 | 1.042 | 0.600 | 0.000 | 0.022 |
| Currently using condom | 0088 | 0.015 | 327 | 401 | 0.967 | 0.170 | 0058 | 0118 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.017 | 0.006 | 327 | 401 | 0.838 | 0353 | 0005 | 0029 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0096 | 0.019 | 327 | 401 | 1.155 | 0.198 | 0.058 | 0134 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0043 | 0.012 | 327 | 401 | 1.045 | 0279 | 0019 | 0067 |
| Want no more children | 0.278 | 0.024 | 327 | 401 | 0.979 | 0.086 | 0.230 | 0326 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.353 | 0.026 | 327 | 401 | 0.979 | 0074 | 0.301 | 0.405 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.070 | 0.109 | 692 | 844 | 1.193 | 0.021 | 4.852 | 5288 |

[^21]Table_B. 4 Sampling errors - Rural sample:_Zambia 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.196 | 0.014 | 5020 | 4417 | 2.513 | 0.071 | 0.168 | 0.224 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.128 | 0.010 | 5020 | 4417 | 2.087 | 0.078 | 0.108 | 0.148 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.208 | 0.007 | 5020 | 4417 | 1.157 | 0.034 | 0194 | 0.222 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.663 | 0.009 | 5020 | 4417 | 1.357 | 0.014 | 0645 | 0.681 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.763 | 0.008 | 3837 | 3369 | 1.159 | 0.010 | 0.747 | 0.779 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.749 | 0.010 | 3837 | 3369 | 1.369 | 0013 | 0.729 | 0.769 |
| Children ever born | 3.347 | 0.044 | 5020 | 4417 | 1.005 | 0.013 | 3.259 | 3.435 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 7.451 | 0.125 | 733 | 638 | 1.135 | 0.017 | 7201 | 7.701 |
| Children surviving | 2.663 | 0.035 | 5020 | 4417 | 0.970 | 0.013 | 2593 | 2.733 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0979 | 0.003 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.077 | 0.003 | 0.973 | 0985 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.968 | 0.003 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.119 | 0.003 | 0962 | 0974 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.517 | 0.016 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.893 | 0.031 | 0485 | 0.549 |
| Currently using any method | 0209 | 0.009 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.329 | 0.043 | 0.191 | 0.227 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.082 | 0.007 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.526 | 0.085 | 0.068 | 0.096 |
| Currently using pill | 0.039 | 0.005 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.365 | 0.128 | 0.029 | 0.049 |
| Currently using injections | 0.003 | 0.002 | 3315 | 2930 | 2.553 | 0.667 | 0.000 | 0.007 |
| Currently using condom | 0.027 | 0.003 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.239 | 0.111 | 0.021 | 0033 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.012 | 0.002 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.078 | 0.167 | 0008 | 0.016 |
| Currently using periodic abstınence | 0.011 | 0.002 | 3315 | 2930 | 0.933 | 0.182 | 0.007 | 0.015 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.055 | 0.005 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.220 | 0.091 | 0.045 | 0.065 |
| Using public sector source | 0.641 | 0.036 | 328 | 287 | 1.349 | 0.056 | 0.569 | 0.713 |
| Want no more children | 0.219 | 0.009 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.188 | 0.041 | 0.201 | 0.237 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.402 | 0.010 | 3315 | 2930 | 1.122 | 0.025 | 0.382 | 0422 |
| Ideal number of chıldren | 5.941 | 0.056 | 4718 | 4139 | 1.685 | 0009 | 5.829 | 6.053 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.822 | 0.012 | 4859 | 4301 | 1.821 | 0015 | 0.798 | 0.846 |
| Mothers received medical care at birth | 0.264 | 0.018 | 4859 | 4301 | 2.463 | 0.068 | 0.228 | 0300 |
| Had diamhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.233 | 0.008 | 4132 | 3664 | 1.191 | 0.034 | 0.217 | 0.249 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.509 | 0.018 | 953 | 854 | 1.060 | 0.035 | 0.473 | 0.545 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.431 | 0.022 | 953 | 854 | 1.307 | 0.051 | 0.387 | 0.475 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.816 | 0.013 | 899 | 814 | 1.046 | 0.016 | 0.790 | 0.842 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.961 | 0.007 | 899 | 814 | 1.152 | 0007 | 0.947 | 0.975 |
| Received DPT vaccination ( 3 doses) | 0.827 | 0.018 | 899 | 814 | 1.442 | 0.022 | 0.791 | 0.863 |
| Received polto vaccination (3 doses) | 0.812 | 0.017 | 899 | 814 | 1.261 | 0.021 | 0.778 | 0.846 |
| Recerved measles vaccination | 0.845 | 0.016 | 899 | 814 | 1275 | 0019 | 0813 | 0.877 |
| Fully immunised | 0.750 | 0.019 | 899 | 814 | 1.312 | 0.025 | 0.712 | 0788 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.048 | 0.005 | 3691 | 3284 | 1289 | 0.104 | 0.038 | 0.058 |
| Height-for-age | 0.487 | 0.011 | 3691 | 3284 | 1.315 | 0023 | 0.465 | 0.509 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.280 | 0.009 | 3691 | 3284 | 1.169 | 0.032 | 0.262 | 0.298 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 6.861 | 0.137 | NA | 12270 | 1.234 | 0.020 | 6.587 | 7.135 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 38.585 | 2.617 | 8960 | 7920 | 1.139 | 0.068 | 33.351 | 43.819 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 79.348 | 3.784 | 8989 | 7946 | 1.205 | 0.048 | 71.780 | 86.916 |
| Infant mortality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 117.933 | 4.497 | 8990 | 7946 | 1.167 | 0.038 | 108939 | 126927 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 98.131 | 4.275 | 9076 | 8025 | 1.119 | 0.044 | 89.581 | 106.681 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | 204.491 | 5.798 | 9107 | 8052 | 1.147 | 0.028 | 192.895 | 216.087 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.104 | 0.012 | 1151 | 997 | 1.360 | 0.115 | 0.080 | 0.128 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.253 | 0.015 | 1151 | 997 | 1.170 | 0.059 | 0.223 | 0.283 |
| Never mamed (in unton) | 0.417 | 0.018 | 1151 | 997 | 1.271 | 0.043 | 0.381 | 0.453 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.545 | 0.018 | 1151 | 997 | 1.239 | 0.033 | 0.509 | 0.581 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.985 | 0.005 | 637 | 543 | 1.032 | 0.005 | 0.975 | 0.995 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.981 | 0.005 | 637 | 543 | 1.013 | 0.005 | 0.971 | 0.991 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.629 | 0.018 | 637 | 543 | 0.923 | 0.029 | 0.593 | 0665 |
| Currently using any method | 0.294 | 0.017 | 637 | 543 | 0.936 | 0.058 | 0260 | 0.328 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.142 | 0.014 | 637 | 543 | 0.994 | 0.099 | 0.114 | 0170 |
| Currently using pill | 0.062 | 0.009 | 637 | 543 | 0.928 | 0.145 | 0.044 | 0.080 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 637 | 543 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.069 | 0.010 | 637 | 543 | 1.016 | 0.145 | 0.049 | 0.089 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.012 | 0.004 | 637 | 543 | 1.000 | 0.333 | 0004 | 0.020 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.049 | 0.010 | 637 | 543 | 1.188 | 0.204 | 0029 | 0.069 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.049 | 0.008 | 637 | 543 | 0.955 | 0.163 | 0.033 | 0.065 |
| Want no more children | 0.139 | 0.015 | 637 | 543 | 1.127 | 0.108 | 0.109 | 0.169 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.389 | 0.018 | 637 | 543 | 0.934 | 0.046 | 0.353 | 0425 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.573 | 0.116 | 1087 | 949 | 1.137 | 0.018 | 6341 | 6.805 |

NA $=$ Not applicable
Und $=$ Undefined

Table B. 5 Sampling errors - Ceniral province: Zambia 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.079 | 0.011 | 748 | 653 | 1.115 | 0.139 | 0.057 | 0.101 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.306 | 0.025 | 748 | 653 | 1.510 | 0.082 | 0.256 | 0.356 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.228 | 0.015 | 748 | 653 | 0.978 | 0.066 | 0.198 | 0.258 |
| Currently maried (in union) | 0.641 | 0.022 | 748 | 653 | 1.272 | 0.034 | 0.597 | 0.685 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.702 | 0.021 | 578 | 502 | 1.094 | 0.030 | 0.660 | 0.744 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.679 | 0.019 | 578 | 502 | 0.980 | 0.028 | 0.641 | 0.717 |
| Children ever born | 3.033 | 0.112 | 748 | 653 | 1.029 | 0.037 | 2.809 | 3.257 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 7.236 | 0.326 | 101 | 85 | 1.133 | 0.045 | 6.584 | 7.888 |
| Children surviving | 2.562 | 0.098 | 748 | 653 | 1.031 | 0.038 | 2.366 | 2.758 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.998 | 0.002 | 491 | 419 | 0.948 | 0.002 | 0.994 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.995 | 0.003 | 491 | 419 | 1.011 | 0003 | 0.989 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.527 | 0.024 | 491 | 419 | 1.043 | 0.046 | 0.479 | 0.575 |
| Currently using any method | 0.174 | 0.022 | 491 | 419 | 1.256 | 0.126 | 0.130 | 0.218 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.130 | 0.019 | 491 | 419 | 1.266 | 0.146 | 0.092 | 0.168 |
| Currently using pill | 0.067 | 0.014 | 491 | 419 | 1.241 | 0209 | 0.039 | 0.095 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 491 | 419 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.051 | 0.011 | 491 | 419 | 1.128 | 0.216 | 0.029 | 0.073 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.010 | 0.004 | 491 | 419 | 0.920 | 0.400 | 0002 | 0018 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.007 | 0.006 | 491 | 419 | 1.510 | 0.857 | 0.000 | 0019 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.017 | 0.005 | 491 | 419 | 0.903 | 0.294 | 0.007 | 0.027 |
| Using public sector source | 0.629 | 0.048 | 71 | 67 | 0823 | 0.076 | 0.533 | 0.725 |
| Want no more children | 0.309 | 0.022 | 491 | 419 | 1.045 | 0.071 | 0.265 | 0.353 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.313 | 0.010 | 491 | 419 | 0.473 | 0.032 | 0.293 | 0333 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.175 | 0.118 | 627 | 553 | 1.315 | 0.023 | 4.939 | 5411 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.834 | 0.018 | 687 | 587 | 1.111 | 0.022 | 0.798 | 0870 |
| Mothers received medical care at birth | 0.379 | 0030 | 687 | 587 | 1.329 | 0.079 | 0.319 | 0439 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.237 | 0.021 | 595 | 508 | 1.172 | 0089 | 0.195 | 0.279 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.490 | 0045 | 143 | 120 | 0.993 | 0.092 | 0.400 | 0.580 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.198 | 0.038 | 143 | 120 | 1.042 | 0.192 | 0.122 | 0.274 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.722 | 0033 | 104 | 88 | 0.742 | 0.046 | 0.656 | 0788 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.974 | 0.006 | 104 | 88 | 0.406 | 0006 | 0.962 | 0.986 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.816 | 0.038 | 104 | 88 | 0.985 | 0047 | 0.740 | 0892 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0761 | 0.052 | 104 | 88 | 1.219 | 0.068 | 0.657 | 0865 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.863 | 0.044 | 104 | 88 | 1.278 | 0.051 | 0.775 | 0951 |
| Fully immunised | 0.718 | 0.055 | 104 | 88 | 1.215 | 0.077 | 0.608 | 0.828 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.061 | 0.015 | 536 | 458 | 1407 | 0.246 | 0.031 | 0.091 |
| Height-for-age | 0.381 | 0.021 | 536 | 458 | 0.911 | 0.055 | 0.339 | 0.423 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.199 | 0.016 | 536 | 458 | 0900 | 0.080 | 0.167 | 0.231 |
| Total ferulity rate ( 3 years) | 6.251 | 0.452 | NA | 1827 | 1.456 | 0.072 | 5.347 | 7.155 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 29.604 | 6.305 | 1254 | 1071 | 1.214 | 0.213 | 16.994 | 42.214 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 64.949 | 8.996 | 1261 | 1077 | 1.173 | 0.139 | 46.957 | 82.941 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 94.553 | 9.664 | 1261 | 1077 | 1.065 | 0.102 | 75.225 | 113881 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 77.264 | 10.167 | 1267 | 1081 | 1050 | 0.132 | 56.930 | 97.598 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | 164.511 | 14.122 | 1274 | 1087 | 1.178 | 0.086 | 136.267 | 192.755 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.072 | 0.015 | 185 | 157 | 0.808 | 0.208 | 0.042 | 0.102 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.493 | 0.038 | 185 | 157 | 1.021 | 0.077 | 0.417 | 0.569 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.393 | 0.042 | 185 | 157 | 1.177 | 0.107 | 0.309 | 0.477 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.528 | 0.039 | 185 | 157 | 1.073 | 0.074 | 0.450 | 0.606 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 97 | 83 | Und | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 97 | 83 | Und | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.810 | 0.038 | 97 | 83 | 0.949 | 0047 | 0734 | 0.886 |
| Currently using any method | 0.391 | 0.052 | 97 | 83 | 1.053 | 0.133 | 0287 | 0495 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.220 | 0.051 | 97 | 83 | 1.214 | 0.232 | 0.118 | 0322 |
| Currently using pill | 0.111 | 0.038 | 97 | 83 | 1.180 | 0.342 | 0.035 | 0.187 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 97 | 83 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.109 | 0.029 | 97 | 83 | 0.900 | 0266 | 0.051 | 0.167 |
| Currently using female sternlisation | 0.000 | 0.000 | 97 | 83 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.081 | 0.038 | 97 | 83 | 1350 | 0.469 | 0.005 | 0.157 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.054 | 0.025 | 97 | 83 | 1.068 | 0.463 | 0.004 | 0104 |
| Want no more children | 0.202 | 0.055 | 97 | 83 | 1339 | 0.272 | 0.092 | 0.312 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.325 | 0.045 | 97 | 83 | 0.934 | 0.138 | 0.235 | 0.415 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.143 | 0.209 | 184 | 156 | 0.906 | 0.034 | 5.725 | 6.561 |

## NA = Not applicable

Und $=$ Undefined

Table B. 6 Samplingerrors-Copperbelt province: Zambia 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standardentror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.058 | 0.014 | 1129 | 1588 | 2.077 | 0.241 | 0.030 | 0.086 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.434 | 0.026 | 1129 | 1588 | 1.778 | 0.060 | 0.382 | 0.486 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.293 | 0.014 | 1129 | 1588 | 1.008 | 0.048 | 0.265 | 0.321 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.573 | 0.015 | 1129 | 1588 | 1.017 | 0.026 | 0.543 | 0.603 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.666 | 0.020 | 820 | 1158 | 1.218 | 0.030 | 0.626 | 0.706 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.642 | 0.024 | 820 | 1158 | 1.425 | 0.037 | 0.594 | 0.690 |
| Children ever born | 2.821 | 0.087 | 1129 | 1588 | 0.972 | 0.031 | 2.647 | 2.995 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 7.566 | 0.233 | 137 | 196 | 0.923 | 0.031 | 7.100 | 8.032 |
| Children surviving | 2.354 | 0.072 | 1129 | 1588 | 0.949 | 0.031 | 2.210 | 2.498 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.997 | 0.002 | 641 | 910 | 0.963 | 0.002 | 0.993 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.996 | 0.003 | 641 | 910 | 0.970 | 0.003 | 0.990 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.685 | 0.031 | 641 | 910 | 1.697 | 0.045 | 0.623 | 0.747 |
| Currently using any method | 0.298 | 0.018 | 641 | 910 | 1.011 | 0.060 | 0.262 | 0.334 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.201 | 0.017 | 641 | 910 | 1.052 | 0.085 | 0.167 | 0.235 |
| Currently using pill | 0.093 | 0.011 | 641 | 910 | 0.983 | 0.118 | 0.071 | 0.115 |
| Currently using injections | 0.013 | 0.005 | 641 | 910 | 1.096 | 0.385 | 0.003 | 0.023 |
| Currently using condom | 0.038 | 0.009 | 641 | 910 | 1.230 | 0.237 | 0.020 | 0.056 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.053 | 0.010 | 641 | 910 | 1.124 | 0.189 | 0.033 | 0.073 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.026 | 0.006 | 641 | 910 | 0.971 | 0.231 | 0.014 | 0.038 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.032 | 0.009 | 641 | 910 | 1.281 | 0.281 | 0.014 | 0.050 |
| Using public sector source | 0.416 | 0.062 | 165 | 227 | 1.617 | 0.149 | 0.292 | 0.540 |
| Want no more children | 0.324 | 0.020 | 641 | 910 | 1.081 | 0.062 | 0.284 | 0.364 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.343 | 0.016 | 641 | 910 | 0.867 | 0.047 | 0.311 | 0.375 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.694 | 0.110 | 1055 | 1482 | 1.926 | 0.023 | 4.474 | 4.914 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.886 | 0.012 | 954 | 1347 | 1.010 | 0.014 | 0.862 | 0.910 |
| Mothers received medical care at birth | 0.752 | 0.034 | 954 | 1347 | 2.052 | 0.045 | 0.684 | 0.820 |
| Had diarthoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.208 | 0.014 | 828 | 1171 | 1.006 | 0.067 | 0.180 | 0.236 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.551 | 0.034 | 171 | 244 | 0.894 | 0.062 | 0.483 | 0.619 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.307 | 0.043 | 171 | 244 | 1.214 | 0.140 | 0221 | 0.393 |
| Having healh card, seen | 0.859 | 0.024 | 173 | 247 | 0.885 | 0.028 | 0.811 | 0.907 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.995 | 0.005 | 173 | 247 | 0.981 | 0.005 | 0.985 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.916 | 0.024 | 173 | 247 | 1.163 | 0.026 | 0.868 | 0.964 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.916 | 0.019 | 173 | 247 | 0.924 | 0.021 | 0.878 | 0.954 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0895 | 0.023 | 173 | 247 | 1.009 | 0.026 | 0.849 | 0.941 |
| Fully immunsed | 0.841 | 0.026 | 173 | 247 | 0.926 | 0.031 | 0789 | 0.893 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.043 | 0.008 | 741 | 1051 | 1.055 | 0.186 | 0.027 | 0.059 |
| Height-for-age | 0314 | 0.021 | 741 | 1051 | 1.165 | 0.067 | 0.272 | 0.356 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.172 | 0.015 | 741 | 1051 | 1.017 | 0.087 | 0.142 | 0.202 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 5.587 | 0.240 | NA | 43695 | 1.038 | 0.043 | 5.107 | 6.067 |
| Neonatal mortality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 28.666 | 4.577 | 1685 | 2374 | 0.958 | 0.160 | 19.512 | 37.820 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 53.255 | 7.497 | 1688 | 2379 | 1.316 | 0.141 | 38.261 | 68.249 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 81.921 | 7.914 | 1689 | 2380 | 1.108 | 0.097 | 66093 | 97.749 |
| Child morality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 101.782 | 10.266 | 1700 | 2396 | 1.192 | 0.101 | 81.250 | 122.314 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | 175.365 | 11.413 | 1705 | 2404 | 1.074 | 0.065 | 152.539 | 198.191 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.028 | 0.007 | 288 | 396 | 0.739 | 0.250 | 0.014 | 0.042 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.597 | 0.033 | 288 | 396 | 1.148 | 0.055 | 0.531 | 0663 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.500 | 0.028 | 288 | 396 | 0.945 | 0.056 | 0.444 | 0.556 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.440 | 0.027 | 288 | 396 | 0.934 | 0.061 | 0.386 | 0.494 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 128 | 174 | Und | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.992 | 0.008 | 128 | 174 | 0.978 | 0.008 | 0.976 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.799 | 0.032 | 128 | 174 | 0.886 | 0.040 | 0.735 | 0.863 |
| Currently using any method | 0.396 | 0.038 | 128 | 174 | 0.873 | 0.096 | 0.320 | 0.472 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.298 | 0.031 | 128 | 174 | 0.759 | 0.104 | 0.236 | 0.360 |
| Currently using pill | 0.177 | 0.023 | 128 | 174 | 0.683 | 0.130 | 0.131 | 0.223 |
| Currently using injections | 0008 | 0.007 | 128 | 174 | 0.970 | 0.875 | 0.000 | 0.022 |
| Currently using condom | 0.076 | 0.023 | 128 | 174 | 0.981 | 0.303 | 0.030 | 0.122 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.030 | 0.011 | 128 | 174 | 0.694 | 0.367 | 0.008 | 0.052 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.076 | 0.024 | 128 | 174 | 1.036 | 0.316 | 0.028 | 0.124 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.023 | 0.013 | 128 | 174 | 0.990 | 0.565 | 0.000 | 0.049 |
| Want no more children | 0.298 | 0.031 | 128 | 174 | 0.765 | 0.104 | 0.236 | 0.360 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.393 | 0.042 | 128 | 174 | 0.972 | 0.107 | 0.309 | 0477 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.183 | 0.152 | 286 | 394 | 1.121 | 0.029 | 4.879 | 5.487 |
| NA = Not applicable Und $=$ Undefined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table B. 7 Sampling errors - Eastern province: Zambia 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | welg | We |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.327 | 0.037 | 1118 | 1075 | 2.644 | 0.113 | 0.253 | 0.401 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.089 | 0.017 | 1118 | 1075 | 1.980 | 0.191 | 0.055 | 0.123 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.177 | 0.011 | 1118 | 1075 | 0.940 | 0.062 | 0.155 | 0.199 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.711 | 0.018 | 1118 | 1075 | 1333 | 0.025 | 0.675 | 0.747 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.790 | 0013 | 874 | 840 | 0.959 | 0.016 | 0.764 | 0816 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.690 | 0013 | 874 | 840 | 0.836 | 0.019 | 0.664 | 0.716 |
| Children ever born | 3.512 | 0.103 | 1118 | 1075 | 1094 | 0.029 | 3.306 | 3.718 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 7488 | 0.249 | 170 | 161 | 1.020 | 0.033 | 6990 | 7.986 |
| Children surviving | 2.654 | 0075 | 1118 | 1075 | 1.032 | 0.028 | 2.504 | 2.804 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.956 | 0007 | 796 | 764 | 0.974 | 0.007 | 0.942 | 0.970 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.943 | 0008 | 796 | 764 | 1006 | 0.008 | 0.927 | 0.959 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.463 | 0.028 | 796 | 764 | 1.590 | 0060 | 0.407 | 0.519 |
| Currently using any method | 0.211 | 0.020 | 796 | 764 | 1.404 | 0.095 | 0.171 | 0.251 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.106 | 0.014 | 796 | 764 | 1.280 | 0.132 | 0.078 | 0134 |
| Currently using pill | 0.055 | 0.010 | 796 | 764 | 1.240 | 0182 | 0035 | 0075 |
| Currently using injections | 0.003 | 0.000 | 796 | 764 | 0116 | 0000 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Currently using condom | 0.039 | 0.010 | 796 | 764 | 1.453 | 0.256 | 0.019 | 0059 |
| Curently using female sterilisation | 0.008 | 0.003 | 796 | 764 | 1030 | 0.375 | 0002 | 0.014 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0010 | 0.004 | 796 | 764 | 1.178 | 0.400 | 0002 | 0018 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.057 | 0.010 | 796 | 764 | 1.260 | 0.175 | 0037 | 0.077 |
| Using public sector source | 0.685 | 0.063 | 97 | 96 | 1.338 | 0092 | 0559 | 0.811 |
| Want no more chıldren | 0.215 | 0.015 | 796 | 764 | 1.060 | 0070 | 0185 | 0.245 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0414 | 0.023 | 796 | 764 | 1.333 | 0.056 | 0368 | 0460 |
| Ideal number of children | 5778 | 0092 | 1098 | 1056 | 1.372 | 0.016 | 5594 | 5.962 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0864 | 0.011 | 1149 | 1103 | 0.974 | 0.013 | 0842 | 0886 |
| Mothers received medical care at burth | 0.330 | 0.037 | 1149 | 1103 | 2.250 | 0.112 | 0.256 | 0.404 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0270 | 0016 | 981 | 941 | 1.100 | 0.059 | 0.238 | 0.302 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.487 | 0034 | 264 | 254 | 1048 | 0.070 | 0.419 | 0.555 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.529 | 0.043 | 264 | 254 | 1.310 | 0081 | 0.443 | 0615 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.814 | 0.025 | 235 | 226 | 0997 | 0031 | 0.764 | 0864 |
| Recerved BCG vaccination | 0.975 | 0.010 | 235 | 226 | 0.967 | 0.010 | 0.955 | 0.995 |
| Recerved DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.845 | 0031 | 235 | 226 | 1.263 | 0.037 | 0.783 | 0.907 |
| Recerved polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.837 | 0022 | 235 | 226 | 0.883 | 0.026 | 0.793 | 0881 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.866 | 0023 | 235 | 226 | 1.012 | 0027 | 0820 | 0912 |
| Fully immunised | 0.773 | 0029 | 235 | 226 | 1.040 | 0.038 | 0715 | 08.31 |
| Werght-for-height | 0.027 | 0.008 | 902 | 866 | 1444 | 0296 | 0.011 | 0.043 |
| Heaght-for-age | 0481 | 0.021 | 902 | 866 | 1.244 | 0.044 | 0439 | 0523 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.260 | 0.013 | 902 | 866 | 0.857 | 0.050 | 0.234 | 0.286 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 7.058 | 0.245 | NA | 3010 | 1.081 | 0.035 | 6.568 | 7.548 |
| Neonntal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 49676 | 4.583 | 2174 | 2084 | 0.821 | 0092 | 40.510 | 58842 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 81428 | 5.564 | 2187 | 2097 | 0.880 | 0.068 | 70300 | 92556 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 131.104 | 7.105 | 2187 | 2097 | 0.881 | 0.054 | 116.894 | 145.314 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 120.001 | 8.682 | 2209 | 2118 | 1.044 | 0072 | 102.637 | 137365 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | 235.372 | 9.123 | 2222 | 2130 | 0861 | 0.039 | 217.126 | 253618 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0192 | 0.039 | 276 | 254 | 1.623 | 0.203 | 0114 | 0270 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0221 | 0034 | 276 | 254 | 1.358 | 0.154 | 0.153 | 0289 |
| Never mamed (in union) | 0.398 | 0.032 | 276 | 254 | 1071 | 0.080 | 0.334 | 0.462 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.590 | 0034 | 276 | 254 | 1.135 | 0.058 | 0.522 | 0658 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 164 | 150 | Und | 0000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.988 | 0.008 | 164 | 150 | 0.980 | 0.008 | 0.972 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.831 | 0035 | 164 | 150 | 1.204 | 0.042 | 0.761 | 0901 |
| Currently using any method | 0.443 | 0.034 | 164 | 150 | 0878 | 0077 | 0.375 | 0511 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.236 | 0.034 | 164 | 150 | 1025 | 0.144 | 0.168 | 0.304 |
| Currently using pill | 0.079 | 0020 | 164 | 150 | 0.955 | 0.253 | 0.039 | 0119 |
| Currently using injections | 0.007 | 0.007 | 164 | 150 | 1115 | 1000 | 0000 | 0021 |
| Currently using condom | 0.137 | 0.028 | 164 | 150 | 1052 | 0204 | 0.081 | 0.193 |
| Currently using female sterilssation | 0.012 | 0.009 | 164 | 150 | 1016 | 0750 | 0.000 | 0.030 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.081 | 0025 | 164 | 150 | 1.170 | 0309 | 0031 | 0131 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0054 | 0017 | 164 | 150 | 0.942 | 0.315 | 0020 | 0088 |
| Want no more children | 0142 | 0.029 | 164 | 150 | 1.061 | 0204 | 0084 | 0.200 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.343 | 0.024 | 164 | 150 | 0.638 | 0.070 | 0.295 | 0.391 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.046 | 0.287 | 260 | 239 | 1.569 | 0047 | 5.472 | 6.620 |

## NA $=$ Not applicable

Und $=$ Undefined

Table B. 8 Sampling errors - Luapula province: Zambia 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard ertor (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Werghted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.156 | 0.027 | 896 | 726 | 2.196 | 0.173 | 0.102 | 0.210 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.181 | 0.033 | 896 | 726 | 2.579 | 0.182 | 0.115 | 0.247 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.249 | 0.011 | 896 | 726 | 0.780 | 0.044 | 0.227 | 0.271 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.617 | 0.014 | 896 | 726 | 0.835 | 0.023 | 0.589 | 0.645 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.813 | 0.018 | 654 | 529 | 1.150 | 0.022 | 0.777 | 0.849 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.750 | 0.014 | 654 | 529 | 0.805 | 0.019 | 0.722 | 0.778 |
| Children ever born | 3.317 | 0.114 | 896 | 726 | 1028 | 0.034 | 3089 | 3.545 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 7.872 | 0.383 | 127 | 103 | 1.379 | 0049 | 7.106 | 8638 |
| Children surviving | 2.546 | 0.090 | 896 | 726 | 1.008 | 0.035 | 2366 | 2.726 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.996 | 0.002 | 554 | 448 | 0.957 | 0002 | 0.992 | 1000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.989 | 0.005 | 554 | 448 | 1.039 | 0005 | 0.979 | 0.999 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.248 | 0.033 | 554 | 448 | 1.819 | 0.133 | 0.182 | 0.314 |
| Currently using any method | 0.109 | 0.021 | 554 | 448 | 1.616 | 0193 | 0.067 | 0.151 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.067 | 0.015 | 554 | 448 | 1.444 | 0.224 | 0.037 | 0.097 |
| Currently using pill | 0.039 | 0.010 | 554 | 448 | 1.213 | 0.256 | 0.019 | 0.059 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 554 | 448 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.012 | 0.006 | 554 | 448 | 1.208 | 0.500 | 0000 | 0.024 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.014 | 0.005 | 554 | 448 | 1.001 | 0.357 | 0.004 | 0.024 |
| Currently using periodic abstınence | 0.015 | 0.004 | 554 | 448 | 0.690 | 0.267 | 0.007 | 0.023 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.007 | 0.004 | 554 | 448 | 0.984 | 0.571 | 0.000 | 0.015 |
| Using public sector source | 0.698 | 0.072 | 39 | 32 | 0.963 | 0.103 | 0.554 | 0.842 |
| Want no more children | 0.190 | 0.022 | 554 | 448 | 1.296 | 0.116 | 0.146 | 0.234 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.433 | 0.024 | 554 | 448 | 1.134 | 0.055 | 0.385 | 0.481 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.173 | 0.093 | 784 | 635 | 1.237 | 0.015 | 5.987 | 6.359 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.855 | 0.029 | 830 | 671 | 2.016 | 0.034 | 0.797 | 0.913 |
| Mothers received medical care at birth | 0.270 | 0035 | 830 | 671 | 1.895 | 0.130 | 0.200 | 0.340 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.209 | 0.017 | 675 | 545 | 1.059 | 0.081 | 0.175 | 0.243 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.548 | 0.042 | 141 | 114 | 0.967 | 0.077 | 0464 | 0.632 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.262 | 0035 | 141 | 114 | 0.897 | 0.134 | 0192 | 0.332 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.847 | 0.028 | 150 | 121 | 0.937 | 0.033 | 0.791 | 0903 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.987 | 0.009 | 150 | 121 | 1.001 | 0.009 | 0.969 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0908 | 0.037 | 150 | 121 | 1.556 | 0.041 | 0.834 | 0.982 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.901 | 0.042 | 150 | 121 | 1.737 | 0.047 | 0.817 | 0985 |
| Receıved measles vaccination | 0.907 | 0.026 | 150 | 121 | 1.091 | 0.029 | 0.855 | 0959 |
| Fully immunised | 0.881 | 0.038 | 150 | 121 | 1.428 | 0.043 | 0805 | 0.957 |
| Weight-for-height | 0065 | 0.014 | 616 | 498 | 1.398 | 0.215 | 0.037 | 0.093 |
| Height-for-age | 0.577 | 0.014 | 616 | 498 | 0.750 | 0.024 | 0.549 | 0605 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.327 | 0.019 | 616 | 498 | 0.952 | 0.058 | 0289 | 0.365 |
| Total fertibly rate ( 3 years) | 6.832 | 0.248 | NA | 1952 | 1.099 | 0.036 | 6336 | 7.328 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 32.937 | 4510 | 1528 | 1236 | 0.898 | 0.137 | 23.917 | 41957 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 124.834 | 12.319 | 1530 | 1238 | 1.275 | 0099 | 100.196 | 149472 |
| Infant mortality rate (0.9 years) | 157.771 | 11.600 | 1530 | 1238 | 1.081 | 0.074 | 134.571 | 180.971 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 114.462 | 12.852 | 15.54 | 1258 | 1.246 | 0.112 | 88758 | 140.166 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | 254.175 | 15.612 | 1556 | 1259 | 1.129 | 0.061 | 222.951 | 285.399 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.051 | 0.015 | 196 | 151 | 0.930 | 0294 | 0.021 | 0.081 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.302 | 0.045 | 196 | 151 | 1.358 | 0.149 | 0.212 | 0.392 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.449 | 0.045 | 196 | 151 | 1.259 | 0.100 | 0.359 | 0539 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.515 | 0.042 | 196 | 151 | 1.177 | 0082 | 0.431 | 0.599 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 101 | 78 | Und | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 101 | 78 | Und | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.634 | 0.064 | 101 | 78 | 1.321 | 0.101 | 0.506 | 0.762 |
| Currently using any method | 0.268 | 0059 | 101 | 78 | 1.324 | 0.220 | 0.150 | 0.386 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.069 | 0.020 | 101 | 78 | 0.784 | 0.290 | 0.029 | 0.109 |
| Currently using pill | 0049 | 0.020 | 101 | 78 | 0.924 | 0.408 | 0.009 | 0.089 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 101 | 78 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.010 | 0.010 | 101 | 78 | 1.013 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.030 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.010 | 0.010 | 101 | 78 | 1.004 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.030 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.060 | 0.025 | 101 | 78 | 1.046 | 0.417 | 0.010 | 0.110 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.030 | 0.016 | 101 | 78 | 0.937 | 0.533 | 0.000 | 0.062 |
| Want no more children | 0.169 | 0.039 | 101 | 78 | 1.041 | 0231 | 0.091 | 0.247 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.337 | 0.052 | 101 | 78 | 1.102 | 0.154 | 0.233 | 0.441 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.088 | 0.283 | 183 | 141 | 1562 | 0.046 | 5.522 | 6.654 |

NA = Not applicable
Und $=$ Undefined

Table B. 9 Sampling errors - Lusaka province: Zambia 1996

| Varnable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unwe |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ( N ) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.078 | 0009 | 1074 | 1403 | 1.045 | 0.115 | 0060 | 0.096 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.441 | 0.033 | 1074 | 1403 | 2178 | 0.075 | 0.375 | 0507 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.285 | 0.018 | 1074 | 1403 | 1.273 | 0.063 | 0.249 | 0321 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.568 | 0.020 | 1074 | 1403 | 1.302 | 0.035 | 0.528 | 0608 |
| Maried before age 20 | 0569 | 0.030 | 800 | 1045 | 1.692 | 0.053 | 0.509 | 0.629 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.622 | 0.024 | 800 | 1045 | 1.383 | 0.039 | 0.574 | 0.670 |
| Children ever born | 2.545 | 0.116 | 1074 | 1403 | 1.370 | 0.046 | 2.313 | 2.777 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 6.788 | 0.215 | 125 | 164 | 0.752 | 0.032 | 6.358 | 7.218 |
| Children surviving | 2.145 | 0.092 | 1074 | 1403 | 1.267 | 0.043 | 1.961 | 2.329 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.982 | 0.004 | 613 | 796 | 0.784 | 0.004 | 0974 | 0.990 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0980 | 0.005 | 613 | 796 | 0.810 | 0.005 | 0970 | 0.990 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0690 | 0.024 | 613 | 796 | 1.271 | 0035 | 0.642 | 0.738 |
| Currently using any method | 0353 | 0.025 | 613 | 796 | 1.293 | 0071 | 0.303 | 0.403 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.274 | 0.019 | 613 | 796 | 1.054 | 0.069 | 0.236 | 0312 |
| Currently using pill | 0139 | 0.015 | 613 | 796 | 1.071 | 0.108 | 0.109 | 0169 |
| Currently using injections | 0.039 | 0.011 | 613 | 796 | 1377 | 0.282 | 0.017 | 0061 |
| Currently using condom | 0.053 | 0.009 | 613 | 796 | 0.940 | 0.170 | 0.035 | 0.071 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.020 | 0.005 | 613 | 796 | 0.878 | 0250 | 0.010 | 0030 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.035 | 0.009 | 613 | 796 | 1.242 | 0257 | 0.017 | 0.053 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.021 | 0.006 | 613 | 796 | 0978 | 0286 | 0.009 | 0.03 .3 |
| Using public sector source | 0.649 | 0.036 | 219 | 287 | 1.119 | 0.055 | 0.577 | 0.721 |
| Want no more children | 0.328 | 0027 | 613 | 796 | 1415 | 0.082 | 0.274 | 0.382 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.388 | 0.026 | 613 | 796 | 1296 | 0.067 | 0.336 | 0.440 |
| Ideal number of children | 4435 | 0.099 | 1064 | 1389 | 1.567 | 0.022 | 4.237 | 4.633 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.852 | 0.019 | 830 | 1076 | 1.352 | 0.022 | 0.814 | 0.890 |
| Muthers recejved medical care at burth | 0742 | 0.035 | 830 | 1076 | 1.922 | 0.047 | 0672 | 0.812 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0287 | 0.017 | 700 | 909 | 0.949 | 0059 | 0253 | 0.321 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.566 | 0.035 | 200 | 261 | 0.964 | 0.062 | 0.496 | 0.636 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.552 | 0.045 | 200 | 261 | 1.209 | 0082 | 0.462 | 0.642 |
| Having health card, seen | 0808 | 0.034 | 154 | 200 | 1.065 | 0.042 | 0740 | 0876 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 1.000 | 0.000 | 154 | 200 | Und | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.877 | 0.031 | 154 | 200 | 1.157 | 0.035 | 0.815 | 0939 |
| Received polio vaccination ( 3 doses) | 0.837 | 0.041 | 154 | 200 | 1.358 | 0.049 | 0755 | 0919 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0882 | 0.024 | 154 | 200 | 0.903 | 0027 | 0834 | 0930 |
| Fully immunised | 0790 | 0045 | 154 | 200 | 1.371 | 0.057 | 0.700 | 0880 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.030 | 0.006 | 606 | 786 | 0924 | 0200 | 0018 | 0042 |
| Herght-for-age | 0.302 | 0019 | 606 | 786 | 1011 | 0063 | 0.264 | 0340 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.155 | 0.015 | 606 | 786 | 1000 | 0.097 | 0.125 | 0185 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.870 | 0.346 | NA | 3892 | 1.305 | 0.071 | 4.178 | 5562 |
| Neonatal mortadity rate (0-9 years) | 35.470 | 5.817 | 1513 | 1962 | 1.116 | 0.164 | 23836 | 47104 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 64.817 | 6.146 | 1514 | 1963 | 0.889 | 0.095 | 52.525 | 77.109 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 100.287 | 8.453 | 1514 | 1963 | 0.986 | 0.084 | 83.381 | 117.193 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 82.062 | 8.855 | 1524 | 1976 | 1.048 | 0.108 | 64.352 | 99.772 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | 174120 | 12.620 | 1525 | 1977 | 1.098 | 0.072 | 148.880 | 199360 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.052 | 0.014 | 220 | 316 | 0.925 | 0269 | 0.024 | 0.080 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.566 | 0.034 | 220 | 316 | 1.017 | 0060 | 0.498 | 0.634 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.422 | 0.032 | 220 | 316 | 0.967 | 0.076 | 0.358 | 0486 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.504 | 0027 | 220 | 316 | 0796 | 0.054 | 0.450 | 0.558 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.991 | 0.009 | 112 | 160 | 1.035 | 0.009 | 0973 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.991 | 0.009 | 112 | 160 | 1.035 | 0.009 | 0.973 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.900 | 0.026 | 112 | 160 | 0.898 | 0.029 | 0848 | 0.952 |
| Currently using any method | 0.505 | 0.036 | 112 | 160 | 0.758 | 0.071 | 0.433 | 0.577 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.254 | 0.037 | 112 | 160 | 0.897 | 0.146 | 0.180 | 0.328 |
| Currently using pill | 0137 | 0.027 | 112 | 160 | 0.835 | 0.197 | 0.083 | 0.191 |
| Currently using injections | 0.009 | 0.009 | 112 | 160 | 1.025 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.027 |
| Currently using condom | 0.072 | 0.024 | 112 | 160 | 0.958 | 0333 | 0.024 | 0.120 |
| Currently using female stenlisation | 0.016 | 0.012 | 112 | 160 | 0.977 | 0.750 | 0000 | 0040 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.121 | 0.035 | 112 | 160 | 1.128 | 0.289 | 0.051 | 0.191 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.070 | 0.024 | 112 | 160 | 1.008 | 0343 | 0.022 | 0118 |
| Want no more children | 0.233 | 0.044 | 112 | 160 | 1087 | 0.189 | 0.145 | 0.321 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.335 | 0039 | 112 | 160 | 0873 | 0116 | 0.257 | 0.413 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.955 | 0.176 | 218 | 313 | 0966 | 0.036 | 4603 | 5.307 |
| NA = Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Und = Undefined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B. 10 Sampling crrors - Northern province: Zambia_1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design } \\ & \text { effect } \\ & \text { (DEFT) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> ( N ) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.118 | 0.019 | 783 | 872 | 1.670 | 0.161 | 0.080 | 0.156 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.163 | 0.022 | 783 | 872 | 1.677 | 0.135 | 0119 | 0.207 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.230 | 0.017 | 783 | 872 | 1.133 | 0.074 | 0.196 | 0.264 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.634 | 0.021 | 783 | 872 | 1.215 | 0.033 | 0592 | 0.676 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.771 | 0.016 | 579 | 645 | 0.896 | 0.021 | 0.739 | 0.803 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.633 | 0.021 | 579 | 645 | 1.072 | 0.033 | 0.591 | 0.675 |
| Children ever born | 3.298 | 0.093 | 783 | 872 | 0.822 | 0.028 | 3.112 | 3.484 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 7.911 | 0.358 | 100 | 111 | 1.445 | 0.045 | 7.195 | 8.627 |
| Children surviving | 2.636 | 0.080 | 783 | 872 | 0.858 | 0.030 | 2.476 | 2.796 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0996 | 0.004 | 493 | 552 | 1.471 | 0.004 | 0988 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0981 | 0.006 | 493 | 552 | 1.024 | 0.006 | 0.969 | 0.993 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.840 | 0.027 | 493 | 552 | 1.633 | 0.032 | 0.786 | 0.894 |
| Currently using any method | 0.330 | 0.022 | 493 | 552 | 1.020 | 0067 | 0.286 | 0.374 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.080 | 0.016 | 493 | 552 | 1.304 | 0.200 | 0.048 | 0.112 |
| Currently using pill | 0.049 | 0.016 | 493 | 552 | 1.622 | 0.327 | 0.017 | 0.081 |
| Currently using injections | 0.005 | 0.004 | 493 | 552 | 1.186 | 0.800 | 0000 | 0.013 |
| Currently using condom | 0.020 | 0.007 | 493 | 552 | 1.192 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 0.034 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.006 | 0.003 | 493 | 552 | 1.020 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.012 |
| Currently using periodic abstınence | 0.008 | 0.004 | 493 | 552 | 1005 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.016 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.126 | 0.013 | 493 | 552 | 0.845 | 0.103 | 0.100 | 0.152 |
| Using public sector source | 0.727 | 0.079 | 48 | 49 | 1.213 | 0.109 | 0.569 | 0.885 |
| Want no more children | 0.206 | 0.021 | 493 | 552 | 1.152 | 0.102 | 0.164 | 0.248 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.450 | 0.024 | 493 | 552 | 1.058 | 0.053 | 0.402 | 0.498 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.694 | 0.088 | 711 | 789 | 1.080 | 0.015 | 5.518 | 5.870 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.762 | 0.044 | 769 | 863 | 2.371 | 0.058 | 0.674 | 0.850 |
| Mothers received medical care at burth | 0.240 | 0.045 | 769 | 863 | 2.342 | 0.188 | 0.150 | 0330 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.218 | 0.020 | 664 | 744 | 1.254 | 0.092 | 0.178 | 0.258 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.468 | 0.041 | 144 | 162 | 0.931 | 0088 | 0.386 | 0.550 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.212 | 0.050 | 144 | 162 | 1.421 | 0.236 | 0.112 | 0.312 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.716 | 0.043 | 164 | 183 | 1.187 | 0.060 | 0.630 | 0.802 |
| Recerved BCG vaccination | 0.887 | 0.029 | 164 | 183 | 1.164 | 0.033 | 0829 | 0.945 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.695 | 0.053 | 164 | 183 | 1.427 | 0.076 | 0.589 | 0.801 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.678 | 0.046 | 164 | 183 | 1.222 | 0.068 | 0.586 | 0.770 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.714 | 0.048 | 164 | 183 | 1.319 | 0.067 | 0.618 | 0.810 |
| Fully immunised | 0.585 | 0.046 | 164 | 183 | 1.159 | 0.079 | 0.493 | 0.677 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.048 | 0.009 | 598 | 671 | 1.022 | 0188 | 0.030 | 0.066 |
| Height-for-age | 0.573 | 0.028 | 598 | 671 | 1.354 | 0049 | 0.517 | 0.629 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.315 | 0.019 | 598 | 671 | 0.935 | 0.060 | 0277 | 0.353 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 7.227 | 0.353 | NA | 2399 | 1.151 | 0.049 | 6521 | 7.933 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 36.506 | 4.979 | 1403 | 1573 | 0.919 | 0.136 | 26.548 | 46.464 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 88.776 | 7.078 | 1406 | 1576 | 0.922 | 0.080 | 74620 | 102.932 |
| Infant mortahty rate (0-9 years) | 125.282 | 9.121 | 1406 | 1576 | 0.955 | 0.073 | 107040 | 143.524 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 85.679 | 9.554 | 1425 | 1598 | 1.084 | 0.112 | 66.571 | 104.787 |
| Under-five mortality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 200.227 | 14.112 | 1428 | 1601 | 1.103 | 0.070 | 172.003 | 228.451 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.021 | 0.008 | 205 | 221 | 0.757 | 0.381 | 0.005 | 0.037 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.333 | 0.023 | 205 | 221 | 0.700 | 0.069 | 0.287 | 0.379 |
| Never marred (in union) | 0.484 | 0.043 | 205 | 221 | 1.232 | 0.089 | 0.398 | 0570 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.507 | 0.041 | 205 | 221 | 1.181 | 0.081 | 0.425 | 0589 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.969 | 0.017 | 102 | 112 | 0.976 | 0.018 | 0.935 | 1000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.969 | 0.017 | 102 | 112 | 0.976 | 0.018 | 0.935 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0387 | 0.044 | 102 | 112 | 0.910 | 0.114 | 0.299 | 0.475 |
| Currently using any method | 0.176 | 0031 | 102 | 112 | 0.813 | 0176 | 0.114 | 0238 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.075 | 0.019 | 102 | 112 | 0.722 | 0.253 | 0.037 | 0.113 |
| Currently using pill | 0.030 | 0.016 | 102 | 112 | 0.937 | 0.533 | 0000 | 0.062 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 102 | 112 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.045 | 0.021 | 102 | 112 | 1.033 | 0.467 | 0.003 | 0087 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.000 | 0.000 | 102 | 112 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0031 | 0.017 | 102 | 112 | 0.976 | 0.548 | 0.000 | 0.065 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.069 | 0.023 | 102 | 112 | 0.902 | 0.333 | 0.023 | 0.115 |
| Want no more children | 0.165 | 0.040 | 102 | 112 | 1.089 | 0242 | 0.085 | 0.245 |
| Want to delay at least 2 ycars | 0.529 | 0037 | 102 | 112 | 0.737 | 0.070 | 0.455 | 0.603 |
| Ideal number of children | 6818 | 0.245 | 205 | 221 | 1.127 | 0.036 | 6.328 | 7.308 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable <br> Und = Undefined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table-B.ll Sampling errors - North-Westernorovince:_Zambia 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\underline{R+2 S E}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.185 | 0.024 | 567 | 288 | 1.460 | 0.130 | 0137 | 0.233 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.208 | 0.034 | 567 | 288 | 1.997 | 0.163 | 0140 | 0.276 |
| Never mamed (in union) | 0.173 | 0.018 | 567 | 288 | 1.125 | 0.104 | 0.137 | 0209 |
| Currently martied (in union) | 0.683 | 0.023 | 567 | 288 | 1.188 | 0.034 | 0.637 | 0729 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.779 | 0.023 | 453 | 230 | 1.190 | 0.030 | 0733 | 0.825 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.894 | 0.013 | 453 | 230 | 0.877 | 0015 | 0868 | 0.920 |
| Children ever born | 3304 | 0.151 | 567 | 288 | 1.210 | 0.046 | 3.002 | 3.606 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 7.363 | 0.535 | 76 | 39 | 1.466 | 0073 | 6.293 | 8.433 |
| Children surviving | 2.809 | 0.121 | 567 | 288 | 1.097 | 0.043 | 2.567 | 3051 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.987 | 0.005 | 386 | 197 | 0938 | 0005 | 0.977 | 0997 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.966 | 0010 | 386 | 197 | I 124 | 0.010 | 0.946 | 0.986 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.763 | 0.030 | 386 | 197 | 1.376 | 0.039 | 0703 | 0.823 |
| Currently using any method | 0.417 | 0024 | 386 | 197 | 0.968 | 0.058 | 0.369 | 0.465 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.113 | 0012 | 386 | 197 | 0.747 | 0.106 | 0.089 | 0.137 |
| Currently using pill | 0.031 | 0009 | 386 | 197 | 1.053 | 0.290 | 0.013 | 0049 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 386 | 197 | Und | Und | 0000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condom | 0036 | 0.011 | 386 | 197 | 1.217 | 0.306 | 0014 | 0.058 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.047 | 0.012 | 386 | 197 | 1.126 | 0255 | 0023 | 0.071 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.018 | 0.006 | 386 | 197 | 0.919 | 0333 | 0006 | 0.030 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.071 | 0.015 | 386 | 197 | 1.126 | 0.211 | 0.041 | 0101 |
| Using public sector source | 0.445 | 0.100 | 58 | 29 | 1521 | 0225 | 0.245 | 0645 |
| Want no more chuldren | 0.219 | 0.022 | 386 | 197 | 1026 | 0.100 | 0.175 | 0.26 .3 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.420 | 0.020 | 386 | 197 | 0.786 | 0.048 | 0380 | 0.460 |
| ldeal number of children | 5.757 | 0.124 | 566 | 287 | 1.298 | 0022 | 5509 | 6.005 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.817 | 0.024 | 565 | 287 | 1320 | 0029 | 0769 | 0.865 |
| Mothers received medical care at birth | 0.563 | 0.044 | 565 | 287 | 1.762 | 0078 | 0.475 | 0651 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.174 | 0017 | 495 | 252 | 0.985 | 0.098 | 0.140 | 0208 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0595 | 0.076 | 86 | 44 | 1.354 | 0.128 | 0.443 | 0.747 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.619 | 0.070 | 86 | 44 | 1.260 | 0113 | 0479 | 0.759 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.829 | 0.043 | 93 | 47 | 1098 | 0.052 | 0.743 | 0.915 |
| Recerved BCG vaccination | 0.990 | 0.010 | 93 | 47 | 0987 | 0010 | 0970 | 1.000 |
| Recerved DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.837 | 0.042 | 93 | 47 | 1.083 | 0.050 | 0.753 | 0921 |
| Recerved polio vaccination ( 3 doses) | 0869 | 0042 | 93 | 47 | 1.212 | 0.048 | 0785 | 0953 |
| Recerved measles vaccination | 0903 | 0.050 | 93 | 47 | 1.613 | 0.055 | 0803 | 1000 |
| Fully immunised | 0805 | 0.053 | 93 | 47 | 1.279 | 0066 | 0699 | 0.911 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.023 | 0009 | 440 | 224 | 1305 | 0391 | 0.005 | 0.041 |
| Herght-for-age | 0.474 | 0030 | 440 | 224 | 1.187 | 0.063 | 0.414 | 0534 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.271 | 0.024 | 440 | 224 | 1039 | 0089 | 0.223 | 0319 |
| Total fertulity rate ( 3 years) | 6.226 | 0.341 | NA | 812 | 1161 | 0.055 | 5.544 | 6.908 |
| Neonatal mortahty rate (0-9 years) | 32818 | 5.749 | 1013 | 515 | 0.940 | 0.175 | 21.320 | 44.316 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 58.311 | 10.256 | 1015 | 516 | 1.204 | 0.176 | 37.799 | 78823 |
| Infant mortality rate ( 0.9 years) | 91.128 | 12.224 | 1016 | 517 | 1171 | 0134 | 66680 | 115.576 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 68.098 | 9247 | 1021 | 519 | 1061 | 0.136 | 49604 | 86592 |
| Under-five mortality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 153.021 | 13.643 | 1025 | 521 | 1056 | 0089 | 125.735 | 180.307 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.031 | 0.017 | 94 | 48 | 0932 | 0548 | 0.000 | 0.065 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.333 | 0.063 | 94 | 48 | 1.279 | 0189 | 0207 | 0459 |
| Never marted (in union) | 0.194 | 0035 | 94 | 48 | 0854 | 0.180 | 0.124 | 0264 |
| Currently married (in unton) | 0.753 | 0.033 | 94 | 48 | 0.740 | 0.044 | 0.687 | 0.819 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 1.000 | 0.000 | 71 | 36 | Und | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.986 | 0014 | 71 | 36 | 0.977 | 0.014 | 0958 | 1000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.749 | 0.064 | 71 | 36 | 1235 | 0085 | 0.621 | 0877 |
| Currently using any method | 0.526 | 0055 | 71 | 36 | 0.926 | 0.105 | 0.416 | 0636 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.250 | 0.041 | 71 | 36 | 0.784 | 0.164 | 0168 | 0332 |
| Currently using pill | 0.090 | 0.028 | 71 | 36 | 0.811 | 0.311 | 0.034 | 0.146 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 71 | 36 | Und | Und | 0000 | 0000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.145 | 0036 | 71 | 36 | 0.843 | 0248 | 0073 | 0217 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0014 | 0.013 | 71 | 36 | 0964 | 0929 | 0.000 | 0.040 |
| Currently using perrodic abstrnence | 0014 | 0014 | 71 | 36 | 1017 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0042 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.112 | 0.039 | 71 | 36 | 1.041 | 0.348 | 0034 | 0.190 |
| Want no more children | 0200 | 0.053 | 71 | 36 | 1100 | 0265 | 0094 | 0.306 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.533 | 0.073 | 71 | 36 | 1220 | 0137 | 0387 | 0.679 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.300 | 0250 | 89 | 45 | 0940 | 0.040 | 5.800 | 6.800 |

NA $=$ Not applicable
Und $=$ Undefined

## Table B. 12 Sampling errors - Southern province: Zambia 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.100 | 0.016 | 846 | 816 | 1.561 | 0.160 | 0.068 | 0.132 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.215 | 0.031 | 846 | 816 | 2.219 | 0.144 | 0.153 | 0.277 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.245 | 0.014 | 846 | 816 | 0.966 | 0.057 | 0.217 | 0.273 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.635 | 0.021 | 846 | 816 | 1.276 | 0.033 | 0.593 | 0.677 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.695 | 0.020 | 641 | 621 | 1.080 | 0.029 | 0.655 | 0.735 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.797 | 0.022 | 641 | 621 | 1.374 | 0.028 | 0.753 | 0.841 |
| Children ever born | 3.146 | 0.092 | 846 | 816 | 0.902 | 0.029 | 2.962 | 3.330 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 6.841 | 0.254 | 102 | 101 | 0.857 | 0.037 | 6.333 | 7.349 |
| Children surviving | 2.649 | 0.068 | 846 | 816 | 0.788 | 0.026 | 2.513 | 2.785 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.975 | 0.004 | 547 | 518 | 0.583 | 0.004 | 0.967 | 0.983 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.972 | 0.005 | 547 | 518 | 0.669 | 0.005 | 0.962 | 0.982 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.574 | 0.037 | 547 | 518 | 1.742 | 0.064 | 0.500 | 0.648 |
| Currently using any method | 0.219 | 0.020 | 547 | 518 | 1.106 | 0.091 | 0.179 | 0.259 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.090 | 0010 | 547 | 518 | 0.816 | 0.111 | 0.070 | 0.110 |
| Currently using pill | 0.048 | 0.007 | 547 | 518 | 0.756 | 0.146 | 0.034 | 0.062 |
| Currently using injections | 0.005 | 0.005 | 547 | 518 | 1.667 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.015 |
| Currently using condom | 0.029 | 0.007 | 547 | 518 | 1.026 | 0.241 | 0.015 | 0.043 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.004 | 0.003 | 547 | 518 | 1.069 | 0.750 | 0.000 | 0010 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.023 | 0.006 | 547 | 518 | 0.909 | 0.261 | 0.011 | 0035 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.039 | 0.011 | 547 | 518 | 1.341 | 0.282 | 0.017 | 0.061 |
| Using public sector source | 0.650 | 0095 | 66 | 67 | 1.613 | 0.146 | 0.460 | 0.840 |
| Want no more children | 0.249 | 0.019 | 547 | 518 | 1.007 | 0.076 | 0.211 | 0.287 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.418 | 0.025 | 547 | 518 | 1.178 | 0060 | 0.368 | 0.468 |
| Ideal number of children | 5576 | 0.066 | 842 | 812 | 0.846 | 0.012 | 5.444 | 5.708 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.828 | 0.016 | 805 | 764 | 1.074 | 0019 | 0.796 | 0.860 |
| Mothers recerved medical care at birth | 0.278 | 0.035 | 805 | 764 | 1.845 | 0.126 | 0.208 | 0.348 |
| Had diarthoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.224 | 0.019 | 693 | 657 | 1.183 | 0085 | 0.186 | 0.262 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0649 | 0.041 | 155 | 147 | 1.038 | 0.063 | 0.567 | 0731 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.722 | 0.043 | 155 | 147 | 1.167 | 0.060 | 0.636 | 0.808 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.907 | 0.021 | 161 | 153 | 0.921 | 0.023 | 0865 | 0949 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.994 | 0.006 | 161 | 153 | 1.031 | 0.006 | 0.982 | 1.000 |
| Recesved DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.942 | 0.032 | 161 | 153 | 1.734 | 0.034 | 0878 | 1000 |
| Recesved polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.948 | 0.031 | 161 | 153 | 1.745 | 0.033 | 0886 | 1.000 |
| Recerved measles vaccination | 0.968 | 0.015 | 161 | 153 | 1093 | 0.015 | 0.938 | 0.998 |
| Fully immunised | 0.910 | 0.044 | 161 | 153 | 1.952 | 0.048 | 0.822 | 0.998 |
| Weight-for-height | 0035 | 0007 | 597 | 564 | 0.922 | 0.200 | 0.021 | 0.049 |
| Height-for-age | 0.395 | 0.023 | 597 | 564 | 1.108 | 0.058 | 0.349 | 0.441 |
| Weight-for-age | 0211 | 0.022 | 597 | 564 | 1.226 | 0.104 | 0167 | 0255 |
| Total ferthity rate (3 years) | 6.162 | 0.313 | NA | 2257 | 1.162 | 0.051 | 5536 | 6788 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 26.019 | 4355 | 1501 | 1427 | 1.021 | 0.167 | 17.309 | 34.729 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 40221 | 5.186 | 1503 | 1429 | 0.944 | 0.129 | 29849 | 50593 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 66.240 | 7.376 | 1503 | 1429 | 1.044 | 0.111 | 51.488 | 80.992 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 87.934 | 9.783 | 1512 | 1438 | 1.176 | 0.111 | 68.368 | 107.500 |
| Under-five mortality rate ( 0.9 years) | 148.349 | 10.890 | 1514 | 1441 | 1.099 | 0.073 | 126.569 | 170.129 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.056 | 0.020 | 189 | 173 | 1.163 | 0.357 | 0.016 | 0.096 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.336 | 0.040 | 189 | 173 | 1.164 | 0.119 | 0.256 | 0.416 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.401 | 0.045 | 189 | 173 | 1.258 | 0.112 | 0.311 | 0.491 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.551 | 0.047 | 189 | 173 | 1.305 | 0.085 | 0.457 | 0645 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.974 | 0.015 | 106 | 95 | 0.979 | 0.015 | 0944 | 1000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.974 | 0.015 | 106 | 95 | 0.979 | 0.015 | 0.944 | 1.000 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.638 | 0.041 | 106 | 95 | 0.870 | 0.064 | 0556 | 0720 |
| Currently using any method | 0.296 | 0.034 | 106 | 95 | 0.760 | 0.115 | 0228 | 0364 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.178 | 0.039 | 106 | 95 | 1.040 | 0.219 | 0.100 | 0256 |
| Currently using pill | 0.116 | 0.028 | 106 | 95 | 0.888 | 0.241 | 0060 | 0172 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 106 | 95 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.040 | 0022 | 106 | 95 | 1.171 | 0.550 | 0.000 | 0.084 |
| Currently using female stenlisation | 0009 | 0.009 | 106 | 95 | 0.970 | 1.000 | 0000 | 0027 |
| Currently using penodic abstinence | 0.052 | 0.024 | 106 | 95 | 1.094 | 0.462 | 0.004 | 0.100 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0009 | 0008 | 106 | 95 | 0.920 | 0.889 | 0.000 | 0025 |
| Want no more children | 0.142 | 0029 | 106 | 95 | 0.859 | 0.204 | 0.084 | 0.200 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.298 | 0.064 | 106 | 95 | 1.444 | 0.215 | 0.170 | 0.426 |
| ldeal number of children | 6.143 | 0.209 | 180 | 166 | 0888 | 0.034 | 5.725 | 6.561 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable <br> Und = Undefined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B. 13 Samplingerrors - Western province: Zambia 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative emor (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.186 | 0.030 | 860 | 600 | 2.277 | 0.161 | 0.126 | 0.246 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.201 | 0.027 | 860 | 600 | 1.944 | 0.134 | 0.147 | 0.255 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.328 | 0.027 | 860 | 600 | 1.665 | 0.082 | 0.274 | 0.382 |
| Currently married (in union) | 0.497 | 0.029 | 860 | 600 | 1.678 | 0.058 | 0.439 | 0.555 |
| Married before age 20 | 0.573 | 0.025 | 640 | 446 | 1.288 | 0.044 | 0.523 | 0.623 |
| Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | 0.812 | 0.016 | 640 | 446 | 1.056 | 0.020 | 0.780 | 0.844 |
| Children ever born | 2.914 | 0.120 | 860 | 600 | 1.198 | 0.041 | 2.674 | 3.154 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 6.701 | 0.193 | 147 | 103 | 0.809 | 0.029 | 6.315 | 7.087 |
| Children surviving | 2.296 | 0.083 | 860 | 600 | 1.023 | 0.036 | 2.130 | 2.462 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.974 | 0.011 | 428 | 298 | 1.454 | 0.011 | 0.952 | 0.996 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.958 | 0.016 | 428 | 298 | 1.644 | 0.017 | 0.926 | 0.990 |
| Ever used any contraceplive method | 0.482 | 0.026 | 428 | 298 | 1.083 | 0.054 | 0.430 | 0.534 |
| Currently using any method | 0.187 | 0.018 | 428 | 298 | 0.961 | 0.096 | 0.151 | 0.223 |
| Currently using a modern method | 0.087 | 0.016 | 428 | 298 | 1.158 | 0.184 | 0.055 | 0.119 |
| Currently using pill | 0.047 | 0.011 | 428 | 298 | 1.060 | 0.234 | 0.025 | 0.069 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 428 | 298 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.019 | 0.005 | 428 | 298 | 0.813 | 0.263 | 0.009 | 0.029 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.019 | 0.008 | 428 | 298 | 1.190 | 0.421 | 0.003 | 0.035 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0009 | 0.004 | 428 | 298 | 0.781 | 0.444 | 0.001 | 0.017 |
| Curreatly using withdrawal | 0.051 | 0.012 | 428 | 298 | 1.136 | 0.235 | 0.027 | 0.075 |
| Using public sector source | 0.776 | 0.065 | 67 | 47 | 1.257 | 0.084 | 0.646 | 0.906 |
| Want no more children | 0.246 | 0.026 | 428 | 298 | 1.259 | 0.106 | 0.194 | 0.298 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.311 | 0.022 | 428 | 298 | 0.984 | 0.071 | 0267 | 0.355 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.302 | 0.137 | 856 | 597 | 1.465 | 0.022 | 6028 | 6.576 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.867 | 0.017 | 659 | 460 | 1.098 | 0.020 | 0.833 | 0.901 |
| Mothers received medical care at birth | 0.373 | 0.037 | 659 | 460 | 1.690 | 0.099 | 0.299 | 0447 |
| Had diarthoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.233 | 0.019 | 546 | 381 | 1.062 | 0.082 | 0.195 | 0.271 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.559 | 0.043 | 127 | 89 | 0.931 | 0.077 | 0.473 | 0.645 |
| Consulted medical personnel | 0.638 | 0.063 | 127 | 89 | 1.437 | 0.099 | 0512 | 0.764 |
| Having health card, seen | 0.842 | 0.026 | 114 | 80 | 0.729 | 0.031 | 0.790 | 0.894 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.974 | 0.015 | 114 | 80 | 0.978 | 0.015 | 0.944 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.842 | 0.038 | 114 | 80 | 1.102 | 0.045 | 0.766 | 0918 |
| Recerved polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0825 | 0.042 | 114 | 80 | 1.163 | 0.051 | 0.741 | 0.909 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.799 | 0.049 | 114 | 80 | 1.262 | 0.061 | 0.701 | 0897 |
| Fully immunised | 0.737 | 0.056 | 114 | 80 | 1.316 | 0.076 | 0.625 | 0.849 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.053 | 0.008 | 467 | 326 | 0.801 | 0.151 | 0.037 | 0.069 |
| Height-for-age | 0.449 | 0.030 | 467 | 326 | 1.301 | 0.067 | 0.389 | 0.509 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.321 | 0.022 | 467 | 326 | 0.996 | 0.069 | 0.277 | 0.365 |
| Tolal fertility rate (3 years) | 5.532 | 0.252 | NA | 1673 | 1.140 | 0.046 | 5.028 | 6.036 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 55.785 | 8.893 | 1216 | 848 | 1.201 | 0.159 | 37.999 | 73.571 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 73.347 | 9.562 | 1219 | 850 | 1.197 | 0.130 | 54.223 | 92.471 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 129.132 | 13.733 | 1219 | 850 | 1.249 | 0.106 | 101.666 | 156.598 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 82.769 | 8.578 | 1230 | 858 | 0.910 | 0.104 | 65.613 | 99.925 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | 201.213 | 14.776 | 1233 | 860 | 1.124 | 0.073 | 171.661 | 230.765 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.119 | 0.033 | 196 | 132 | 1.441 | 0.277 | 0.053 | 0.185 |
| With secondary education or higher | 0.317 | 0.044 | 196 | 132 | 1.333 | 0.139 | 0.229 | 0.405 |
| Never married (in union) | 0.500 | 0.026 | 196 | 132 | 0.727 | 0.052 | 0.448 | 0.552 |
| Currently maried (in union) | 0.424 | 0.039 | 196 | 132 | 1.109 | 0.092 | 0.346 | 0.502 |
| Knowing any contraceptive method | 0.963 | 0.018 | 83 | 56 | 0.872 | 0.019 | 0.927 | 0.999 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.963 | 0.018 | 83 | 56 | 0.872 | 0.019 | 0.927 | 0.999 |
| Ever used any contraceptive method | 0.517 | 0.054 | 83 | 56 | 0.981 | 0.104 | 0409 | 0.625 |
| Currently using any method | 0.250 | 0.052 | 83 | 56 | 1.077 | 0.208 | 0146 | 0.354 |
| Currently using a modem method | 0.226 | 0.050 | 83 | 56 | 1.073 | 0.221 | 0.126 | 0.326 |
| Currently using pill | 0.141 | 0.044 | 83 | 56 | 1.137 | 0.312 | 0.053 | 0.229 |
| Currently using injections | 0.000 | 0.000 | 83 | 56 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using condom | 0.061 | 0.029 | 83 | 56 | 1.114 | 0.475 | 0.003 | 0.119 |
| Currently using female sterilisation | 0.024 | 0.018 | 83 | 56 | 1.041 | 0.750 | 0.000 | 0.060 |
| Currently using periodic abstinence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 83 | 56 | Und | Und | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Currently using withdrawal | 0.024 | 0.016 | 83 | 56 | 0.953 | 0.667 | 0000 | 0.056 |
| Want no more children | 0.130 | 0.045 | 83 | 56 | 1.216 | 0346 | 0.040 | 0.220 |
| Want to delay at least 2 years | 0.339 | 0.039 | 83 | 56 | 0.739 | 0.115 | 0.261 | 0.417 |
| Ideal number of children | 7.225 | 0.315 | 174 | 117 | 0.831 | 0.044 | 6.595 | 7.855 |

NA = Not applicable
Und $=$ Undefined

Table B. 14 Samoling errors of differences
Sampling errors of the difference between values of selected indicators for currently married women from the 1992 and 1996 ZDHS

|  | Value $\mathrm{R}_{92}$ (1) | $\mathrm{SE}\left(\mathrm{R}_{92}\right)$ <br> (2) | Value $\mathrm{R}_{46}$ (3) | $\operatorname{SE}\left(\mathrm{R}_{96}\right)$ <br> (4) | Coefficient of corтelation (5) | $\underset{(6)}{R_{y_{6}}-R_{92}}$ | $\begin{gathered} S E \\ \left(R_{96}-R_{42}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (7) | Col.(7)/ <br> Col.(6) <br> (8) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Col.(6)- } \\ 2(\text { Col.(7) }) \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Col.(6)+ } \\ 2(\operatorname{Col} .(7)) \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Know a method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.940 | 0.005 | 0.985 | 0.002 | 0.103 | 0.045 | 0.005 | 0.111 | 0.035 | 0.055 |
| Urban | 0.972 | 0.005 | 0.990 | 0.002 | 0.036 | 0.018 | 0.005 | 0.278 | 0.008 | 0.028 |
| Rural | 0.913 | 0.008 | 0.979 | 0.004 | 0.067 | 0.066 | 0.009 | 0.136 | 0.048 | 0.084 |
| Central | 0.751 | 0.029 | 0.997 | 0.003 | 0.491 | 0.246 | 0.028 | 0.114 | 0.190 | 0.302 |
| Copperbelt | 0.992 | 0.003 | 0.997 | 0.002 | 0.360 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.600 | -0.001 | 0.011 |
| Eastern | 0.925 | 0.010 | 0.960 | 0.011 | 0.083 | 0.035 | 0.014 | 0.400 | 0.007 | 0.063 |
| Luapula | 0.941 | 0.017 | 0.997 | 0.003 | 0.150 | 0.056 | 0.017 | 0.304 | 0.022 | 0.090 |
| Lusaka | 0.957 | 0.008 | 0.981 | 0.004 | 0.053 | 0.024 | 0.009 | 0.375 | 0.006 | 0.042 |
| Northern | 0.961 | 0.011 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.039 | 0.011 | 0.282 | 0.017 | 0.061 |
| North-Western | 0.917 | 0.036 | 0.980 | 0.005 | -0.333 | 0.063 | 0.038 | 0.603 | -0.013 | 0.139 |
| Southern | 0.971 | 0.007 | 0.974 | 0.006 | 0.299 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 2.667 | -0.013 | 0.019 |
| Western | 0.956 | 0.018 | 0.967 | 0.017 | 0.589 | 0.011 | 0.016 | 1.455 | -0.021 | 0.043 |
| Know a modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.908 | 0.007 | 0.979 | 0.002 | 0.232 | 0.071 | 0.007 | 0.099 | 0.057 | 0.085 |
| Urban | 0.965 | 0.005 | 0.989 | 0.002 | -0.012 | 0.024 | 0.005 | 0.208 | 0.014 | 0.034 |
| Rural | 0.860 | 0.011 | 0.968 | 0.004 | 0.213 | 0.108 | 0.011 | 0.102 | 0.086 | 0.130 |
| Central | 0.720 | 0.031 | 0.994 | 0.005 | 0.525 | 0.274 | 0.029 | 0.106 | 0.216 | 0.332 |
| Copperbelt | 0.989 | 0.004 | 0.995 | 0.003 | 0.239 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.667 | -0.002 | 0.014 |
| Eastern | 0.897 | 0.012 | 0.949 | 0.011 | 0.296 | 0.052 | 0.014 | 0.269 | 0.024 | 0.080 |
| Luapula | 0.888 | 0.017 | 0.991 | 0.005 | 0.190 | 0.103 | 0.017 | 0.165 | 0.069 | 0.137 |
| Lusaka | 0.947 | 0.008 | 0.979 | 0.005 | 0.019 | 0.032 | 0.009 | 0.281 | 0.014 | 0.050 |
| Northern | 0.839 | 0.035 | 0.979 | 0.005 | 0.678 | 0.140 | 0.032 | 0.229 | 0.076 | 0.204 |
| North-Western | 0.909 | 0.036 | 0.954 | 0.009 | -0.189 | 0.045 | 0.039 | 0.867 | -0.033 | 0.123 |
| Southern | 0.954 | 0.016 | 0.974 | 0.006 | 0.457 | 0.020 | 0.014 | 0.700 | -0.008 | 0.048 |
| Western | 0.854 | 0.039 | 0.948 | 0.023 | 0.472 | 0.094 | 0.035 | 0.372 | 0.024 | 0.164 |
| Ever used a method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.491 | 0.013 | 0.614 | 0.013 | 0.577 | 0.123 | 0.012 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 0.147 |
| Urban | 0.592 | 0.016 | 0.722 | 0.016 | 0.444 | 0.130 | 0.017 | 0.131 | 0.096 | 0.164 |
| Rural | 0406 | 0.018 | 0.495 | 0.021 | 0.546 | 0.089 | 0.019 | 0.213 | 0.051 | 0.127 |
| Central | 0.331 | 0.029 | 0.539 | 0.045 | 0.453 | 0.208 | 0.041 | 0.197 | 0.126 | 0290 |
| Copperbelt | 0.594 | 0.027 | 0.695 | 0.032 | 0.479 | 0.101 | 0.030 | 0.297 | 0.041 | 0.161 |
| Eastern | 0.386 | 0.033 | 0.494 | 0.026 | 0.831 | 0.108 | 0.018 | 0.167 | 0.072 | 0.144 |
| Luapula | 0.186 | 0.036 | 0.238 | 0.059 | 0.493 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 1.000 | -0.052 | 0.156 |
| Lusaka | 0.595 | 0.022 | 0.690 | 0.024 | 0.426 | 0.095 | 0.025 | 0.263 | 0.045 | 0.145 |
| Northern | 0.798 | 0.009 | 0.864 | 0.035 | -0.234 | 0.066 | 0.038 | 0.576 | -0.010 | 0.142 |
| North-Western | 0.481 | 0.033 | 0.730 | 0050 | -0.110 | 0.249 | 0.063 | 0.253 | 0.123 | 0375 |
| Southern | 0.327 | 0.042 | 0.549 | 0.023 | 0.376 | 0.222 | 0.040 | 0.180 | 0.142 | 0.302 |
| Western | 0.620 | 0.033 | 0.500 | 0.030 | 0.136 | -0.120 | 0.041 | -0.342 | -0.202 | -0.038 |
| Currently using a method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.153 | 0.009 | 0.275 | 0.010 | 0.418 | 0.122 | 0.010 | 0.082 | 0.102 | 0.142 |
| Urban | 0.208 | 0.014 | 0.339 | 0.015 | 0.385 | 0.131 | 0.016 | 0.122 | 0.099 | 0.163 |
| Rural | 0.106 | 0.011 | 0.205 | 0.012 | 0.258 | 0.099 | 0.014 | 0.141 | 0.071 | 0.127 |
| Central | 0.093 | 0.023 | 0.178 | 0.041 | 0.273 | 0.085 | 0.041 | 0.482 | 0.003 | 0.167 |
| Copperbelt | 0.190 | 0.016 | 0.299 | 0.020 | 0.415 | 0.109 | 0.020 | 0.183 | 0.069 | 0.149 |
| Eastern | 0.098 | 0.027 | 0.223 | 0.024 | 0.548 | 0.125 | 0.024 | 0.192 | 0.077 | 0.173 |
| Luapula | 0.067 | 0.025 | 0.109 | 0.031 | 0.678 | 0.042 | 0.023 | 0.548 | -0.004 | 0.088 |
| Lusaka | 0.238 | 0.025 | 0.355 | 0.026 | 0.375 | 0.117 | 0.029 | 0.248 | 0.059 | 0.175 |
| Northern | 0.209 | 0033 | 0.374 | 0.031 | 0.009 | 0.165 | 0045 | 0.273 | 0.075 | 0.255 |
| North-Western | 0.095 | 0.019 | 0.406 | 0.035 | 0.423 | 0.311 | 0.032 | 0.103 | 0.247 | 0.375 |
| Southern | 0.085 | 0.018 | 0.223 | 0.024 | 0.731 | 0.138 | 0.016 | 0.116 | 0.106 | 0.170 |
| Western | 0.184 | 0.015 | 0.178 | 0.022 | -0.009 | -0.006 | 0.027 | -4.500 | -0.060 | 0.048 |
| Currently using a modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.087 | 0.007 | 0.169 | 0.008 | 0.513 | 0.082 | 0.007 | 0.085 | 0.068 | 0.096 |
| Urban | 0.151 | 0.013 | 0.245 | 0.012 | 0.380 | 0.094 | 0.014 | 0.149 | 0.066 | 0122 |
| Rural | 0.032 | 0.005 | 0.087 | 0.011 | 0.279 | 0.055 | 0.011 | 0.200 | 0.033 | 0.077 |
| Central | 0.068 | 0.017 | 0.150 | 0.039 | 0.269 | 0.082 | 0.038 | 0.463 | 0006 | 0.158 |
| Copperbelt | 0.133 | 0.019 | 0.205 | 0.017 | 0.489 | 0.072 | 0.018 | 0.250 | 0.036 | 0.108 |
| Eastern | 0.047 | 0.013 | 0.121 | 0.021 | 0.437 | 0.074 | 0.019 | 0.257 | 0036 | 0.112 |
| Luapula | 0.034 | 0.020 | 0.064 | 0.023 | 0.880 | 0.030 | 0.011 | 0.367 | 0.008 | 0052 |
| Lusaka | 0.173 | 0.021 | 0.273 | 0.020 | 0.329 | 0.100 | 0.024 | 0.240 | 0.052 | 0.148 |
| Northern | 0.038 | 0.019 | 0.115 | 0.024 | 0.262 | 0.077 | 0.026 | 0.338 | 0.025 | 0129 |
| North-Western | 0.059 | 0.016 | 0.116 | 0.020 | 0.387 | 0.057 | 0.020 | 0.351 | 0.017 | 0.097 |
| Southern | 0.043 | 0.011 | 0.108 | 0.018 | 0.683 | 0.065 | 0.013 | 0.200 | 0.039 | 0.091 |
| Western | 0.029 | 0.015 | 0.074 | 0.018 | 0.297 | 0.045 | 0.020 | 0.444 | 0.005 | 0.085 |
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The purpose of this Appendix is to provide the data user with a view of the general quality of the ZDHS data. The tables in this appendix refer to possible non-sampling errors: digit preference, rounding or heaping on ccrtain ages or dates; omission of events occurring further in the past; deliberate distortion of information by some interviewers in an attempt to lighten their workloads; non-cooperation of the respondent in providing information or refusal to be measured and weighed, etc. A description of the magnitude of such non-sampling errors is provided in the following paragraphs.

The distribution of the de facto household population by single year of age is presented in Table C.1. The data show very little preference to report ages that end in zeros and fives (age "heaping" or digit preference) that is commonly found in countries where ages are not known well. There is some evidence of irregularities in the age distribution. However, it is difficult to find any pattern to these results and they may be due to random errors.

There is some evidence that interviewers "displaced" women age 15 and 49 years and men age 15 and 59 years outside of the eligible age range (15-49) and (15-59) respectively, presumably in order to avoid the need to interview them. For example, the number of women and men age 15 is substantially lower than the number age 16, 14 and 13 . For women, the number reported at age 14 (572) is more than that reported at age 13 (485) and 178 more than that at age 15 (394). At the other end of the range, the number of women age 49 is lower than the number age 50 . The number of men age 59 is also lower than the number age 60 , implying that interviewers assigned an age of 50 (or 51) and 60 (or 61) to women and men respectively, in order to avoid interviewing them. A comparative study of DHS surveys noted some severe displacement out of the eligible age range (Rutstein and Bicego, 1990).

Differential rates of response by age for female and male respondents are also shown in Table C.2. The data do not indicate any strong pattern of response rates by age. The five-year age distribution of respondents is as expected, namely that the percentage of respondents decreases with age.

Information on the completeness of reporting selected important variables is provided in Table C.3. Overall, the percentage of cases with missing information is extraordinarily low. Month of birth was missing for one percent of births that occurred in the 15 years before the survey and remarkably, both month and year were recorded for all the cases. Age at death was missing for an infinitesimal proportion of non-surviving births. Only for the size of child at birth and the anthropometric measurements are there sizeable proportions for which data are missing. While about 10 percent of births in the last 59 months have missing information on size at birth, 8 percent of children under five were not measured. The missing information on the size of the child at birth could be attributed to the child not having been weighed at birth or interviewer negligence. The computer programs have treated the "don't know" and "not stated" as missing information. The main reason for not measuring children was that the child was not present, either because he/she did not live with the mother or because he/she was not at home. Very few mothers refused to let their children be measured.

According to Tahle C.4, the information on birth dating is of good quality: both month and year of birth were provided for 98 percent of all births and for 99 percent of surviving children. As expected, information on birth dates is more complete for children who were still living at the time of the survey than for those who had died. Still, both month and year of birth were provided for 97 percent of dead children. Sex ratios are somewhat on the low side; the expected value would be 102 to 103 , while those from the ZDHS are often less than 100 . This indicates some possible undercounting of male births.

There is very little evidence of transference of births out of 1991 to earlier years. In fact, the ratio of births in 1991 to the average of the two adjoining years is 94 which shows fewer births in 1991 than the average births of the two adjoining years.

Measurement of childhood deaths through retrospective household surveys often suffers from underreporting of deaths, in particular those deaths which occur very early in infancy. If early neonatal deaths are selectively underreported, the result would be an abnormally low ratio of deaths under seven days to all neonatal deaths and an abnormally low ratio of neonatal to infant mortality. Changes in these ratios over time can be examined to detect the hypothesis that underreporting of early infant deaths is more common for births that occurred longer before the survey.

Table C. 5 shows the distribution of deaths under one month of age by age at death in days, while Table C. 6 shows the distribution of deaths under two years of age by age at death in months. The data suggest that early infant deaths have not been severely underreported in the ZDHS, since the percentage of neonatal deaths occuring in the first 6 days (next-to-last row in Table C.5) and the percentage of infant deaths occurring during the neonatal period (next-to-last row in Table C.6) are reasonable. The former proportions increase over time, implying that some early infant deaths were not reported in the earlier periods; however, much, if not all, of this pattern can be attributed to heaping on 7 days at death, which is more severe for the earlier periods.

Table C. 1 Household are distribution
Single-year age distribution of the de facto household population by sex (weighted), Zambia 1996

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Age | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $<1$ | 741 | 4.0 | 712 | 3.7 | 37 | 157 | 0.8 | 132 | 0.7 |
| 1 | 658 | 3.5 | 719 | 3.7 | 38 | 192 | 1.0 | 184 | 0.9 |
| 2 | 654 | 3.5 | 678 | 3.5 | 39 | 143 | 0.8 | 157 | 0.8 |
| 3 | 607 | 3.3 | 665 | 3.4 | 40 | 155 | 0.8 | 148 | 0.8 |
| 4 | 627 | 3.4 | 618 | 3.2 | 41 | 88 | 0.5 | 104 | 0.5 |
| 5 | 560 | 3.0 | 590 | 3.0 | 42 | 123 | 0.7 | 135 | 0.7 |
| 6 | 661 | 3.6 | 683 | 3.5 | 43 | 118 | 0.6 | 114 | 0.6 |
| 7 | 574 | 3.1 | 544 | 2.8 | 44 | 100 | 0.5 | 108 | 06 |
| 8 | 589 | 3.2 | 544 | 2.8 | 45 | 76 | 0.4 | 81 | 0.4 |
| 9 | 559 | 3.0 | 574 | 3.0 | 46 | 104 | 0.6 | 99 | 0.5 |
| 10 | 584 | 3.1 | 630 | 3.2 | 47 | 77 | 0.4 | 110 | 06 |
| 11 | 483 | 2.6 | 489 | 2.5 | 48 | 92 | 0.5 | 122 | 0.6 |
| 12 | 562 | 3.0 | 585 | 3.0 | 49 | 89 | 0.5 | 108 | 06 |
| 13 | 495 | 2.7 | 485 | 2.5 | 50 | 72 | 0.4 | 121 | 0.6 |
| 14 | 577 | 3.1 | 572 | 2.9 | 51 | 64 | 0.3 | 101 | 0.5 |
| 15 | 409 | 2.2 | 394 | 2.0 | 52 | 70 | 0.4 | 114 | 0.6 |
| 16 | 435 | 2.3 | 470 | 2.4 | 53 | 58 | 0.3 | 94 | 0.5 |
| 17 | 400 | 2.2 | 400 | 2.1 | 54 | 105 | 0.6 | 121 | 0.6 |
| 18 | 420 | 2.3 | 461 | 2.4 | 55 | 56 | 0.3 | 72 | 0.4 |
| 19 | 381 | 2.1 | 405 | 2.1 | 56 | 72 | 0.4 | 117 | 0.6 |
| 20 | 422 | 2.3 | 455 | 2.3 | 57 | 57 | 0.3 | 78 | 0.4 |
| 21 | 331 | 1.8 | 373 | 1.9 | 58 | 59 | 0.3 | 109 | 0.6 |
| 22 | 340 | 1.8 | 353 | 1.8 | 59 | 63 | 0.3 | 63 | 0.3 |
| 23 | 310 | 1.7 | 387 | 2.0 | 60 | 77 | 0.4 | 75 | 0.4 |
| 24 | 337 | 1.8 | 399 | 2.1 | 61 | 70 | 0.4 | 58 | 0.3 |
| 25 | 244 | 1.3 | 275 | 1.4 | 62 | 47 | 0.3 | 57 | 0.3 |
| 26 | 301 | 1.6 | 308 | 1.6 | 63 | 54 | 0.3 | 56 | 0.3 |
| 27 | 263 | 1.4 | 273 | 1.4 | 64 | 97 | 0.5 | 66 | 0.3 |
| 28 | 336 | 1.8 | 334 | 1.7 | 65 | 57 | 0.3 | 42 | 02 |
| 29 | 185 | 1.0 | 185 | 1.0 | 66 | 45 | 0.2 | 56 | 0.3 |
| 30 | 223 | 1.2 | 247 | 1.3 | 67 | 36 | 0.2 | 41 | 0.2 |
| 31 | 174 | 0.9 | 246 | 1.3 | 68 | 56 | 0.3 | 59 | 0.3 |
| 32 | 287 | 1.5 | 274 | 1.4 | 69 | 46 | 0.2 | 37 | 0.2 |
| 33 | 189 | 1.0 | 182 | 0.9 | 70+ | 327 | 1.8 | 217 | 1.1 |
| 34 | 199 | 1.1 | 207 | 1.1 | Don't know/ missing | w/ 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 00 |
| 35 | 162 | 0.9 | 154 | 08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 192 | 1.0 | 185 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total 18 | 18,575 | 100.0 | 19,407 | 1000 |

## Table C.2_Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women and men

Percent distribution of the de facto household population of women age 10-54 and men age 10-69, five-year age distribution of interviewed women age 15.49 and men age $15-59$, and the percentage of eligible women and men who were interviewed (weighted) by five-year age groups, Zambia 1996

| Age | Household population |  | Persons interviewed |  | Percent interviewed (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.14 | 2,761 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15.19 | 2,129 | 24.9 | 2,043 | 24.7 | 95.9 |
| 20-24 | 1,967 | 23.0 | 1,902 | 23.0 | 96.7 |
| 25-29 | 1,375 | 16.1 | 1,333 | 16.1 | 96.9 |
| 30-34 | 1,156 | 13.5 | 1,127 | 13.6 | 97.5 |
| 35-39 | 811 | 9.5 | 781 | 9.4 | 96.2 |
| 40-44 | 609 | 7.1 | 583 | 7.0 | 95.7 |
| 45-49 | 520 | 6.1 | 506 | 6.1 | 97.3 |
| 50-54 | 549 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15-49 | 8,568 | 100.0 | 8,274 | 100.0 | 96.6 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 721 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15-19 | 522 | 24.3 | 479 | 24.8 | 91.8 |
| 20-24 | 459 | 21.3 | 417 | 21.6 | 90.8 |
| 25-29 | 316 | 14.7 | 274 | 14.2 | 86.6 |
| 30-34 | 267 | 12.4 | 235 | 12.2 | 87.9 |
| 35.39 | 208 | 9.7 | 189 | 9.8 | 91.1 |
| 40-44 | 147 | 6.8 | 130 | 6.7 | 88.1 |
| 45-49 | 95 | 4.4 | 84 | 4.3 | 87.9 |
| 50-54 | 77 | 3.6 | 67 | 3.5 | 87.8 |
| 55-59 | 60 | 2.8 | 56 | 2.9 | 93.5 |
| 60-64 | 107 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 65+ | 79 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15-59 | 2,152 | 100.0 | 1,932 | 100.0 | 89.8 |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who slept in the household the night before the interview.
NA $=$ Not applicable

## Table C3 Completeness of ceporting

Percentage of observations missing information for selected demographic and health questions (weighted), Zambia 1996

| Subject | Reference group | Percentage missing information | Number of cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth date | Births in last 15 years |  |  |
| Month only |  | 1.02 | 17,535 |
| Month and year |  | 0.00 | 17,535 |
| Age at death | Deaths to births in last 15 years | 0.19 | 3,076 |
| Age/date at first union ${ }^{1}$ | Ever-married women | 0.49 | 5,989 |
| Respondent's education | All women | 0.02 | 8,021 |
| Child's size at bith | Births in last 35 months | 9.53 | 3,396 |
| Anthropometry ${ }^{2}$ | Living children age 0-35 months |  |  |
| Heaght missing |  | 7.94 | 6,109 |
| Weight missing |  | 7.35 | 6,109 |
| Height or weight missing |  | 8.05 | 6,109 |
| Diarrhoea in last 2 weeks | Living children age 0-35 months | 3.55 | 6,109 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Both year and age missing <br> ${ }^{2}$ Child not measured |  |  |  |

## Table C. 4 Births by calendar years

Distribution of births by calendar years for living (L), dead (D), and all (T) children, according to reporting completeness, sex ratio at birth, and ratio of births by calendar year, Zambia 1996

|  | Number of births |  |  | Percentage with complete burth date ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |  |  | Sex ratio at birth ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Calendar ratio ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T |
| 96 | 1,242 | 241 | 1,483 | 99.5 | 97.7 | 99.2 | 93.5 | 93.2 | 93.5 | NA | NA | NA | 600 | 116 | 716 | 642 | 125 | 766 |
| 95 | 1,172 | 247 | 1,419 | 99.7 | 97.5 | 99.3 | 849 | 110.4 | 88.9 | 99.2 | 96.0 | 98.6 | 538 | 130 | 668 | 634 | 117 | 751 |
| 94 | 1.121 | 274 | 1,395 | 99.3 | 98.2 | 99.1 | 1017 | 124.7 | 1058 | 105.6 | 123.5 | 1087 | 565 | 152 | 717 | 556 | 122 | 678 |
| 93 | 951 | 197 | 1,148 | 99.7 | 96.0 | 99.0 | 891 | 87.2 | 88.8 | 85.6 | 66.6 | 816 | 448 | 92 | 540 | 503 | 105 | 608 |
| 92 | 1.100 | 317 | 1,417 | 99.1 | 98.3 | 98.9 | 928 | 110.3 | 96.5 | 117.3 | 144.5 | 122.5 | 530 | 166 | 696 | 571 | 151 | 721 |
| 91 | 925 | 242 | 1,167 | 99.2 | 95.0 | 98.3 | 927 | 124.2 | 985 | 944 | 92.8 | 94.0 | 445 | 134 | 579 | 480 | 108 | 588 |
| 90 | 861 | 204 | 1.065 | 98.6 | 97.5 | 98.4 | 101.5 | 77.9 | 96.5 | 963 | 94.7 | 960 | 434 | 89 | 523 | 427 | 115 | 542 |
| 89 | 863 | 189 | 1,052 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 97.4 | 122.2 | 101.4 | 102.2 | 88.8 | 995 | 426 | 104 | 530 | 437 | 85 | 522 |
| 88 | 827 | 222 | 1,049 | 99.0 | 97.7 | 98.8 | 104.3 | 118.8 | 107.2 | 100.1 | 116.5 | 103.2 | 422 | 120 | 543 | 405 | 101 | 506 |
| 87 | 789 | 192 | 980 | 98.6 | 97.3 | 98.3 | 94.9 | 1227 | 99.8 | NA | NA | NA | 384 | 106 | 490 | 405 | 86 | 491 |
| 92-96 | 5,586 | 1.276 | 6,862 | 99.5 | 97.6 | 99.1 | 92.3 | 105.8 | 94.7 | NA | NA | NA | 2,681 | 656 | 3,337 | 2,905 | 620 | 3,525 |
| 87.91 | 4,265 | 1.048 | 5,313 | 989 | 972 | 98.5 | 98.0 | 111.8 | 100.6 | NA | NA | NA | 2,111 | 553 | 2,664 | 2,154 | 495 | 2,649 |
| 82-86 | 3,299 | 744 | 4,043 | 98.9 | 95.9 | 98.3 | 96.7 | 101.2 | 97.5 | NA | NA | NA | 1,622 | 374 | 1,996 | 1.677 | 370 | 2,047 |
| 77.81 | 2,286 | 567 | 2,853 | 98.2 | 94.8 | 97.5 | 1036 | 119.8 | 106.6 | NA | NA |  | 1,163 | 309 | 1,472 | 1,123 | 258 | 1,381 |
| $<77$ | 1.940 | 704 | 2,644 | 98.3 | 957 | 97.6 | 1129 | 107.2 | 1114 | NA | NA | NA | 1,029 | 364 | 1,393 | 911 | 340 | 1.251 |
| All | 17,375 | 4,339 | 21,715 | 98.9 | 96.5 | 98.4 | 98.1 | 108.4 | 100.1 | NA | NA | NA | 8,606 | 2,257 | 10,863 | 8.769 | 2,083 | 0,852 |

NA = Not applıcable
${ }^{1}$ Both year and month of birth given
${ }^{2}\left(B_{n} / B_{1}\right)^{*} 100$. where $B_{m}$ and $B_{f}$ are the numbers of male and female births, respectively
${ }^{3}\left[2 B_{x} /\left(B_{x-1}+B_{x+1}\right)\right]^{*} 100$, where $B_{x}$ is the number of biriths in calendar year $x$

| Table C. 5 Reporting of age at death in days |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution of reported deaths under one month of age by age at death in days and the percentage of neonatal deaths reported to occur at ages 0-6 days, for five-year periods preceding the survey, Zambia 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age at death (in days) | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 0-19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |  |
| <1 | 54 | 43 | 42 | 21 | 159 |
| 1 | 38 | 33 | 15 | 14 | 100 |
| 2 | 23 | 18 | 10 | 17 | 69 |
| 3 | 22 | 16 | 17 | 5 | 60 |
| 4 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 28 |
| 5 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 20 |
| 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | , | 11 |
| 7 | 29 | 33 | 30 | 27 | 119 |
| 8 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | 36 | 29 | 21 | 15 | 102 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 20 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 21 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 40 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | , |
| 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 28 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 30 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Total 0-30 | 249 | 219 | 174 | 122 | 765 |
| Percent neonatal ${ }^{1}$ | 62.8 | 59.1 | 56.4 | 51.8 | 58.5 |
| ${ }^{1}(0-6$ days/ $0-30$ days) $* 100$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table C. 6 Reporting of age at death in months

Distribution of reported deaths under two years of age by age at death in months and the percentage of infant deaths reported to occur at ages under one month, for five-year periods preceding the survey, Zambia 1996

| Age at death (in months) | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 0-19 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |  |
| $<1^{\text {a }}$ | 251 | 219 | 174 | 123 | 767 |
| 1 | 52 | 37 | 32 | 21 | 141 |
| 2 | 39 | 40 | 31 | 18 | 128 |
| 3 | 65 | 34 | 20 | 21 | 140 |
| 4 | 51 | 47 | 27 | 12 | 136 |
| 5 | 33 | 28 | 18 | 14 | 93 |
| 6 | 38 | 52 | 39 | 29 | 158 |
| 7 | 40 | 33 | 13 | 12 | 97 |
| 8 | 45 | 36 | 40 | 17 | 138 |
| 9 | 43 | 45 | 20 | 16 | 125 |
| 10 | 24 | 31 | 7 | 11 | 72 |
| 11 | 33 | 24 | 10 | 4 | 71 |
| 12 | 41 | 46 | 35 | 21 | 143 |
| 13 | 12 | 9 | 16 | 3 | 40 |
| 14 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 6 | 44 |
| 15 | 17 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 41 |
| 16 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 41 |
| 17 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 23 |
| 18 | 22 | 33 | 17 | 19 | 91 |
| 19 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 36 |
| 20 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 43 |
| 21 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 25 |
| 22 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 18 |
| 23 | 3 | 5 | 5 | I | 14 |
| 24+ | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| 1 year | 50 | 59 | 32 | 38 | 179 |
| Total 0-11 | 713 | 626 | 430 | 296 | 2,065 |
| Percent neonatal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 35.2 | 35.0 | 40.5 | 41.4 | 37.1 |
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## APPENDIX E

## QUESTIONNAIRE




HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE
Now we would like some information about the people who usually live in your household or who are staying with you now.



| NO. | Questions and filters | COOING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | What is the main source of drinking water for menbers of your household? | PIPED WATER <br> PIPED INTO HOME OR PLOT....... $11 \xrightarrow{\mid} 19$ <br> PUBLIC TAP......................... 12 <br> WELL HATER <br> WELL IN RESIDENCE/YARD/PLOT.. 21 <br> PUBLIC SHALLOW WELL............ 22 <br> PUBLIC TRADITIONAL WELL....... 23 <br> PUBLIC BOREHOLE................. . . 24 <br> SURFACE WATER <br> SPRING.............................. 31 <br> RIVER/STREAM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 32 <br> POND/LAKE. ......................... 33 <br> RAINWATER........................... $41 \longrightarrow 19$ <br> tanker truck. $\qquad$ <br> bottled hater. <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |
|  | How long does it take to go there, get water, and come back? | MINUTES. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> ON PREMISES. $\qquad$ |
|  | What kind of toilet facility does your household have? | flush toilet <br> OWN FLUSH TOILET................. 11 <br> SHARED FLUSH TOILET............ 12 <br> PIT TOJLET/LATRINE <br> TRADITIONAL PIT TOILET........ 21 VENTILATED IMPROVED PIT <br> (VIP) LATRINE................ 22 <br> NO FACILITY/BUSH/FIELD.......... 31 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |
|  | Does your household have: Electricity? A radio? A television? A refrigerator? |  |
|  | How many rooms in your household are used for sleeping? | Rooms. .................... $\square$ |
|  | main materjal of the floor. <br> RECORD OBSERVATION. | NATURAL FLOOR <br> EARTH/SAND/MUD.................... 11 <br> RUDIMENTARY FLOOR <br> WOOD PLANKS/BOARDS............. 21 <br> FINISHED FLOOR <br> WOODEN TILE...................... 31 <br> CERAMIC/TERRAZO/ <br> marble tile...................... 32 <br> CEMENT/CONCRETE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |
|  | Ooes any member of your household oun: <br> A bicycle? <br> A motorcycle? <br> A car? |  |
|  | We would like to check whether the salt used in your household is iodized. May we see a sample of the salt used to cook meal eaten by members of your household last night? |  |
| 25 | RECORD ICOATE SCORE |  |




## SECTION 1. RESPONDENT'S BACKGROUND

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILIERS | CODING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | RECORD THE TIME. | HOUR.................... <br> MInUTES $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. |
|  | First I would like to ask some questions about you and your household. For most of the time until you were 12 years old, did you live in a city, in a town, or in a village? |  |
| 103 | How long have you been living contimuously in (NAME OF CURRENT PLACE OF RESIDENCE)? | YEARS. $\qquad$ <br> ALHAYS. $\qquad$ <br> VISITOR. $\qquad$ 105 |
|  | Just before you moved here, did you live in a city, in a town, or in a village? |  |
| 105 | In what month and year were you born? | MONTH $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW MONTH. $\qquad$ YEAR $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T XNOU YEAR. .98 |
| 106 | How old were you at your last birthday? compare and correct 105 And/or 106 If INCONSISTENT. | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS..... $\square$ |
| 107 | Have you ever attended school? |  |
|  | What is the highest level of school you attended: primary, secondary, or higher? |  |
| 109 | How many years did you complete at that level? COMMENT | YEARS.................. |
| 110 | CHECK 106: $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE } 24 \\ & \text { OR BELOW AGE 25 } \\ & \text { OR ABOVE } \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow_{113}}$ |
|  | Are you currently attending school? |  |
| 112 | What was the main reason you stopped attending school? | GOT PREGNANT. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 01 GOT MARRIED. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 02 <br> TO CARE FOR YOUNGER CHILDREN... 03 FAMILY NEEDED HELP ON FARM <br> OR IN BUSINESS................... 04 <br> COULD NOT PAY SCHOOL FEES...... 05 <br> NEEDED TO EARN MONEY............. 06 <br> GRADUATED/HAD ENOUGH SCHOOLING. 07 <br> DID NOT PASS ENTRANCE EXAMS.... 08 <br> DID NOT LIKE SCHOOL............... 09 <br> SCHOOL NOT ACCESSIBLE/TOO FAR.. 10 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DONT KKON. <br> DONT KKOW............................ . . 98 |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | co 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113 | CRECK 108: <br> PRIMARY <br> SECONDARY <br> OR HIGHER |  | $\rightarrow 115$ |
| 114 | Can you read and understand a letter or newspaper easily, with difficulty, or not at all? |  | $116$ |
| 115 | How of ten do you usually read a newspaper or magazine? Would you say every day, every other day, at least once a week, at least once a month, a few times a year. or never? <br> CIRCLE ONLY ONE ANSWER. | EVERYDAY. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 EVERY OTHER DAY..................... 2 at least once a week............... 3 AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH............. 4 FEW TIMES A YEAR.................... 5 NEVER. |  |
| 116 | How of ten do you usually listen to a radio? Hould you say every day, every other day, at least once a week, at least once a month, a few times a year, or never? <br> CIRCLE ONLY ONE ANSWER. | EVERYDAY. <br> EVERY OTHER DAY...................... 2 <br> AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK............... 3 <br> AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH............. 4 <br> FEW TIMES A YEAR.................... 5 <br> NEVER................................... . . 6 |  |
| 117 | How often do you usually watch television? <br> Would you say every day, every other day, at least once a week, at least once a month, a feu times a year, or never? <br> CIRCLE ONLY ONE ANSWER. | EVERYDAY. EVERY OTHER DAY................................... 2 AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK................ 3 AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH............. 4 FEW TIMES A YEAR..................... 5 NEVER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6 |  |
| 118 | What religion are you? | CATHOLIC................................ . 1 <br> PROTESTANT.-............................. 2 <br> MUSLIM.................................... 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 119 | What tribe do you belong to? | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |  |
| 120 | CHECK COLUMN (8) INTERVIEWER'S ASSIGNMENT SHEET <br> the woman interviewed <br> IS NOT A USUAL RESIDENT <br> the woman interviewed <br> IS A USUAL <br> RESIDENT $\square$ |  | $\rightarrow 201$ |
| 121 | Now I would like to ask about the place in which you usually live. <br> What is the name of the place in which you usually live? <br> (NAME OF PLACE) <br> Is that a city, town, or village? |  |  |
| 122 | In which province is that located? | CENTRAL. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 01 <br> COPPERBELT. ......................... 02 <br> EASTERN...-.-...................... . . . 03 <br> LUAPULA. . . . ................... . . . . . . 04 <br> LUSAKA............................. . . . . 05 <br> NORTHERN. . . . ........................ . . . 06 <br> NORTH-WESTERN. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 07 <br> SOUTHERN. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 08 <br> WESTERN. . . . . ........................ . . 09 <br> OUTSIDE ZAMBIA...................... 10 |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CCDING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123 | Now I would like to ask about the household in which you usually live. <br> What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? |  |
|  | How long does it take to go there, get water, and come back? | MINUTES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> ON PREMISES $\qquad$ |
|  | What kind of toilet facility does your household have? | FLUSH TOILET <br> OWN FLUSH TOILET.................. 11 <br> SHARED FLUSH TOILET............ 12 <br> PIT TOILET/LATRINE <br> TRADITIONAL PIT TOILET........ 21 <br> VENTILATED IMPROVED PIT <br> (VIP) LATRINE................. 22 <br> NO FAC!LITY/BUSH/FIELD.......... 31 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |
| 126 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Does your household have: } \\ & \text { Electricity? } \\ & \text { A radio? } \\ & \text { A television? } \\ & \text { A refrigerator? }\end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
|  | \|ly $\begin{aligned} & \text { How many rooms in your household } \\ & \text { are used for sleeping? }\end{aligned}$ | ROOMS.................... $\square$ |
|  | Could you describe the main material of the floor of your home? | NATURAL FLOOR <br> EARTH/SAND/MUD. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11 <br> RUDIMENTARY FLOOR <br> HOOD PLANXS/BOARDS............... 21 <br> FINISHED FLOOR <br> HOODEN TILE........................ 31 <br> CERAMIC/TERRAZO/ <br> MARBLE TILE........................ 32 <br> CEMENT/CONCRETE.................... . 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |
|  | Does any member of your household own: <br> A bicycle? <br> A motorcycle? <br> A car? |  |



211 Now I would like to record the names of all your births, whether still alive or not, starting with the first one you had.
RECORD NWMES OF ALL THE BIRTHS IN 212. RECORD THINS AND TRIPLETS ON SEPARATE LINES.

| 212 |  |  |  |  | 217 if Alive: | $\begin{aligned} & 218 \\ & \text { IF ALIVE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 219 \\ & I F \text { DEAD: } \end{aligned}$ | $220$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What name was given to your (first/next) baby? <br> (NAME) | Were any of these births twins? | Is (NAME) a boy or a girl? | In what month and year was (HAME) born? <br> PROBE: <br> What is his/ her birthday? OR: In what season was he/she born? |  | How old was <br> (NAME) at <br> his/her last birthday? <br> RECORD <br> AGE IN <br> COMPLETED <br> YEARS. | Is (NAME) living with you? | How old was (NAME) when he/she died? <br> IF '1 YR.', PROBE: How many months old was (NAME)? RECORD DAYS IF LESS THAN 1 MONTH; MONTHS IF LESS THAN TWO YEARS; OR YEARS. | FROM <br> yEAR of BIRTK OF (NAME) SUBTRACT yEAR OF PREvIOUS BIRTH. <br> IS THE DIfFERENCE 4 OR MORE? | Here there any other live births betweer (NAME OF <br> PREVIOUS BIRTH) and (NAME)? |
| $01$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING.. } 1 \\ & \text { MULT.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | BOY... 1 | MONTH. . <br> YEAR... $\square$ | YEs. . 1 No... 2 1 \% 219 | AGE IS <br> YEARS <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ | YES...1 NO.... 2 (nEXI BIRTH) |  |  |  |
| $02$ | SING.. 1 <br> mult.. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY... } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH. <br> YEAR... $\square$ |  | AGE IN YEARS | YES...1 NO.... 2 (60 TO. $220)$ |  | YES.... 1 NO..... ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (NEXT $\substack{\text { BIRTH) }}$ | YES. . 1 No... 2 |
| $03$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING.. } 1 \\ & \text { MULT.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY... } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH. . <br> YEAR... $\square$ | YES.. 1 | age in YEARS | YES... ${ }^{1}$ NO.... 2 (GO TO, $220)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.... } \\ & \text { NO...... } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { NEXT } \\ \text { BIRTH) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | YES.. 1 NO... 2 |
| $04$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING. . } 1 \\ & \text { MULT.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY... } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH. . <br> YEAR... $\square$ | YES. . 1 NO. . 2 1 219 | AGE IN YEARS | YES... NO.... 2 $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { (GO TOA } \\ 220)\end{array}\right]$ |  |  | YES. . 1 NO... 2 |
| $05$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING. . } 1 \\ & \text { MLLT.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY... } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH. . <br> YEAR... $\square$ | YES.. 1 | AGE IN YEARS | YES... NO.... 2 (GO TO4 $220)$ |  | YES.... 1 <br> NO..... 2 <br> $\begin{array}{c}\text { (NEXT } \\ \text { BIRTH) }\end{array}$ | YES.. 1 No... 2 |
| $06$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sING. } 1 \\ & \text { MLLT. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY... } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH. . YEAR... $\square$ | YES.. 1 | age in YEARS | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { YES ... } \\ \text { NO. . . . } 2 \\ (G O \text { TO } \\ 220) \end{array}\right]$ |  | YES.... NO.....2 (MEXT BIRTH) | YES.. 1 NO... 2 |
| $07$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING. . } 1 \\ & \text { MULT. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY. . } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH. . YEAR... $\square$ | YES..1 NO. . 2 ! \% 219 | AGE IN YEARS | YES . . 1 NO....2 $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { (00 T0, } \\ 220)\end{array}\right]$ |  | YES....1 | YES.. ${ }^{\text {No... } 2}$ |


| 212 | 213 | $214$ | $215$ | $216$ | $217$ <br> If ALIVE: | $\begin{aligned} & 218 \\ & \text { IF ALIVE } \end{aligned}$ | $219$ <br> IF DEAD: | $220$ | 221 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What name was given to your next baby? <br> (NAME) | Were <br> any of these births twins? | Is <br> (NAME) <br> a boy <br> or a <br> girl? | In what month and year was (NAME) born? <br> PROBE : <br> What is his/ her birthday? <br> OR: In what season was he/she born? | Is <br> (NAME) <br> still <br> alive? | How old was (RAME) at his/her last birthday? <br> RECORD <br> AGE IN <br> COMPLETED YEARS. | Is <br> (NAME) <br> living with you? | How old was (NAME) when he/she died? <br> IF 11 YR.', PROBE: How many months old was (NAME)? RECORD DAYS IF LESS THAN 1 MONTH; MONTHS IF LESS THAN TWO YEARS; OR YEARS. | FROM YEAR OF BIRTH OF (NAME) SUBTRACT YEAR OF PREVIOUS BIRTH. IS THE DIFFERENCE 4 OR MORE? | Were there any other live births between (NAME OF PREVIOUS BIRTH) and NAME)? |
| 08. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING.. } 1 \\ & \text { MULT.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | BOY. . . 1 GIRL. . 2 | MONTH. . | YES.. 1 | AGE IN YEARS $\square$ $\square$ | YES ... 1 NO....2 (GO TO. 220) | DAYS.... 1 <br> MONTHS. . 2 <br> YEARS... 3 | YES.... 1 <br> NO. . . . . 2 <br> (NEXT <br> BIRTH) |  |
| 09. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING.. } 1 \\ & \text { MULT.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | BOY. . . 1 GIRL. . 2 | MONTH. . <br> YEAR. . . | YES.. 1 | AGE IN YEARS $\square \square$ $\square$ | YES... NO. . . 2 (GO TO. 220) |  | YES.... 1 <br> NO. . . . . 2 <br> (NEXT BIRTH) | YES. 1 NO. . 22 |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING.. } 1 \\ & \text { MULT... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | BOY... 9 GIRL. . 2 | MONTH. . <br>  <br> YEAR . . . | YES.. 1 | AGE IN YEARS $\square$ $\square$ | YES . . . 1 NO. . . 2 (GO YO. 220) |  | YES.... 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> (NEXT BIRTH) | YES. . 1 NO. . 2 |
| 11. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING.. } 1 \\ & \text { MULT.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | BOY... 1 <br> GIRL. . 2 | MONTH.. $\square$ | YES.. 1 | AGE IN YEARS $\square \square$ $\square$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { YES ...1 } \\ \text { NO....2 } \\ \text { (GO TO. } \\ \text { 220) }\end{array}\right]$ | DAYS.... 1 <br> MONTHS.. 2 <br> YEARS... 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.... } 1 \\ & \text { MO.... } 2 \\ & \substack{\text { (NEXT } \\ \text { BIRTH) }} \end{aligned}$ | YES.. 1 <br> NO. . . 2 |
| 222 from year of intervien subtract year of last birth. <br> IS the difference 4 Years or more? $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { YES....... } 1 \longrightarrow G O \text { TO } 223 \\ \text { NO. . . . . . } 2 \longrightarrow G O \text { TO } 224 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

224 COMPARE 208 WITH NUMBER OF BIRTHS IN HISTORY ABOVE AND MARK:


CHECK: FOR EACH BIRTH: YEAR OF BIRTH IS RECORDED.
FOR EACH LIVING CHILD: CURRENT AGE IS RECORDED.
FOR EACH DEAD CHILD: AGE AT DEATH IS RECORDED.
for age at death 12 months or 1 yr.: probe to determine exact number of months.


| 227 Are you pregnant now? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | MONTHS...................... $\square$ |
| 229 \| At the time you became pregnant, did you want to become pregnant then, did you want to wait until later, or did you not want to have any more children at all? | THEN..................................... 1 <br> LATER. $\qquad$ <br> not want more children. $\qquad$ |
| 236 When did your last menstrual period start? <br> (DATE, IF GIVEN) |  |
| 237 Between the first day of a women's period and the first day of her next period, are there certain times when she has a greater chance of becoming pregnant than other times? |  |
| 238 During which times of the monthly cycle does a women have the greatest chance of becoming pregnant? | DURING HER PERIOD................. 04 right after her period <br> HAS ENDED. ....................... 02 <br> IN ThE MIDDLE OF THE CYCLE..... 03 JUST BEFORE HER PERIOD BEGINS.. 04 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DON'T XNOW. |

Wow would like to talk about family planning - the various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy.

CIRCLE COOE 1 IN 301 FOR EACH METHOO MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY.
THEN PROCEED DONN COLUHN 302, READING THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF EACH METHOO
NOT MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. CIRCLE CODE 2 IF METHOD IS RECOGNIZED, AND CODE 3 IF NOT RECOGNIZED. THEN, FOR EACH METHOD WITH CODE 1 OR 2 CIRCLED IN 301 OR 302, ASK 303.

301 Which ways or methods have you heard about?


AT LEAST ONE "YES"
(EVER USED)


| Where did the aterilization take place? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTER, OR CLINIC, hrite the mame of the place. probe to identify the type of source ahd circle the appropriate cooe. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 318A How long did it take to travel from your home to (PLACE MENTIONED IN 318)? <br> IF LESS THAN 2 HOURS, RECORD MINUTES. OTHERHISE, RECORD HOURS. | MINUTES $\qquad$ HOURS. $\qquad$ |
| 3188 Was it easy or difficult to get there? | EASY........................................ 2 |
| 319 \| Do you regret that (you/your husband) had the operation not to have any (more) children? |  |
| 320 \|hy do you regret the operation? | RESPONDENT WANTS ANOTHER CKILD.. 1 <br> PaRTNER hants another child..... 2 <br> SIDE EFFECTS.......................... 3 <br> CHILD DIED........................... 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) |
| 321 In what month and year was the sterilization performed? | MONTH <br> yEAR. |
| 323 You said that you have avoided having sexual intercourse on certain days of the month to avoid getting pregnant. <br> How do you determine which days of your monthly cycle not to have sexual relations? | BASED ON CALENDAR..................... 1 <br> BASED ON BOOY TEMPERATURE........ 2 <br> based on cervical mucus <br> (BILLINGS METHOD)................. 3 <br> BASED ON BODY TEMPERATURE <br> AND CERVICAL MUCUS............... 4 NO SPECIFIC SYSTEM.................. 5 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |
| 326 \|For how many months have you been using (METHOD) cont inuously? <br> If less than 1 MONTH, RECORD '00'. | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> 8 YEARS OR LONGER $\qquad$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODIng Categories \| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 327 | CHECK 314: CIRCLE METHOD CODE: |  |
| 328 | Where did you obtain (METHOD) the last time? <br> if SOURCE IS MOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTER, OR CLINIC, hrite the name of the place. probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate cooe. <br> (NAME OF PLACE) |  |
| 328A | How long does it take to travel from your home to this place? <br> if less than 2 hours, record minutes. OTHERWISE, RECORD HOURS. | MINUTES. $\qquad$ <br> HOURS $\qquad$ DK. <br> 9998 |
|  | Is it easy or difficult to get there? | EASY $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |
| 328C | Did you talk to your husband/partner about (METHOD) before you started to use it? | YES............................. 11 No......................... 2 |
| $3280$ | Did you talk to your husband/partner about (METHOD) after you started to use it? |  |

331 What is the main reason you are not using a method of contraception to avoid pregnancy?

NOT MARRIED.......................... . 11
fertility-related reasons not having sex21
INFREQUENT SEX................... 22
MENOPAUSAL/HYSTERECTOMY. ..... 23
SUBFECUND/INFECUND. ............ 24POSTPARTUM/BREASTFEEDING...... 25HANTS (MORE) CHILDREN......... 26
PREGNANT .....  27
OPPOSITION TO USE
RESPONDENT OPPOSED ..... 31
HUSBAND OPPOSED ..... 32
OTHERS OPPOSED ..... 33
RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION. ..... 34
LACK OF KNONLEDGE
KNOUS NO METHOD ..... 41
KNOWS NO SOURCE ..... 42
METHCD-RELATED REASONS HEALTH CONCERNS. ..... 51
fear of side effects. ..... 52
LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR ..... 53
COST TOO MUCH ..... 54
INCONVENIENT TO USE ..... 55
INTERFERES WITH BOOY'S NORMAL PROCESSES ..... 56
OTHER ..... 96
DON'T KNOH. ..... 98
332 Do you know of a place where you can obtaina method of family planning?
YES
YES .....  .1 .....  .1

NO
PUBLIC SECTOR GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL ..... 11
government health center ..... 12
FIELD WORKER ..... 15
OTHER PUBLIC ..... 16PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR
PRIVATE HOSPITAL/CLINIC. ..... 21
MISSION HOSPITAL/CLINIC. ..... 22
PHARMACY. ..... 23
PRIVATE DOCTOR ..... 24
mobile clinic. ..... 25
FIELD HORKER ..... 26
other privateMEDICAL 27(SPECIFY)OTHER SOURCE
SHOP ..... 31
FRIENDS/RELATIVES ..... 32
OTHER ..... 36(SPECIFY)
YES ..... 1
HO ..... 2


no BIRTHS SINCE $\square$ JAN. 1991

402 | enter the line number, name, and survival status of each birth since january 1991 in the table. ask the questions about all of these births. begin with the last birth. (if there are more than 2 births, use continuation sheets).

Now I would (ike to ask you some more questions about the health of all your children born in the past five years. (We will talk about one child at a time.)

| 403 | LINE NUMBER FROM 0212 | LASt birth <br> LINE NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ | next-to-last birth <br> LINE NUMBER $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 404 | FROM 0212 <br> AND 0216 | NAME $\qquad$ <br> ALIVE $\square$ dead $\square$ | NAME $\qquad$ <br> ALIVE $\square$ DEAD |
|  | At the time you became pregnant with (NAME), did you want to become pregnant then, did you want to wait until later, or did you want no (more) children at all? |  | THEN. (GO TO 407) <br> LATER. $\square$ $\square$ $\qquad$ <br> NO MORE. $\qquad$ |
|  | How much longer would you like to have waited? | MONTKS. $\qquad$ 1 <br> YEARS. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | MONTHS $\qquad$ .1 <br> YEARS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |
|  | When you were pregnant with (NAME), did you see anyone for antenatal care for this pregnancy? <br> IF YES: Whom did you see? Anyone else? <br> PRDBE FOR THE TYPE OF PERSON AND record all persons seen. | health professional <br> DOCTOR.....................A <br> NURSE/MIDUIFE............ . <br> CLINICAL Officer........C other person <br> traditional birth <br> attendant................ $D$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x <br> (SPECIFY) <br> NO ONE, ...................... | health professional $\qquad$ <br> NURSE/MIDWIFE............ <br> CLINICAL OFFICER........ $C$ <br> OTHER PERSON <br> traditional birth <br> ATTENDANT................ $D$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x <br> (SPECIFY) <br> NO ONE...................... |
|  | How many months pregnant were you when you first received antenatal care? | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNON. $\qquad$ |
|  | How many times did you receive antenatal care during this pregnancy? | NO. OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | no. of times. $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |
|  | Were you given an antenatal card or do you have a card or a book for this pregnancy? <br> May see the card (book) please? | YES, SEEN............... 1 Yes, NOT SEEN. | YeS, SEEN................ 1 YES, ${ }^{\text {NOT SEEN. }} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .2$ NO CARD/BOOK............ 3 |
|  | When you were pregnant with (NAME) were you given an injection in the arm to prevent the baby from getting tetanus, that is, convulsions after birth? | YES $\qquad$ <br> No. $\qquad$ ( 60 To 412 ) <br> DON'T KNOW $\square$ $\qquad$ | YES............................ 1 <br> No. $\qquad$ <br>  <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |
|  | During this pregnancy, how many times did you get this injection? | TIMES.................. $\square_{\square}^{\text {T T }}$ KNOW.............. 8 | TIMES................... $\square . \square$ DON'T KNOW.............. 8 |


|  | FROM 0.212 | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 412 | Where did you give birth to (NAME)? | HOME $\qquad$ <br> OTHER HOME............... 12 <br> PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVT, HOSPITAL.......... 21 <br> govt. health center... 22 <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ 26 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR <br> PVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC.. 31 <br> MISSION HOSP./CLINIC.. 32 <br> OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL $\qquad$ 36 $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) | HOME $\qquad$ <br> OTHER HOME.............. 12 <br> PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVT. HOSPITAL......... 21 <br> GOVT. HEALTH CENTER... 22 <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ 26 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR <br> PVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC.. 31 <br> MISSION HOSP./CLINIC.. 32 <br> OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL $\qquad$ 36 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |
|  | Who assisted with the delivery of (NAME)? <br> Anyone else? <br> probe for the type of person and record all persons assisting. | HEALTH PROFESSIONAL $\qquad$ <br> NURSE/MIDWI FE. ........... $B$ <br> CLINICAL OFFICER........C <br> OTHER PERSON <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT................ D <br> RELATIVE/FRIEND..........E <br> COMMUNITY HEALTH <br> YORKER. $\qquad$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) <br> NO ONE $\qquad$ | HEALTH PROFESSIONAL <br> DOCTOR.....................A <br> NURSE/MIDW! FE. ............ B <br> CLINICAL OFFICER........C <br> OTHER PERSON <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDART............... .D <br> RELATIVE/FRIEND..........E <br> COMMUNITY HEALTH <br> WORKER. $\qquad$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) <br> NO ONE. <br> .......................... |
|  | Around the time of the birth of (NAME), did you have any of the following problems: <br> Long labor, that is, did your regular contractions last more than 12 hours? <br> Excessive bleeding that was so much that you feared it was life threatening? <br> A high fever with bad smelling vaginal discharge? <br> Convulsions not caused by fever? | LABOR <br> MORE THAN 12 HOURS... 12 <br> EXCESSIVE <br> BLEEDING............... 12 <br> FEVER/BAD SMELLING <br> VAG. DISCHARGE........ 12 <br> CONVULSIONS $\qquad$ 12 | LABOR <br> MORE THAN 12 HOURS... 12 <br> EXCESSIVE <br> 8LEEDING................. 12 <br> FEVER/BAD SMELLING <br> VAG. DISCHARGE........ 12 <br> CONVULSIONS $\qquad$ 12 |
|  | Has (NAME) detivered by caesarian section? | YES....................... 1 N0................... 2 | YES...................... 11 No................... 2 |
|  | Uhen (NAME) was born, was he/she: very large, larger than average, average, smaller than average, or very small? | VERY LARGE.................. 1 <br> LaRGER than average....... 2 <br> aVERAGE. ..................... 3 <br> SMALLER THAN AVERAGE..... 4 <br> VERY SMALL................... 5 <br> DON'T KNOW................... 8 | VERY LARGE.................. 1 <br> LaRGER THAN AVERAGE....... 2 <br> average.. <br> Smaller than average..... 4 <br> VERY SMALL.................. 5 <br> DON'T KNOU.................... 8 |



|  | FROM 0.212 | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | next-to-last birth <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Why did you stop breastfeeding (NAME)? | MOTHER ILL/WEAK.......... . 01 <br> CHILD ILL/WEAK............ 02 <br> CHILD DIED................. 03 <br> NIPPLE/BREAST PROBLEM... 04 <br> NOT ENOUGH MILK.......... 05 <br> MOTHER WORKING............. 06 <br> CHILD REFUSED.............. 07 <br> WEANING AGE/AGE TO STOP. 08 <br> became preghant.......... 09 <br> STARTED USING <br> CONTRACEPTION............ 10 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) | MOTHER ILL/WEAK. . . . . . . . . 01 <br> CKILD ILL/WEAK........... . 02 <br> CHILD DIED. ................ . 03 <br> NIPPLE/BREAST PROBLEM... 04 <br> NOT ENOUGH MILK.......... 05 <br> MOTHER WORKING........... . 06 <br> CHILD REFUSED. . . . . . . . . . . 07 <br> WEANING AGE/AGE TO STOP. 08 <br> became pregnant.......... 09 <br> STARTED USING <br> CONTRACEPTION............ 10 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |
|  | CHECK 404: CHILD ALIVE? |  |  |
|  | How many times did you breastfeed last night between sunset and sunrise? <br> If ANSUER IS NOT NUMERIC, PROBE FOR APPROXIMATE NUMBER. | number of NIGHTTIME FEEDINGS. $\qquad$ $\square$ | nUMBER OF <br> NIGHTTIME <br> FEEDINGS.............. |
|  | How many times did you breastfeed yesterday during the daylight hours? <br> IF ANSWER IS NOT NUMERIC, PROBE FOR APPROXIMATE NUMBER. | nUMBER OF DAYLIGHT FEEDINGS $\qquad$ | NUMBER OF DAYLIGHT <br> FEEDINGS. $\qquad$ $\square$ |
|  | Did (MAME) drink anything from a bottle with a nipple yesterday or last night? |  |  |
| 43 | At any time yesterday or last night, was (NAME) given any of the following: <br> Plain water? <br> Sugar water? <br> Juice? <br> Tea? <br> Baby formula? <br> Tinned or powdered milk? <br> Fresh milk? <br> Any other liquids? <br> Any solid or mushy food made from grain such as maize, rice, wheat and soybean? Any solid or mushy food made fram tuber such as cassava, sweet potato and yam? Eggs, fish, or poultry? Meat? <br> Any other solid or semi-solid foods? |  |  |


enter line number, name, and survival status of each birth since january 1991 in the table, ask the questions about all of these births. begin with the last birth.

```
(if there are more than 2 births use additional questionnaires).


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline FROM Q. 212 & \begin{tabular}{l}
LAST EIRTH \\
HAME
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
next-to-last birth \\
HAME \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 452 Did you seek advice or treatment for the cough? &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . }{ }^{1} \\
& \text { NO. . . . } 2 \text { (60 T0 454). }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
453 Where did you seek advice or treatment? \\
Anywhere else? \\
record all mentioned.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
PUBLIC SECTOR \\
GVT. HOSPITAL..............A \\
GVT. HEALTH CENTER.......B \\
COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER.C \\
MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR \\
PVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC....D \\
MISSION HOSP./CLINIC....E \\
PHARMACY..................... \(F\) \\
PRIVATE DOCTOR............G \\
OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR \\
SHOP............................. \\
TRADITIONAL HEALER....... \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) (SPECIFY)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
PUBLIC SECTOR \\
GVT. HOSPITAL..............A \\
GVT. HEALTH CENTER........ B \\
COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER..C \\
medical private sector \\
PVI. HOSPITAL/CLINIC......D \\
MISSION HOSP./CLINIC......E \\
PHARMACY..................... \(F\) \\
PRIVATE DOCTOR..............g \\
OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR \\
SHOP............................ \\
TRADITIONAL HEALER........I OTHER \(\qquad\) X \\
(SPECIFY)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
453A CHECK 453: \\
MORE THAN ONE PROVIDER MENTIONED
\end{tabular} & ONLY ONE PROVIDER MENT IONED & \[
\rightarrow 454
\] \\
\hline 4538 Which provider did you go to first? & \begin{tabular}{l}
PUBLIC SECTOR \\
GVI. HOSPITAL.............A \\
GVT. HEALTH CENTER.......B COMMUNITY HEALTH HORKER.C MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR \\
PVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC....D \\
HISSION HOSP./CLINIC....E \\
PHARMACY.....................F \\
PRIVATE DOCTOR............G \\
OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR \\
SHOP. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \\
TRADITIONAL HEALER......I \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) (SPECIFY)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
PUBLIC SECTOR \\
GVT. HOSPITAL..............A \\
gVt. health center........ B \\
COMHUNITY HEALTH WORKER..C \\
MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR \\
PVT. HOSPITAL/CLINIC......D \\
MISSION HOSP./CLINIC......E \\
PHARMACY. . . . . ................ \\
PRIVATE DOCTOR............. G \\
OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR \\
SHOP............................ \\
traditional healer........I OTHER \(\qquad\) \\
(SPECIFY)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(454 \begin{aligned} & \text { Has (NAME) had diarrhoea in the last } \\ & \text { two weeks? }\end{aligned}\) &  &  \\
\hline 455 Was there any blood in the stools? &  &  \\
\hline 456 On the worst day of the diarrhoea,
how many bowel movements did (NAME) have? & \begin{tabular}{l}
NUMBER OF BONEL MOVEMENTS. \(\qquad\)
\(\square\) \\
DON'T KNON. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & NUMBER OF BOWEL MOVEMENTS. \(\qquad\)
\(\square\) DON'T KNOW.................. 98 \\
\hline 457 Was he/she given the same amount to drink
as before the diarrhaea,
or more, or less? &  &  \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l|l}
458 & Was he/she given the same amount of food \\
to eat as before the diarrhoea, \\
or more, or less?
\end{tabular} &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 465 When a child has diarrhoea, should he/she be given less to drink than usual, about the same amount, or more than usual? & \begin{tabular}{l}
LESS To DRINK........................ 1 \\
ABOUT SAME AMOUNT TO DRINK...... 2 \\
MORE TO ORINK........................ 3 \\
DON'T KNON............................... 8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 466 | When a child has diarrhoea, should he/she be given less to eat than usual, about the same amount, or more than usual? &  \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
467 When a child is sick with diarrhoes, what signs of illness would tell you that he or she should be taken to a health facility or health worker? \\
record all mentioned.
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
469 When a child is aick with a cough, what signs of illness would tell you that he or she should be taken to a health facility or health worker? \\
record all mentioned.
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
468A When a child is sick with a fever, what signs of illness would tell you that he or she should be taken to a health facility or health worker? \\
record all mentioned.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
feVER THO OR MORE DAYS............A \\
SEIZURES/SHAKING.................... \(B\) \\
CHEST INDRAWING....................... \\
not Eating/not drinking well....D \\
gETYING SICKER/VERY SICK..........E \\
NOT GETTING BETTER.................. \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) X \\
(SPECIFY) \\
DONי
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline No. & QUESTIONS AMD FILTERS & CODING CATEGORIES | 60 to \\
\hline 501 & presence of others at this point. &  \\
\hline 502 & Are you currently married or living with a man? & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
NO, NOT IN UNIOH.................. 3
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & Do you currently have a regular sexual partner, an occasional sexual partner, or no sexual partner at all? & regular sexual partner............ 1 occasional sexual partner........ 2 mo sexual partner. .................. 3 \\
\hline 504 & Have you ever been married or tived with a man? & YES, FORMERLY MARRIED. YES, LIVED WITH A MAN. но. \(\qquad\) \\
\hline & What is your marital status now: are you widowed, divorced, or separated? &  \\
\hline & Is your husband/partner living with you now or is he staying elsewhere? & \begin{tabular}{l}
LIVES WITH HER...................... 1 \\
STAYING ELSEWHERE. .................. 2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & WRITE THE NAME OF hER hUSBAND OR PARTNER. OBTAIN his line number fron the household schedule. IF HE IS NOT LISTED IN THE HOUSEHOLD, WRITE יOO' & (NAME) \(\square\) \\
\hline & Does your husband/partner have any other wives besides yourself? &  \\
\hline & How many other wives does he have? & \begin{tabular}{l}
NUMBER \(\qquad\)
\(\square\) \\
DON'T KNON. \(\qquad\) \(\rightarrow 511\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & Are you the first, second, ......uife? & RANK....................... \\
\hline & Have you been married or lived with a man only once, or more than once? & OnCE................................ 1 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
CHECK 511: \\
MARRIED/LIVED WITH \\
A MAN ONLY ONCE \\
\(\checkmark\) \\
In what month and year did you start living with your husband/partner? \\
MARRIED/LIVED WITH a man more than once \(\square\) \\
How we will talk about your first husband/partner. in what month and year did you start living with him?
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline & How old were you when you started living with him? & AGE....................... \(\square\) | \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

(MAME OF PLACE)
PUBLIC SECTOR
government hospital
if source is hospital, health center, OR Clinic, hrite the name of the place. probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate cooe.
government health center....... 12
COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER........ 13
OTHER PUBLIC__ (SPECIFY) 14
PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR
PRIVATE HOSPITAL/CLINIC........ 21
MISSION HOSPITAL/CLINIC........ 22
PHARMACY............................ 23
PRIVATE DOCTOR. ................... 24
MOBILE CLINIC..................... 25
other private
MEDICAL__ 26
(SPECIFY)
SHOP31
FRIENDS/RELATIVES................ 33
OTHER 36




611 Would you ever use a method if you were married?


612


613
How many of these children would you like to be boys, how many would you like to be girls and for how many would it not matter?

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline NO. & OUESTIONS AND FILTERS & CODING CATEGORIES & GO 10 \\
\hline 615 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Is it acceptable or not acceptable to you for information on family planning to be provided: \\
On the radio? \\
On the television?
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline 616 & \begin{tabular}{l}
In the last few months have you heard or read about famity planning: \\
On the radio? \\
On the television? \\
In a newspaper or magazine? \\
From a poster? \\
From leaflets or brochures? \\
From live drana? \\
From a doctor or a nurse? \\
From a community heal th worker?
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline 618 & In the last few months have you discussed the practice of family planning with your husbend, partner, friends, neighbors, or relatives? & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\
& \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\rightarrow 620
\] \\
\hline 619 & \begin{tabular}{l}
With whom? \\
Anyone else? \\
RECORD ALL MENTIONED.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HUSBAND/PARTNER........................ A \\
MOTHER. . . .............................. \(B\) \\
FATHER...................................... C \\
SISTER(S)................................. D \\
BROTHER(S)................................ \\
DAUGHTER.................................. \(F\) \\
MOTKER-IN-LAW......................... \(G\) \\
FRIENDS/NEIGHEORS..................... H \\
COMMUNITY HEALTH HORKER..........I \\
LOCAL COMMUNITY LEADER.............J \\
RELIGIOUS LEADER.....................K \\
OTHER \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 620 & CHECK 502: & & \[
\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 701
\] \\
\hline 62 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Spouses/partners do not always agree on everything. Now I want to ask you about your husband's/partner's views on family planning. \\
Do you think that your husband/partner approvec or disapproves of couples using a method to avoid pregnancy?
\end{tabular} & APPROVES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
DISAPPROVES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
DON'T KNOW. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 . & \\
\hline & How often have you talked to your husbend/partner about family planning in the past year? & NEVER. ................................. 1
ONCE OR THICE................ 2
MORE OFTEN. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 & \\
\hline & Do you think your husband/partner wants the same number of children that you want, or does he want more or fewer than you want? & \begin{tabular}{l}
SAME NUMBER............................... 1 \\
HORE CHILDREN........................ 2 \\
FEWER CHILDREN.......................... 3 \\
DON'T KNON............................... 8
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 624 & Who do you think should decide on the number of children a couple should have? & \begin{tabular}{l}
WIFE......................................... 1 \\
HUSBAND. . . . . ........................ . . 2 \\
80TK....................................... 3 \\
NO ONE.................................... 4 \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) .6 \\
(SPECIFY) \\
DON'T KNOW............................. 8
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline NO. & QUESTIONS AND FILIERS & CODING CATEGORIES \({ }^{\text {GO TO }}\) \\
\hline 701 & CHECK 502 AND 504: &  \\
\hline 702 & How old was your husband/partner on his last birthday? & AGE....................... \(\square\) \\
\hline 703 & Did your (last) husband/partner ever attend school? &  \\
\hline 704 & What was the highest level of school he attended: primary, secondary, or higher? &  \\
\hline 705 & How many years did he complete at that level? & YEARS \(\qquad\)
\(\square\) DON'T KNOW. \(\qquad\) \\
\hline 706 & What is (was) your (last) husband/partner's occupation? That is, what kind of work does (did) he mainly do? & \(\qquad\) \\
\hline 707 &  & \[
\xrightarrow{1} 709
\] \\
\hline 708 & \begin{tabular}{l}
(Does/did) your husband/partner work mainly on his own land or on family land, \\
or (does/did) he rent land, \\
or (does/did) he work on someone else's land?
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline 709 & Aside from your oun housework,
are you currently working? &  \\
\hline 710 & \begin{tabular}{l}
As you know, some women take up jobs for which they are paid in cash or kind. Others sell things, have a small business or work on the family farm or in the family business. \\
Are you currently doing any of these things or any other work?
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline 711 & Have you done any work in the last 12 months? & YES.............................. 1 . 1 \\
\hline 712 & What is your occupation, that is, what kind of work do you mainly do? & \(\qquad\) \\
\hline 713 & \begin{tabular}{l}
CHECK 712: \\
HORKS IN DOES NOT HORK \\
agRICULTURE \\
in agriculture \(\square\)
\end{tabular} & \(\xrightarrow{\|} 745\) \\
\hline 714 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Do you work mainly on your own land or on famity land, or do you rent land, \\
or work on someone else's land?
\end{tabular} & OUN LAND. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
FAMILY LAND . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3
RENTED LAND.
SOMEONE ELSE'S LAND . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline мо. 1 & QUESTIONS AND FILTERS & COOING CATEGORIES \({ }^{\text {a }}\) GO TO \\
\hline 801A & Have you heard about diseases that can be transmitted through sexual intercourse? &  \\
\hline 801B & \begin{tabular}{l}
Which diseases do you know? \\
RECORD ALL RESPONSES
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
SYPHILIS \\
GONORRHEA \(\qquad\) \\
AIDS. \(\qquad\) \\
GENITAL WARTS/CONDYLOMATA........ D \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) \(\mathbf{y}\) \\
(SPECIFY) \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) \(x\) \\
(SPECIFY) \\
DOES NOT KHOW......................... 2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
801 \mathrm{C}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
CHECK 515 AND 515F: \\
has had sexual INTERCOURSE
\end{tabular} & NEVER HAD AL INTERCOURSE \\
\hline 801D & During the last twelve months, did you have any of the diseases? &  \\
\hline 801E & \begin{tabular}{l}
Which of the diseases did you have? \\
RECORD ALL RESPONSES
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
SYPHILIS \\
GONORRHEA \(\qquad\) \\
AIDS. \\
.................................. \(C\) \\
GENITAL HARTS/CONDYLOMATA....... D \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) U \\
(SPECIFY) \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) X \\
(SPECIFY) \\
DON'T KNON. .2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 801F & The last time you had (DISEASE(S) FRON 801E) did you seek advice or treatment? & \[
\text { Yes................................................................................... } \|_{\mid}^{\text {| }} 801 \mathrm{H}
\] \\
\hline 8016 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Where did you seek advice or treatment? \\
Any other place or person? \\
record all mentioned
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline 801H & When you had (DISEASE(S) FROM BO1E) did you inform your partner(s)? & \(\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Yes............................... } 1 \\ & \text { no............................... } 2 \mid\end{aligned}\right.\) \\
\hline & When you had (DISEASE(S) FROM 801E) did you do something not to infect your partner(s)? &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline NO. & QUESTIONS AND FILTERS & CODING CATEGORIES & GO 10 \\
\hline 805 & \begin{tabular}{l}
CHECK 804: \\
MENTIONED \\
'safe SEX'
\end{tabular} & DID NOT MENTION 'SAFE SEX' & \[
807
\] \\
\hline 806 & \begin{tabular}{l}
What does "safe sex" mean to you? \\
RECORD ALL MENTIONED
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ABSTAIN FROM SEX. ................... \(B\) USE CONDOMS.............................. \(C\) AVOID MULTIPLE SEX PARTNERS..... D AVOID SEX WITH PROSTITUTES......E AVOID SEX WITH HOMOSEXUALS......F OTHER \(\qquad\) X \\
DOES NOT KNOW.......
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 807 & Is it possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS virus? & \(\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. } 1 \\ & \text { DOES NOT } \\ & \text { KNOW. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 8\end{aligned}\right.\) & \\
\hline 808 & Do you think that persons with AlDS almost never die from the disease, sometimes die or almost always die from the disease? &  & \\
\hline 808A & Can AIDS be cured? &  & \\
\hline 808B & Can AlDS be transmitted from mother to child? &  & \\
\hline 808C & Do you personally know someone who has AIDS or has died of AIDS? & \(\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. } \\ & \text { DOES } \\ & \text { NOT }\end{aligned}\right.\) & \(\rightarrow 809\) \\
\hline 8080 & How meny people that you personally know now have AlDS? & | NUMBER OF PERSONS.......... \(\square\) & \\
\hline 808E & How many people that you personally know have died of AlDS? & | NUMBER OF PERSONS.......... \(\square\) & \\
\hline 809 & \begin{tabular}{l}
CHECK 801E: IF RESPONDENT HAS AIDS, CIRCLE 5. \\
Do you think your chances of getting AlDS are small, moderate, great, or no risk at all?
\end{tabular} &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow 8098 \\
& \rightarrow 811 \mathrm{~A}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 809A & \begin{tabular}{l}
Why do you think that you have (no risk/a small chance) of getting AlDS? \\
Any other reasons? \\
record all mentioned
\end{tabular} & ABSTAIN FROM SEX..................... 8 USE CONDOMS............................ HAVE ONLY ONE SEX PARTNER....... LIMITED NUMBER OF SEX PARTNERS..E SPOUSE HAS NO OTHER PARTNER...... NO HOMOSEXUAL CONTACT............. G NO BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS................ NO INJECTIONS.......................... OTHER \(\qquad\) \(x\) & \[
\rightarrow 811 A
\] \\
\hline 8098 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Why do you think that you have a (moderate/great) chance of getting AIDS? \\
Any other reasons? \\
record all mentioned
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
DO NOT USE CONDOMS...................C MORE THAN ONE SEX PARTNER........ D MANY SEX PARTNERS.................. . SPOUSE HAS OTHER PARTNER(S)......F HOMOSEXUAL CONTACT................... G HAD BLOOD TRANSFUSION............... HAD INJECTIONS.......................... I \\
OTHER \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 904 What was the name given to your mother's (first born, second born, .. )? & [1] & [2] & [3] & [4] & [5] & [6] \\
\hline 905 Is (NAME) male or female? & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE........ 1 \\
female..... . 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE........ 1 \\
female...... 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE........ 1 \\
female..... 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE........ 1 \\
female..... 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE........ 1 \\
fEMALE..... 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE......... 1 \\
female..... 2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 906 Is (NAME) still alive? &  &  &  &  &  &  \\
\hline 907 How old is (NAME)? & \begin{tabular}{l}
co to \\
(2)
\end{tabular} &  & GO TO [4] &  & GO TO [6] &  \\
\hline 908 In what year did (MAME) die? & \begin{tabular}{l}
19 \(\square\) \\
co to 910 DK. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & 19 \(\square\) GO TO 9104 DK. \(\qquad\) & 19 \(\square\) co to 910s DK. \(\qquad\) . 98 & \begin{tabular}{l}
19 \(\square\) \\
DK. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & 19 \(\square\) DK. \(\qquad\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
19 \(\square\) \\
DK. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 909 How many years ago did (NAME) die? &  & ـ & &  &  & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
910 How old was (NAME) when she/he died? \\
911 Was (NAME) pregnant when she died?
\end{tabular} & IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 years of age GO TO [2]
\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { YES........1 } \\
\text { GO TO } 9144 \\
\text { NO. ......... }
\end{gathered}\right.
\] &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 years of age GO TO [4] \\
 YES GO T0.....1] TO 9144 NO. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\hline \\
IF MALE OR \\
OIED BEFORE 12 \\
YEARS OF AGE \\
GO TO [5] \\
\(=============\) \\
YES........ \\
GO TO 914.4 \\
NO........... 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\hline \\
IF MALE OR \\
DIED BEFORE 12 \\
YEARS OF AGE \\
GO TO [6] \\
============= \\
YES........ \\
GO TO 9144\(]\) \\
NO..........
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline 912 Did (NAME) die during childbirth? & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES........1] } \\
& \text { G0 to } 9154 \\
& \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES........1] } \\
& \text { GO TO } 9154 \\
& \text { NO. .......... }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES........ }{ }^{1} \\
& \text { GO To } 9154 \\
& \text { NO. ......... } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES........18] } \\
& \text { G0 to } 9154 \\
& \text { NO.......... }{ }^{2}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { YES. ........ } \\
\text { GO TO } 9154 \\
\text { NO. .......... }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
YES. ....... \({ }^{1}\) GO TO 9154\(]\) \\
no. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 913 Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... } 1 \\
& \text { NO........... }{ }^{2} \\
& \text { GO to } 9954
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... }{ }^{1} \\
& \text { NO.........2] } \\
& \text { GO TO } 915{ }^{2}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... }{ }^{1} \\
& \text { NO.........2] } \\
& 60 \text { TO } 9154
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES } \ldots . . . . . .{ }^{1} \\
& \text { NO..........2] } \\
& 60 \text { TO } 9154
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 914 Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES.......... } 1 \\
& \text { No.......... } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... } 1 \\
& \text { No.......... } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\
& \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\
& \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES. ......... } 1 \\
& \text { No........... } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\
& \text { NO. ......... } 2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 915 How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? & GO TO [2] & 60 то [3] & 60 то [4] & 60 то [5] & GO TO [6] & GO TO [7] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IF NO MORE BROTHERS OR SISTERS, GO TO 916
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 904 What was the name given to your mother's (first born, second born,...)? & [7] & [8] & [9] & [10] & [11] & [12] \\
\hline 905 Is (NAME) mate or female? & \begin{tabular}{l}
male........ 1 \\
female..... 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE. ....... 1 \\
female...... 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE........ 1 \\
fEMALE...... 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
male........ 1 \\
female..... . 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE........ 1 \\
female...... 2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MALE........ 1 \\
female...... 2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 906 Is (NAME) still alive? &  &  &  &  &  &  \\
\hline 907 How old is (NAME)? & GO то [8] &  &  & GO TO [11] & GO TO [12] &  \\
\hline 908 In what year did (NAME) die? & 19 \(\square\)
\[
\text { DK......... } 98
\] &  &  &  &  &  \\
\hline 909 How many years ago did (NAME) die? &  & &  &  &  &  \\
\hline 910 How old was (NAME) when she/he died? & IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 yEARS OF AGE GO TO [8] & If male or DIED BEFORE 12 years of age GO TO [9]
\(\square\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
If MALE OR died before 12 years of age GO TO [10] \\

\end{tabular} & If male or OIED BEFORE 12 years of age GO TO [11]
\(\qquad\) & If male or died before 12 years of age GO TO [12]
\(\qquad\) & IF MALE OR DIEO BEFORE 12 years of age GO TO [13]
\(\qquad\) \\
\hline 911 Has (MAME) pregnant when she died? & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { YES.......1] } \\
\text { GO TO } 9144 \\
\text { NO.......... }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { YES........1] } \\
\text { GO TO } 9144 \\
\text { NO.......... }{ }^{2}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { YES.......1] } \\
\text { GO TO } 9144 \\
\text { NO......... }{ }^{2}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES.......1] } \\
& \text { GO TO } 9144{ }^{1} \\
& \text { NO. ......... }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES.......1] } \\
& \text { GO TO } 9144 \\
& \text { NO. ......... }{ }^{2}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES.......1 } \\
& \text { GO TO } 9144 \\
& \text { NO. ......... }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 912 Did (NAME) die during childbirth? & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... } \\
& \text { GO TO } 9154 . \\
& \text { NO.......... }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { YES......... } \\
\text { G0 } 109154 \\
\text { NO......... }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { YES......... } \\
\text { GO to } 9154 \\
\text { NO.......... } 2
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... }{ }^{1} \text { GO T0 } 9154 \\
& \text { NO.......... }
\end{aligned}
\] &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES.......1 } \\
& \text { GO to } 9154 \\
& \text { NO........... }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 913 Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... }{ }^{1} \\
& \text { NO......... }{ }^{2} \\
& \text { GO TO } 915
\end{aligned}
\] &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES . ......... } 1 \\
& \text { NO.......... } \\
& \text { GO TO } 9154
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES.......... } 1 \\
& \text { No.........2] } \\
& \text { GO to } 9154
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { No......... } \\
& \text { GO TO } 9154
\end{aligned}
\] &  \\
\hline 914 Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES.......... } 1 \\
& \text { No.......... } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... } 1 \\
& \text { No........... } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... } 1 \\
& \text { NO.......... . } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\
& \text { NO......... } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\
& \text { No. . . . . . . . } 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES......... } 1 \\
& \text { No.......... } 2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 945 How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? & GO TO [8] &  & GO TO [10] & go to [11] & GO TO [12] & 60 TO [13] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{IF NO MORE BROTHERS OR SISTERS, \(\mathbf{~} 0\) TO 916}



In 1002 (COLunns 2 and 3) ReCord the Line number for each Child born since january 1991 and still alive. in 1003 and 1004 record the mame and birth date for the respondent and for all Living children born Since january 1991. In 1006 and 1008 record height and weight of the respondent and the living children. (MOTE: ALL RESPOWDENTS WITH OWE OR MORE BIRTHS SINCE JANUARY 1991 SHOULD BE WEIGHED AND MEASURED EVEN if all of the children have died. If there are more than 2 living children born since january 1991, USE CONTINUATION SHEETS).


INTERVIELER'S OBSERVATIONS
To be filled in after completing interview

Comments
about Respondent:

Comments on
Specific Ouestions: \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
Any Other Comments:

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS
\(\qquad\)

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{IOENTIFICATION} \\
\hline CLUSTER NUMBER............................................................................ & \\
\hline PROVINCE & \\
\hline DISTRICT & \\
\hline HOUSEHOLD NUMBER........................................................................ & \\
\hline NAME OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD & \\
\hline URBAN/RURAL (urban=1, rural \(=2\) )........................................................... & \\
\hline LUSAKA/OTRER CITY/TONH/VILLAGE. (Lusaka=1, Other city=2, Town=3, village=4) & \\
\hline name and line number of man & \\
\hline name and line number of first wife & \\
\hline name and line number of second wife & \\
\hline name and line number of third wife & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



102 First 1 would like to ask some questions about you and your household. For most of the time until you were 12 years old, did you live in a city, in a town, or in a village?

LUSAKA. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
other city
.1

TOLN.
.3
VILLAGE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4

103 How long have you been liying continwously in (MAME OF CURRENT PLACE OF RESIDENCE)?
\(\qquad\) I


104 Just before you moved here, did you live in a city, in a tom, or in a village?

LUSAKA................................... 1
OTHER CITY.
TOUN

TOWN................................... 3
VILLAGE.................................. 4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{MONTH....................... \(\square\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{DON'T KNOW MONTH. . . . . . . . . . . . 98} \\
\hline YEA & \\
\hline DON'T KNOW Year. & ... 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

106 How old were you at your last birthday?
COMPARE AND CORRECT 105 and/or 106 If inconsistent.
AGE IN COHPLETED YEARS

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Have you ever attended school? &  \\
\hline 108 & What is the highest level of school you attended: primary, secondary, or higher? & \(\left|\begin{array}{l}\text { PRIMARY....................... } 1 \\ \text { SECONDARY.................. } 2 \\ \text { HIGHER.................... } 3\end{array}\right|\) \\
\hline & How many years did you complete at that level? COMMENT \(\qquad\) & YEARS.................... \\
\hline 110 & CHECK 108: PRIMARY \(\square\) ¢ \(\begin{aligned} & \text { SECONDARY } \\ & \text { OR HIGHER }\end{aligned} \square\) & \(\xrightarrow{\square} 112\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{EASILY................................. 1
HITH DIFFICULTY......................... 3}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

112
How often do you usually read a newspaper or magazine? Would you say every day, every other day, at least once a week, at least once a month, a few times a year, or never?

CIRCLE OWLY ONE ANSHER.

EVERYDAY..................................... 1
EVERY DTHER DAY....................... 2
AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK................ 3
AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH............. 4
FEW TIMES A YEAR..................... 5
NEVER. . . . . . . .................................. 6

How often do you usually listen to a radio? Would you say every day, every other day, at least once a week, at least once a month, a few times a year, or never?

CIRCLE ONLY ONE ANSWER.

EVERYDAY......................................... 1
EVERY OTHER DAY. . . . . .................. 2
AT LEAST ONCE A HEEK................ 3
AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH.............. 4
FEW TIMES A YEAR...................... 5
NEVER.................................... . . 6
EVERYDAY. . . . . . . . . . . . . . ................... 1
EVERY OTRER DAY........................ 2
AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK................. 3
AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH............. 4
FEW TIMES A YEAR..................... 5
NEVER

1 How often do you usually watch television? Hould you say every day, every other day, at least once s week, at least once a month, a few times a year, or never?

CIRCLE ONLY ONE ANSWER.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline No. & Questions and filters & CODing categories |co to \\
\hline 201 & Now I would like to ask about your children. I am interested only in your own children. Do not include children you may have adopted or care for as a father but whose real father is someone else. Have you ever had children? &  \\
\hline 202 & Do you have any sons or daughters who are now living with you? &  \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
How many sons live with you? \\
And how many daughters live with you? \\
IF NONE, RECORD 'OOL.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
SONS AT HOME \(\qquad\) \\
DAUGHTERS AT HOME. \(\qquad\)
\(\square\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 204 & Oo you have any sons or daughters who are alive but do not live with you? &  \\
\hline 205 & \begin{tabular}{l}
How many sons are alive but do not live with you? \\
And how many daughters are alive but do not live with you? if MONE, RECORD 'OOI.
\end{tabular} & SONS ELSEWHERE.............
daughters elsenhere........
\(\square\) \\
\hline 206 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Have you ever had a son or a daughter who was born alive but later died? \\
IF NO, PROBE: Any baby tho eried or showed signs of life but survived only a few hours or days?
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline 207 & \begin{tabular}{l}
How many boys have died? \\
And how many girls have died? \\
IF NONE, RECORD 'OO'.
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline 208 & SUM ANSWERS TO 203, 205, and 207, and ENTER total. IF NONE, RECORD 'OO'. & тотаL...................... \(\square\) \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
CHECK 208: \\
Just to make sure that 1 have this right: you have had in total \(\qquad\) children during your life. Is that correct?
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline & CHECK 208: has had CHILDREN \(\square\) has never kad CHILDREN (NONE) & \(\xrightarrow{\text { ! }} 301\) \\
\hline 210A & In what month and year was your last child born? &  \\
\hline & CHECK 210A, LAST CHILD: 80RN SINCE January 1991 ■] & BEFORE JANUARY \({ }^{1991} \square\) \\
\hline & When you were expecting your last born child, did you want to have the child then, did you want to wait until later, or did you not want to have any (more) children at all? &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Now I would like to talk about family planning-the various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy.

CIRCLE CODE 1 IN 301 FOR EACH METHOD MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY.
THEN PROCEED DOWN COLLHN 302, READING THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF EACH METHOD NOT MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. CIRCLE CODE 2 IF METHOD IS RECOGNIZED, AND COOE 3 IF NOT RECOGNIZED.
THEN, FOR EACH METHOD WITH CODE 1 OR 2 CIRCLED IN 301 OR 302, ASK 303.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline NO. & QUESTIONS AND FILTERS & CODING CATEGORIES | GO TO \\
\hline 305 & Have you or any of your partners ever used anything or tried in any way to delay or avoid pregnancy? &  \\
\hline 306 & \begin{tabular}{l}
What have you used or done? \\
CORRECT 303 AND 304 (AND 302 IF NECESSARY).
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 307 & Are you or your partner currently doing something or using a method to delay or avoid a pregnancy? & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YES................................................................................. } \\
& \text { NO....... } \\
& \mid \\
& \mid
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 308 & Which method are you using? &  \\
\hline 309 & What is the main reason you are not using a method of contraception to avoid pregnancy? & \begin{tabular}{l}
NOT MARRIED \(\qquad\) \\
FERTILITY-RELATED REASONS \\
NOT HAVING SEX................... 21 \\
INFREQUENT SEX................... 22 \\
WIFE MENOPAUSAL/HYSTERECTOMY. 23 \\
UIFE SUBFECUND/INFECUND...... 24 \\
POSTPARTUM/BREASIFEEDING. . . . . 25 \\
WANTS (MORE) CHILDREN........ 26 \\
UIFE PREGNANT..................... 27 \\
OPPOSITION TO USE \\
RESPONDENT OPPOSED.............. 31 \\
WIFE/PARTNER OPPOSED. ......... 32 \\
OTHERS OPPOSED................... 33 \\
RELIGIOUS PROKIBITION......... 34 \\
LACK OF KNOWLEDGE \\
KNOWS NO METHOD.................. 41 \\
KNOWS NO SOURCE.................. 42 \\
HETHOD-RELATED REASONS \\
HEALTH CONCERNS.................. 51 \\
FEAR OF SIDE EFFECTS........... 52 \\
LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR........ 53 \\
COST TOO MUCH.................... 54 \\
INCONVENIENT TO USE............ 55 \\
INTERFERES WITH BODY'S \\
NORMAL PROCESSES.............. 56 \\
UP TO THE WOMAN TO USE.......... 61 \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) 96 \\
DOES NOT KHOW....................... 98
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
        Are you currently married or living with a woman?
                                YES, CURRENTLY MARRIED............ 1
                                YES, LIVING WITH A WOMAN......... \(2 \longrightarrow 402 \mathrm{C}\)
                                    NO, NOT IN UNION.................... \(3 \longrightarrow 404\)
402 How many wives do you have?
402A How many women are you living with as if you are married?
NUMBER OF UIVES.
\(\qquad\)


403 WRITE THE LINE NUMBERS FROM THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE FOR HIS UIFE/WIVES.


IF A WIFE DOES NOT LIVE IN THE HOUSEHOLD, WRITE 'OO'. THE NUMBER OF BOXES FILLED MUST EQUAL THE NUMBER OF YIVES.




M En 9

Which method would you prefer to use?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline PILL............................ 01 & \\
\hline IU0.............................. 02 & \\
\hline INJECTIONS...................... 03 & \\
\hline IMPLANTS......................... 04 & \\
\hline FOAMING TABLETS/JELLY.......... 05 & \\
\hline CONDOM........................... 06 & \\
\hline FEMALE STERILIZATION............ 07 & \\
\hline hale sterilization. . . . . . . . . . . 08 & \(\rightarrow 512\) \\
\hline Natural family planning....... . 09 & \\
\hline WITHDRAWAL....................... 10 & \\
\hline OTHER_ (SPECIFY) 96 & \\
\hline UNSURE.......................... 98 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

What is the main reason that you think you will never use a method?
| NOT married.......................... 11
fertility-related reasons
infrequent sex. .................. 22
WIFE MENOPAUSAL/HYSTERECTOMY. . 23
WIFE SUBFECUND/INFECUND....... 24
wants more children.............. 26
OPPOSITION TO USE
RESPONDENT OPPOSED............. 31
WIFE OPPOSED...................... 32
OTHERS OPPOSED.................... 33
RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION........ . 34
LACK OF KNOWLEDGE
KNOWS NO METHOD. . . . . . . . . . . . . 41
KNOWS NO SOURCE................. 42
METHOD-RELATED REASONS
health concerns................. . 51
fear of side effects........... 52
LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR........ 53
COST TOO MUCH................... 54
InCONVENIENT TO USE............. 55
INTERFERES WITH BCOY'S
nORMAL PROCESSES............. 56
UP TO THE HOMAN TO USE.......... 61
OTHER__ 96
DOES MOT XHOW...................... . 98


513
How many of these children would you like to be boys, how many would you like to be girls and for how many would it not matter?

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline NO. & QUESTIONS AND FILTERS & CODING CATEGORIES & 60 10 \\
\hline 514 & Yould you say that you approve or disapprove of couples using a method to avoid pregnancy? &  & \\
\hline 515 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Is it acceptable or not acceptable to you for information on family planning to be provided: \\
On the radio? \\
On the television?
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline 516 & \begin{tabular}{l}
In the last few months have you heard about family planning: \\
On the radio? \\
On the television? \\
In a newspaper or magazine? \\
From a poster? \\
From leaflets or brochures? \\
From live drama? \\
From a doctor or a nurse? \\
From community heal th worker?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
YES NO \\
RADIO............................ 1 2 \\
TELEVISION....................... 1 2 \\
NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE........ 12 \\
POSTER............................ 1 2 \\
LEAFLETS OR BROCHURES........ 1 \\
LIVE DRAMA....................... 12 \\
DOCTOR OR NURSE................. 1 2 \\
COMMUNITY HEALTH YORKER..... 12
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 518 & In the last few months have you discussed the practice of famity planning with your (wife, partner), friends, neighbors, or relatives? & YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12 & \[
\xrightarrow{\leftrightarrows} 520
\] \\
\hline 519 & \begin{tabular}{l}
With whom? \\
Anyone else? \\
RECORD ALL MENTIONED.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HIFE/PARTNER............................ \\
MOTHER................................... . \\
FATHER................................... . \(C\) \\
SISTER(S)............................... . \\
BROTHER(S)............................... \\
DAUGHTER. . . . ............................... \\
MOTHER-IN-LAW. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . G \\
FRIERDS/NEIGHBORS..................... \\
COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER...........I \\
LOCAL COMMUNITY LEADER............J \\
RELIGIOUS LEADER...................... \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) x
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 520 & \begin{tabular}{l}
CHECK 401: \\
CURRENTLY \\
LIVING HITH \\
HOT IN \\
MARRIED \\
A WOMAN UNION
\end{tabular} & & \[
\xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{ } \text { }
\] \\
\hline 521 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Spouses do not always agree on everything. \\
Now I want to ask you about your wife's /the woman you live with's views on family planning. \\
Do you think that your wife/the woman you live with approves or disapproves of couples using a method to avoid pregnancy?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
APPROVES............................... 1 \\
DISAPPROVES. \(\qquad\) \\
DOES NOT KNOW........................ 8
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 522 & How often have you talked to your wife/the woman you live with about family planning in the past year? & NEVER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . \({ }^{\text {a }}\) ?
ONCE OR TWICE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline No. & Questions and filters & COOING Categories IGO to \\
\hline 604 & \begin{tabular}{l}
What can a person do? \\
Any other ways? \\
RECORD ALL MENTIONED
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
SAFE SEX................................... ABSTAIN FROH SEX. \(\qquad\) USE CONDOHS.............................. AVOID MULTIPLE SEX PARTNERS..... \(D\) AVOID SEX WITH PROSTITUTES.......E AVOID SEX WITH HOMOSEXUALS.......F AVOID BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS.........G AVOID INJECTIONS.......................... AVOID KISSING.......................... AVOID MOSQUITO BITES................. SEEK PROTECTION FROM \\
FROM TRADITIONAL HEALER.........K OTHER \(\qquad\) \(W\) \\
OTHER \(\qquad\) x
\(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 605 & CHECK 604: MENTIONED \(\quad \square\) & DID NOT MEMTION "SAFE SEX" \\
\hline 606 & \begin{tabular}{l}
What does "safe sex" mean to you? \\
RECORD ALL MENTIONED
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline 607 & Is it possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS virus? &  \\
\hline 608 & Do you think that persons with AIDS almost never die from the disease, sometimes die, or almost always die from the disease? &  \\
\hline 608A & Can AIDS be cured? & \(\left|\begin{array}{l}\text { Yes.............................. } 1 \\ \text { NO........................ } 2 \\ \text { does not know................ } 8\end{array}\right|\) \\
\hline 6088 & Can AlDS be transmitted from mother to child? &  \\
\hline 608C & Do you personally know someone who has AIDS or has died of AIDS? &  \\
\hline 6080 & How many people that you personally know now have AIDS? & number of persons......... \\
\hline 608E & How many people that you personally know have died of AIDS? & | NUMBER OF PERSONS......... \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Check 601E: IF RESPONDENT HAS AIDS, cIRCLE 5. \\
Do you think your chances of getting AlDS are small, moderate, great, or no risk at all?
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline 609A & \begin{tabular}{l}
Why do you think that you have (NO RISK/A SMALL CHANCE) of getting AlDS? \\
Any other reasons? \\
record all mentioned
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ABSTAIN FRON SEX.................... \(B\) - \\
USE CONDOHS.......................... LIMITED NUMBER OF SEX PARTNERS..E AVOID SEX WITH PROSTITUTES.......F SPOUSE HAS NO OTHER PARTNER......G NO HOMOSEXUAL CONTACT............... NO BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS...............I NO INJECTIONS. \(\qquad\) \\
OTHER \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Comments
about Respondent:

Comments on
Specific Questions:

Any Other Comments:

\section*{SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS}
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

Name of Supervisor:
Date: \(\qquad\)

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

Name of Editor:
Date: \(\qquad\)```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ LAM users are women who are breastfeeding a child under six months of age, are still post-partum amenorrhoeic, and are not feeding the child anything but breast milk or breast milk and plain water.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes curtent pregnancy

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ For each cohort the accumulated percentages stop at the lower age boundary of the cohort to avoid censoring problems. For instance, for the cohort currently aged $20-24$, accumulation stops with the percentage married by exact age 20.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes 21 users of IUCD

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Want next birth within two years
    ${ }^{2}$ Want to delay next birth for two or mure years

[^5]:    

[^6]:    Note: Figures are for births in the period 0.59 months preceding the survey.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes BCG, messles, and three doses each of DPT and polto

[^8]:    Note: An asterisk indicates the rate is based on fewer than 25 children and has been suppressed.
    ORS = Oral rehydration salts
    RHF = Recommended home fluids
    Includes health centre, hospital, and private doctor

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Interviewers were instructed to weigh and measure all women who had a birth since January 1991, regardless of whether or not the child was still living.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ The imputation procedure is based on the assumption that the reported birth ordering of sibling in the history is correct. The first step is to calculate birth dates. For each living sibling with a reported age and each dead sibling with complete information on both age at death and years since death, the birth date was calculated. For a sibling missing these data, a birth date was imputed within the range defined by the birth dates of the bracketing siblings. In the case of living siblings, an age was then calculated from the imputed birth date. In the case of dead siblings, if either the age at death or years since death was reported, that information was combined with the birth date to produce the missing information. If both pieces of information were missing, the distribution of the ages at death for siblings for whom the years since death was unreported, but age at death was reported, was used as a basis for imputing the age at death.

[^11]:    ' Mean number of sources is based on respondents who have heard of AIDS.

[^12]:    Includes avoiding mosquito bites, kissing, and seeking protection from a traditional healer
    ${ }^{2}$ Total includes one woman with missing information on education

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Total includes one woman with massing information on education. Figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ women. NA = Not applicable

[^14]:    Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 respondents and has been suppressed.

[^15]:    Note: The "no change" category includes those who say they "don't know."
    ${ }^{1}$ Total includes two women who did not know about their risk status and one woman with missing information on education.

[^16]:    Note: The "no change" category includes those who say "don't know." An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 respondents and has been suppressed.
    'Total includes three men who did not know about their risk status.

[^17]:    Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 women; an asterisk indicates a figure is based on fewer than 25 women and has been suppressed.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reasons for not retaining the remaining 49 CSAs are as followed: (1) the urban sample of Copperbelt Province is smaller in the 1996 ZDHS than in the 1992 ZDHS so that all clusters in urban Copperbelt are not needed; (2) the SEA composition of certain CSAs was changed during the actual census; and (3) there were errors in the old frame concerning the urban/rural specifications.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ For total ( $0-4$ years)

[^20]:    NA = Not applicable

[^21]:    NA = Not applicable

[^22]:    Note: Values in this table will differ from those in the 1992 and 1996 ZDHS reports because they are based only on the 213 clusters common to both surveys.

[^23]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes deaths under 1 month reported in days
    (Under 1 month/under 1 year) * 100

